

## NOTE

### RATIONAL $\omega$ -LANGUAGES ARE NON-AMBIGUOUS

André ARNOLD

*Université de Poitiers, 86022 Poitiers, France*

Communicated by M. Nivat

Received December 1982

**Abstract.** We prove that every rational  $\omega$ -language can be recognized by a *non-ambiguous* automaton, i.e., an automaton which accepts every infinite word in at most one way.

One knows (see [1] for example) that a rational  $\omega$ -language cannot be recognized by a deterministic automaton. However, one can ask whether it can be recognized by a *non-ambiguous* automaton which, although nondeterministic, accepts a word in the  $\omega$ -language in only one way. We answer this question by proving the following proposition.

**Proposition.** *Every rational  $\omega$ -language is recognized by a non-ambiguous automaton.*

**Notation.** An automaton over a finite alphabet  $A$  is a 4-uple  $\mathcal{A} = \langle Q, Q_0, Q_{\text{inf}}, \delta \rangle$  where

$Q$  is a finite set of states,

$Q_0 \subset Q$  is the set of initial states,

$Q_{\text{inf}} \subset Q$  is a set of designated states,

$\delta : Q \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$  is the transition mapping.

For every infinite word  $u = u(1)u(2) \cdots u(n) \cdots \in A^\omega$  and for every state  $q \in Q$ , we define a *computation of  $u$  from  $q$  in  $\mathcal{A}$*  as being an infinite sequence  $\{q_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of states such that  $q_0 = q$  and  $q_i \in \delta(q_{i-1}, u(i))$  for  $i \geq 1$ . We say that a computation  $\{q_i\}_i$  of  $u$  is *successful* iff  $q_0 \in Q_0$  and  $\{i \mid q_i \in Q_{\text{inf}}\}$  is infinite. The  $\omega$ -language recognized by  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set  $L(\mathcal{A})$  of all infinite words  $u$  which have a successful computation in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *non-ambiguous* if for every  $u$  in  $L(\mathcal{A})$  there exists only one successful computation of  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Finally, an  $\omega$ -language  $L$  is said to be *rational* if it is recognized by an automaton.

The starting point of the proof of the proposition is the following version of the Büchi–MacNaughton Theorem.

**Theorem.** *Every rational  $\omega$ -language can be recognized by a deterministic Muller automaton.*

Here a deterministic Muller automaton is a 4-uple  $\mathcal{A} = \langle Q, Q_0, \mathcal{C}, \delta \rangle$  where  $Q$ ,  $Q_0$  and  $\delta$  are as above but  $\text{Card}(\delta(q, a))$  is always less than 1, and  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(Q)$ ; the set of infinite words recognized by  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of words  $u$  such that the (unique if it exists) computation of  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$q_0 \in Q_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{q \in Q \mid \{i \mid q_i = q\} \text{ is infinite}\} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Now we are ready for the proof. Let  $L$  be any rational  $\omega$ -language and let  $\mathcal{A} = \langle Q, Q_0, \mathcal{C}, \delta \rangle$  be a deterministic Muller automaton recognizing it.

**Proof of the Proposition.** First, let us define, for every  $T$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the deterministic Muller automaton  $\mathcal{A}_T = \langle Q, Q_0, \{T\}, \delta \rangle$ . Obviously,  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is the disjoint union of the  $L(\mathcal{A}_T)$ , since if  $u \in L(\mathcal{A}_T) \cap L(\mathcal{A}_{T'})$ , the unique computation of  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $T = \{q \in Q \mid \{i \mid q_i = q\} \text{ is infinite}\} = T'$ . Now the disjoint union of  $\omega$ -languages recognized by non-ambiguous automata is recognized by the disjoint union of these automata which is still non-ambiguous. Thus it remains to prove that  $L(\mathcal{A}_T)$  is recognized by a non-ambiguous automaton.

Let us remark that any word  $u$  in  $L(\mathcal{A}_T)$  can be written in a unique way in the form  $va^{\omega}$  (or  $w$ ) such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a \in A, \quad v \in A^*, \\ \delta(q_0, v) \notin T, \quad \delta(q_0, va) \in T, \\ \forall q \in Q, \text{ the set } \{n \mid \delta(q_0, vaw(1)w(2) \cdots w(n)) = q\} \\ \text{is } \begin{cases} \text{empty} & \text{if } q \notin T, \\ \text{infinite} & \text{if } q \in T. \end{cases} \end{array} \right. \quad (\star)$$

Thus, assuming  $T = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ , we consider the automaton  $\mathcal{A}' = \langle Q', Q'_0, Q'_{\text{int}}, \delta' \rangle$  where

$$Q' = Q \cup (T \times \{0, 1, \dots, n\}), \quad Q'_0 = Q_0 \cup ((T \cap Q_0) \times \{0\}),$$

$$Q'_{\text{int}} = \{(s_{n-1}, n)\},$$

and  $\delta'$  is defined by

$$\text{if } q' = \delta(q, a), \text{ then}$$

$$q' \in \delta'(q, a),$$

$$(q', 0) \in \delta'(q, a) \quad \text{iff} \quad q \notin T \text{ and } q' \in T,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle q', i \rangle \in \delta'(\langle q, i \rangle, a) & \text{ iff } q \in T \text{ and } q' \neq s_i, \\
\langle s_i, i+1 \rangle \in \delta'(\langle q, i \rangle, a) & \text{ iff } q \in T, q' = s_i \text{ and } i < n, \\
\langle q', 0 \rangle \in \delta'(\langle q, n \rangle, a).
\end{aligned}$$

It is just an exercise to prove that  $L(\mathcal{A}') = L(\mathcal{A}_T)$ . Moreover, to every successful computation  $\{\bar{q}_i\}_i$  of  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}'$  we have either

- (1)  $\forall i \geq 0: \bar{q}_i = \langle q_i, n_i \rangle$  with  $q_i \in T$ , or
- (2)  $\exists k \geq 0: \bar{q}_k \in Q - T$  and  $\forall i \geq k+1, \bar{q}_i = \langle q_i, n_i \rangle$  with  $q_i \in T$ .

In both cases we get a decomposition of  $u$  in  $w$  or  $vaw$  which satisfies  $(\star)$ . Since this decomposition is unique,  $u$  has only one successful computation in  $\mathcal{A}'$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$  is non-ambiguous.  $\square$

Some other properties of rational  $\omega$ -languages can be derived from the previous construction of  $\mathcal{A}'$ .

(1) Like the automaton constructed by Karpinski in [2],  $\mathcal{A}'$  is of 'nondeterministic rank' 2 and we get Theorem 2 of [2].

(2) More important is the following improvement of a part of the Büchi-MacNaughton theorem:

*Every rational  $\omega$ -language  $L$  has a non-ambiguous decomposition in the form  $\bigcup_{i=1, \dots, n} U_i V_i^\omega$*

which means that every word  $u$  in  $L$  has a unique decomposition in the form  $uv_1v_2 \cdots v_n \cdots$  with  $u \in U_i$  and  $v_n \in V_i$ .

## References

- [1] S. Eilenberg, *Automata, Languages and Machines, Vol. A* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- [2] M. Karpinski, Almost deterministic  $\omega$ -automata with output condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **53** (1975) 449–452.