

1 Introduction

Missing data affect analysis in almost every quantitative discipline. Most common statistical methods are demonstrated on pristine data sets and the incorrect application of common statistical methods to data sets with missingness can lead to biased results.

This paper starts with a complete data set and creates missing data under different types of missingness. Bayesian data augmentation methods are used to impute the missing values and estimate a linear regression model on the imputed data. The results are then compared for the similarity of posterior samples and inference.

We use the Student Performance Data Set from the UCI Machine Learning Repository [?]. The data set contains 395 observations and 33 variables about student achievement in math at two Portuguese secondary schools. Our focus is regressing G3, the final grade, on a set of useful predictors.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the methods for creating missing data and the regression model for analysis. Section 3 presents the results of our analysis. Section 4 discusses the results, clarifies limitations of the analysis, and suggests follow-up analysis.

2 Methods

2.1 Regression Model

Our objective is to estimate a linear regression model with final math grade as the dependent variable conditional some set of predictors, but our focus is on missing data methods. Accordingly, we pick one regression specification and carry that specification through the entire project.

Based on theory, we chose a subset of potential predictors. First period grade, second period grade, number of previous class failures, age, sex, whether the student to take higher education, parental education, parental jobs, and number of absences were all considered. Mother’s education, father’s education, mother’s job, and father’s job are all highly correlated. Accordingly, we only include mother’s education. First period grade and second period grade are also highly correlated. Accordingly, we only include second period grade because it is closer to the final grade. Our final specification is

$$G3 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 age + \beta_2 failures + \beta_3 sex + \beta_4 higher + \beta_5 Medu + \beta_6 absences + \beta_7 G2$$

2.2 Creating Missing Data

Unit missingness is when data are missing for an entire observation or row. We assume the Student Performance data set contains no unit missingness. Our observations are either a simple random sample from the population or the complete population. *Item missingness* is when data are missing for variables within an observation or row. Our simulated missingness will only focus on item missingness.

For our evaluation, we compare methods applied to obscured data sets with different types of missingness to methods applied to the complete data. The student grade data set has no missing values. We independently add missing values to the categorical variable for if the student wants to pursue higher education and the numeric variable for second period grade.

We will consider missing completely at random (MCAR), missing at random (MAR), and missing not at random (MNAR). Definitions are available in Appendix A.

2.2.1 MCAR

We use complete case analysis when observations are MCAR. We simply drop varying proportions of observations and then fit a vanilla Bayesian linear regression model on the remaining observations.

2.2.2 MAR

More sophisticated methods are needed to create MAR missingness and to analyze data with MAR missingness. We add missing values to the binary variable higher by applying different probabilities of missingness based on less than 18 years old and 18 or older. We add missing values to G2 by applying different probabilities of missingness based on less than 18 years old and 18 or older. Here, the values are no longer missing completely at random. We can impute the missing values but we do not need to model the missingness mechanism.

We use Bayesian logistic regression with normal approximation with age as the only predictor to impute missing values of higher. We use a flat prior for this model. We use Bayesian linear regression with age as the only predictor to impute missing values of G2.

$$p(I|y_{obs}, y_{mis}, \phi) = p(I|y_{obs}, \phi)$$

2.2.3 MNAR

It is difficult to correctly model a missingness mechanism outside of certain cases like complex survey designs. Instead, we create MNAR missingness and then apply MAR methods to examine how MNAR data can affect linear regression analysis. To create MNAR data for higher, we give higher probabilities of missingness to observations that are "yes" than observations that are "no". To create MNAR data for G2, we set the probability of missingness conditional on age with the following

$$p(\text{missing}_i) = \frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{Age}_i)}{1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{Age}_i)}$$

It is important to note that we know the type of missingness before applying our methods, which is a best-case scenario. [van Buuren, 2018] notes that there are tests to determine MCAR vs. MAR but they aren't widely used. There are no tests to compare MAR vs. MNAR.

3 Results

We implement missing data methods under the different missing data scenarios above. For each method we implement three comparisons to evaluate the performance of the methods under the different scenarios.

First, we visually compare samples from the posterior distributions under the case with no missing data against cases with missing data. If the methods are effective, the center and spread of the posterior samples from the case with missing data should match the case without missing data.

Second, we compare inferences with a common decision rule. For example, if the coefficient for age is different than zero for the case without missing data, is it also different than zero for cases with missing data. Ideally, each case will have a handful of inferences and the inferences should align across all cases.

Finally, we will calculate credible interval overlap. [Karr et al., 2006] introduced confidence interval overlap as a method to evaluate the utility of data after statistical disclosure control. We use the notation of [Snoke et al., 2018].

Let $U_{comp,k}$ be the upper bound of the k^{th} credible interval for the case without missing data. Let $U_{miss,k}$ be the upper bound of the k^{th} credible interval for the case with missing data. Let $L_{comp,k}$ be the lower bound of the k^{th} credible interval for the case without missing data. Let $L_{miss,k}$ be the lower bound of the k^{th} credible interval for the case with missing data.

The credible interval overlap for the k^{th} estimate (coefficient or mean) is

$$J_k = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\min(U_{comp,k}, U_{miss,k}) - \max(L_{comp,k}, L_{miss,k})}{U_{comp,k} - L_{comp,k}} + \frac{\min(U_{comp,k}, U_{miss,k}) - \max(L_{comp,k}, L_{miss,k})}{U_{miss,k} - L_{miss,k}} \right]$$

The interval overlap measure can then be summarized as

$$J = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p J_k$$

where p is the number of interval or coefficients.

4 Discussion

5 Appendix A

"Bayesian data analysis draws no distinction between missing data and parameters. Both are uncertain, and they have a joint posterior distribution, conditional on observed data." BDA

A Bayesian model with missing data includes three parts:

1. A prior distribution for the parameters
2. A joint model for all of the data (missing and observed)
3. An inclusion model for the missingness process (if the missing data mechanism is non-ignorable)

5.1 Definitions

Let $y = (y_{obs}, y_{mis})$. Let I be the inclusion indicator. Let θ be model parameters and let ϕ be parameters governing the missing data mechanism. Then the joint distribution of of interest is

$$p(y, I|\theta, \phi) = p(y|\theta)p(I|y, \phi)$$

Definition: *inclusion indicator* – A data structure with the same size and shape as y with 1 if the corresponding component is observed and 0 if the corresponding component is missing.

Definition: *inclusion model* – The part of the statistical model that tries to model the inclusion indicator. The nature of this model is determined by the type of missingness.

$$p(I|y_{obs}, y_{mis}, \phi)$$

Definition: *missing completely at random (MCAR)* – If the probability of missingness is the same for all observations. Cause of missingness is unrelated to the data. A simple example is random sampling. This is also called *observed at random*.

$$p(I|y_{obs}, y_{mis}, \phi) = p(I|\phi)$$

Definition: *missing at random (MAR)* – If the distribution of the missing data mechanism does not depend on the missing values. *The distribution of the missing data mechanism can depend on fully observed values in the data and parameters for the missing data mechanism.* A simple example is a stratified random sample.

$$p(I|y_{obs}, y_{mis}, \phi) = p(I|y_{obs}, \phi)$$

Definition: *ignorable missing data mechanism* – If the parameters for the missing data mechanism ϕ and the parameters for the model θ are distinct, then the missing data mechanism is said to be ignorable. (BDA frames this as ϕ and θ are independent in the prior distribution).

$$p(y_{obs}, I|\theta, \phi) = p(y_{obs}|\theta)$$

In this situation

$$p(\theta|x, y_{obs}) = p(\theta|x, y_{obs}, I)$$

Definition: *missing not at random (MNAR)* – If the missing data mechanism depends on the missing values. Neither MCAR or MAR holds. The data are missing for reasons that are unknown to us.

Hierarchical Model

We assume a hierarchical model to model our data. We assume that our response, G3 grades are normally distributed and independent:

$$\mathbf{G3} \sim MVN(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$$

To model the the explanatory variables with missing values, we consider $\text{Higher Yes}_i|\text{Age}_i$ to be a binary model. The dependence on Age is according to our MAR missing mechanism.

$$\text{Higher Yes}_i|\text{Age}_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi_i)$$

where

$$\phi_i = \frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]} \in [0, 1]$$

And α_0, α_1 are given normal priors with large variance.

$$\mathbf{Absences}_i | \mathbf{Age}_i$$

is considered a count mode. The dependence on age is again according to our MAR missing mechanism.

$$\mathbf{G2}_i | \mathbf{Age}_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_i, \eta^2)$$

where

$$\mu_i = \exp[\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i] > 0$$

And γ_0, γ_1 are given normal priors with large variance.

Our parameters are then $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \eta^2, \mathbf{G2}_i, \mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i, \beta$, and σ^2 .

The full posterior is:

$$\begin{aligned} & p(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \eta^2, \mathbf{G2}_i, \mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i, \beta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{G3}, \mathbf{X}_{\text{Rest}}) \\ & \propto L(\mathbf{G3} | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \eta^2, \mathbf{G2}_i, \mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i, \beta, \sigma^2, \mathbf{X}_{\text{Rest}}) \\ & \prod_{i=1}^m \pi(\mathbf{G2}_i | \mathbf{Age}_i) \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(\mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i | \mathbf{Age}_i) \pi(\beta, \sigma^2) \pi(\alpha_0) \pi(\alpha_1) \pi(\gamma_0) \pi(\gamma_1) \end{aligned}$$

We assume the following priors

$$\pi(\beta, \sigma^2) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-1}$$

and $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \gamma_0, \gamma_1$ are given the flat prior 1. η^2 is given $(\eta^2)^{-1}$

And so the full posterior is proportional to,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma^2)^{-(n/2+1)} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{G3} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{G3} - \mathbf{X}\beta)\right] \\ & \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(\eta^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0 - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2\right] \\ & \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)^{1 - \mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i} \left(\frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)^{\mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i} \\ & (\eta^2)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The full conditionals are

$$p(\sigma^2 | \text{everything else}) \sim IG(n/2, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\mathbf{G3} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{G3} - \mathbf{X}\beta))$$

$$p(\beta | \text{everything else}) \sim MVN((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{G3}, \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1})$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m$ where m is the number of missing values in **Absences**:

$$p(\mathbf{G2}_i | \text{everything else}) \sim \text{Normal}(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i, \eta^2)$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ where n is the number of missing values in **Higher Yes**:

$$p(\mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i | \text{everything else}) \sim \text{Bernoulli}\left(\frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)$$

$$p(\alpha_0 | \text{everything else})$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)^{1 - \mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i} \left(\frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)^{\mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i}$$

$$p(\alpha_1 | \text{everything else})$$

$$\propto \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)^{1 - \mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i} \left(\frac{\exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}{1 + \exp[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Age}_i]}\right)^{\mathbf{Higher\ Yes}_i}$$

$$p(\gamma_0 | \text{everything else}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^m \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0 - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\gamma_0|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} \sum (-\gamma_0 + \mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2 \right] \\
p(\gamma_0|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} \sum \gamma_0^2 - 2\gamma_0(\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i) + (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2 \right] \\
p(\gamma_0|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} (m\gamma_0^2 - 2\gamma_0 \sum (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)) \right] \\
p(\gamma_0|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{m}{2\eta^2} (\gamma_0^2 - \frac{2}{m} \gamma_0 \sum (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)) \right] \\
\gamma_0|\dots &\sim N \left(\frac{\sum (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)}{m}, \frac{\eta^2}{m} \right) \\
p(\gamma_1|\text{everything else}) &\propto \prod_{i=1}^m \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0 - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2 \right] \\
p(\gamma_1|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} \sum (-\gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i + \mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0)^2 \right] \\
p(\gamma_1|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} \sum (\gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2 - 2(\gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)(\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0) + (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0)^2 \right] \\
p(\gamma_1|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\eta^2} (\gamma_1^2 \sum \mathbf{Age}_i^2 - 2\gamma_1 \sum \mathbf{Age}_i(\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0)) \right] \\
p(\gamma_1|\dots) &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{\sum \mathbf{Age}_i^2}{2\eta^2} (\gamma_1^2 - 2\gamma_1 \frac{\sum \mathbf{Age}_i(\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0)}{\sum \mathbf{Age}_i^2}) \right] \\
\gamma_1|\dots &\sim N \left(\frac{\sum \mathbf{Age}_i(\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0)}{\sum \mathbf{Age}_i^2}, \frac{\eta^2}{\sum \mathbf{Age}_i^2} \right) \\
p(\eta^2|\text{everything else}) &\propto IG \left(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{G2}_i - \gamma_0 - \gamma_1 \mathbf{Age}_i)^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

6 Appendix B

6.0.1 Setup

Let $y = (y_{mis}, y_{obs})$ and $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_{mis}, \mathbf{X}_{obs})$
 We assume the distribution of \vec{Y} is

$$Y \sim MVN(\mathbf{X}\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$$

We assume a non-informative prior with $\vec{\beta}$ with a flat prior and σ^2 with Jeffreys' prior

$$p(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-1}$$

We include two imputation models. First, for missing values of G2, we assume a normal distribution such that

$$X_{G2} \sim MVN(\mathbf{X}_{Age}\vec{\gamma}, \eta^2 \mathbf{I})$$

We assume a non-informative prior with $\vec{\gamma}$ with a flat prior and η^2 with Jeffreys' prior

$$p(\vec{\gamma}, \eta^2) \propto (\eta^2)^{-1}$$

Second, for missing values of Higher, we assume a Bernoulli distribution and we use Normal approximation for logistic regression. So the likelihood $\mathcal{L}(y_i | x'_i \vec{\alpha}, \Phi)$ can be approximated by the normal likelihood $N(z_i, \theta_i^2)$.

6.0.2 Sampling Procedure

The sampling procedure is similar to an EM algorithm. There are imputation steps and then conditional posterior steps. Use the sample mean for G2, "Yes" for Higher (sample mode), and OLS estimates from the complete data for everything else for all starting values. The Gibbs sample has the following steps:

Imputation step 1. $X_{mis,G2} | \vec{\gamma}, \eta, X_{mis,Age}$

$$X_{mis,G2}^{(b)} | \vec{\gamma}^{(b-1)}, \eta^{2(b-1)}, X_{mis,Age} \sim MVN(\mathbf{X}\vec{\gamma}^{(b-1)}, \eta^{2(b-1)} \mathbf{I})$$

Where \mathbf{X} is a design matrix with X_{Age}

This is derived on slides 169-173 in notes set 1

Imputation step 2. $X_{mis,Higher} | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, X_{mis,Age}$

$$X_{mis,Higher}^{(b)} | \vec{\alpha}^{(b-1)}, X_{mis,Age} \sim \text{Bernoulli} \left(\frac{\exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{age})}{1 + \exp(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_{age})} \right)$$

Conditional posterior 1. $\vec{\beta} | \sigma^2, y, \mathbf{X}$

$$\vec{\beta}^{(b)} | \sigma^{2(b-1)}, y, \mathbf{X} \sim MVN((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \vec{Y}, \sigma^{2(b-1)} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}^{-1}))$$

Where \mathbf{X} is a design matrix all predictors.

This is derived on slides 169-173 in notes set 1

Conditional posterior 2. $\sigma^2 | \vec{\beta}, y, \mathbf{X}$

$$\sigma^{2(b)} | \vec{\beta}^{(b-1)}, y, \mathbf{X} \sim IG \left(\frac{n-k}{2}, \frac{1}{2} (\vec{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}^{(b-1)})^T (\vec{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}^{(b-1)}) \right)$$

Where \mathbf{X} is a design matrix all predictors.

This is derived on slides 169-173 in notes set 1

Conditional posterior 3. $\vec{\gamma} | \eta^2, X_{obs,GS}, X_{obs,Age}, X_{mis,GS}, X_{mis,Age}$

$$\vec{\gamma}^{(b)} | \eta^{2(b-1)}, X_{obs,GS}, X_{obs,Age}, X_{mis,GS}, X_{mis,Age} \sim MVN((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \vec{X}_{G2}, \eta^{2(b-1)} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}^{-1}))$$

Where \mathbf{X} is a design matrix with X_{Age}

This is derived on slides 169-173 in notes set 1

Conditional posterior 4. $\eta^2 | \vec{\gamma}, X_{obs,GS}, X_{obs,Age}, X_{mis,GS}, X_{mis,Age}$

$$\eta^{2(b)}|\vec{\gamma}^{(b-1)}, X_{obs,GS}, X_{obs,Age}, X_{mis,GS}, X_{mis,Age} \sim IG\left(\frac{n-k}{2}, \frac{1}{2}(\vec{X}_{G2} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\gamma}^{(\vec{b}-1)})^T(\vec{X}_{G2} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\gamma}^{(\vec{b}-1)})\right)$$

Where \mathbf{X} is a design matrix with X_{Age}

This is derived on slides 169-173 in notes set 1

Conditional posterior 5. $\vec{\alpha}|\theta^2, X_{obs,Higher}, X_{obs,Age}, X_{mis,Higher}, X_{mis,Age}$

$$\vec{\alpha}^{(b)}|X_{obs,Higher}, X_{obs,Age}, X_{mis,Higher}, X_{mis,Age} \sim MVN(\hat{\vec{\alpha}}, V_{\alpha})$$

Where $\hat{\vec{\alpha}}$ is the posterior mode found through Newton-Raphson and V_{α} is the last working variance from iterative solution to the posterior mode

This is derived on slides 206-208 in notes set 1.

References

- [Karr et al., 2006] Karr, A., Kohnen, C., Oganian, A., Reiter, J., and Sanil, A. (2006). A framework for evaluating the utility of data altered to protect confidentiality. *The American Statistician*, 60(3):224–232.
- [Snoke et al., 2018] Snoke, J., Raab, G. M., Nowok, B., and Dibben, C. (2018). General and specific utility measures for synthetic data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 181:663–688.
- [van Buuren, 2018] van Buuren, S. (2018). *Flexible Imputation of Missing Data*. CRC Press.