

# Languages, automata and computation II

## Tutorial 4

Winter semester 2023/2024

In this tutorial we study automata theory in sets with atoms.

### Sets with atoms

**Exercise 1.** Consider an orbit-finite set  $X$  and an equivariant relation  $R \subseteq X \times X$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_n = R^0 \cup R^1 \cup R^2 \cup \dots \cup R^n$ . Show that the following chain computing the reflexive-transitive closure of  $R$  terminates:

$$R_0 \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X \times X.$$

*Solution:* Each  $R_n$  is an equivariant subset of  $X \times X$ :  $R_0$  is just the identity relation, which is equivariant, and equivariant relations are closed under composition and union. Since  $X \times X$  is orbit-finite ( $X$  being orbit-finite and the equality atoms being oligomorphic),  $R_n$  is a union of finitely many orbits of  $X \times X$ . One can then show that there is some  $n \leq |\text{Orbits}(X \times X)|$  s.t.  $R_n = R_{n+1} = \dots$ .  $\square$

### Orbit-finite automata

Fix an oligomorphic atom structure  $\mathbb{A}$ , which will usually consist of a countable set with equality  $(\mathbb{A}, =)$ . A *orbit-finite automaton* (OFA) is a tuple  $A = (\Sigma, Q, I, F, \Delta)$  where  $\Sigma$  is a orbit-finite *input alphabet* (often  $\Sigma = \mathbb{A}$ ),  $Q$  is a orbit-finite set of *states*,  $I, F \subseteq Q$  are equivariant subsets of  $Q$  (thus orbit-finite), called *initial*, resp., *final* states, and  $\Delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma Q$  is an equivariant set of *transitions* (thus orbit-finite).

**Exercise 2.** Consider an orbit-finite automaton with input alphabet  $\hat{\Sigma} := \Sigma \times \mathbb{A}$  where  $\Sigma$  is finite. Consider the following *projection* mapping  $\pi : \hat{\Sigma}^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  which forgets the data part of a word:

$$\pi : (\sigma_1, a_1) \dots (\sigma_n, a_n) \mapsto \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_n.$$

Show that the projection  $\pi L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  of a data language  $L \subseteq \hat{\Sigma}^*$  recognised by an orbit-finite automaton is a regular language.

*Solution:* Let  $A = (\hat{\Sigma}, Q, I, F, \Delta)$  be a OFA. Build a NBAB whose states are orbits of  $Q$ , initial states are orbits of  $I$ , and final states are orbits of  $F$ . A transition  $(p, (\sigma, a), q) \in \Delta$  of  $A$  induces a transition  $\text{orbit}(p) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{orbit}(q)$  of  $B$ . One then shows that  $L(B) = \pi L(A)$ .  $\square$

The following is a summary of (non)-closure properties of languages of finite data words recognised by **OFA** and its deterministic variant.

	$\cup$	$\cap$	$\_^R$	$\Sigma^* \setminus \_$
Deterministic <b>OFA</b>	✓	✓	×	✓
Nondeterministic <b>OFA</b>	✓	✓	✓	×

**Exercise 3.** Show a nondeterministic **OFA** language which is not recognised by a deterministic **OFA**.

*Solution:* Consider the language of all words  $w \in \mathbb{A}^*$  s.t. the last letter appears at least twice:

$$L = \{a_1 \cdots a_n \in \mathbb{A}^* \mid \text{there is } 1 \leq i < n \text{ s.t. } a_i = a_n\}.$$

This language is **OFA** recognisable, in dimension one: The automaton guesses the occurrence of  $a_i$  and checks that it appears at the end of the word.

This language is not recognisable by a deterministic **OFA**. By way of contradiction, let  $A$  be a deterministic **OFA** recognising  $L$ . Build a long word of pair-wise distinct letters  $w = a_1 \cdots a_n \notin L$  and look at the corresponding run of the automaton

$$I \ni q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n.$$

There is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. some  $a_i$  is not in the support of the last state,

$$a_i \notin \text{supp } q_n.$$

Let  $b \in \mathbb{A}$  be a fresh input symbol. Since  $w \cdot b \notin L$ , the extended run is rejecting:

$$I \ni q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n \xrightarrow{b} q \notin F.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be any atom automorphism fixing  $\text{supp } q_n$  s.t.  $\alpha(b) = a$ . In particular,  $\alpha(q_n) = q_n$ . The following modified run

$$I \ni q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n = \alpha(q_n) \xrightarrow{\alpha(b)} \alpha(q) \notin F.$$

shows  $w \cdot a \notin L(A)$  since  $F$  is equivariant (and thus the same applies to its complement) and the automaton is deterministic. Since  $w \cdot a \in L$ , this contradicts  $L(A) = L$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.** Show that the class of nondeterministic **OFA** languages is not closed under complement.

*Solution:* Consider the language  $L \subseteq \mathbb{A}^*$  containing all words where a data value appears at least twice, which is easily seen to be **OFA**-recognisable. By way of contradiction, assume that its complement is recognised by some **OFA**  $A$ . Consider a very long word  $w = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \Sigma^*$  of pairwise distinct data values, and look at some accepting run of  $A$  when reading it

$$I \ni q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n \in F.$$

For  $n$  sufficiently large there are indices  $1 \leq i \leq j < k \leq n$  s.t.  $a_i, a_k \notin \text{supp } q_j$ . There exists an atom automorphism  $\alpha$  which fixes  $\text{supp } q_j$  (and thus  $\alpha(q_j) = q_j$ ) s.t.  $\alpha(a_i) = a_k$ . The following run is also accepting

$$I \ni \alpha(q_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha(a_1)} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha(a_j)} \alpha(q_j) = a_i \xrightarrow{a_{j+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n \in F.$$

and thus  $w' = \alpha(a_1) \dots \alpha(a_j) a_{j+1} \dots a_n \in L(A)$ . However the data value  $a_k$  appears at least twice in  $w'$ , thus  $w' \in L$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.** Show that the class of deterministic OFA languages is not closed under reversal.

*Solution:* The language “the last letter appears at least twice” from the solution of Exercise 3 cannot be recognised by a deterministic OFA, however its reversal can.  $\square$

**Exercise 6.** Show that the class of non-guessing OFA languages is not closed under reversal.

*Solution:* Consider the language  $L$  of all words  $w \in \mathbb{A}^*$  s.t. “the first letter appears exactly once”. This can be recognised by a deterministic OFA, which is non-guessing. Its reversal  $L^R$  contains all words where “the last letter appears exactly once”. We show that it cannot be recognised without guessing. By way of contradiction let  $A$  be a non-guessing OFA recognising  $L^R$ . Consider a long word  $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \mathbb{A}^*$  with pairwise distinct data values. Since  $w \in L(A)$ , there is an accepting run

$$I \ni q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} \dots \xrightarrow{a_{n-1}} q_{n-1} \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n \in F.$$

There is  $a_i \notin \text{supp } q_{n-1}$ . Since the automaton is without guessing  $\text{supp } q_{n-1} \subseteq a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ , and since  $a_n$  is fresh (i.e., not in the latter set), also  $a_n \notin \text{supp } q_{n-1}$ . There is an automorphism  $\alpha$  that 1) fixes all elements in  $\text{supp } q_{n-1}$  (and in particular  $\alpha(q_{n-1}) = q_{n-1}$ ), and 2) maps  $a_i$  to  $\alpha(a_i) = a_n$ . The following run is also accepting

$$I \ni \alpha(q_0) \xrightarrow{\alpha(a_1)} \dots \xrightarrow{\alpha(a_{n-1})} \alpha(q_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{a_n} q_n \in F,$$

however it accepts a word where the last letter  $a_n$  appears twice, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Exercise 7** (Universality is undecidable for nondeterministic OFA). Consider the data alphabet  $\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{A} \cup \{\$ \}$  with  $\Sigma$  finite and  $\$ \notin \Sigma$ . Consider the following data language

$$L = \{w\$w \mid w = (b_1, a_1) \dots (b_n, a_n) \text{ and the } a_i\text{'s are pairwise distinct}\}.$$

Show that the complement  $\hat{\Sigma}^* \setminus L$  of  $L$  can be recognised by

1. A nonguessing OFA of dimension two (two registers in the sense of register automata).
2. A nondeterministic OFA of dimension one, which uses guessing.

Conclude that the universality problem is undecidable for nondeterministic OFA.

*Solution:* TODO.  $\square$