

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 1
Study Design: (1) RYGB vs. VSG
Missingness: (1) M-Bias
True ATE: -0.273

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.276	–0.004	1.4%	–0.278	–0.006	2.1%	1.000
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.276	–0.004	1.3%	–0.278	–0.005	1.9%	1.079
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.288	–0.016	5.7%	–0.290	–0.017	6.4%	1.238
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.274	–0.002	0.7%	–0.274	–0.002	0.7%	1.380
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.274	–0.002	0.7%	–0.274	–0.002	0.6%	1.380

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 2

Study Design: (1) RYGB vs. VSG

Missingness: (2) Treatment Heterogeneity

True ATE: -0.311

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.273	0.038	–12.3%	–0.275	0.036	–11.5%	1.000
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.273	0.038	–12.4%	–0.273	0.038	–12.2%	1.006
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.312	–0.001	0.3%	–0.315	–0.004	1.3%	1.139
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.311	0.000	0.1%	–0.313	–0.002	0.6%	1.147
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.311	0.000	0.1%	–0.313	–0.002	0.6%	1.147

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 3

Study Design: (1) RYGB vs. VSG

Missingness: (3) M-Bias w/ Mediator

True ATE: -0.124

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.097	0.027	–21.7%	–0.095	0.029	–23.5%	1.000
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.096	0.028	–22.6%	–0.096	0.028	–22.6%	1.019
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.120	0.003	–2.8%	–0.122	0.002	–1.8%	1.234
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.121	0.003	–2.6%	–0.121	0.003	–2.3%	1.261
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.121	0.003	–2.6%	–0.121	0.003	–2.2%	1.261

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 4

Study Design: (1) RYGB vs. VSG

Missingness: (4) MNAR

True ATE: -0.316

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.358	–0.041	13.0%	–0.358	–0.042	13.3%	1.000

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 5
Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery
Missingness: (1) M-Bias
True ATE: -0.325

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.928	–0.602	185.3%	–0.926	–0.601	185.0%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.927	–0.601	185.0%	–0.925	–0.600	184.4%	1.007
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.930	–0.605	186.0%	–0.929	–0.604	185.8%	1.038
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.937	–0.612	188.3%	–0.936	–0.611	188.0%	1.034
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.896	–0.571	175.6%	–0.894	–0.569	175.1%	1.217
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.895	–0.570	175.3%	–0.892	–0.567	174.4%	1.225
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.893	–0.568	174.8%	–0.892	–0.567	174.5%	1.277
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.902	–0.577	177.4%	–0.900	–0.575	176.7%	1.268
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.893	–0.568	174.8%	–0.892	–0.567	174.4%	1.278
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.902	–0.577	177.4%	–0.900	–0.575	176.7%	1.268

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 5
Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery
Missingness: (1) M-Bias
True ATE: -0.325

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.927	–0.602	185.3%	–0.928	–0.603	185.3%	1.004
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.935	–0.610	187.7%	–0.934	–0.609	187.4%	1.001
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.331	–0.006	1.8%	–0.330	–0.005	1.6%	1.072
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.330	–0.005	1.5%	–0.329	–0.004	1.2%	1.080
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.325	0.001	–0.2%	–0.323	0.002	–0.7%	1.092
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.333	–0.008	2.4%	–0.332	–0.007	2.1%	1.082

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 6
Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery
Missingness: (2) Treatment Heterogeneity
True ATE: -0.307

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.415	–0.108	35.3%	–0.415	–0.108	35.2%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.415	–0.108	35.3%	–0.415	–0.108	35.3%	1.013
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.415	–0.108	35.4%	–0.417	–0.110	36.0%	1.018
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.415	–0.109	35.4%	–0.417	–0.110	35.9%	1.020
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.447	–0.141	45.8%	–0.448	–0.141	46.0%	1.090
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.447	–0.140	45.8%	–0.449	–0.143	46.5%	1.103
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.446	–0.140	45.6%	–0.446	–0.139	45.4%	1.122
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.447	–0.140	45.8%	–0.448	–0.141	45.9%	1.122
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.446	–0.140	45.6%	–0.446	–0.139	45.4%	1.122
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.447	–0.140	45.8%	–0.448	–0.141	46.0%	1.122

IPWR Usage:
Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model
Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 6
Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery
Missingness: (2) Treatment Heterogeneity
True ATE: -0.307

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.415	–0.108	35.4%	–0.415	–0.108	35.4%	0.995
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.415	–0.109	35.5%	–0.415	–0.108	35.2%	0.997
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.307	0.000	0.0%	–0.309	–0.002	0.7%	1.011
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.307	0.000	–0.0%	–0.308	–0.001	0.4%	1.023
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.306	0.001	–0.2%	–0.307	–0.001	0.2%	1.012
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.307	0.000	0.0%	–0.308	–0.001	0.3%	1.012

IPWR Usage:
Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model
Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 7
Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery
Missingness: (3) M-Bias w/ Mediator
True ATE: -0.816

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.834	–0.019	2.3%	–0.835	–0.019	2.3%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.832	–0.016	2.0%	–0.833	–0.017	2.1%	1.000
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.837	–0.022	2.7%	–0.834	–0.019	2.3%	1.022
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.835	–0.020	2.4%	–0.835	–0.019	2.3%	1.021
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.895	–0.079	9.7%	–0.892	–0.077	9.4%	1.117
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.892	–0.077	9.4%	–0.889	–0.074	9.0%	1.116
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.900	–0.084	10.3%	–0.898	–0.082	10.1%	1.155
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.897	–0.082	10.0%	–0.893	–0.078	9.6%	1.154
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.900	–0.084	10.3%	–0.898	–0.082	10.1%	1.155
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.897	–0.082	10.0%	–0.894	–0.078	9.6%	1.154

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 7
Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery
Missingness: (3) M-Bias w/ Mediator
True ATE: -0.816

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.839	–0.023	2.9%	–0.836	–0.021	2.6%	1.001
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.837	–0.021	2.6%	–0.836	–0.021	2.5%	1.000
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.812	0.003	–0.4%	–0.813	0.002	–0.3%	1.007
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y} Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.810	0.006	–0.7%	–0.811	0.004	–0.5%	1.006
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.816	–0.001	0.1%	–0.818	–0.003	0.4%	1.008
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R Stratified by A	Estimation	–0.814	0.001	–0.2%	–0.815	0.000	–0.0%	1.008

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 8

Study Design: (2) Surgery vs. No Surgery

Missingness: (4) MNAR

True ATE: -0.392

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–1.225	–0.833	212.8%	–1.221	–0.830	211.9%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–1.222	–0.831	212.1%	–1.219	–0.828	211.4%	1.000

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 9

Study Design: (3) Surgery vs. No Surgery (Diabetic)

Missingness: (1) M-Bias

True ATE: -0.322

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.343	–0.021	6.7%	–0.345	–0.023	7.2%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.342	–0.020	6.3%	–0.343	–0.022	6.7%	1.002
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.334	–0.012	3.8%	–0.330	–0.009	2.7%	1.042
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.342	–0.020	6.2%	–0.340	–0.018	5.7%	1.042
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.332	–0.010	3.2%	–0.338	–0.016	5.1%	1.315
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.331	–0.009	2.9%	–0.338	–0.016	5.0%	1.318
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.311	0.011	–3.4%	–0.311	0.011	–3.3%	1.371
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.319	0.002	–0.7%	–0.320	0.002	–0.5%	1.370
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.311	0.011	–3.4%	–0.311	0.011	–3.3%	1.371
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.319	0.002	–0.7%	–0.322	0.000	–0.0%	1.370

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 10

Study Design: (3) Surgery vs. No Surgery (Diabetic)

Missingness: (2) Treatment Heterogeneity

True ATE: -0.305

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.270	0.036	–11.7%	–0.265	0.040	–13.3%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.270	0.036	–11.7%	–0.266	0.039	–12.9%	1.004
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.271	0.035	–11.4%	–0.271	0.034	–11.1%	1.038
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.271	0.034	–11.2%	–0.270	0.035	–11.6%	1.040
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.311	–0.005	1.7%	–0.307	–0.002	0.6%	1.141
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.311	–0.005	1.7%	–0.307	–0.001	0.4%	1.145
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.309	–0.004	1.3%	–0.302	0.003	–1.0%	1.187
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.310	–0.005	1.6%	–0.302	0.003	–1.1%	1.188
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.309	–0.004	1.3%	–0.302	0.003	–1.1%	1.188
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.310	–0.005	1.6%	–0.302	0.003	–1.1%	1.188

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 11

Study Design: (3) Surgery vs. No Surgery (Diabetic)

Missingness: (3) M-Bias w/ Mediator

True ATE: -0.822

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.786	0.036	–4.4%	–0.766	0.056	–6.9%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.787	0.035	–4.3%	–0.764	0.058	–7.0%	1.001
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.791	0.031	–3.7%	–0.766	0.056	–6.8%	1.027
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,A}	Estimation	–0.792	0.029	–3.6%	–0.769	0.053	–6.5%	1.028
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.850	–0.028	3.4%	–0.817	0.005	–0.6%	1.130
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^{R,Y}	Estimation	–0.851	–0.029	3.5%	–0.819	0.003	–0.4%	1.131
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.860	–0.038	4.6%	–0.822	0.000	–0.0%	1.159
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R	Estimation	–0.861	–0.039	4.7%	–0.823	–0.001	0.1%	1.160
Y ~ A	–	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.860	–0.038	4.6%	–0.822	0.000	–0.0%	1.158
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	R ~ L ^R + A	Estimation	–0.861	–0.039	4.7%	–0.823	–0.001	0.1%	1.160

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution

Simulation Summary

Simulation: 12

Study Design: (3) Surgery vs. No Surgery (Diabetic)

Missingness: (4) MNAR

True ATE: -0.385

Analysis Mechanism				Mean			Median			Relative Efficiency
Outcome Model	IPWC Model	IPWR Model	IPWR Usage	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	Estimated ATE	Bias	% Bias	
Y ~ A	–	–	–	–0.395	–0.009	2.5%	–0.395	–0.010	2.6%	1.000
Y ~ A	C ~ L ^A	–	–	–0.395	–0.010	2.6%	–0.395	–0.010	2.7%	1.002

IPWR Usage:

Estimation: Weights used in estimation of analysis outcome model

Marginalization: Weights used in marginalization of estimated individual treatment effects over baseline covariate distribution