

INTRODUCTION

Cantor functions $\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x), \omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, which can be realized by numbering n -tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) of positive integers are related to each other by the following identities (see [1], pp. 63-66):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi_i(\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)) &= x_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ \omega(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x)) &= x. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Therefore, an algebra $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ of the type $\langle 1, \dots, 1, n \rangle$ ($n \geq 2$), given on any nonempty set A and satisfying the identities (1) is called a Cantor algebra. It is agreed that the type of Cantor algebra be denoted by $\langle n \rangle$. The manifold of all Cantor algebras $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ of the given type $\langle n \rangle$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{1,n}$.

It was shown in [2] that for any positive integer $n \geq 2$ the manifold $\mathcal{A}_{1,n}$ is minimal. In other words, all nonsingle-element (and hence infinite) Cantor algebras of any specified type $\langle n \rangle$ ($n \geq 2$) are equationally equivalent, that is, they have the same system of identities which are formal corollaries of the identities (1). It was shown by Akataev in [3] that contrary to the above the manifold $\mathcal{A}_{1,n}$ ($n \geq 2$) has a continuum of quasibasic manifolds. To investigate further the manifolds $\mathcal{A}_{1,n}$ ($n \geq 2$) it is essential to consider the construction of single-generator Cantor algebras.

In the present work, it is shown by us that for each $n \geq 2$ there exists a continuum of nonisomorphic single-generator Cantor algebras $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$, with no proper subalgebras. Hence it follows that, in particular, for any $n \geq 2$ there does not exist a universal countable Cantor algebra of the type $\langle n \rangle$, that is, an algebra such that any countable Cantor algebra $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra of it.

It is also shown that any countable Cantor algebra can be embedded in a Cantor algebra with a single generator.

It is now noted for future reference that any ω -homomorphism (as well as any $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ -homomorphism) of the Cantor algebra $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ is also a homomorphism of that algebra, that is, it retains all other fundamental operations of the algebra under consideration. For example, if σ is a ω -homomorphism and $x \in A$ then by setting $\sigma(\varphi_i(x)) = y_i$ one obtains

$$\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sigma(\omega(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x))) = \sigma(x),$$

and hence $\varphi_i(\sigma(x)) = y_i = \sigma(\varphi_i(x))$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

1. Construction of Continuum of Pairwise Nonisomorphic Cantor Algebras with Single Generator

Let $A = \langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ be any partial algebra of the type $\langle 1, \dots, 1, n \rangle$ ($n \geq 2$), which satisfies the following three conditions:

Translated from Algebra i Logika, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 61-75, January-February, 1972. Original article submitted October 19, 1970.

© 1972 Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. All rights reserved. This article cannot be reproduced for any purpose whatsoever without permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

- (i) the unary operations $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are defined in the set A ;
- (ii) if for some elements a_1, \dots, a_n of A there is defined in A an element $\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then
$$\varphi_i(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = a_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n);$$
- (iii) for any element $a \in A$ the element $\omega(\varphi_1(a), \dots, \varphi_n(a))$ is defined in A and is equal to a .

We set

$$A_0 = A, \quad A_{\kappa+1} = A_\kappa + \omega(A_\kappa), \quad F(A) = \bigcup_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} A_\kappa,$$

where $\omega(A_\kappa)$ denotes the set of all terms of the form $\omega(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ ($b_1, \dots, b_n \in A_\kappa$) not defined in A_κ . By definition, each element b of the set $F(A) \setminus A$ has a unique description of the form

$$b = \omega(b_1, \dots, b_n) \quad (b_1, \dots, b_n \in F(A)).$$

By setting

$$\varphi_i(b) = b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

one obviously obtains the algebra

$$F(A) = \langle F(A), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle,$$

which belongs to the manifold $\mathcal{A}_{1,n}$. We shall call it the free closure of the partial algebra A .

For future reference one notes that for any element f of the algebra $F(A)$ a positive integer $\kappa \geq 1$ obviously exists such that

$$\varphi_i^{\kappa}(f) \in A \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Let $\mathbf{Y} = \langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ be a free algebra in the class of all algebras with unary operations $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$, freely generated by the set X , $|X| = \aleph$. By setting $\omega(\varphi_1(y), \dots, \varphi_n(y)) = y$ for any element $y \in Y$, one obtains the partial algebra

$$\mathbf{Y} = \langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle,$$

which satisfies all the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) since the symbol $\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is defined in Y only at the points given by

$$(\varphi_1(y), \dots, \varphi_n(y)) \quad (y \in Y).$$

According to [4] the free closure $F_{\aleph} = F(\mathbf{Y})$ of a partial algebra \mathbf{Y} is a free algebra of rank \aleph in the manifold $\mathcal{A}_{1,n}$, freely generated by the set X . This can easily be verified directly.

For the above introduced algebras,

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\aleph} = \langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\aleph} = \langle F(Y), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle;$$

this notation is retained throughout.

THEOREM 1. For any positive integer $n \geq 2$ there exists a continuum of pairwise nonisomorphic Cantor algebras of the type $\langle n \rangle$ with no proper subalgebras.

Proof. The positive integer $n \geq 2$ is kept constant and any group \mathcal{G} with n generators a_1, \dots, a_n is considered. By setting for each element g of \mathcal{G}

$$\varphi_i(g) = a_i g \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

the unary algebra $\langle \mathcal{G}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ is obtained. Since in the latter the equality $\varphi_i(g) = \varphi_i(h)$ implies $g = h$, therefore by defining

$$\omega(g_1, \dots, g_n) = g \iff (\exists q)(g_1 = \varphi_1(q) \& \dots \& g_n = \varphi_n(q)), \quad (2)$$

where $g, g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{G}$, a partial algebra $\langle \mathcal{G}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ is obtained with the properties (i), (ii), (iii). Let $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G}; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ be the free closure in the previously specified sense of the partial algebra $\langle \mathcal{G}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$.

LEMMA 1. If a_1, \dots, a_n are periodic generators of the group \mathcal{G} then the Cantor algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G}; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is generated by any of its elements and has thus no proper subalgebras.

Indeed, in this case any element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ can be written as a product of positive powers of the generators a_1, \dots, a_n . The abbreviated notation $\Phi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is used for it. Then the element g can be expressed in terms of unity 1 of the group \mathcal{G} with the aid of the operations $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in the form

$$g = \Phi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(1).$$

Since the set \mathcal{G} generates the algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G}; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ this algebra can therefore be generated by the element 1.

Let f be any element of the algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G}; a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then for some positive power $\varphi_i^{k_i}$ of the operator φ_i the element $\varphi_i^{k_i}(f) \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e.,

$$\varphi_i^{k_i}(f) = \varphi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \varphi_{i_s}^{k_s}(1) \quad (i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, n\}).$$

By assumption, the operations $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ in \mathcal{G} can be inverted. Therefore, the unit 1 can be expressed in terms of f only by using the operations $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$. Therefore the element f also generates the algebra $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{G}; a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

LEMMA 2. If A and B are groups with periodic generators a_1, \dots, a_n and b_1, \dots, b_n , respectively then the Cantor algebras $\mathbf{F}(A; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{F}(B; b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphic mapping σ of the group A into the group B so that

$$\sigma(a_i) = b_i, \dots, \sigma(a_n) = b_n. \quad (3)$$

In fact, let us suppose that there exists an isomorphic mapping $\sigma: A \rightarrow B$, such that the relations (3) are satisfied. This is an isomorphism of the partial algebra $\langle A, \omega \rangle$ onto the partial algebra $\langle B, \omega \rangle$. Indeed, if $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, then in view of (2) there exists an element $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in A if and only if there exists in B an element $\omega(\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n))$, and the equality takes place:

$$\sigma(\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \omega(\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n)).$$

For example, if in A there exists an element $x_0 = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then $x_i = a_i x_0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let $y_i = \sigma(x_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since $y_i = b_i y_0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), therefore in B an element $\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is defined and it is equal to y_0 .

If the mapping σ has already been extended to the isomorphism of the partial algebra $\langle A_k, \omega \rangle$ onto the partial algebra $\langle B_k, \omega \rangle$ and also if $f \in A_{k+1} \setminus A_k$, then f has a unique description,

$$f = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)(x_1, \dots, x_n \in A_k),$$

We set

$$\sigma(f) = \omega(\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n)).$$

Obviously, ϕ is an isomorphism of the partial algebra $\langle A_{\kappa+1}, \omega \rangle$ onto the partial algebra $\langle B_{\kappa+1}, \omega \rangle$.

The union ϕ of thus constructed mappings $A_\kappa \rightarrow B_\kappa$ is a one-to-one mapping of the algebra $F(A; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ onto the algebra $F(B; b_1, \dots, b_n)$, which preserves the operation and also satisfies the relation (3). In view of our remark in the introduction, ϕ is the sought isomorphism of the Cantor algebras under consideration.

Conversely, let an isomorphism ρ be given of the algebra $F(A; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ onto the algebra $F(B; b_1, \dots, b_n)$. We set $\tau = \rho(1)$. In view of the way the algebra $F(B; b_1, \dots, b_n)$ was constructed one can find a positive integer $\kappa > 0$, such that $\varphi_i^\kappa(\tau) = b_i \in B$. Hence

$$\rho(a_i^\kappa) = \rho(\varphi_i^\kappa(1)) = \varphi_i^\kappa(\rho(1)) = b_i.$$

By selecting the number $m \geq 0$ such that $a_i^{\kappa+m} = 1$ one obtains

$$\tau = \rho(1) = \rho(\varphi_i^m(a_i^\kappa)) = \varphi_i^m(b_i) = b_i^m b_i \in B.$$

If $x = \varphi_{i_1}^{\kappa_1} \dots \varphi_{i_s}^{\kappa_s}(1)$ is an arbitrary element of A then also

$$\rho(x) = \varphi_{i_1}^{\kappa_1} \dots \varphi_{i_s}^{\kappa_s}(\tau) \in B.$$

For the inverse mapping ρ^{-1} one obtains $\rho^{-1}(y) \in A$ for any element $y \in B$. Thus, the restriction $\rho|_A$ is a one-to-one mapping of A onto B .

To complete the proof of Lemma 2 it only remains to verify that the mapping $\phi: A \rightarrow B$, defined by the formula

$$\phi(x) = \rho(x) \tau^{-1} \quad (x \in A),$$

is a group homomorphism transforming a_i into b_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Let $x = \Phi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(1)$, $y = \Psi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(1)$ be arbitrary elements of A described in the form of terms of 1 with the aid of the operations $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$. Then

$$xy = \Phi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(\Psi(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)(1)),$$

hence

$$\phi(xy) = \rho(xy) \tau^{-1} = \Phi(b_1, \dots, b_n) \Psi(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \phi(x) \phi(y).$$

One also has

$$\phi(a_i) = \rho(\varphi_i(1)) \tau^{-1} = (b_i \tau) \tau^{-1} = b_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

The lemma has thus been proved.

To prove Theorem 1 it only remains to mention that according to the results of Neiman ([5], p. 245) and Levin [6] the set of all pairwise nonisomorphic groups with two periodic generators is of continuum power.

From Theorem 1 there follows directly

COROLLARY. For any positive integer $n \geq 2$ no universal countable Cantor algebra exists of the type $\langle n \rangle$.

2. Embedding Theorem

THEOREM 2. Any countable Cantor algebra $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ ($n \geq 2$) can be embedded in a Cantor algebra with single generator.

Proof. By virtue of the isomorphism theorem (see [7], p. 65) it is sufficient to show as in other similar cases that a free Cantor algebra F_1 of rank 1 (of the specified type « n ») contains a subalgebra F_∞ such that 1) F_∞ is a free Cantor algebra of infinite rank and 2) for any congruence F_∞ in η there exists a congruence θ in F_1 , satisfying the relation

$$\theta \cap (F_\infty \times F_\infty) = \eta.$$

Before proving the above proposition we shall consider a congruence ρ of an arbitrary algebraic system $A = \langle A, \Omega \rangle$ generated by a specified relation $\rho_0 \subseteq A \times A$. By analogy with the subgroups (see [8], p. 18) it admits the following description.

We associate with each element a of the set A the unknown x_a . To the set of the unknowns $\{x_a \mid a \in A\}$ another unknown x is adjoined and a term $T(x, x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m})$ is considered of the signature Ω of the unknowns $x, x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m}$, which contains only one appearance of the unknown x . A derivative operation in A , defined by the formula

$$E(x) = T(x, a_1, \dots, a_m),$$

is called an elementary translation of the system A (see [9]).

Let

$$\rho_1 = \rho_0 \cup \rho_0^{-1} \cup \iota_A,$$

where ι_A is the equality relation in A . For $a, b \in A$ we set $a \rho_2 b$ if and only if there exist elements $u, v \in A$ and an elementary translation $E(x)$ of the system A , such that

$$a = E(u), \quad b = E(v) \quad \text{and} \quad (u, v) \in \rho_1.$$

It is easily verified that the relation

$$\rho = \rho_2 \cup \rho_2^2 \cup \rho_2^3 \cup \dots$$

is the least congruence in A , which contains the given relation ρ_0 , that is, ρ is a congruence generated by the relation ρ_0 .

LEMMA 3. Let A be an arbitrary unary algebra and B a subalgebra of the latter. Then for any congruence β in B there exists a congruence $\alpha = \alpha(\beta)$ in A , such that

$$\alpha \cap (B \times B) = \beta.$$

Indeed, let α be a congruence in A , which is generated by the relation β . Then

$$\alpha = \beta_2 \cup \beta_2^2 \cup \beta_2^3 \cup \dots, \quad (4)$$

where β_2 is the set of pairs of the form $(E(u), E(v))$, in which $E(x)$ is any elementary translation in A , such that

$$(u, v) \in \beta_1 = \beta \cup \iota_B.$$

Obviously, $\beta \subseteq \alpha \cap (B \times B)$.

Conversely, let $(a, b) \in \alpha \cap (B \times B)$. Then $a, b \in B$ and $a \alpha b$. Consequently, there exist elements c_1, \dots, c_k in A , such that

$$a \beta_2 c_1 \beta_2 c_2 \dots c_k \beta_2 b.$$

It will be shown that $c_1, \dots, c_k \in B$.

Let $\alpha = E(u)$, $c_i = E(v)$, where $(u, v) \in \beta \cup \zeta_A$. If $(u, v) \in \beta$, then $v \in B$. Since the fundamental operations in A are unary and since B is a subalgebra in A , it is also obtained that $c_i = E(v) \in B$. If, however, $u = v \in A$, then again $c_i = \alpha \in B$. Similarly, c_2, \dots, c_k belong to B . In view of the proper implication

$$x \beta y \ \& \ x, y \in B \implies x \beta y,$$

one has $\alpha \beta \beta$ and therefore $\alpha \cap (B \times B) \subseteq \beta$. Consequently, $\beta = \alpha \cap (B \times B)$. Lemma 3 has been proved.

Consider now the algebras $Y_\# = \langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$, $F_\# = \langle F(Y), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$, defined in Section 1.

A congruence ϑ of the algebra $Y_\#$ is said to be a Cantor congruence if for any elements u, v of Y the proper implication holds,

$$(\varphi_1(u) \vartheta \varphi_1(v)) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ (\varphi_n(u) \vartheta \varphi_n(v)) \longrightarrow u \vartheta v.$$

LEMMA 4. For any congruence ϑ of the algebra $F_\#$ the restriction

$$\vartheta|_Y = \vartheta \cap (Y \times Y)$$

is a Cantor congruence in $Y_\#$. Conversely, for any Cantor congruence ϑ_0 of the algebra $Y_\#$ there exists a unique congruence ϑ of the algebra $F_\#$, which satisfies the relation

$$\vartheta \cap (Y \times Y) = \vartheta_0.$$

Indeed, the first assertion of Lemma 4 follows directly from the fundamental identity $\omega(\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x)) = x$, which is basic for any Cantor algebra of the type $\langle n \rangle$.

Conversely, let us suppose that a Cantor congruence ϑ_0 of the algebra $Y_\#$ is given and let $\sigma_0 : Y_\# \rightarrow Y_\#/\vartheta_0$ be the natural homomorphism. Now in the set $A = Y/\vartheta_0$ the partial operation is defined

$$\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a \iff a_i = \varphi_i(a) \ \& \ \dots \ \& \ a_n = \varphi_n(a),$$

where a, a_1, \dots, a_n are elements of A . Since the congruence ϑ_0 is a Cantor congruence, the element $[a]$, if it does exist, is determined uniquely by the given elements a_1, \dots, a_n . One obtains the partial algebra $A = \langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$, with the properties (i), (ii), (iii) (see Section 1). Let $F(A)$ be the free closure for A . It will be shown that the mapping σ_0 can be extended to the homomorphism of the algebra $F_\# = \langle F(Y), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ into the algebra

$$F(A) = \langle F(A), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle.$$

The term $\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ($y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y$) is defined in Y only if an element $y \in Y$ exists such that $y_i = \varphi_i(y)$, \dots , $y_n = \varphi_n(y)$. Under these conditions $\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n) = y$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \sigma_0(y) = \omega(\varphi_1(\sigma_0(y)), \dots, \varphi_n(\sigma_0(y))) = \\ &= \omega(\sigma_0(y_1), \dots, \sigma_0(y_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ_0 is a homomorphism of the partial algebra $\langle Y, \omega \rangle$ into the algebra $\langle F(A), \omega \rangle$. Suppose that it has already been proved that one can extend the mapping σ_0 to the homomorphism σ of the partial algebra $\langle Y_\#, \omega \rangle$ into the algebra $\langle F(A), \omega \rangle$. If $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y_\#$ and if the term $\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is defined in $Y_\#$, then one sets

$$\sigma(\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \omega(\sigma(y_1), \dots, \sigma(y_n)).$$

In this manner a homomorphism σ is constructed of the partial algebra $\langle Y_{\kappa+1}, \omega \rangle$ into the algebra $\langle F(A), \omega \rangle$ which is an extension of σ_0 . Since $F(Y) = U Y_\kappa$, there exists a homomorphism σ of the algebra $\langle F(Y), \omega \rangle$ into the algebra $\langle F(A), \omega \rangle$ extending the given mapping σ_0 . Bearing in mind the remark made in the introduction one can say that σ is a homomorphism of the algebra $F_\#$ into the algebra $F(A)$.

Let ϑ be a nuclear congruence in $F_\#$ for σ . Then $\vartheta|_Y = \vartheta_0$, since σ is an extension of σ_0 .

Let η be any congruence of the algebra $F_\#$, such that $\eta|_Y = \vartheta_0$. It will be shown that $\eta = \vartheta$.

Suppose that it has already been shown that $\eta|_{Y_\kappa} = \vartheta|_{Y_\kappa}$. Consider now the set $Y_{\kappa+1} = Y_\kappa + \omega(Y_\kappa)$. If $f \vartheta g$ ($f, g \in Y_{\kappa+1}$), then

$$\varphi_i(f) \vartheta \varphi_i(g) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Since each element of $\omega(Y_\kappa)$ can be represented as $\omega(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, where $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y_\kappa$, therefore $\varphi_i(f)$ and $\varphi_i(g)$ belong to the set Y_κ and thus

$$\varphi_i(f) \eta \varphi_i(g) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence one obtains

$$\omega(\varphi_1(f), \dots, \varphi_n(f)) \eta \omega(\varphi_1(g), \dots, \varphi_n(g)),$$

that is $f \eta g$. Thus, $\vartheta|_{Y_{\kappa+1}} \subseteq \eta|_{Y_{\kappa+1}}$. In view of symmetry the converse inclusion also holds and hence, $\eta|_{Y_{\kappa+1}} = \vartheta|_{Y_{\kappa+1}}$. Consequently, $\eta = \vartheta$, Lemma 4 has been proved.

Consider now the free Cantor algebra $F_1 = \langle F(Y), \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ (of the given type $\langle n \rangle$) freely generated by the element x . The absolutely free unary algebra $Y_1 = \langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$, freely generated by the element x contains a free subalgebra $H = \langle H, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ of infinite rank. One selects as H the subalgebra in Y_1 , generated by the elements

$$h_1 = \varphi_2 \varphi_1(x), h_2 = \varphi_2 \varphi_1^2(x), h_3 = \varphi_2 \varphi_1^3(x), \dots$$

Since $\varphi_i(h_i) = \varphi_j(h_j)$ of two $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ -terms in H is only possible if $i = j$, therefore the elements h_1, h_2, \dots freely generate H (see [7], p. 313). Let $F_\infty = \langle F_\infty, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ be the subalgebra of the Cantor algebra F_1 , generated by the elements h_1, h_2, \dots . The following proposition will be proved.

LEMMA 5. $F_\infty \cap Y = H$.

It is obvious that $F_\infty \cap Y \supseteq H$. Let $f \in (F_\infty \cap Y)$. Since it is an element of Y , f has a unique description $f = \varphi(x)$ in the form of $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ -term of x . On the other hand, f can be expressed as an $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ -term of finite number of generators h_1, \dots, h_m of the algebra F_∞ . It is assumed that this term is already reduced, that is, that it contains no subterms of the form $\omega(\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t))$, $\varphi_i(\omega(u_1, \dots, u_n))$. If it does not contain ω , then it is a $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ -term $f = \varphi(h_i)$ and therefore, $f \in H$. Let $f = \omega(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, where v_1, \dots, v_n are $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, \omega \rangle$ -terms with a smaller number of appearances of the operator ω . One can find a positive integer $\kappa \geq 1$, such that

$$\varphi_i^\kappa(f) \in H \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Consequently, the elements $\varphi_i^\kappa(f)$ can be represented by $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ -terms of h_1, h_2, \dots :

$$\varphi_i^\kappa(f) = \varphi_i(h_{j(i)}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

One obtains

$$\varphi_i^{\kappa}(\mathcal{P}(x)) = \mathcal{P}_i(\varphi_2 \varphi_1^{j(i)}(x)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Hence, in view of the fact that the algebra $\langle \mathcal{Y}, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$, $j(1) = j(2) = \dots = j(n) = j$ is absolutely free and from the equalities

$$\varphi_i^{\kappa}(\mathcal{P}(x)) = \mathcal{P}_i(\varphi_2 \varphi_1^j(x)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; n \geq 2)$$

it follows that the element $f = \mathcal{P}(x)$ is equal to a $\langle \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ -term of \mathcal{H}_j , that is, $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Lemma 5 has been proved.

It is noted that by virtue of Lemma 5 and in view of the results in [4] (see Theorem 2 and its Corollary 1) \mathbf{F}_{∞} is a free Cantor algebra of infinite rank. Indeed, as shown in [4], \mathbf{F}_{∞} can be freely generated by the basis of absolutely free algebra $\langle \mathbf{F}_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{H}; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$, that is, by the elements $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$. To be able, however, to avail oneself of Lemma 4 one must make sure that \mathbf{F}_{∞} is a free closure for \mathcal{H} in the sense of Section 1. Since \mathbf{F}_{∞} and $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})$ are both generated by the elements $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2, \dots$, it is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is a subalgebra of the algebra \mathbf{F}_1 . This in turn can be done by verifying that $\langle \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H}), \omega \rangle$ is a subalgebra of the algebra $\langle \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}), \omega \rangle$.

Let

$$\mathcal{Y}_0 = \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}_{\kappa+1} = \mathcal{Y}_{\kappa} + \omega(\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa}); \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1} = \mathcal{H}_{\kappa} + \omega(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}).$$

Then $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}) = \bigcup \mathcal{Y}_{\kappa}$, $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \bigcup \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}$. The operation ω in $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted respectively by $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})}$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}$. An element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is defined in \mathcal{H} for u_1, \dots, u_n of \mathcal{H} if and only if there exists an element $\nu \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $u_i = \varphi_i(\nu), \dots, u_n = \varphi_n(\nu)$. Therefore the partial operation $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})}$ in \mathcal{Y} generates a partial operation $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}$ in \mathcal{H} . However, if for the elements u_1, \dots, u_n of \mathcal{H} the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is not defined in \mathcal{H} , then by Lemma 5 the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is not defined in \mathcal{Y} either, both these elements being identical with a term $\omega(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ of $\omega(\mathcal{Y})$. Thus, $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$, $\omega(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \omega(\mathcal{Y})$, and

$$\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}(u_1, \dots, u_n) \quad (5)$$

for all elements u_1, \dots, u_n of \mathcal{H} . Suppose that it has already been shown that $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\kappa}$, $\omega(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}) \subseteq \omega(\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa})$ and that the equality (5) holds for all u_1, \dots, u_n of \mathcal{H}_{κ} .

Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}$. If the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is defined in $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}$, then $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}$ and the equality (5) is valid by our inductive hypothesis. Let now the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ be not defined in $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}$. It will be shown that the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is not defined in $\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa+1}$ and therefore both these elements are identical with a term $\omega(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ of $\omega(\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa+1})$. Indeed, let us assume that the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is defined in $\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa+1}$. Then $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{Y}_{\kappa}$. From the inclusion $\omega(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}) \subseteq \omega(\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa})$ one obtains $\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa} \cap \omega(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}) = \emptyset$ and hence

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1} = (\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}) + (\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa} \cap \omega(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa})) = \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}.$$

Consequently, $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}$, and the element $\omega_{\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})}(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is defined in $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}$ which is contrary to our assumption. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_{\kappa+1}$, $\omega(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}) \subseteq \omega(\mathcal{Y}_{\kappa+1})$, and the equality (5) is valid for all elements u_1, \dots, u_n of $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa+1}$. This proves that $\mathbf{F}_{\infty} = \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

Let \mathcal{H} be any congruence of the algebra \mathbf{F}_{∞} . The restriction

$$\beta = \mathcal{H} \cap (\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}) \quad (6)$$

is a Cantor congruence in $H = \langle H, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$. By Lemma 3 the congruence α of the algebra $\mathbf{Y}_i = \langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$, generated by the relation β , satisfies the relation

$$\beta = \alpha \cap (H \times H).$$

It will be shown that with such a selection of H the congruence α of the algebra $\langle Y, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ is again a Cantor congruence.

Let $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $\varphi_i(y_1) \alpha \varphi_i(y_2)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since the congruence α is generated by the relation β therefore the relation (4) is valid. Therefore, there exist elements $x_{ij} \in Y$ ($i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, \kappa$), such that

$$\varphi_i(y_1) \beta_2 x_{i1} \beta_2 \dots \beta_2 x_{i\kappa} \beta_2 \varphi_i(y_2). \quad (7)$$

It is required to show that $y_1 \alpha y_2$. The proof is by induction with respect to the nonnegative integer κ .

It is first noted that for any elements x_1 and x_2 of the algebra \mathbf{Y}_i the proper implication holds,

$$x_1 \beta_2 x_2 \implies (x_1 = x_2) \vee (x_1, x_2 \in H \ \& \ x_1 \beta x_2). \quad (8)$$

Indeed, let $x_1 \beta_2 x_2$. Then there exists an elementary translation $E(t)$ of the algebra \mathbf{Y}_i and elements u, v of this algebra so that

$$x_1 = E(u), \quad x_2 = E(v) \quad \text{and} \quad (u, v) \in \beta_1 = \beta \cup \iota_Y$$

Thus, if $u = v$, then $x_1 = x_2$. However, if $(u, v) \in \beta$, then $u, v \in H$; hence since the fundamental operations of the algebra \mathbf{Y}_i are unary, the elements $x_1 = E(u)$ and $x_2 = E(v)$ also belong to the subalgebra H and $x_1 \beta x_2$.

Now, let $\kappa = 0$. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, in view of (7) and (8), one has

$$\varphi_i(y_1) = \varphi_i(y_2) \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi_i(y_1), \varphi_i(y_2) \in H \text{ and } \varphi_i(y_1) \beta \varphi_i(y_2).$$

If even for one value of i the equality $\varphi_i(y_1) = \varphi_i(y_2)$ is valid then in view of the fact that the algebra \mathbf{Y}_i is absolutely free one obtains $y_1 = y_2$ and hence $y_1 \alpha y_2$. Let for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ the elements $\varphi_i(y_1)$ and $\varphi_i(y_2)$ be in H and $\varphi_i(y_1) \beta \varphi_i(y_2)$. Then by Lemma 5 one has

$$y_1 = \omega(\varphi_1(y_1), \dots, \varphi_n(y_1)) \in (F_\infty \cap Y) = H.$$

Similarly, $y_2 \in H$. Moreover, since the congruence β in H is a Cantor congruence one obtains $y_1 \beta y_2$ and thus certainly $y_1 \alpha y_2$.

Let us assume that the proposition $y_1 \alpha y_2$ has already been proved for the case $\kappa - 1$ and let the relations (7) be true for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We shall make use of the remark (8). If $x_{i\kappa} = \varphi_i(y_2)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\varphi_i(y_1) \beta_2 x_{i1} \beta_2 \dots \beta_2 x_{i,\kappa-1} \beta_2 \varphi_i(y_2) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (9)$$

and by inductive hypothesis $y_1 \alpha y_2$. Let the elements $x_{i\kappa}$, $\varphi_i(y_2)$ belong to H and $x_{i\kappa} \beta \varphi_i(y_2)$ for at least one value of $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then for each i one obtains in accordance with the formula (8) $x_{i,\kappa-1} \beta x_{i\kappa}$ hence $x_{i,\kappa-1} \beta \varphi_i(y_2)$ and, of course, $x_{i,\kappa-1} \beta_2 \varphi_i(y_2)$. Thus the relations (9) are again true and again by inductive hypothesis $y_1 \alpha y_2$. It has been shown that α in \mathbf{Y}_i is a Cantor congruence.

The proof of Theorem 2 is now completed in the following manner. By Lemma 4 there exists a congruence ϑ of the algebra \mathbf{F}_i which satisfies the relation

$$\vartheta \cap (Y \times Y) = \alpha$$

The intersection

$$\gamma = \vartheta \cap (F(H) \times F(H))$$

is a congruence of the algebra F_∞ for which

$$\gamma \cap (H \times H) = \vartheta \cap (H \times H) = \alpha \cap (H \times H) = \beta. \quad (10)$$

Since $F_\infty = F(H)$, therefore by Lemma 4 a unique congruence exists in F_∞ which generates the Cantor congruence β in H . Thus, from (6) and (10) one obtains that $\gamma = \eta$, that is, $\vartheta \cap (F_\infty \times F_\infty) = \eta$. Theorem 2 has been proved.

A simple proposition similar to Theorem 2 follows from the above considerations:

COROLLARY. For $n \geq 2$ any countable unary algebra $\langle A, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ can be embedded in a unary algebra of the same type with single generator.

LITERATURE CITED

1. A. I. Mal'tsev, Algorithms and Recursive Functions [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1965).
2. A. A. Akataev and D. M. Smirnov, "Lattices of submanifolds of algebra manifolds," Algebra i Logika, 7, No. 1, 5-25 (1968).
3. A. A. Akataev, "The $\mathcal{A}_{(m,n)}$ -manifold," Algebra i Logika, 9, No. 2, pp. 127-136 (1970).
4. D. M. Smirnov, "Lattices of manifolds and free algebras," Sibirsk. Matem. Zh., 10, No. 5, 1144-1160 (1969).
5. A. G. Kurosh, The Theory of Groups [in Russian], Second edition, GITTL, Moscow (1953).
6. F. Levin, "Factor groups of the modular group," P. J. London Math. Soc., 43, No. 170, 195-203 (1968).
7. A. I. Mal'tsev, Algebraic Systems [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1970).
8. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, "The algebraic theory of semigroups," J. Amer. Math. Soc. (1961).
9. A. I. Mal'tsev, "General theory of algebraic systems," Matem. Sb., 35, No. 1, 3-20 (1954).