

Comptes Rendus Mathématique

Alin Bostan and Antonio Iiménez-Pastor

On the exponential generating function of labelled trees

Volume 358, issue 9-10 (2020), p. 1005-1009

Published online: 5 January 2021

https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.108

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique sont membres du Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte www.centre-mersenne.org e-ISSN: 1778-3569

Comptes Rendus Mathématique

2020, 358, nº 9-10, p. 1005-1009 https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.108



Combinatorics / Combinatoire

On the exponential generating function of labelled trees

Sur la série génératrice des arbres étiquetés

Alin Bostan a and Antonio Jiménez-Pastor*, b

E-mails: Alin.Bostan@inria.fr (A. Bostan), ajpastor@risc.uni-linz.ac.at (A. Jiménez-Pastor)

Abstract. We show that the generating function of labelled trees is not D^{∞} -finite.

Résumé. Nous montrons que la série génératrice des arbres étiquetés n'est pas D^{∞} -finie.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A15, 12H05, 34A34.

Funding. This research was partially funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK15. It was also supported in part by the ANR DeRerumNatura project, grant ANR-19-CE40-0018 of the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche*.

Manuscript received 29th June 2020, revised and accepted 20th August 2020.

Version française abrégée

Nous montrons que la série génératrice exponentielle des arbres étiquetés, $T(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^{n-1}}{n!} x^n$, n'est pas D^{∞} -finie. En particulier, cela implique que, bien que T(x) vérifie des équations différentielles non-linéaires, ces dernières ne peuvent pas être « trop simples ». En particulier, T(x) n'est pas un quotient de deux fonctions D-finies (vérifiant des équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux), et plus généralement, T(x) ne vérifie aucune équation différentielle linéaire à coefficients des fonctions D-finies. La preuve repose ultimement sur un résultat de théorie de Galois différentielle. Plusieurs questions ouvertes sont proposées, dont une sur la nature de la série génératrice ordinaire des arbres étiquetés, $\sum_{n\geq 1} n^{n-1} x^n$.

^a Inria, 1 rue Honoré d'Estienne d'Orves 91120 Palaiseau, France

 $^{^{\}it b}$ Johannes Kepler University Linz, Doctoral Program Computational Mathematics, DK15

^{*} Corresponding author.

1. Context and main result

A formal power series $f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n x^n$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ is called *differentially finite*, or simply D-finite [23], if it satisfies a *linear* differential equation with polynomial coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Many generating functions in combinatorics and many special functions in mathematical physics are D-finite [2,9].

DD-finite series and more generally D^n -finite series are larger classes of power series, recently introduced in [13]. DD-finite power series satisfy linear differential equations, whose coefficients are themselves D-finite power series. One of the simplest examples is tan(x), which is DD-finite (because it satisfies cos(x) f(x) - sin(x) = 0), but is not D-finite (because it has an infinite number of complex singularities, a property which is incompatible with D-finiteness). Another basic example is the <u>exponential</u> generating function of the <u>Bell numbers B_n </u>, which count partitions of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, namely:

$$B(x) := \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{B_n}{n!} x^n. \tag{1}$$

Indeed, it is classical [9, p. 109] that $B(x) = e^{e^x - 1}$, therefore B(x) is <u>DD-finite</u>. On the other hand, B(x) is <u>not D-finite</u>: this can be proved either analytically (using the too fast growth of B(x) as $x \to \infty$), or purely algebraically (using [22], and the fact that the power series e^x is not algebraic).

More generally, given a differential ring R, the set of *differentially definable* functions over R, denoted by D(R), is the differential ring of formal power series satisfying linear differential equations with coefficients in R. In particular, $D(\mathbb{C}[x])$ is the ring of D-finite power series, $D^2(\mathbb{C}[x]) := D(D(\mathbb{C}[x]))$ is the ring of DD-finite power series, and $D^n(\mathbb{C}[x]) := D(D^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}[x]))$ is the ring of D^n -finite power series. We say that a power series $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ is D^{∞} -finite if there exists an n such that f(x) is D^n -finite.

It is known [14] that D^n -finite power series form a strictly increasing sequence of sets and that any D^{∞} -finite power series is *differentially algebraic*, in short D-*algebraic*, that is, it satisfies a differential equation, possibly *non-linear*, with polynomial coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. This class, as well as its complement (of *D-transcendental* series), are quite well studied [11,21].

Let now $(t_n)_{n\geq 0}=(0,1,2,9,64,625,7776,...)$ be the sequence whose general term t_n counts *labelled rooted trees* with n nodes. It is well known that $\underline{t_n}=n^{n-1}$, for any $\underline{n\geq 1}$. This beautiful and non-trivial result is usually attributed to Cayley [6], although an equivalent result had been proved earlier by Borchardt [4], and even earlier by Sylvester, see [3, Ch. 4]. Due to the importance of the combinatorial class of trees, and to the simplicity of the formula, Cayley's result has attracted a lot of interest over the time, and it admits several different proofs, see e.g., [16, §4] and [1, §30]. One of the more conceptual proofs goes along the following lines (see [9, §II. 5.1] for details). Let

$$T(x) := \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{t_n}{n!} x^n \tag{2}$$

be the exponential generating function of the sequence $(t_n)_n$. The class \mathcal{T} of all rooted labelled trees is definable by a *symbolic equation* $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{Z} \star \text{SET}(\mathcal{T})$ reflecting their recursive definition, where \mathcal{Z} represents the atomic class consisting of a single labelled node, and \star denotes the labelled product on combinatorial classes. This symbolic equation provides, by syntactic translation, an implicit equation on the level of exponential generating functions:

$$T(x) = xe^{T(x)},$$

$$T = Q^{T} + xTQ^{T} \qquad (3)$$

$$T = (1 + xT')Q^{T}$$

which can be solved using Lagrange inversion

$$t_n = n! \cdot [x^n] T(x) = n! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} [z^{n-1}] (e^z)^n\right) = n^{n-1}.$$
(4)

log T = log x + T $T' = \frac{1}{x} + T' \Rightarrow xT' = T + xTT' \Rightarrow x(1-T)T' = T$

 $t_n = n! \cdot [x]$

M.
$$t_{M+1} = (M+1)(t+b+)(m)$$
 EGF $\times \partial_{x} T = (x\partial_{x} + 1)T = x 2TT + T^{2}$

Alin Bostan and Antonio Jiménez-Pastor

$$EGF(TT) = C_{1}F((2T^{2})) = \frac{1}{2}(t_{M} \times t_{M})(m+1) + \frac{1}{2}F(x) = \frac{1}{2}m(t+b+)(m+2)$$

EGF (x,T) From (3), it follows easily that $T(x)$ is D-algebraic and satisfies the non-linear equation
$$x(1-T(x))T'(x) = T(x), \quad x(1-T(x))T'(x) = T(x), \quad x(1-T(x))T'(x) = T(x)$$
and from there, that the sequence $(t_{n})_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies the non-linear recurrence relation

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{n}{i} t_i t_{n-i+1}, \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$
This recurrence can also be proved using (4), by taking $y = n$, $x = w = 1$ in Abel's identity [12]

$$(x+y)^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x(x+wk)^{k-1} (y-wk)^{n-k}, \qquad (m+1)^{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} (1+k)^{m-k}$$

This recurrence can also be proved using (4), by taking y = n, x = w = 1 in ADEIS IDENTITY [12] $(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x(x+wk)^{k-1} (y-wk)^{n-k}, \qquad (m+1)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (1+k)^m - k$ and then by isolating the term k=n in the resulting equality.
On the other hand, it is known that the power series T(x) is not D-finite, see [10, Thm. 7], $=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{k-1} \binom{k+1}{k-1} \binom{m-k}{k-1} \binom{m-k}$ $n \ge 2$. Our main result is that this is not the case:

Theorem 1. The power series $T(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{n^{n-1}}{n!} x^n$ in (2) is not D^{∞} -finite.

To our knowledge, this is the first explicit example of a natural combinatorial generating function which is provably D-algebraic but not D^{∞} -finite. In particular, Theorem 1 implies that T(x) is not equal to the quotient of two D-finite functions, and more generally, that it does not satisfy any linear differential equation with D-finite coefficients.

2. Proof of the main result

Our proof of Theorem 1 builds upon the following recent result by Noordman, van der Put and Top.

Theorem 2 ([18]). Assume that $u(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]] \setminus \mathbb{C}$ is a solution of $u' = u^3 - u^2$. Then u is not \mathbb{D}^{∞} -

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on two ingredients. The first one is a result by Rosenlicht [20] stating that any set of non-constant solutions (in any differential field) of the differential equation $u' = u^3 - u^2$ is algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} (see also [18, Prop. 7.1]); the proof is elementary. The second one [18, Prop. 7.1] is that any non-constant power series solution of an autonomous first-order differential equation with this independence property cannot be D^{∞} -finite; the proof is based on differential Galois theory.

Proof of Theorem 1. We will use Theorem 2 and a few facts about the (principal branch of the) Lambert *W* function, satisfying $W(x) \cdot e^{W(x)} = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

Recall [7] that the Taylor series of W around 0 is given by

$$W(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!} x^n = x - x^2 + \frac{3}{2} x^3 - \frac{8}{3} x^4 + \frac{125}{24} x^5 - \cdots$$

In other words, our T(x) and W(x) are simply related by W(x) = -T(-x).

The function defined by this series can be extended to a holomorphic function defined on all complex numbers with a branch cut along the interval $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{e}]$; this holomorphic function defines the principal branch of the Lambert \mathcal{W} function.

We can substitute $x \mapsto e^{x+1}$ in the functional equation for W(x) obtaining then

$$W(e^{x+1})e^{W(e^{x+1})}=e^{x+1},$$

or, renaming $Y(x) = W(e^{x+1})$, we have a new functional equation: $Y(x)e^{Y(x)-1} = e^x$. From this equality it follows by logarithmic differentiation that $Y'(x) \cdot (1 + Y(x)) = Y(x)$.

Take now $U(x) := \frac{1}{1+Y(x)} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{8}x + \frac{1}{64}x^2 + \frac{1}{768}x^3 + \cdots$. We have that

$$U'(x) = \frac{-Y'(x)}{(1+Y(x))^2} = \frac{-Y(x)}{(1+Y(x))^3} = U(x)^3 - U(x)^2.$$

By Theorem 2, U(x) is not D^{∞} -finite. By closure properties of D^{∞} -finite functions (see [14, Thm. 4] and [13, §3]), it follows that Y(x) is not D^{∞} -finite either.

To conclude, note that by definition, for real x in the neighborhood of 0, we have $W(x) = Y(\log(x) - 1)$, and by Theorem 10 in [14], it follows that W(x) and T(x) are not D^{∞} -finite either, proving Theorem 1.

3. Open questions

The class of D-finite power series is closed under Hadamard (term-wise) product. This is false for D^{∞} -finite power series; for instance, Klazar showed in [15] that the <u>ordinary</u> generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} B_n x^n$ of the <u>Bell numbers</u> is <u>not differentially algebraic</u>, contrary to its exponential generating function (1), which is DD-finite.

Moreover, it was conjectured by Pak and Yeliussizov [19, Open Problem 2.4] that this is an instance of a more general phenomenon.

Conjecture 3 ([19, Open Problem 2.4]). If for a sequence $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ both ordinary and exponential generating functions $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n x^n$ and $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ are <u>D-algebraic</u>, then both are <u>D-finite</u>. (Equivalently, $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ satisfies a linear recurrence with polynomial coefficients in n.)

This conjecture has been recently proven for large (infinite) classes of generating functions [5]. However, the very natural example of the generating function for labelled trees escapes the method in [5].

We therefore leave the following as an open question.

Open question 4. Is the power series $\sum_{n\geq 1} n^{n-1} x^n D^{\infty}$ -finite? Is it at least <u>differentially algebraic</u>?

According to Conjecture 3, the answer should be "no" for both questions in Open question 4.

Another natural question concerns the generating function for partition numbers:

Acknowledgements

We would like to warmly thank the referees for their careful reading and for their constructive remarks. $2x + 3x^2$

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{2x}{1-x^{2}} + \frac{3x^{2}}{1-x^{3}} + \cdots$$

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}} + \frac{2(1-x^{2}) + (2x^{2})}{(1-x^{2})^{2}} + \frac{6x(1-x^{3}) + (3x^{2})^{2}}{(1-x^{3})^{2}} + \cdots$$

$$2(1+x^{2}) \qquad 6x + 3x^{4} = 3x(2+x^{3})$$

References

- [1] M. Aigner, G. M. Ziegler, Proofs from The Book, fourth ed., Springer, 2010, viii+274 pages.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, 1999, xvi+664 pages.
- [3] N. L. Biggs, E. K. Lloyd, R. J. Wilson, Graph theory. 1736–1936, second ed., Clarendon Press, 1986, xii+239 pages.
- [4] C. W. Borchardt, "Ueber eine der Interpolation entsprechende Darstellung der Eliminations-Resultante", J. Reine Angew. Math. 57 (1860), p. 111-121.
- [5] A. Bostan, L. Di Vizio, K. Raschel, "Differential transcendence of Bell numbers and relatives a Galois theoretic approach", 2020, In preparation.
- [6] A. Cayley, "A theorem on trees", Q. J. Math 23 (1889), p. 376-378.
- [7] R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey, D. E. Knuth, "On the Lambert W function", Adv. Comput. Math. 5 (1996), no. 4, p. 329-359.
- [8] P. Flajolet, S. Gerhold, B. Salvy, "On the non-holonomic character of logarithms, powers, and the *n*th prime function", *Electron. J. Comb.* **11** (2005), no. 2, article ID A2 (16 pages).
- [9] P. Flajolet, R. Sedgewick, Analytic combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, 2009, xiv+810 pages.
- [10] S. Gerhold, "On some non-holonomic sequences", Electron. J. Comb. 11 (2004), no. 1, article ID 87 (8 pages).
- [11] J. van der Hoeven, "Computing with D-algebraic power series", *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* **30** (2019), no. 1, p. 17-49.
- [12] J. L. W. V. Jensen, "Sur une identité d'Abel et sur d'autres formules analogues", Acta Math. 26 (1902), no. 1, p. 307-318.
- [13] A. Jiménez-Pastor, V. Pillwein, "A computable extension for D-finite functions: DD-finite functions", *J. Symb. Comput.* **94** (2019), p. 90-104.
- [14] A. Jiménez-Pastor, V. Pillwein, M. F. Singer, "Some structural results on Dⁿ-finite functions", *Adv. Appl. Math.* 117 (2020), article ID 102027 (29 pages).
- [15] M. Klazar, "Bell numbers, their relatives, and algebraic differential equations", *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A* **102** (2003), no. 1, p. 63-87.
- [16] L. Lovász, Combinatorial problems and exercises, second ed., North-Holland, 1993, 635 pages.
- [17] A. M. Mian, S. Chowla, "The differential equations satisfied by certain functions", J. Indian Math. Soc., New Ser. 8 (1944), p. 27-28.
- [18] M. P. Noordman, M. van der Put, J. Top, "Combinatorial Autonomous first order differential equations", 2019, preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.08152v1.
- [19] I. Pak, "Complexity problems in enumerative combinatorics", in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. IV. Invited lectures*, World Scientific, 2018, p. 3153-3180.
- [20] M. Rosenlicht, "The nonminimality of the differential closure", Pac. J. Math. 52 (1974), p. 529-537.
- [21] L. A. Rubel, "A survey of transcendentally transcendental functions", Am. Math. Mon. 96 (1989), no. 9, p. 777-788.
- [22] M. F. Singer, "Algebraic relations among solutions of linear differential equations", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **295** (1986), no. 2, p. 753-763.
- [23] R. P. Stanley, "Differentiably finite power series", Eur. J. Comb. 1 (1980), no. 2, p. 175-188.