

ON THE ESTIMATION OF $N(\sigma, T)$

By A. E. INGHAM (*Cambridge*)

[Received 10 October 1940]

LET $N(\sigma, T)$ be the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + \gamma i$ of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ for which $\beta \geq \sigma$, $0 < \gamma \leq T$. It is proved in my paper [2] that the estimate

$$N(\sigma, T) = O(T^{\lambda(\sigma)(1-\sigma)} \log^5 T) \quad (1)$$

holds uniformly for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$, when

$$\lambda(\sigma) = 1 + 2\sigma, \quad (2)$$

and also when

$$\lambda(\sigma) = 2 + 4c, \quad (3)$$

where c is a constant for which

$$\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2} + ti) = O(t^c) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this note we sketch a proof of (1) with

$$\lambda(\sigma) = \frac{3}{2-\sigma}.$$

This is an improvement on (2), since

$$(1+2\sigma) - \frac{3}{2-\sigma} = \frac{(2\sigma-1)(1-\sigma)}{2-\sigma} > 0 \quad (\tfrac{1}{2} < \sigma < 1),$$

but does not help in the application to the problem of the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive primes, because it is the maximum of $\lambda(\sigma)$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ that is important in this problem.

We assume that the reader is familiar with § 3 and § 5 of [2], and merely indicate the essential changes in the argument.

As in [2], let

$$f_X(s) = \zeta(s) \sum_{n \leq X} \mu(n) n^{-s} - 1 = \zeta(s) M_X(s) - 1.$$

For $\sigma = \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mu = \mu_1 = \frac{4}{3}$, we have, using (26) and a formula near the bottom of page 260 of [2],

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T |f_X(\sigma + ti)|^\mu dt &\leq \int_0^T A_1 (|\zeta|^4 |M_X|^4 + 1) dt \\ &\leq A_1 \left(\int_0^T |\zeta|^4 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^T |M_X|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_1 T \\ &< A_2 \{T \log^4(T+2)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \{(T+X) \log X\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + A_1 T \\ &< A_3 (T+X) \log^2(T+X) \\ &< A_4 (T+X)^{1+\delta} \delta^{-2} \quad (T > 0, X \geq 3, \delta > 0), \end{aligned}$$

where A_1, A_2, \dots are positive absolute constants.

For $\sigma = \sigma_2 = 1 + \delta$ ($0 < \delta < 1$), $\mu = \mu_2 = 2$, we have, by [2], (17),

$$\int_0^T |f_X(\sigma + ti)|^\mu dt < A_5(T+X)X^{-1}\delta^{-4} \quad (T > 0, X \geq 3).$$

Arguing substantially as on page 261 of [2], but using a two-variable convexity theorem of Gabriel [1, Theorem 2]*, we deduce that, if $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \delta$ ($0 < \delta < 1$), and μ, ρ_1, ρ_2 are defined by

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\vartheta_1}{\mu_1} + \frac{\vartheta_2}{\mu_2}, \quad \rho_1 = \frac{\vartheta_1 \mu}{\mu_1}, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{\vartheta_2 \mu}{\mu_2},$$

where
$$\vartheta_1 = \frac{1 + \delta - \sigma}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}, \quad \vartheta_2 = \frac{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta},$$

then, for $T > 1, X \geq 3$,

$$\int_1^T |f_X(\sigma + ti)|^\mu dt < A_6 \{(T+X)^{1+\delta}\delta^{-2}\}^{\rho_1} \{(T+X)X^{-1}\delta^{-4}\}^{\rho_2}. \quad (4)$$

Now $\rho_1 + \rho_2 = 1$,

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = \frac{\frac{3}{4}(1 + \delta - \sigma)}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})}{\frac{1}{2} + \delta} = \frac{1 + \frac{3}{2}\delta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma}{1 + 2\delta},$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})(1 + 2\delta)}{(\frac{1}{2} + \delta)(2 + 3\delta - \sigma)} = \frac{2\sigma - 1}{2 + 3\delta - \sigma} > \frac{2\sigma - 1}{2 - \sigma} - A_7\delta.$$

Substituting in (4) and taking $\delta = A_8/\log(T+X)$, we obtain

$$\int_1^T |f_X(\sigma + ti)|^\mu dt < A_9(T+X)X^{-(2\sigma-1)/(2-\sigma)} \log^4(T+X),$$

for $T > 1, X \geq 3, \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$, and a certain $\mu = \mu(\sigma, T, X)$ in the range $\frac{4}{3} \leq \mu \leq 2$.

Arguing now as on pages 262-3 of [2], using the fact that

$$\log|1 - f_X^2| \leq \log(1 + |f_X|^2) \leq A_{10}|f_X|^\mu \quad (\frac{4}{3} \leq \mu \leq 2),$$

and taking $X = T$, we obtain the stated result.

A similar argument with $\mu_1 = 1$, and with the mean value of $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ti)|^2$ in place of that of $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + ti)|^4$, would give Titchmarsh's value $\lambda(\sigma) = 4/(3 - 2\sigma)$.

* The theorem is applied, with $\alpha = \sigma_1 (= \frac{1}{2})$, $\beta = \sigma_2 (= 1 + \delta)$, $a = 1/\mu_1$, $b = 1/\mu_2$, $\lambda = \vartheta_1$, $\lambda' = \vartheta_2$, to the auxiliary function $\phi(s) = \phi_{X, \tau}(s)$ constructed in [2], 261.

REFERENCES

1. R. M. Gabriel, 'Some results concerning the integrals of moduli of regular functions along certain curves': *J. of London Math. Soc.* 2 (1927), 112-17.
2. A. E. Ingham, 'On the difference between consecutive primes': *Quart. J. of Math.* (Oxford), 8 (1937), 255-66.