Lecture 10: Outline

- Case study: a lift system
- The lift system: requirements
- The lift system: models (first developed by J.-R. Abrial)
- The lift system: deconstructing a formalisation

A case study: a lift system (requirements)

- A lift system consists of a non-zero number of lifts installed in a building
- 2 The building has a number of floors (more than one)
- A lift can move between floors in one of two directions: up (unless it is already at the top floor) or down (unless it is already at the ground floor)
- Each of floors (except ground and top) has two buttons, one to request an up-lift and one to request a down-lift
- The ground and top floors have one button to request an up-lift and a down-lift respectively
- When pushed, the buttons remain illuminated until a lift, traveling in the desired direction, visits a floor

The lift system requirements (cont.)

- If both floor buttons are pushed, only respective one is cancelled after a lift visits a floor
- Search lift has a set of buttons, one button for each floor, to request a stop at that floor
- The buttons are illuminated when pressed and cause a lift to visit the corresponding floor (unless the lift is already at that floor)
- The illumination is cancelled when the corresponding floor is visited
- Each lift can either moving or stopped at some floor
- A moving lift stops at a floor if it passes it and either (i) there are internal lift requests to stop at that floor, or (ii) there are floor requests on that floor to travel in the current lift direction

The lift system requirements (cont.)

- When a lift stops at a floor, the floor is considered visited and all the related requests (both within the lift and on the floor) are cancelled
- When a lift has no requests to service, it should remain at its final destination and await further requests
- All requests for lifts from floors must be serviced eventually, with all floors given equal priority
- All requests for floors within lifts must be serviced eventually, with floors being serviced sequentially in the direction of travel
- Each lift keeps its current moving direction while there are floors with requests to visit at that direction
- If there no such requests to continue on its current direction and there servicing requests in the opposite direction, a stopped lift should change its direction to the opposite one

The lift system requirements (cont.)

- The time is not considered in the first system prototype
- Once a lift stops at some particular floor, all people inside a lift that requested that floor are considered immediately out
- Moreover, all people waiting on the floor to go to the lift current direction, are considered immediately in

Lift system: context

```
CONTEXT
  Lift ctx
SETS
  LIFT
  DIRECTION
CONSTANTS
  up, dn, ground, top, FLOOR,
  attracted up, attracted dn,
  can continue up, can continue dn
AXIOMS
  partition(DIRECTION, {up}, {dn})
  ground \in \mathbb{N}
  top \in \mathbb{N}
  ground < top
  FLOOR = ground..top
```

- All three ways to introduce your own type are demonstrated here: as an abstract set, as an enumerated set, as a set constant
- The data type FLOOR is defined as a set constant
- It is a constructed type (set), defined the number interval between two other constants *ground* and *top*
- The constants attracted_up, attracted_dn, can_continue_up, can_continue_dn will be explained later

Lift system: machine

```
MACHINE
  Lift mch
SEES
  Lift ctx
VARIABLES
  moving, floor, dir, in, out
INVARIANT
  moving \subseteq LIFT
   floor \in LIFT \rightarrow FLOOR
  dir \in LIFT \rightarrow DIRECTION
  in \in FLOOR \leftrightarrow DIRECTION
  out \in IIFT \leftrightarrow FIOOR
```

- floor ∈ LIFT → FLOOR dir ∈ LIFT → DIRECTION return the current floor and direction for a given lift
- in ∈ FLOOR ↔ DIRECTION
 contains floor requests, i.e., the requests to get in a lift going in a
 specific direction
 - Example: $5 \mapsto dn \in in$ means that there people on the fifth floor wanting to go down
- out ∈ LIFT ↔ FLOOR
 contains lift requests to go to a particular floor, i.e., the requests to
 get out a lift
 - Example: $/1 \mapsto 4 \in out$ means that there people in the lift /1 wanting to go to 4th floor

```
ground \mapsto dn \notin in
top \mapsto up \notin in
moving \lessdot (out \cap floor) = \varnothing
\forall l \cdot l \notin moving \Rightarrow floor(l) \mapsto dir(l) \notin in
INITIALISATION
moving, in, out := \varnothing, \varnothing, \varnothing
floor := LIFT \times \{ground\}
dir := LIFT \times \{up\}
...
```

- The first two invariants (on the last slide) constrain floor requests: it is not possible to request an down-lift from the ground floor or an up-lift from the top floor
- The last two invariants formalise the following modelling requirements:

Once a lift stops at some particular floor, all the related floor and lift requests are cancelled. Moreover, all people inside a lift that requested that floor are considered immediately out. Moreover, all people waiting on the floor to go to the lift current direction, are considered immediately in

Deconstructing invariants

 Once a lift stops at some particular floor, all people inside a lift that requested that floor are immediately out (and their lift requests are cancelled)

$$moving \triangleleft (out \cap floor) = \emptyset$$

Deconstructing:

out \cap floor set of pairs $LIFT \times FLOOR$ such that the lift is on some particular floor and there people requested to move to that floor

 $moving \triangleleft (out \cap floor)$

the moving lifts are not considered – the domain subtraction operation keeps only such pairs where lifts are stopped

Deconstructing invariants (cont.)

• $moving \lessdot (out \cap floor) = \varnothing$ there no such pairs left

It means that are no such requests to get out on a floor once a lift stopped on that floor

Which can only mean that,

for any stopped lift, all people inside a lift that requested that floor are considered immediately out (and their lift requests are cancelled)

Deconstructing invariants (cont.)

• "... Moreover, all people waiting on the floor to go to the lift current direction, are immediately in (and their floor requests are cancelled)"

$$\forall l \cdot l \notin moving \Rightarrow floor(l) \mapsto dir(l) \notin in$$

• Deconstructing:

For any stopped lift on some floor, there no requests from that floor to go in the direction the lift is going

 \Rightarrow

all people waiting on the floor to go to the lift current direction, are already in (and their floor requests are cancelled)

```
request lift from floor =
  ANY f, d
  WHEN f \in FLOOR \land d \in DIRECTION
             f \mapsto d \neq ground \mapsto dn
             f \mapsto d \neq top \mapsto up
            \forall I. I \notin moving \Rightarrow \neg(floor(I) = f \land dir(I) = d)
  THEN
     in := in \cup \{f \mapsto d\}
  FND
```

• The last guard is needed to prove the formulated invariant:

$$\forall l \cdot l \notin moving \Rightarrow floor(l) \mapsto dir(l) \notin in$$

 Essentially stating the necessary condition: for any non-moving (stopped) lift on some floor, a lift request from this floor is only allowed if a lift in the desired direction is not already on this floor

```
EVENTS
request\_floor\_from\_lift = 
ANY I, f
WHEN I \in LIFT \land f \in FLOOR
I \notin moving \Rightarrow floor(I) \neq f
THEN
out := out \cup \{I \mapsto f\}
END
...
```

• Again, the last guard is needed to prove the formulated invariant:

$$moving \triangleleft (out \cap floor) = \emptyset$$

 Stating the necessary condition: for any non-moving (stopped) lift on some floor, a floor request from this lift is only allowed if the lift is not already on this floor

- In the remaining operations, we will often need to check whether there is a reason for a particular lift to go up or down
- In other words, whether there are the floors above or below where the users have requested to get in or out the lift
- We call this property as "a lift is attracted up (down)"
- We can formalise "attracted up" as follows

$$(dom(in) \cup out[\{l\}]) \cap ((floor(l) + 1) ... top) \neq \emptyset$$

Deconstruction a property

- dom(in)
 the floors where the users have requested a lift
- out[{/}]
 (using the relational image operator) the floors to which the lift is requested to go
- $(floor(I) + 1) \dots top$ the floors above
- All together, $(dom(in) \cup out[\{I\}]) \cap ((floor(I) + 1) ... top) \neq \emptyset$

"There are the floors above where the users have requested to get in or out the lift"



Deconstruction a property (cont.)

• Similarly, "attracted down" can be formalised as follows

$$(dom(in) \cup out[\{I\}]) \cap (ground ... (floor(I) - 1)) \neq \emptyset$$

"There are the floors below where the users have requested to get in or out the lift"

- We are going to check these property or their negations as well as use them as a part of more complex definitions quite often. How we could introduce the respective shorthands?
- Two solutions:
 - install the Theory extension (extra time for learning needed);
 - define the respective higher-order functions attracted_up and attracted_dn in the model context and use them in the machine when needed.



Lift system: context (cont.)

2 extra axioms are added to the context for each definition: one for giving the type for such a higher-order function, the other one for defining its returned values

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textit{attracted\_up} \in \textit{LIFT} \times (\textit{LIFT} \rightarrow \textit{FLOOR}) \times (\textit{FLOOR} \leftrightarrow \textit{DIRECTION}) \\ \times (\textit{LIFT} \leftrightarrow \textit{FLOOR}) \rightarrow \textit{BOOL} \\ \forall \textit{I, floor, in, out} \cdot \textit{attracted\_up}(\textit{I} \mapsto \textit{floor} \mapsto \textit{in} \mapsto \textit{out}) = \\ \textit{bool}((\textit{dom}(\textit{in}) \cup \textit{out}[\{\textit{I}\}]) \cap ((\textit{floor}(\textit{I}) + 1) \dots \textit{top}) \neq \varnothing) \\ \\ \textit{attracted\_dn} \in \textit{LIFT} \times (\textit{LIFT} \rightarrow \textit{FLOOR}) \times (\textit{FLOOR} \leftrightarrow \textit{DIRECTION}) \\ \times (\textit{LIFT} \leftrightarrow \textit{FLOOR}) \rightarrow \textit{BOOL} \\ \\ \forall \textit{I, floor, in, out} \cdot \textit{attracted\_dn}(\textit{I} \mapsto \textit{floor} \mapsto \textit{in} \mapsto \textit{out}) = \\ \textit{bool}((\textit{dom}(\textit{in}) \cup \textit{out}[\{\textit{I}\}]) \cap (\textit{ground} \dots (\textit{floor}(\textit{I}) - 1)) \neq \varnothing) \\ \end{array} \right)
```

- The functions take as parameters the given lift as well as the functions containing info about lift floor, direction, and the requests to get in or out, and evaluate all them together them as TRUE or FALSE
- In addition to the typing axiom, the other axiom gives the exact definition of such evaluation
- The operator bool directly returns TRUE or FALSE for the given logical expression (predicate)
- It allows to replace two axioms: $\forall x \ v = cond \implies f(x \mapsto v \mapsto x)$
 - $\forall x, y, ... cond \Rightarrow f(x \mapsto y \mapsto ...) = TRUE$ and
 - $\forall x, y, ... \text{ not cond } \Rightarrow f(x \mapsto y \mapsto ...) = FALSE$
- Now we can directly use the introduced functions in machine event guards

```
depart up =
  ANY /
  WHEN
    I ∉ moving
    dir(I) = up
    attracted up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE
  THEN
    moving := moving \cup \{I\}
    floor(I) := floor(I) + 1
  END
```

```
depart dn =
  ANY /
  WHEN
    I ∉ moving
    dir(I) = dn
    attracted dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE
  THEN
    moving := moving \cup \{I\}
    floor(I) := floor(I) - 1
  END
```

```
change up to dn =
  ANY /
  WHEN
     I ∉ moving
     dir(I) = up
     attracted up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = FALSE
     attracted dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE
  THEN
     in := in \setminus \{floor(I) \mapsto dn\}
     dir(I) := dn
  END
```

```
change dn to up =
  ANY /
  WHEN
     I ∉ moving
     dir(I) = dn
     attracted dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = FALSE
     attracted up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE
  THEN
     in := in \setminus \{floor(I) \mapsto up\}
     dir(I) := up
  END
```

- Sometimes we need to check whether a lift can skip a floor while moving further to its direction or it needs to stop
- These notions (can_continue_up and can_continue_down) rely on the introduced notions attracted_up and attracted_down
- We can formalise can_continue_up as follows

$$\textit{I} \mapsto \textit{floor}(\textit{I}) \notin \textit{out} \ \land \ \textit{floor}(\textit{I}) \mapsto \textit{dir}(\textit{I}) \notin \textit{in} \ \land \ \textit{attracted_up}(...)$$

Similarly, can_continue_down is defined as follows

$$I \mapsto floor(I) \notin out \land floor(I) \mapsto dir(I) \notin in \land attracted_dn(...)$$

Deconstruction a property

- I → floor(I) ∉ out there no people that want to get out on this floor
- floor(I) → dir(I) ∉ in there no people that want to get in on this floor to move in the lift direction
- attracted_up(...)
 there are the floors above where people requested to get in or out

Lift system: context (cont.)

Introducing the corresponding higher-order definitions in the context

```
can continue up \in LIFT \times (LIFT \rightarrow FLOOR) \times (LIFT \rightarrow DIRECTION)
       \times(FLOOR \leftrightarrow DIRECTION) \times (LIFT \leftrightarrow FLOOR) \rightarrow BOOL
\forall I, floor, dir, in, out \cdot can continue up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto dir \mapsto in \mapsto out) =
       bool(I \mapsto floor(I) \notin out \land floor(I) \mapsto dir(I) \notin in
               \land attracted up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE)
can continue dn \in LIFT \times (LIFT \rightarrow DIRECTION) \times (LIFT \rightarrow FLOOR)
       \times(FLOOR \leftrightarrow DIRECTION) \times (LIFT \leftrightarrow FLOOR) \rightarrow BOOL
\forall I, floor, dir, in, out \cdot can continue dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto dir \mapsto in \mapsto out) =
       bool(I \mapsto floor(I) \notin out \land floor(I) \mapsto dir(I) \notin in
               \land attracted dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE)
END
```

```
continue up =
  ANY /
  WHEN
     I \in moving
    dir(I) = up
    can continue up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto dir \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE
  THEN
    floor(I) := floor(I) + 1
  END
```

```
continue dn =
  ANY /
  WHEN
     I \in moving
    dir(I) = dn
    can continue dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto dir \mapsto in \mapsto out) = TRUE
  THEN
    floor(I) := floor(I) - 1
  END
```

```
stop up =
   ANY /
   WHEN
     I ∈ moving
     dir(I) = up
     can continue up(I \mapsto floor \mapsto dir \mapsto in \mapsto out) = FALSE
   THEN
     moving := moving \setminus \{I\}
     out := out \setminus \{I \mapsto floor(I)\}
     in := in \setminus \{floor(I) \mapsto dir(I)\}
   END
```

```
stop dn =
   ANY /
   WHEN
     I \in moving
     dir(I) = dn
     can continue dn(I \mapsto floor \mapsto dir \mapsto in \mapsto out) = FALSE
   THEN
     moving := moving \setminus \{I\}
     out := out \setminus \{I \mapsto floor(I)\}
     in := in \setminus \{floor(I) \mapsto dir(I)\}
   END
```

- An example of the system execution:
 depart _up → continue _up → stop _up → depart _up →
 continue _up → stop _up →
 change _up _to _dn → depart _dn → continue _dn → ...
- In between this sequence, any number of the events request_lift_from_floor and request_floor_from_lift can occur
- Overall, the developed lift models demonstrate how we can handle/distribute the system complexity, by defining necessary higher-order notions in the model context and thus simplifying modelling and verifying system dynamics (machine)