

MTH 101: Calculus I

Nikita Agarwal

Semester II, 2024-25

Lecture 3

January 7, 2025

Implications and their converse

- For two statements S and T , a statement of the form

“If S then T ”

is called an **implication** or a conditional statement.

- It says that *if S is true then T is true.*
- The implication obtained by interchanging S and T is:

“If T then S ”

is called the **converse** of “If S then T ”.

Example

- The converse of the implication
“If the hall is big, then I will use a microphone”
is
“If I will use a microphone, then the hall is big”.

Bi-implications

- A conjunction of an implication and its converse is called a **bi-implication**.
- Consider the implication “If S then T” and its converse “If T then S”.

- Their conjunction is

“If S then T and If T then S”

which can also be written as

“T if S and T only if S”

which is written as

“T if and only if S”

Mathematically written as, “ $T \iff S$ ”

- A bi-implication is a statement of the last type: “T if and only if S”.

Contrapositive of implications

- Consider the implication “If the apple is green, then it is sour”.
- Suppose it is a true statement. That is, a green apple is always sour.
- Now suppose there is an apple which is not sour. Can it be green? The answer is NO.
- We define a **contrapositive of an implication** to be another implication which conveys the same meaning as the given implication.
The contrapositive of “**If the apple is green, then it is sour**” is
“**If the apple is not sour, then it is not green**”
- In general, the contrapositive of “**If S then T**” is
“**If not-T, then not-S**”.
- Since an implication and its contrapositive convey the same meaning, they are either both true or both false. *Sometimes, we use the contrapositive of an implication to prove the implication.*

Examples – Contrapositive of implications

The **contrapositive** of “If S then T ” is “If $\text{not-}T$, then $\text{not-}S$ ”.

- Consider the following statement:

S1: For an integer n , if $\underline{n^2 < 20}$, then $\underline{n < 5}$.

The contrapositive of S1 is:

For an integer n , if $\underline{n \geq 5}$, then $\underline{n^2 \geq 20}$.

- Consider the following statement:

S2: For two real numbers x and y , if xy is an irrational number, then x is irrational or y is irrational.

S: xy is a irrational number, **not-S:** xy is a rational number.

T: x is irrational or y is irrational, **not-T:** x is rational and y is rational.

Thus the contrapositive of S2 is:

For two real numbers x and y , if x is rational and y is rational, then xy is a rational number.

Clarifications based on the questions asked

Negation of an implication

- Consider the implication: $S1$: "If S then T ".
- $S1$ is true means if S is true then T is true.
- Hence $S1$ is false if S is true but T is false.
- Thus $\text{not-}S1$: " S and $\text{not-}T$ ".
- Consider the statement: "For two subsets A and B of X , $A \subseteq B$ ".
It is an implication: "For $x \in X$, if $x \in A$ then $x \in B$ ".
This is false when there is an element $x \in A$ but $x \notin B$, in which case $A \subseteq B$ is false.

Negation of a bi-implication

- Consider the bi-implication: " S if and only if T ".
- The bi-implication is a conjunction of two implications: "If S then T and If T then S ".
- The negation of this conjunction is a disjunction: " S and $\text{not-}T$ or T and $\text{not-}S$ ".

Proofs in Mathematics

Disclaimer: There can be multiple ways to prove a statement.

Some notations:

- \mathbb{N} : Set of natural numbers $1, 2, \dots$
- \mathbb{Z} : Set of integers $0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$
- \mathbb{R} : Set of real numbers
- \mathbb{R}^+ : Set of positive real numbers $x > 0$

Statements with universal quantifier

Consider the statement S : " $\forall x \in X (x \text{ has property } P)$ ".

- To prove S , we need to prove that every element x of X satisfies the property P .
- If X is a finite set, we could check property P for each and every element of X , by hand.
- However, if X is an infinite set, it is not feasible to check property P for each and every element of X , by hand. What do we do then?
- There are some standard ways to prove S .
 - (a) Take an arbitrary element x in X and prove the property P .
 - (b) Principle of induction.

Example – Method (a) Prove for an arbitrary element x

Let us prove the statement S : “For every integer x , $x^2 \geq 2x - 1$ ”.

Mathematically, “ $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}(x^2 \geq 2x - 1)$ ”.

- Since there are infinitely many integers, we cannot check the inequality $x^2 \geq 2x - 1$ for each integer. What do we do then?
- We take an arbitrary integer x and consider $x^2 - 2x + 1$. We need to prove that it is ≥ 0 .
- Note that $x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x - 1)^2$.
- Since $(x - 1)^2 \geq 0$, we get that $x^2 - 2x + 1 \geq 0$. Thus we have the required inequality for the x we started with.
- Since x is an arbitrary integer, the statement S is true.

Method (b) – Principle of Induction

- Suppose you are given a statement $P(n)$ about the natural number n . Suppose we have to prove that $P(n)$ is true for each natural number n .
- The **Principle of Induction** is the following:

Suppose for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a statement $P(n)$ is given. Assume that:

- (i) **Base case**: $P(1)$ is true, and
 - (ii) For $k \geq 1$, if $P(k)$ is true then $P(k+1)$ is true.
- Then $P(n)$ is true for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- This principle works because:

We have assumed that $P(1)$ is true.

Thus by (ii), $P(2)$ is true. Repeatedly applying (ii), we get $P(3)$, $P(4)$, $P(5)$, and so on, are all true.

- In (ii), the assumption that $P(k)$ is true is known as the **induction hypothesis**, and with this assumption, proving that $P(k+1)$ is true, is known as the **inductive leap**.

Example – Method (b) Principle of Induction

Prove that “ $n^3 + 2n$ is divisible by 3”, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- Here $P(n)$ is the statement “ $n^3 + 2n$ is divisible by 3”.
- Let us prove the above statement by the Principle of Induction.
- **Base case:** For $n = 1$, $n^3 + 2n = 3$, which is clearly divisible by 3. Hence $P(1)$ is true.
- **Induction Hypothesis:** Suppose for some $k \geq 1$, $P(k)$ is true. That is, suppose $k^3 + 2k$ is divisible by 3.
- **Induction Leap:** Consider

$$(k+1)^3 + 2(k+1) = (k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1) + (2k + 2) = (k^3 + 2k) + 3(k^2 + k + 1).$$

Now $P(k)$ is true, $k^3 + 2k$ is divisible by 3, and also $3(k^2 + k + 1)$ is divisible by 3. Therefore, their sum is divisible by 3. Hence $P(k+1)$ is true.

- By the Principle of Induction, “ $n^3 + 2n$ is divisible by 3”, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Statements with existential quantifier

Consider the statement S : " $\exists x \in X$ (x has property P)".

- To prove S , we need to prove that there is some $x \in X$ which satisfies the property P .
- There are two standard ways to prove S .
 - (a) Sometimes, it is possible to identify or construct an element $x \in X$, which satisfies the property P .
 - (b) In many cases, you put a valid argument which guarantees existence of an element $x \in X$, which satisfies the property P .

The next two slides were not discussed in the lecture.
Go over them before you come to the next lecture.

Example 1

Let us prove the statement S: “There exists an integer m such that for every integer n , $m + n = n$ ”.

Mathematically, “ $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}(m + n = n))$ ”.

- This is a simple enough statement to prove.
- The only integer m which satisfied the equality $m + n = n$ for every integer n is $m = 0$.
- Thus we have been identified an element m of the set of integers which satisfies $m + n = n$ for every integer n . Hence S is true.

Example 2

Let us prove the statement S : “For every real number $x > 0$, there exists a real number y such that $0 < y < x$ ”.

Mathematically, “ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ (\exists y \in \mathbb{R}^+ (0 < y < x))$ ”.

- Since \mathbb{R}^+ is an infinite set and we need to prove the statement for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, it is not feasible to take elements of \mathbb{R}^+ one-by-one and prove S .
- Thus we take an arbitrary element $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and prove that there exists a real number y such that $0 < y < x$.
- Clearly $y = x/2$ is a real number satisfying $0 < y < x$. *Note that this y depends on x .*
- Hence we have provided an integer y for given x satisfying $0 < y < x$.
- Since x is an arbitrary positive real number, S is true.