



GUATEMALA

Feed the Future Zone of Influence Interim Assessment Report
October 2017



The table below presents the baseline and interim estimates of indicator values for the Feed the Future Guatemala Zone of Influence.

Unlike other Feed the Future focus countries, a full report is not available for the 2015 Guatemala ZOI interim population-based survey due to complex sample weighting issues impacting comprehensive data analysis and reporting.

A full report for endline estimates of indicator values for the Feed the Future Guatemala Zone of Influence is expected in 2020. If there are any questions about the estimates presented below, please contact the Bureau for Food Security Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning Division: bfs.mel@usaid.gov.

Feed the Future Western Highlands Integrated Program (WHIP) Zone of Influence Indicator Estimates: Guatemala 2015

Feed the Future	2013 Baseline	Lower	Upper	N	2015 Interim	Lower	Upper	N	2015-2013	P-value
Indicator	Estimate -	Estimate 95% CI			Estimate -	Estimate 95% C			Difference	
Daily per capita expenditur	e in USG assis									
All	3.66	3.33	3.99	3,969	3.89	3.53	4.24	2,309	0.23	0.029*
Male and female	3.55	3.24	3.86	3,436	3.73	3.37	4.08	1,852	0.17	0.176
Female adult(s) only	4.37	3.71	5.03	464	4.75	4.17	5.33	384	0.38	0.204
Male adult(s) only	6.81	3.41	10.20	68	4.48	3.36	5.60	73	-2.33	0.186
No adults	*	*	*	1		3.50	3.00		2,55	000
Prevalence of Poverty: Pero	cent of people	living on less	than \$1.25/day	v (2005 PP	'P)					
All	5.91	3.90	7.91	3.969	4.19	2.16	6.21	2,309	-1.72	0.090
Male and female	6.33	4.10	8.56	3,436	4.73	2.41	7.04	1,852	-1.60	0.152
Female adult(s) only	2.57	0.11	5.04	464	1.63	-0.69	3.96	384	-0.94	0.598
Male adult(s) only	0	•	5.0 .	68	0.65	-0.32	1.60	73	0.65	0.188
No adults	*	*	*	1						
Depth of Poverty: Mean pe	rcent shortfall	relative to t	he \$1.25/day (2	005 PPP)	poverty line					
All	1.22	0.64	1.79	3,969	0.94	0.39	1.48	2,309	-0.28	0.306
Male and female	1.27	0.63	1.91	3,436	1.09	0.45	1.73	1,852	-0.18	0.548
Female adult(s) only	0.79	-0.09	1.66	464	0.17	-0.12	0.45	384	-0.62	0.170
Male adult(s) only	0			68	0.11	-0.06	0.28	73	0.11	0.194
No adults	*	*	*	I						
Prevalence of households w	ith moderate	or severe hu	nger							
All	13.65	10.76	16.53	4,006	9.77	7.33	12.21	2,378	-3.88	0.026*
Male and female	12.78	9.83	15.73	3,470	9.11	6.58	11.63	1,898	-3.67	0.041*
Female adult(s) only	18.3	13.67	22.94	467	11.80	7.34	16.26	402	-6.50	0.070
Male adult(s) only	19.71	3.28	36.15	68	13.84	-0.28	27.95	78	-5.88	0.469
No adults	*	*	*	I						
Prevalence of women of re	productive age	consuming	a diet of minin	num diver	sity					
Age 15-49	2.34	1.73	2.96	5,152	0.98	0.40	1.56	3,395	-1.36	0.003*
Prevalence of exclusive bre	astfeeding of c	hildren und	er six months o	f age						
All	67.13	57.20	77.07	318	82.87	74.71	91.02	142	15.73	0.004*
Female	49.86	31.97	67.74	155	89.92	80.78	99.05	69	40.06	0.001*
Male	81.25	72.95	89.54	163	76.22	60.97	91.47	73	-5.02	0.509
Prevalence of children 6-23	3 months recei	ving a minin	num acceptable	e diet						
All	39.63	34.65	44.62	967	25.11	16.43	33.78	298	-14.53	0.002*
Female	44.27	38.12	50.42	471	25.39	12.62	38.16	145	-18.88	0.006*
Male	35.48	27.91	43.06	496	24.80	13.29	36.32	153	-10.68	0.095
Prevalence of stunted (HAZ	Z < -2) childrer	under five ((0-59 months)							
All	67.47	62.38	72.56	3,312	60.55	54.72	66.38	1,708	-6.92	0.003*
Female	67.32	61.16	73.48	1,648	60.83	53.83	67.84	836	-6.49	0.065
Male	67.62	62.14	73.11	1,664	60.26	53.01	67.50	872	-7.36	0.024*
Prevalence of wasted (WH	Z < -2) childre	n under five	(0-59 months)	National-	level]					
All	0.83	0.35	1.31	3,312	1.37	-0.35	3.08	1,707	0.54	0.590
Female	0.5	-0.01	1.02	1,648	0.86	-0.18	1.91	836	0.36	0.428
Male	1.13	0.32	1.95	1,664	1.89	-0.76	4.53	871	0.75	0.606
Prevalence of underweight	children unde	r 5 years of a	ige							
All	17.42	14.02	20.81	3,312	19.04	14.66	23.41	1,708	1.62	0.530
Female	16.79	12.46	21.11	1,648	18.35	13.34	23.36	836	1.56	0.607
Male	18.02	14.13	21.91	1,664	19.74	14.79	24.70	872	1.72	0.590

Confidence intervals (Cls) demonstrate the reliability of estimated values. While these surveys were not designed to capture change over time, non-overlapping Cls do indicate significant differences between the two estimates. However, if Cls overlap, the reader cannot conclude without further testing whether there is or is not a significant difference between baseline and interim estimates. When the test of the difference is significant the row shows an asterisk (*)