

BOUNDS FOR SOLUTION OF LINEAR DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS

S. I. VESELOV

Abstract. Given $A \in \mathbf{Z}^{m \times n}$, $\text{rank} A = m$, $b \in \mathbf{Z}^m$. Let d be the maximum of absolute values of the $m \times m$ minors of the matrix $(A \ b)$, $M = \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^n | Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$. It is shown that if $M \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in M$, such that $x_i^0 \leq d$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Introduction

Let $A(m \times n)$ be a matrix of rank m with integer elements, b be an integer vector, d be the maximum of the absolute values of the $m \times m$ minors of $(A \ b)$, M be the set of nonnegative integer solutions for the system $Ax = b$, $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

In [1] the conjecture was made that the following theorem is true:

Theorem 0.1. *If M is nonempty, then there exists $x^0 \in M$ such that $x_i^0 \leq d, i \in N$.*

This conjecture was considered in [1-3], however, full and strict answer had not given. The complete proof of the theorem was given in [4]. See also [5]. In this paper I state the translation of the proof from [4].

Notation. Let H denotes the matrix which rows are the lattice basis for integer solutions of system $Ax = 0$;

h_1, \dots, h_n be columns of H ;

a_1, \dots, a_n be columns of A ;

x^1 be any vector of M ;

M^1 be the set of integer solutions of the system $H^T y + x^1 \geq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1

Without loss of generality, assume that g.c.d of all $m \times m$ minors of A is equal to 1. It is clear that the relation $x = H^T y + x^1$ determines one-to-one mapping between M and M^1 . We should use next result from [6]:

Lemma 0.2. . *Let $I \subseteq N, |I| = m, A^1$ be the matrix that consists the columns of matrix H with index from $N \setminus I$. Then $|\det A^1| = |\det H^1|$.*

The theorem can be proved by induction on n . Case $n = m$ is obvious. Assume that theorem is true for $n \leq n_0$ and prove it is true for $n = n_0 + 1$.

Key words: integer solution, diophantine equation .
E-mail: vesi@uic.nnov.ru.

1. Suppose first that from $u^T A \geq 0$ it follows that $u = 0$. According to Minkowsky-Farkas' theorem the cone

$$Ax = 0, x \geq 0$$

has dimension $n - m$. Then cone $H^T y \geq 0$ has dimension $n - m$ as well. Suppose without loss of generality that equation $h_1^T y = 0$ determines $(n - m - 1)$ dimensional face of cone and $x_1^1 = \max(-h_1^T y)$ for subject $H^T y + x^1 \geq 0$. Let s be g.c.d. of components of vector h_1 and r be minimal nonnegative integer number such that $x_1^1 - r$ is divided by s . Then the set M^1 is described by system

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^T y + x_1^1 - r &\geq 0 \\ h_i^T y + x_i^1 &\geq 0, i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\} \end{aligned}$$

and there is $y^0 \in M^1$, such that $h_1^T y^0 + x_1^1 - r = 0$, hence the system $a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n = b - r a_1$ has a nonnegative integer solution. From the lemma it follows that s is divisor of each minor of extended matrix of the system. Therefore there exists a matrix D with determinant s such that vectors $a_i^1 = D^{-1} a_i$, $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and $b^1 = D^{-1}(b - r a_1)$ have integer components. Since $r \leq s - 1$, maximal absolute value of minors with rank m is not more than d and by induction the system has solution $(x_2^0, x_3^0, \dots, x_n^0)$, which components do not exceed d . As x^0 it is possible to choose $(r, x_2^0, x_3^0, \dots, x_n^0)$

2. Suppose now that there exists $u \neq 0$ such that $u^T A \geq 0$. If $u^T A > 0$ then M is bounded, hence, the theorem is true. Otherwise, we can do an unimodular transformation for rows of matrix (Ab) , permute columns and

get the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_v^1 & a_{v+1}^1 \dots a_n^1 & b^1 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{v+1}^2 \dots a_n^2 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$ where submatrix $(a_1^1 \dots a_v^1)$ has rank k

and consists of k rows and columns $(a_{v+1}^2 \dots a_n^2)$ have positive last component. Set $b^3 = b^1 - a_{v+1}^1 x_{v+1}^1 - \dots - a_n^1 x_n^1$ and consider the system

$$(1) \quad a_1^1 x_1^1 + \dots + a_v^1 x_v^1 = b^3.$$

We will prove that if d^1 is maximal absolute value of $k \times k$ minors of this system, then d^1 does not exceed d .

Let $k \geq 2$. Suppose without loss of generality that $d^1 = \text{abs}(\det(a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 b^3))$. Show that it is possible to choose the set $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_j\}$, where $j = n - m$, so that determinants

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 & a_{i_1}^1 \dots a_{i_j}^1 & b^3 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_j}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 & a_{i_1}^1 \dots a_{i_j}^1 & a_i^1 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_j}^2 & a_i^2 \end{pmatrix}, i \notin I$$

are either nonpositive or nonnegative.

Define $\lambda \neq 0$ so that $\lambda^t a_i^1, i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $\lambda^T b^3 > 0$. Further find a vertex $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ of the set of solution of the system $u^T a_i^2 + \lambda^T a_i^1 \geq 0, i \in \{v+1, \dots, n\}$. As the set I we choose the set of numbers of linear independent inequalities which are equalities on μ . Let $\lambda_e \neq 0$, then replace e -th row of each determinant by linear combination of rows with coefficients

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{m-k}$. Decomposing each determinant on the e -th row we get the sequence of numbers $Z\lambda_e\lambda^T b^3, Z\lambda_e(\lambda^T a_i^1 + \mu^T a_i^2), i \notin I$ where Z is value of algebraic supplement. Obviously, all numbers are either nonpositive or nonnegative.

Now we have

$$d \geq |det \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 & a_{i_1}^1 \dots a_{i_j}^1 & b^1 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_j}^2 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}| = |\sum_{i \in I} det \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 & a_{i_1}^1 \dots a_{i_j}^1 & a_i^1 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_j}^2 & a_i^2 \end{pmatrix} x_i^1| =$$

$$|det \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 & a_{i_1}^1 \dots a_{i_j}^1 & b^3 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_j}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x_i^1| \geq |det \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 \dots a_{k-1}^1 & a_{i_1}^1 \dots a_{i_j}^1 & b^3 \\ 0 \dots 0 & a_{i_1}^2 \dots a_{i_j}^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x_i^1| \geq d^1$$

If $k = 1$, then put $\lambda_1 = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ for } b^3 > 0, \\ -1 \text{ for } b^3 \leq 0, \end{cases} e = 1$ and repeat reasoning.

By induction hypothesis the system (1) has solution (x_1^0, \dots, x_v^0) , and $x_i^0 \leq d$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$. Since x_i^1 for $i \in \{v+1, \dots, n\}$ is bounded from above by $\max\{x_i | Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$, hence, it does not exceed d . So we may take $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_v^0, x_{v+1}^1, \dots, x_n^1)$. The proof is completed.

A little modification of the above proof allow to prove that there exists vertex x of $conv(M)$ such that $x_i \leq d$ for all $i \in N$.

1. Borosh I., Treybig B. Bounds on positive integral solutions of linear diophantine equations. // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. - 1976, v.55, N 2, pp.299-304.
2. Borosh I., Flahive M., Rubin D. and Treybig B. A sharp Bound for solutions of linear diophantine equations. // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. - 1989, v.105, N 4, pp.844-846.
3. Ivanov N.N. Upper bounds for solution of integer programming. // Kibernetika, (6):112-114, 1988.
4. Veselov S. I. The proof of one conjecture linear diophantine equations. (Russian) Manuscript 667B93, deposited at VINITI, Moscow, 1993.
5. Veselov, S.I. The proof of a generalization of Borosh-Treybig's hypothesis for Diophantine equations. (Russian) Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser. 1 8, No.1, 17-22 (2001).
6. V. N. Shevchenko. Qualitative Topics in Integer Linear Programming, volume 156 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs. AMS, Providens, Rhode Island, 1997.