

1 Context Effects on Auditory Category Knowledge

2 Shannon Heald¹, Stephen Van Hedger², & Lauren Kingsly¹

3 ¹ University of Chicago

4 ² University of Western Ontario

5 Author Note

6 Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein
7 must be indented, like this line.

8 Enter author note here.

9 The authors made the following contributions. Shannon Heald: Conceptualization,
10 Writing - Original Draft Preparation, Writing - Review & Editing; Stephen Van Hedger:
11 Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision; Lauren Kingsly: Writing - Review & Editing,
12 Supervision.

13 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Shannon Heald, 5848 S
14 University Ave, Chicago, IL 60637. E-mail: smbowdre@uchicago.edu,
15 laurenbacall@uchicago.edu

Abstract

Given the rise of AI speech to text and voice to text machines (Amazon Alexa, Siri, etc.), we believe examining how acoustics effect speech is imperative to understanding the lack of invariance problem within contexts effects of speech.

Two to three sentences of **more detailed background**, comprehensible to scientists in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular study.

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words “**here we show**” or their equivalent).

Two or three sentences explaining what the **main result** reveals in direct comparison to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**.

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: auditory perception, speech perception, lack of invariance

Word count: X




Figure 1. Boxplot of Speech Perception Differences Between Words and Vowels

35 As referenced in Table 1 each subject was counterbalanced such that they received a
 36 mean trial tone position across all trials of 9.5 (which correlates to x note)

37 In Figure 1 we can see that the there was a difference in bias between participants
 38 identification of vowels versus words when given a high tone compared to a lower tone.

39 Whereas, we can see in Figure 2 that a word or vowel (embedded versus
 40 non-embedded) effects the overall bias for the tones in a different way. For example, high
 41 probe tones for vowels embedded within a word have a higher bias than vowels themselves.

42 The Figure 3 represents the range of tones used in the experiment and the starting
 43 tones indicate the probe tones.




Figure 2. Difference in Context Effects for Words and Vowels




Figure 3. Diagram of the range of tones used for the probe and target tones

Table 1

Subject	mean.MeanPosDiff
1	0.10
2	-0.37
3	-0.60
4	0.58
5	-0.27
6	0.13
7	0.56
8	0.18
9	0.17
10	-0.17
11	-0.08
12	0.27
13	0.03
14	0.66
15	-0.40
16	0.32
17	-0.47
18	-0.20
19	-0.55
20	-0.99

Methods

We based our methodology from our previous paper that examined auditory category knowledge within experts and novices.¹

Participants

Material

Procedure

Sed ut perspiciatis unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam, eaque ipsa quae ab illo inventore veritatis et quasi architecto beatae vitae dicta sunt explicabo. Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem quia voluptas sit aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt. Neque porro quisquam est, qui dolorem ipsum quia dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipisci velit, sed quia non numquam eius modi tempora incidunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim ad minima veniam, quis nostrum exercitationem ullam corporis suscipit laboriosam, nisi ut aliquid ex ea commodi consequatur? Quis autem vel eum iure reprehenderit qui in ea voluptate velit esse quam nihil molestiae consequatur, vel illum qui dolorem eum fugiat quo voluptas nulla pariatur

Data analysis

Sed ut perspiciatis unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam, eaque ipsa quae ab illo inventore veritatis et quasi architecto beatae vitae dicta sunt explicabo. Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem quia

¹ Heald, S. L., Van Hedger, S. C., & Nusbaum, H. C. (2014). Auditory category knowledge in experts and novices. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 8, 260.

voluptas sit aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt. Neque porro quisquam est, qui dolorem ipsum quia dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipisci velit, sed quia non numquam eius modi tempora incidunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim ad minima veniam, quis nostrum exercitationem ullam corporis suscipit laboriosam, nisi ut aliquid ex ea commodi consequatur? Quis autem vel eum iure reprehenderit qui in ea voluptate velit esse quam nihil molestiae consequatur, vel illum qui dolorem eum fugiat quo voluptas nulla pariatur

Results

Discussion

Sed ut perspiciatis unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam, eaque ipsa quae ab illo inventore veritatis et quasi architecto beatae vitae dicta sunt explicabo. Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem quia voluptas sit aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, {r my_chunk, fig.cap = “Diagram of the range of tones used for the probe and target tones”}

sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt. Neque porro quisquam est, qui dolorem ipsum quia dolor sit amet, consectetur, adipisci velit, sed quia non numquam eius modi tempora incidunt ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim ad minima veniam, quis nostrum exercitationem ullam corporis suscipit laboriosam, nisi ut aliquid ex ea commodi consequatur? Quis autem vel eum iure reprehenderit qui in ea voluptate velit esse quam nihil molestiae consequatur, vel illum qui dolorem eum fugiat quo voluptas nulla pariatur

References