The Mythological World of the Scholia

Luke Giuntoli

College of the Holy Cross

May 2021

Table of Contents

Overview	2
List of Authors	8
Occurences	11
Pegasus	35
The will of Zeus	36

Overview

When we refer to "The Mythological World of the Scholia," we refer to the scholia that tell a backstory expanding on ideas presented in the Iliad text. Looking at our digital edition of the Venetus A manuscript, we were able to identify these scholia by searching for variants of the noun iστορία and the verb iστορέω, both of which indicate that a background is being provided for something mentioned in the text. My strategy has been to go through the scholia including these terms and first identify what story is being told, then seeing if it appears in the mythological handbooks of Apollodorus and Hyginus. The differences observed in the scholia backstories compared to more "standard" mythological versions will give us an idea of how mythology was viewed in a scholarly context as opposed to general storytelling. In this case, the stories chosen by the scholiast are meant to help a reader make sense of the Homeric text when it may not be entirely clear. Since my primary objective was to see how ancient scholars interacted with myths, I chose to limit my focus to primary sources: the contents of the Venetus A and the mythological works of Apollodorus and Hyginus.

My focus was also influenced by my work at CANE with Professor Neel Smith, Professor Graeme Bird, and Sophia Sarro as we examined the literary analyses of Dionysius Thrax. Dionysius specifically identifies the idea of $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ as a concern to scholars, demonstrating how important it was in the ancient understanding of literary criticism. By examining the sources used by scholars that no longer survive, we can gauge which authors and works were deemed acceptable for scholarly arguments. Also, by searching through different types of $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ scholia, I would be able to see whether or not they always lined up with my preconceived notions of them as mythical retellings.

Although it is tempting to summarize the $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ scholia as simply notes which tell mythological stories at length, once we take a closer look at their corpus significant exceptions become apparent. Along with expanding on a myth alluded to in the Iliad text, the $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ scholia can refer to an authoritative source to defend material in the text as legitimately Homeric. For example, in a scholion commenting on 10.274, we see a potential debate on why Athena sends an $\epsilon\rho\omega\delta\iota i\delta$ to Diomedes and Odysseus during their night raid. The scholiast begins with the adverb $\epsilon i\kappa i\delta t\omega i$ to emhasize that this action is suitable for the story and gives a description of the lifestyle of an $\epsilon\rho\omega\delta\iota i\delta i$ to show how its habits of hunting in marshy territory are similar to the heroes' actions. The source cited for this note is none other than Aristotle's $\Pi\epsilon\rho i$ $Z\omega i\omega i$, which certainly is not a conventional mythological source and demonstrates how these scholia are not limited to mythological sources when it comes to defending Homeric material. Rather than retelling a myth, this scholion has relied on a work of animal biology to explain potentially confusing content.

The $i\sigma\tau$ opí α scholia can also be used to defend certain grammatical decisions as befitting the types of story Homer would have known. For example, in a note on 1.396, the scholiast cites Aristarchus when discussing that the pronoun σ eo must be enclicit to

refer to Achilles' father (Peleus) rather than Thetis' (Nereus), with the logic being that Homer never mentions any story of Achilles living in Nereus' halls. Similarly, a scholion for 2.865 refers to Chiron and Diodorus when discussing the origin of Mesthles and Antiphus. These writers apparently read the line as saying these characters were born at the Gygean Lake instead of the Aristachan reading where they are literally the children of the lake personified as a goddess. Chiron and Diodorus' argument is that Homer never discusses stories where lakes have children ($\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\pi$ οτε γὰρ Ὅμηρον λημνῶν τέκνα ἱστορεῖν), to which the scholiast claims that they do violence to the text. These examples give insight in how the concept of ἱστορία affects reading of the Iliad text, as ancient scholars were careful to choose words and phrases which belonged to stories that fit in with Homer's mythological corpus.

It also appears that ἱστορία can be used to explain geographic features and names to help the reader understand certain landmarks mentioned in the text. A key example of this is a scholion for 14.299 discussing Mt. Athos as Hera flies over it. Rather than telling a conventional myth, the scholiast actually refers to Herodotus' story of the Persian king Xerxes constructing a canal near this location. This information would apparently be helpful for the reader to understand how the geography would have been different at the time of the Trojan War, or perhaps it simply shows the significance of Mt. Athos by showing how it appears in another popular work.

One of the longest $i\sigma\tau\rho\rho\dot{}\alpha$ scholia in the Venetus A demonstrates how the scholiast can refer back to a backstory simply to dismiss it as unneeded for the understanding of the Iliad. Commenting on 1.5, the scholion explains what is meant by "The Plan of Zeus" and gives a marvelous story from Stasinus explaining that Zeus orchestrated

the Trojan War to decrease the number of humans who were weighing down on Gaea and causing her pain. However, after telling this story at length, the scholiast then uses a rare first person verb to explain that he agrees with the opinion of Aristarchus and Aristophanes, which is that Zeus' plan simply refers to him fulfilling Thetis' plea as the Iliad itself explains. This is significant because it shows that the idea of $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ can be used to defend the legitimacy of the text not only to link it to established stories, but also to contrast with them and show that Homer's work can stand on its own without relying on other sources.

For our final example, we return to Book I where Achilles begs his mother Thetis to be seech Zeus, and to strengthen his point he reminds her of all the times while he was growing up she told him about saving Zeus from the other gods. However, we see that all it takes is one accent to change the meaning of the line entirely: the scholiast notes that Aristarchus takes the pronoun seo as enclitic without its own accent and therefore refers to Thetis, who is the object of ἄκουσα and so was heard by Achilles in his father's house, meaning Peleus. If, in a different reading, σεο is accented, it would instead describe πατρός and mean Thetis' own father, as in Nereus. The controversy here is that in Greek the verb "to hear" can take an object in the genitive case, which is also used to show possession, so the accent truly affects the whole meaning of the line and Achilles' backstory. The reason Aristarchus does not interpret it as referring to the house of Thetis' father is because Homer does not mention Achilles growing up in Nereus' house. This makes sense since Nereus is a sea god and it would be probably be worth mentioning if Achilles grew up under the sea. Here we see the idea of the backstory being used to justify a certain reading over another so that the scholiast can attempt to create a mythological world that matches Homer's.

These examples of $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ are evident that this topic of scholarly interest extends beyond elaborating on stories alluded to in the Iliad. The scholiast is focused on defending Homer's work and uses this particular category of scholia to show why certain stories are Homeric or not. While the majority of these scholia are dedicated to elaborating on established myths, they can reach out to even scientific sources like Aristotle to demonstrate why certain events in the Iliad are suitable for the story. Other $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ scholia defend grammatical choices of the scribe since even a slight change may refer to a story that Homer does not refer to. While the general idea of $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ still seems to answer potential questions the reader may have, these are not limited to inquiries about myths, but rather can extend to matters of why the scribe has chosen a particular reading over another.

As we can see, the primary function of the $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ scholia is to allow the reader to make sense of the story as they read through it. While there is significant overlap with more standard myth retellings found in Apollodorus and Hyginus, the scholiast gears his retellings towards the issue of the text he comments on. These scholia give us valuable insight into what sources the scholiasts used and considered appropriate for supporting their arguments, and as we have seen there does not seem to be a barrier for what kind of work may be cited. While I originally thought that $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ would refer only to mythology, we see that it truly can be about multiple sorts of backstories. While the vast majority of $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha$ scholia in the manuscripts concern myths, that's likely an inevitable result of the Iliad itself being a work of what we would call mythology.

iστορία is an important focus in the manuscript because it is essential for the reader's comprehension of the text. You can imagine how much more convenient it

is to just look for a note on the page for background information instead of trying to consult an entirely different work. Notes like these helped keep the Iliad accessible for centuries even after its long history of being passed down orally.

For scholars, is essential for allowing the reader to understand the text. Perhaps most importantly, linking sections of the Iliad to established mythic narratives helped to prove the correctness of the Homeric text by showing that, in the mind of the scholiast, Homer was referring to legitimate versions of stories that appear elsewhere. Or to contrast those stories with the story of the Iliad, in case of the will of Zeus where we don't seem to need a backstory to help us understand. Thus, the $i\sigma\tau$ opí α scholia serve to defend the logic of Homer's original story and help the audience understand it.

When I began this project, I hypothesized that the mythological world of the scholia would match up as closely as possible with the mythological world of the Iliad text as part of the scribe's task to prove the veracity of Homer. However, certain scholia such as those regarding Sarpedon and Pegasus contradict this theory by seemingly contradicting the text. For example, Sarpedon in the Iliad is described as the king of Lycia and the son of Laodamia, the scholiast instead gives a backstory citing him as Cretan and the son of Europa. Although the Pegasus scholion does not directly say that the creature belongs in the scholion, its presence indicates that it is strange that the horse has no presence in the Iliad. This indicates to me that, in a sense, the scholiast would be undertaking an impossible task by attempting to create a definite version of mythology. Since these stories by their very nature do not have asingle correct version, it is inevitable that versions present in the scholia will differ from the Iliadic tradition.

List of Authors

Source	URN	Genre	Time
Stasinus (Cypria)	urn:cite2:hmt:	urn:cite2:hmt:p ϖ 1:pers20	
οί νεώτεροι	Unavailable	???	???
Theopompus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ētistotrizer s51	300s BCE
Polemon	urn:cite2:hmt:p &kildspphr5 8		200s BCE
Apollodorus	urn:cite2:hmt:p evis,thqgraqd9 er		100s BCE
Dictys	urn:cite2:hmt:	pensiv1:pers90	???
Ptolemy	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
οἱ ἀρχαῖοι	Unavailable	Epic	700s BCE
Aristarchus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ełomepie r Sak olar	220-143 BCE
Didymus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ełomepie rSdħ5lar	00s BCE
Hellanicus (Αργονικοῖς)	urn:cite2:hmt:	penasostanty	400s BCE
Philostephanus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p eristdry ers319	200s BCE
Callimachus (Aetia)	urn:cite2:hmt:	p edæy: pers86	200s BCE
Euphorion	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ersavitparia 54	200s BCE
Quintus Smyrnaeus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ϖ 1:pers330	300s CE

Source	URN	Genre	Time
Hesiod (Catalogue)	urn:cite2:hmt:	p &psiv 1:pers4	750 to 650 BCE
Stesichorus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p drysriv d:pers228	500s BCE
Herodian	urn:cite2:hmt:	persavimarian3	100s CE
Pherecydes	urn:cite2:hmt:	pewsy.th.qgeraph56r	400s BCE
Homer	urn:cite2:hmt:	p &psiv 1:pers17	???
Porphyry (Homeric	urn:cite2:hmt:	pársopépers77	Philosopher
Questions)			
Nicias	urn:cite2:hmt:	p Homepie r SCH Olar	???
Pindar	urn:cite2:hmt:	p drysriv d:pers5	400s BCE
Demetrius	Unclear	??? & Epic	???
Asclepiades	urn:cite2:hmt:	pewsy.th.qgeraqil256	300s BCE
Dicaearchus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p erkildsperm 255	300s BCE
Anticleides	Unavailable	Historian	200s BCE
Philostephanus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p eristarp ers319	200s BCE
Apollonius	Unclear	???	???
Harpocration	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ersavimarian 78	100s CE
Ascalonites	Unavailable	???	???
Aristotle	urn:cite2:hmt:	p &kildspph& 00	300s BCE
Crates	urn:cite2:hmt:	persavilmaris667	100s BCE
Dionysius	Unclear	???	???
Bacchylides	urn:cite2:hmt:	p áos.oµíp ers579	Lyric
Aristodemus	urn:cite2:hmt:	paoxopipers1276	Grammarian

Source	URN	Genre	Time
Marsyas	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ētistārjæn s892	300s BCE
Herodotus	urn:cite2:hmt:	p eristarpe rs896	400s BCE
Euripides	urn:cite2:hmt:	urn:cite2:hmt:p @nsag@phians 97	
Lycophron	urn:cite2:hmt:p @rsavnperian 9		200s BCE
Thrasybulus	urn:cite2:hmt:p &fist/driver s1153		???
Acestodorus	urn:cite2:hmt:p &fistdrpær s1154		???
The poets of the Epic	Unavailable	Epic	600s BCE
Cycle			
Arrian	Unavailable	Historian	100s CE
Demetrius of Scepsis	urn:cite2:hmt:	p ersavimariss 69	100s BCE
Acusilaus	urn:cite2:hmt:	pewsythogenepilizes	500s BCE
Cleitophon	Unknown	???	???
Eratosthenes	urn:cite2:hmt:	p erslyimpein s533	200s BCE

Occurences

Source	URN Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Stasinus	urn:cite ว์เป็นของ ม์pers.v1:pers20	Epic	600s	1.11
			BCE (?)	
oi	Unavaila lole ορέω	???	???	1.11
νεώτεροι				
Theopompu	s urn:cite / ithroxpip ers.v1:pers51	Historian	300s	1.37
			BCE	
Polemon	urn:cite 2ithnoopipers.v1:pers58	Philosophe	er200s	1.39
			BCE	
Apollodorus	urn:cite ាំរាលសុខ្មែច rs.v1:pers69	Mythograp	oh £0 0s	1.42
			BCE	
oi	Unavaila kde ορέω	???	???	1.67
νεώτεροι				
oi	Unavailaloleορέω	???	???	1.120
νεώτεροι				
Dictys	urn:cite ว์มากอุท์p ers.v1:pers90	???	???	1.120

Source	URN P	arsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Apollodorus	urn:cite2់រៅ	ποφίραers.v1:pers69	Mythograp	hæoros	1.560
				BCE	
Ptolemy	Unclear ic	στορέω	Unclear	Unclear	1.931
οί ἀρχαῖοι	Unavaila k	π ι εορέω	Epic	700s	1.1034
				BCE	
Aristarchus	urn:cite2่ม	ποφίρers.v1:pers16	Homeric	220-	1.1036
			Scholar	143	
				BCE	
Didymus	urn:cite2่ม	ποφέραrs.v1:pers175	Homeric	00s	1.1041
			Scholar	BCE	
Apollodorus	urn:cite2่ม	ποφίρers.v1:pers69	Mythograp	h £0 0s	2.128
				BCE	
Hellanicus	urn:cite2่ม	ποφέρετs.v1:pers303	Logographe	er400s	2.130
				BCE	
Philostephar	n us rn:cite źd	ποφέρεrs.v1:pers319	History &	200s	2.227
& Calli-	&		Elegy	BCE	
machus	urn:cite2:l	hmt:pers.v1:pers86			
(Aetia)					
Euphorion	urn:cite2่ม	ποφέραrs.v1:pers354	Grammaria	r200s	2.237
				BCE	
Euphorion	urn:cite2๋ฆ่	ποφέρεrs.v1:pers354	Grammaria	n200s	2.320
				BCE	

Source	URN Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Quintus	urn:cite ว์เร่นองค์อุะ rs.v1:pers330	Epic	300s CE	2.377
Smyr-				
naeus				
Hesiod	urn:cite วิธีของค์ลุ๊อ rs.v1:pers4	Epic	750 to	2.477
(Cata-			650	
logue)			BCE	
Stesichorus	urn:cite วีเดินองค์p ers.v1:pers228	Lyric	500s	2.485
			BCE	
Hellanicus	urn:cite ាំជាលាសុខ្មែច rs.v1:pers303	Logograph	er400s	2.589
(Βοιωτιακοἷο	5)&	&	BCE &	
& Apol-	urn:cite2:hmt:pers.v1:pers69	Mythog-	100s	
lodorus		rapher	BCE	
Herodian	urn:cite วีฮนอง ค์pers.v1:pers493	Grammaria	an100s CE	2.594
Callimachus	urn:cite ว์ฮนดอร์อะ rs.v1:pers86	Elegy	200s	2.630
			BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite ว์เป็นองก์p ers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	h 40 00s	2.645
			BCE	
Callimachus	urn:cite ว์ฮนอง ค์pers.v1:pers86	Elegy	200s	2.667
			BCE	
Homer	urn:cite ว์เช่นองค์อะ rs.v1:pers17	Epic	???	2.800
Hellanicus	urn:cite ว์เร่นงงค์ยุ่งe rs.v1:pers303	Logograph	e 1 400s	3.142
(Αργονικοῖς)			BCE	

Source	URN	Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Hellanicus	urn:cit	e źdnopśpe rs.v1:pers303	Logograph	er400s	3.233
				BCE	
Hellanicus	urn:cit	eannapapars.v1:pers303	Logograph	er400s	3.245
				BCE	
Porphyry	urn:cit	e źdwopą́c rs.v1:pers77	Philosophe	er 200s CE	3.285
(Homeric					
Questions)					
Nicias	urn:cit	e źduopíp ers.v1:pers247	Homeric	???	3.375
			Scholar		
Polemon	urn:cit	e źdwopip ers.v1:pers58	Philosophe	er200s	3.379
				BCE	
Pindar	urn:cit	e źdwopip ers.v1:pers5	Lyric	400s	3.724
				BCE	
Porphyry	urn:cit	e źduopą́c rs.v1:pers77	Philosophe	er200s CE	3.477
Pherecydes	urn:cit	e źduopą́c rs.v1:pers255	Mythograp	oh 40 00s	5.1036
				BCE	
Demetrius	Unclea	r ἱστορία	??? &	750 to	6.15
& Hesiod	&		Epic	650	
	urn:cit	e2:hmt:pers.v1:pers4		BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cit	e źduopíp ers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	oh 40 00s	6.49
				BCE	

Source	URN Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Asclepiades	urn:cite ว่างางกุร์เว ers.v1:pers1256	Mythograp	h &0 0s	6.54
			BCE	
Dicaearchus	urn:cite วีเร่นงอง ก์ p ers.v1:pers1255	Philosophe	er300s	6.117
			BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite ว่ามากอุท์p ers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	oh 400 0s	7.6
			BCE	
Anticleides	Unavaila lote ορία	Historian	200s	7.106
			BCE	
Philostephar	າ ພະ ກາ:cite ຂົນໝາທຸກ໌p ers.v1:pers319	History	200s	7.306
			BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite วิเรากองสุดอ rs.v1:pers255	Mythograp	oh eo ros	7.1007
			BCE	
Asclepiades	urn:cite วีเรียกองค์รุ pers.v1:pers1256	Mythograp	h eo ros	7.3010
			BCE	
Apollonius	Unclear ἱστορία	???	???	8.197
Homer	urn:cite วีเรียกอุด์เว ers.v1:pers17	Epic	???	9.411
Harpocration	nurn:cite வெறை ஸ்டி rs.v1:pers1278	Grammaria	an100s CE	9.413
Ascalonites	Unavaila lole ορία	???	???	10.2508
Aristotle	urn:cite វិសេលាសុខ៌្ rs.v1:pers300	Philosophe	er300s	10.2512
			BCE	
Pindar	urn:cite ว์เรากอุรุณะ rs.v1:pers5	Lyric	400s	10.4513
			BCE	

Source	URN	Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Pherecydes	urn:cite	e źdropip ers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	oh ∉o ros	11.249
				BCE	
Callimachus	urn:cite	e źdropip ers.v1:pers86	Elegy	200s	11.252
				BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite	e Artumpipers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	oh 40 00s	11.267
				BCE	
Crates	urn:cite	e Arbumpípers.v1:pers667	Grammaria	an100s	11.280
				BCE	
Hellanicus	urn:cite	e źdwopip ers.v1:pers303	Logograph	er400s	12 . A2
				BCE	
Dionysius	Unclear	r ίστορέω	???	???	12.A10
Lycastus	Unavai	laloheορέω	???	???	12.A10
Hesiod &	urn:cite	eadroppipers.v1:pers4	Epic &	700s	12.D8
Bac-	&		Lyric	and	
chylides	urn:cite	e2:hmt:pers.v1:pers579		400s	
				BCE	
Aristodemus	urn:cite	e Arbumpípers.v1:pers1276	Grammaria	ar00s	13.164r1
				BCE	
Aristarchus	urn:cite	e Arbumpípers.v1:pers16	Homeric	220-	13.164r6bis
			Scholar-	143	
			ship	BCE	

Source	URN Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Aristarchus	urn:cite ว์สากค์เว ers.v1:pers16	Homeric	220-	13.164r9
		Scholar-	143	
		ship	BCE	
Euphorion	urn:cite 21 hnop ipers.v1:pers354	Grammaria	n200s	13.164r14
			BCE	
Callimachus	urn:cite 2 ชาตุดุร์คุตrs.v1:pers86	Elegy	200s	13.165r8
			BCE	
Apollodorus	urn:cite ว์เหนดอยุ่งe rs.v1:pers69	Mythograp!	h1e0r0s	13.5
			BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite 2 humpipers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	h 400 0s	13.6
			BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite 2 humpipers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	h 400 0s	14 . E19
			BCE	
Marsyas	urn:cite in woon in ers.v1:pers892	Historian	300s	14.C18
			BCE	
Herodotus	urn:cite in woo ip ers.v1:pers896	Historian	400s	14.C19
			BCE	
Euphorion	urn:cite Zirhwopipærs.v1:pers354	Grammaria	n200s	14.H17
			BCE	
Euripides	urn:cite2x100pipers.v1:pers97	Tragedian	400s	14.H30
			BCE	

Source	URN Parsing Term	Genre	Time	Reference
Philostephar	n ພຣ າກ:cite ຂ່າກຫາທ໌ເຕ ers.v1:pers319	Historian	200s	16 . A5
			BCE	
Lycophron	urn:cite วีเป็นองค์เว ers.v1:pers109	Grammaria	nr200s	16.B7
			BCE	
Stasinus	urn:cite2iduopípers.v1:pers20	Epic	600s	16.208v4
(Cypria)			BCE(?)	
Thrasybulus	urn:cite2iduoquipers.v1:pers1153	Historian	??? &	16.210v1
& Aces-	&	&	???	
todorus	urn:cite2:hmt:pers.v1:pers1154	Historian		
The poets	Unavaila kde ορία	Epic	600s	18.164
of the Epic			BCE	
Cycle				
Pherecydes	urn:cite2iduoquipers.v1:pers255	Mythograp	h 40 00s	18.166
			BCE	
Euphorion	urn:cite2ithnopipers.v1:pers354	Grammaria	nr200s	18.168
			BCE	
Callimachus	urn:cite2ithnopipers.v1:pers86	Elegy	200s	18.171
			BCE	
Arrian	Unavaila lole ορία	Historian	100s CE	19.2015
Demetrius	urn:cite2iduoquipers.v1:pers669	Grammaria	n100s	20.10
of Scepsis			BCE	

Source	URN Parsing Terr	m	Genre	Time	Reference
Hellanicus	urn:cite2ihumpipers.v	1:pers303	Logograph	er400s	20.9_12
				BCE	
Acusilaus	urn:cite ว่าของกุ ers.v	1:pers1223	Mythograp	h 50 00s	20.266
				BCE	
Cleitophon	Unknow i στορία		???	???	20.267
Eratosthene	s urn:cite 2մժատիíp ers.v	1:pers533	Polymath	200s	22.7
				BCE	
Didymus	urn:cite ว่าของกุ ers.v	1:pers175	Homeric	00s	22.36
			Scholar-	BCE	
			ship		
The poets	Unavaila k πεορία		Epic	600s	23.2802
of the Epic				BCE	
Cycle					
Eratosthene	s urn:cite 2մժատիíp ers.v	1:pers533	Polymath	200s	24.A11
				BCE	
Lycophron	urn:cite ว่าของกุ ers.v	1:pers109	Grammaria	nr200s	24.29
				BCE	
Euphorion	urn:cite ว่าของกุ คers.v	1:pers354	Grammaria	nr200s	24.91
				BCE	
Pherecydes	urn:cite2 រាវាលាសន៍្ ers.v	1:pers255	Mythograp	h 40 00s	23.510
				BCE	

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Zeus	Yes	No	No	1.5-6	Stasinus
unbur-					cited
dens					
Gaea					
Pelops	Yes	Yes	Yes	1.37	Theopomp
					cited
Epithet:	Yes	No	Yes	1.39	Polemonio
Apollo					cited
Sminthe	us				
Apollo	Yes	No	No	1.39	Polemonio
and					cited
Crinis					
Belus	Yes	Yes	Yes	1.42	Apollodorı
and					cited
Danaus					
Telephus	s Yes	Yes	Yes	1.59	νεώτεροι
					cited
Iphigeni	a Yes	Yes	Yes	1.106	νεώτεροι
					and
					Didymus
					cited

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Birth	Yes	Yes	No	1.194-195	Apollodorus
of					cited
Athena					
Charact	erYes	Yes	Yes	1.334	Ptolemy
Pan-					cited
drosus					
Pandro	susYes	No	No	1.334	Ptolemy
and					cited
Her-					
mes					
Alterna	te Yes	No	No	1.392	ἀρχαῖοι
names					cited
of					
Briseis					
Conspir	rac y tes	No	No	1.399	Didymus
against					cited
Zeus					
Io and	Yes	Yes	Yes	2.103	Apollodorus
Argus					cited
Chrysip	pu ¥ es	Yes	Yes	2.105	Hellanicus
					cited

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Daedalu	s Yes	Yes	Yes	2.145	Philostephanus
and					and
Icarus					Calli-
					machus
					cited
Object:	Yes	Yes	No	2.157	Euphorion
Aegis					cited
Origin	Yes	No	No	2.157	Euphorion
of the					cited
Aegis					
Meleage	er Yes	Yes	Yes	2.157	Euphorion
					cited
Penthes	ilexáes	Yes	Yes	2.220	Quintus
					cited
Heracles	s Yes	Yes	Yes	2.336	Hesiod
vs. Nele	ıs				cited
and his					
sons					
Helen	Yes	Yes	Yes	2.339	Stesichorus
					cited

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Cadmus	Yes	Yes	Yes	2.494	Hellanicu
					and
					Apol-
					lodorus
					cited
Erechthe	eu ¥ es	Yes	Yes	2.547	Callimach
					cited
Augeas	Yes	Yes	No	2.629	Callimach
and his					cited
son					
Sons of	Yes	No	Yes	3.75	Hellanicus
Triops					cited
Aethra	Yes	Yes	Yes	3.144	Hellanicus
					cited
Tithonus	s Yes	Yes	Yes	3.151	Hellanicus
					cited
Sons of	Yes	No	No	3.175	Porphyry
Helen					cited
and					
Menelau	S				

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Abducti	onYes	Yes	Yes	3.242	Polemonion
of					the
Helen					Cyclic
by					poets,
Paris					and
					Alcman
					cited
Abducti	onYes	Yes	Yes	3.242	Polemonion
of					the
Helen					Cyclic
by The-					poets,
seus					and
					Alcman
					cited
Castor	Yes	Yes	Yes	3.243	Pindar
and					cited
Poly-					
deuces					
vs. Idas					
and					
Lyceus					

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Childhoo	odYes	Yes	Yes	3.325	Porphyry
of					cited
Paris					
Ereuthal	io Y es	No	No	4.319	Aristarchu
					cited
Death	Yes	Yes	No	5.126	Pherecyde
of					cited
Tydeus					
Achilles	Yes	No	No	6.35	Demetrius
at					and
Peda-					Hesiod
sus					cited
Dionysos	s Yes	Yes	Yes	6.130	Eumelus
and Ly-					cited
curgus					
Sisphyus	s Yes	Yes	Yes	6.153	Pherecyde
					cited
Bellerop	h ðíe s	Yes	Yes	6.155	Asclepiade
					cited

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Pegasus	Yes	Yes	Yes	6.183	No
					author
					cited,
					charac-
					ter not
					present
					in Iliad
					text
Heracles	Yes	No	No	6.396	Dicaearchus
found-					cited
ing					
Thebe					
Ну-					
poplakia					
Areithou	sYes	No	No	7.8	Pherecydes
					cited
Helenus	Yes	Yes	Yes	7.8	Anticledes
and					cited
Cassan-					
dra					
Athamas	Yes	Yes	Yes	7.86	Philostephanu
					cited

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Euneus	Yes	Yes	Yes	7.467	Asclepiades
					cited
Telamon	Yes	Yes	Yes	8.284	Apollonius
					cited
Phoenix	Yes	Yes	Yes	9.448	Homer
(son of					cited
Amyn-					
tor)					
Athena	Yes	No	No	10.274	Aristotle
and					cited
the					
Heron					
Rhesus	Yes	Yes	Yes	10.435	Pindar
					cited
Neleus	Yes	Yes	Yes	11.672-11.674	Pherecydes
					cited
Heracles	Yes	Yes	Yes	11.700	Callimachus
found-					cited
ing the					
Olympiar	1				
Games					

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Heracles	Yes	Yes	No	11.709	Pherecydes
vs					cited
Augeas					
Medea	Yes	Yes	Yes	11.741	Crates
in					cited
Athens					
Patroclus	s'Yes	Yes	No	12.1	Hellanicus
child-					cited
hood					
Europa	Yes	Yes	Yes	12.307	Hesiod
					and Bac-
					chylides
					cited
Death	Yes	Yes	Yes	13.66	Callimachus
of					cited
Locrian					
Ajax					
Ephyra/0	Comeinth	Yes	Yes	13.301	Apollodorus
					cited
Amphion	ı Yes	Yes	Yes	13.302	Pherecydes
and					cited
Zethus					

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Tydeus and	Yes	Yes	Yes	14.120	Pherecydes cited
Deipyle Pierus and Am-	Yes	Yes	Yes	14.226	Marsyas cited
athus Heracles vs. Eu- rypy-	s Yes	Yes	No	14.226	Pherecydes cited
lus Hera moth- ering	Yes	No	No	14.295	Euphorion cited
Prometh	neus Yes	Yes	Yes	14.323	Euripides cited

Myth	Scholia	Apollodorus	Hyginus	Location	Notes
Flights	Yes	Yes	No	16.14	Philostephanus
of					cited
Peleus					
and					
Tela-					
mon					
Childhoo	odYes	Yes	No	16.37	Lycophron
of					cited
Achilles					
Achilles'	Yes	Yes	Yes	16.140	Stasinus
spear					cited

To look at the earliest available sources using ἱστορία and ἱστορέω, I focused on scholia attributed to Hesiod, Stasinus, and the authors of the epic cycles.

Some scholia from these early authors explain a word or phrase that may be not be elaborated on in the text. For example, a scholion commenting on 2.336 explains why Nestor is referred to as Geranian despite ruling Pylos: he was raised in Gerenia while his father Neleus lived in Pylos with his other sons. However, Nestor's whole family was killed when Heracles sacked the city. This scholion also includes the fascinating detail that Neleus' son Periclymenus managed to actually battle Heracles in the form of a bee, though his efforts were futile. Another scholion attributed to Stasinus, the alleged author of the Cypria, comments on 1.5 and attempts to explain the words " $\Delta i \delta \zeta \beta o \nu \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ "

in the opening lines of the Iliad. The scholion recounts how there were so many people roaming the earth it actually caused Gaea pain, so to ease her suffering Zeus engineered the Trojan War to kill off a substantial number of the human race; in this respect, the events of the Iliad do indeed happen according to the will of Zeus. Lastly, a scholion from 23.660 attributed to the poets of the Epic Cycle clarifies why Apollo is cited as the god who grants strength to boxers: Phorbas was an arrogant boxer who forced passersby to fight him to the death, until Apollo himself killed him in a boxing match and became known as the god of boxing.

Other scholia simply provide more mythological details on stories not fully explained in the text. A scholion commenting on 6.35 credits Hesiod along with Demetrius for a story involving Achilles' capture of the city Pedasus. The Achaeans attacked the city since it was an ally of Troy, but during the conflict a young girl who lived in the city fell in love with Achilles and threw an apple towards him inscribed with a message declaring her feelings. She also provided the Achaeans with water, which was apparently scarce in the area. A scholion from 18.486 credits the poets of the Epic Cycle as a whole and is a general guide for the origins of the constellations: the Pleiades as the daughters of Atlas, Orion as a mighty hunter and companion of Artemis, and Ursa Major and Ursa Minor as two people who prayed to the gods to be saved from danger (no direct mention of the popular story of Callisto).

The most fascinating mythological scholia to me are those that appear to outright contradict information given in the text. A brief scholion attributed to "οἱ ἀρχαῖοι" from 1.392 mentions that the name Briseis is actually her patronymic; her real name is either Astynome or Hippodamia. A scholion from 12.307 credited to Hesiod and Bac-

chylides tells the famous abduction of Europa by Zeus in the form of a bull. The main function of this scholion is to explain that Sarpedon is described as $\dot{\alpha}v\tau(\theta\epsilon\sigma v)$ since he is the son of Zeus. However, this scholion appears to identify the character Sarpedon in the Iliad as the Cretan son of Zeus Europa despite the poem itself making him the Lycian son of Zeus and Laodamia. It is unclear whether the scholiast is trying to connect different narratives or simply confused two characters with the same name and divine parent. Another potential disagreement with the text comes from a scholion crediting Stasinus appearing at 16.140. At first glance, it simply provides a backstory for Achilles' spear as a wedding gift to Peleus at his wedding to Thetis. While the poem itself identifies it as a gift from the centaur Chiron, the scholion mentions Athena and Hephaistos as other possible creators.

All of these scholia are meant to clarify potential questions or at least offer more backstory on aspects of the Iliad which may be confusing without outside knowledge. Seeing which stories appear in scholia may indicate which ones are less likely to have been common knowledge to the average reader (the stories of Phorbas and Achilles' conquest of Pedasus were certainly new to me). I am intrigued that, while scholists typically strive to prove the veracity of Homer, some of these scholia seem to contradict what the poem tells us (Briseis' name, Sarpedon's backstory, who made Achilles' spear). This just seems to prove that, even in this more specific scholiastic view of myth, these stories still exist in countless forms and do not have one "official" version. However, by referencing works as early as these, perhaps the scholiasts believe they are adding more legitimacy to their notes by reaching back to the possible roots of various stories.

The character of Sarpedon is particularly interesting because of the differing

sources for his parentage. While all agree that he is the son of Zeus, the Iliad itself states that his mother is Laodamia, the daughter of Bellerophon. However, a scholion to Iliad V of the Venetus A (citing non-extant works of Hesiod and Bacchylides) makes a rare contradiction to the text by instead claiming that he is the son of Europa, thereby making him the brother of Minos and Rhadymanthus as well. Apollodorus cites both versions while also mentioning that Zeus allowed Sarpedon to live for three mortal generations, solving possible chronological issues. Hyginus only refers to Sarpedon as the son of Europa (who he calls the daughter of Argiope and Agenor). All three sources mention that Zeus turned into a bull to carry Europa away to Crete; Apollodorus states that Sarpedon helped his uncle Cilix battle the Lycians and became their king after conquering them, thereby explaining how a Cretan prince could be the king of Lycia in the Iliad. As far as the scholion is concerned, the main point of interest is why Sarpedon has the epithet $\dot{\alpha} v \tau (\theta \epsilon o \zeta, which is explained by his descent from Zeus.$

Scholion:

Εύρώπην τὴν Φοίνικος Ζεὺς θεασάμενος ἔν τινι λειμῶνι μετὰ νυμφῶν ἄνθη ἀναλέγουσαν ἡράσθη καὶ κατελθὼν ἤλλαξεν ἑαυτὸν εἰς ταυρὸν καὶ ἀπο τοῦ στόματος κρόπον ἔπνει οὕτως τὲ τὴν Εὑπωπην ἀπατήσας ἐβάστασε καὶ διαπορθμεύσασ . εἰς Κρήτην ἐμίγη. εἰ θ' οὕτως συνώκησεν αὐτῆ ᾿Αστερίωνι τῷ Κρητων βασιλεῖ γενομένη δὲ ἔγκυος ἐκείνη. τρεῖς παῖδας ἐγέννησε Μίνωνα Σαρπηδόνα καὶ Ῥαδάμανθυν . ἡ ἱστορία παρ' Ἡσιόδῳ καὶ Βακχυλίδη.

Apollodorus:

τινὲς δὲ Εὐρώπην οὐκ ᾿Αγήνορος ἀλλὰ Φοίνικος λέγουσι. ταύτης Ζεὺς ἐρασθείς, ταῦρος χειροήθης γενόμενος, ἐπιβιβασθεῖσαν διὰ τῆς θαλάσσης ἐκόμισεν εἰς Κρήτην.

ἡ δέ, ἐκεῖ συνευνασθέντος αὐτῆ Διός, ἐγέννησε Μίνωα Σαρπηδόνα Ῥαδάμανθυν: καθ' Ὅμηρον δὲ Σαρπηδὼν ἐκ Διὸς καὶ Λαοδαμείας τῆς Βελλεροφόντου.....Σαρπηδὼν δὲ συμμαχήσας Κίλικι πρὸς Λυκίους ἔχοντι πόλεμον, ἐπὶ μέρει τῆς χώρας, Λυκίας ἐβασίλευσε. καὶ αὐτῷ δίδωσι Ζεὺς ἐπὶ τρεῖς γενεὰς ζῆν.

Greek overlap

ἔραμαι

Ταῦρος

βαστάζω/ἐπιβιβάζω

είς Κρήτην

γεννάω

Μίνωνα/Μίνωα

Hyginus

Europa Argiopes et Agenoris filia Sidonia. Hanc Iuppiter in taurum conversus a Sidone Cretam transportavit et ex ea procreavit Minoem Sarpedonem Rhadamanthum.

γενόμενος = conversus

εἰς Κρήτην = Cretam transportavit

ἐγέννησε Μίνωα Σαρπηδόνα 'Ραδάμανθυν = procreavit Minoem Sarpedonem Rhadamanthum

Pegasus

The scholion on 6.183 is fascinating in the sense that, rather than justifying the content of the text, it instead simply states that Pegasus (a staple of the Bellerophon story in other accounts) is not present. The line is marked with a critical sign $\delta\tau$ 1 to indicate that it will provide some sort of explanation, yet there does not seem to be one. The scribe seems to predict that the reader will find Pegasus' absence odd and makes note of it.

The will of Zeus

The comparison of Zeus' plan for the Trojan War between Apollodorus' Bibliohikh and the scholia reveals the different intent of the writers towards explaining the cause for the war. Apollodorus states that Zeus simply either wanted his daughter Helen to become famous throughout Europe and Asia, or for the race of demigods as a whole to be glorified through such an immense conflict. The scholiast, however, recounts a story from Stasinus stating that Gaea was burdened with the sheer overwhelming weight of humanity and requested that Zeus lighten her load by killing off a substantial number of people. This focus on death likely stems from the scholiasts' goal to make sense of the Iliad text, where Δ iòς δ ' ἐτελείετο βουλή is preceded by the idea of mighty heroes being sent to Hades and their corpses eaten by dogs and vultures. Apollodorus' goal, on the other hand, is to make sense of the Trojan War throughout the overall corpus of mythology, and seeing its place as a sort of climax of his work, it seems logical that he would focus more on its overall fame rather than the destruction it wrought.

When examining the authors who are referenced in these scholia, it is noticeable that while a wide array of genres (epic, lyric, history) appear amongst those who

date before Aristarchus, those who come after tend to be chiefly scholarly (Herodian, Harpocration) or mythological (Apollodorus, Quintus Smyrnaeus) in nature. This may indicate that the older authors form a kind of larger canon of sources to choose from, while the scribes preferred to draw from closely related sources for more recnet authors.

It is also interesting to note that the characters and stories who appear form a diverse group that cannot be easily generalized. While they of course need some connection to the Iliad text to appear in the scholia, it can be rather loose such as a genealogy or place name. In general, characters who appear in many myths of Apollodorus and Hyginus will appear in more scholia, so a character like Heracles is featured often despite not physically appearing in the Iliad. While most of these stories are found in mythology handbooks, the version presented in the scholia will often be more focused to explain the particular aspect of the text it's commenting on.

Although $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\alpha/i\sigma\tau o\rho i\omega$ scholia appear throughout the entirety of the Iliad, they are most heavily clustered together in Books I and II. This seems to make sense from a narrative level: the story begins in media res and the scribe must have thought some general backstory on the plot and characters would be helpful. However, these scholia less frequently as the story progresses, indicating either less of a need to elaborate on backstories or perhaps simple scribal fatigue. The scholia that do appear for the rest of the manuscript are generally geared towards explaining confusing epithets or phrases as well as recounting myths concerning specific people and places. This would reflect different functions of these scholia, with those that appear early on telling general backstory for the events of the story while those in the following sections are more geared towards explaining broadly confusing aspects of the text. Interestingly, while a great

deal is made to give the reader insight on what events happened before the poem, there are no scholia at the end to describe what happens afterwards (i.e. the death of Achilles, the fall of Troy, etc.) This may indicate that while the scholarly tradition thought the beginning of the story was too confusing without elaboration in the notes, the ending of the poem was seen as a complete ending without lingering questions. Another possibility is that scholia describing events after the story do indeed occur in the manuscript, but were not part of our data because they do not include $i\sigma\tau opi\alpha/i\sigma\tau opi\alpha$ since these technically would not be backstories to the Iliad.