

# Automata in General Algebras\*

SAMUEL EILENBERG

Columbia University, New York I.B.M. Watson Research Center,  
Yorktown Heights, New York

JESSE B. WRIGHT

I.B.M. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of automata and of context-free languages usually deals with monoids or semigroups. The purpose of this paper is to propose an extension of the domain of study to other algebraic systems. In the process, a better understanding of what takes place in monoids can be achieved.

The basic language is that of the theory of categories. The basic facts are reviewed in sections 2 and 3. The basic ideas of universal algebra are then introduced. The key notions are that of a "theory" and of algebras belonging to a theory. These ideas were laid down by Lawvere. A streamlined version of Lawvere's theory is given in sections 4-10. This part of the paper is regarded as expository and no proofs are given.

Recognizable sets and (deterministic) automata are discussed briefly in sections 11 and 12. In order to consider the analogs of nondeterministic automata, a restriction must be imposed upon the "theories" considered. The normal habitat for this notion is the so-called "linear theories". However, since the main result (Theorem III) is valid only for "free theories" (which are linear), we accept this restriction starting with section 13 and do not introduce linear theories at all. A full treatment of the subject is scheduled to appear in a book by the first of the authors.

Relational algebras (section 13) and relational automata (section 14) supply then the analog of nondeterministic automata, while the notion

\* Reprinted with permission of the publisher, The American Mathematical Society, Copyright © 1967 by the American Mathematical Society.

of a polynomial (section 15) and of an algebraic set (section 16) are the generalizations of that of a grammar and of a context-free language. The main result asserts that, for free theories, recognizable sets and algebraic ones coincide. This result is due to Mezei and Wright. The proofs are given in sections 17 and 18.

It is clear from this introduction that this paper contains nothing that is essentially new, except perhaps for a point of view.

## 2. CATEGORIES

A category  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of

(2.1) a class of elements called *objects* of  $\mathcal{A}$  and denoted by  $A, A_1, A_2, A',$  etc.;

(2.2) a set  $\mathcal{A}(A_1, A_2)$  defined for any pair  $A_1, A_2$  of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The elements  $f \in \mathcal{A}(A_1, A_2)$  are called *morphisms* and are written as  $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  or

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f} A_2;$$

(2.3) a composition law which to morphisms

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f} A_2 \xrightarrow{g} A_3$$

assigns a morphism

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{gf} A_3.$$

The following axioms are postulated.

(2.4) Associativity: Given morphisms

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f} A_2 \xrightarrow{g} A_3 \xrightarrow{h} A_4,$$

we have  $h(gf) = (hg)f$ .

(2.5) Identity: For every object  $A$  there exists a morphism  $1_A: A \rightarrow A$  such that in

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{f} A \xrightarrow{1_A} A \xrightarrow{g} A_2$$

we have

$$1_A f = f \quad \text{and} \quad g 1_A = g.$$

The uniqueness of  $1_A$  follows from (2.4) and (2.5).

A morphism  $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  is called an *isomorphism* if there exists a morphism  $g: A_2 \rightarrow A_1$  such that  $gf = 1_{A_1}$ ,  $fg = 1_{A_2}$ . The uniqueness of  $g$  follows from (2.4) and (2.5) and we write  $g = f^{-1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be categories. A functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  consists of

(2.6) a function which to each object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  assigns an object  $FA$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ ;

(2.7) a function which to each morphism  $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  assigns a morphism  $Ff: FA_1 \rightarrow FA_2$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The following axioms are postulated.

(2.8)  $F(gf) = (Fg)(Ff)$ .

(2.9)  $F1_A = 1_{FA}$ .

Given functors  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $G: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , the composite functor  $GF: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is defined in the obvious way.

### 3. EXAMPLES OF CATEGORIES

The category  $S$  of sets has sets as objects and functions as morphisms with composition defined as composition of functions. Two objects in  $S$  will play special roles: the empty set  $\emptyset$  and the set  $I$  consisting of the number 1 alone. For any set  $A$  there are unique morphisms

$$\emptyset \rightarrow A, \quad A \rightarrow I.$$

We say that  $\emptyset$  is an *initial* object and  $I$  is a *terminal* object for  $S$ .

A set  $A$  and an element  $a \in A$  determine a unique morphism  $I \rightarrow A$  with  $a$  as value. We shall denote this morphism by the same letter  $a$ . Thus morphisms  $I \rightarrow A$  and elements of  $A$  will be identified.

For each integer  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  we denote by  $[n]$  the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus  $[0] = \emptyset$  and  $[1] = I$ . The sets  $[n]$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  together with all morphisms between them form a subcategory  $S_0$  of  $S$ .

### 4. THEORIES

A *theory*  $T$  is a category such that

(4.1) the objects of  $T$  are  $[n]$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ;

(4.2)  $S_0$  is a subcategory of  $T$ ; i.e., every morphism in  $S_0$  is also a morphism in  $T$ , composition of morphisms in  $S_0$  agrees with that in  $T$ , and the identity morphisms  $1_{[n]}$  in  $S_0$  are also identity morphisms in  $T$ ;

(4.3) given morphisms

$$\phi_i: I \rightarrow [p] \quad \text{in } T, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

there exists a unique morphism

$$\phi: [n] \rightarrow [p]$$

such that  $\phi_i$  is the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{i} [n] \xrightarrow{\phi} [p]$$

for every  $i \in [n]$ .

We shall write  $\langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \rangle$  for the morphism  $\phi$  given in (4.3). Thus for any morphism  $\phi: [n] \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$ , we have  $\phi = \langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \rangle$ .

It should be noted that it follows from the above axioms that in  $T$  there is only one morphism  $O_n: \emptyset \rightarrow [n]$  for every  $n$ , just as in the case of  $S_0$ . However, (contrary to what takes place in  $S_0$ ) there may be in  $T$  morphisms  $\phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset$ . In fact, these "0-ary operations" play a fundamental role in the sequel.

### 5. ALGEBRAS

Let  $T$  be a theory. A  $T$ -algebra  $A$  consists of a set  $A$  and a rule which to each  $\phi: [n] \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$  and each  $p$ -tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  of elements of  $A$  assigns an  $n$ -tuple

$$(x'_1, \dots, x'_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_p)\phi$$

of elements of  $A$ , subject to the following two axioms:

(5.1) if  $\phi$  is in  $S_0$ , then  $x'_i = x_{\phi(i)}$ ;

(5.2) if  $\psi: [k] \rightarrow [n]$  in  $T$ , then

$$(x'_1, \dots, x'_n)\psi = (x_1, \dots, x_p)(\phi\psi).$$

If we write  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ , then (5.2) may be rewritten as

$$(x\phi)\psi = x(\phi\psi). \quad (5.2')$$

A morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  of  $T$ -algebras is a mapping from  $A$  to  $B$  satisfying

$$f[(x_1, \dots, x_p)\phi] = (fx_1, \dots, fx_p)\phi, \quad (5.3)$$

or in abbreviated form

$$f(x\phi) = (fx)\phi, \quad (5.3')$$

where  $fx = (fx_1, \dots, fx_p)$ .

With composition of morphisms of algebras defined in the ordinary fashion, there results the category  $T^b$  of  $T$ -algebras.

We note that if  $\phi: I \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$ , then

$$(x_1, \dots, x_p)\phi \in A$$

so that  $\phi$  yields a mapping  $A^p \rightarrow A$  where  $A^p$  is the  $p$ -fold Cartesian product  $A \times \dots \times A$ .

If in the above  $p = 0$ , i.e.,  $\phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset$ , then  $( )\phi \in A$  is an element of  $A$  determined by  $\phi$ , independent of any "inputs"  $a_1, \dots, a_p$ . We denote this element by  $\phi_A$ .

## 6. FREE ALGEBRAS

Let  $A_k = T(I, [k])$  be the set of all morphisms  $I \rightarrow [k]$ . We convert  $A_k$  into a  $T$ -algebra as follows: Given  $\phi: [n] \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$  and given  $x_1, \dots, x_p \in A_k$ , we have  $x_i: I \rightarrow [k]$  and therefore  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle: [p] \rightarrow [k]$  in  $T$ . Thus, the composition  $\gamma = \langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle \phi$  is defined and is a morphism  $\gamma: [n] \rightarrow [k]$  in  $T$ . We define

$$(x_1, \dots, x_p)\phi = (\gamma 1, \dots, \gamma n).$$

The verification that  $A_k$  is a  $T$ -algebra is immediate.

We note that each mapping  $i: I \rightarrow [k] \ i = 1, \dots, n$  in  $S_0$  is an element of  $A_k$  and thus in a natural fashion,  $[k]$  becomes a subset of  $A_k$ . The following fact is fundamental:

(6.1) If  $A$  is any  $T$ -algebra, then every mapping  $f: [k] \rightarrow A$  admits a unique extension  $\hat{f}: A_k \rightarrow A$  to a morphism of  $T$ -algebras.

Indeed, we must have for  $\phi \in A_k$

$$\hat{f}\phi = (f1, \dots, fk)\phi \in A.$$

The above shows that the algebras  $A_k$  are "free" with  $[k]$  as base. In particular,  $A_0$  is the free algebra with an empty base and (6.1) asserts that for any  $T$ -algebra  $A$  there is a unique morphism

$$\zeta_A: A_0 \rightarrow A.$$

In fact,  $\zeta_A \phi = \phi_A$  for  $\phi \in A_0$ ; i.e., for  $\phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset$ . Thus,  $A_0$  is an "initial object" in the category  $T^b$ .

## 7. FREE THEORIES

As is usual in algebra, theories will be defined by "generators and relations" or as "quotient" theories of "free" theories. We start out with this second notion.

Let  $\Omega = \{\Omega_n \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  be a sequence of sets. Consider a theory  $T$  such that

$$\Omega_n \subset T(I, [n]).$$

Assume further that with each morphism  $\phi: [n] \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$  there is associated an integer  $d\phi \geq 0$  (called the *degree* of  $\phi$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

(7.1)  $d\phi = 0$  if  $\phi$  is in  $S_0$ .

(7.2)  $d\phi = d(\phi 1) + \dots + d(\phi n)$ .

(7.3) If  $\omega \in \Omega_n$ , then  $d(\phi \omega) = 1 + d\phi$ .

(7.4) If  $\phi: I \rightarrow [p]$  and  $d\phi > 0$ , then there exists a unique  $k \geq 0$  and a unique factorization

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [k] \xrightarrow{\psi} [p]$$

of  $\phi$  with  $\omega \in \Omega_k$  and  $\psi$  in  $T$ .

It is not too difficult to see that the above conditions virtually amount to the construction of a theory  $T$  which is unique. We call it the *free theory* with base  $\Omega$  and denote it by  $S_0[\Omega]$ .

The theory  $S_0[\Omega]$  has the following two important properties, both of which are easily provable by induction on the degree:

(7.6) Given any theory  $T'$ , any family of functions

$$\Omega_n \rightarrow T'(I, [n]), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

admits a unique extension to a morphism

$$S_0[\Omega] \rightarrow T'$$

of theories.

(7.7) Given a set  $A$  and functions

$$\bar{\omega}: A^n \rightarrow A \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

there exists a unique  $S_0[\Omega]$ -algebra structure on  $A$  such that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)\omega = \bar{\omega}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

## 8. CONGRUENCES

Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -algebra. A congruence  $Q$  in  $A$  consists of an equivalence relation  $\sim$  in  $A$  satisfying

$$(a_1, \dots, a_p)\phi \sim (a'_1, \dots, a'_p)\phi$$

for any  $\phi: I \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$ , provided  $a_i \sim a'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . It is then clear that the quotient set  $A/Q$  (i.e., the set of equivalence classes of  $A$  under the equivalence relation) acquires a structure of a  $T$ -algebra, uniquely determined by the condition that the natural factorization mapping  $A \rightarrow A/Q$  be a morphism of  $T$ -algebras.

A congruence  $Q$  in a theory  $T$  is a family of equivalence relations, one in each set  $T([n], [p])$  satisfying the following conditions:

(8.1) If  $\phi_1, \phi_2: [n] \rightarrow [p]$  and  $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ , then  $\phi_1 \psi \sim \phi_2 \psi$  for every  $\psi: [q] \rightarrow [n]$  and  $\gamma \phi_1 \sim \gamma \phi_2$  for every  $\gamma: [p] \rightarrow [q]$ .

(8.2) If  $\phi_1, \phi_2: [n] \rightarrow [p]$  and  $\phi_i \sim \phi_2 i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ .

(8.3) If  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : I \rightarrow [p]$  are in  $S_0$  and if  $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ , then  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ .

Condition (8.1) permits us to define a category  $T/Q$  in which morphisms are equivalence classes of morphisms in  $T$ . Condition (8.3) insures that  $S_0$  is embedded in  $T/Q$  and condition (8.2) shows that  $T/Q$  is a theory. This is the *quotient theory* of  $T$  by the congruence  $Q$ . A  $T/Q$ -algebra  $A$  is simply a  $T$ -algebra satisfying

$$(a_1, \dots, a_p)\phi_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_p)\phi_2,$$

wherever  $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ . In this way, the category  $(T/Q)^b$  becomes the subcategory of  $T^b$  determined by the  $T$ -algebras  $A$  that are "compatible" with  $Q$ .

Conditions (8.1) and (8.2) imply that  $Q$  is completely determined by knowing the equivalence relation in  $T(I, [p])$  for every  $p$ . There result congruences  $Q_p$  in the free algebras  $A_p$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots$ . One can regard  $Q$  as the sequence  $\{Q_p\}$  of these congruences and reformulate conditions (8.1)–(8.3) accordingly. The free  $T/Q$ -algebras are then simply the quotient algebras  $A_p/Q_p$ .

In practice, a congruence  $Q$  in  $T$  will seldom be given "in toto". It will usually be "generated" by designating for each  $p$  certain pairs  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : I \rightarrow [p]$  and taking the least  $Q$  for which these pairs become congruent. It is easy to construct  $Q$  so that (8.1) and (8.2) are satisfied. Whether (8.3) is satisfied is impossible to predict. In this connection the following procedure is useful: Use  $Q$  (satisfying only (8.1) and (8.2)) to define congruences  $Q_p$  in  $A_p$ . Then  $Q$  satisfies (8.3) if and only if the algebra  $A_2/Q_2$  has at least two points.

## 9. PRESENTATION OF THEORIES

The two operations described above, namely the formation of a free theory and the passage to the quotient theory by a congruence, form the basic two steps in the formation of theories. The procedure follows closely the method of presenting groups by generators and relations. Instead of discussing it in general, we shall illustrate by examples. The examples chosen are those particularly relevant to theory of automata.

The theory  $Sg$  whose algebras will be semigroups may be described as follows: We begin with a free theory  $T$  generated by a single morphism  $\pi : I \rightarrow [2]$ . More explicitly,  $T = S_0[\Omega]$  where  $\Omega_2 = \{\pi\}$  while  $\Omega_n = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq 2$ . The  $T$ -algebras are then sets  $A$  with a binary multiplication  $(a, a_2)\pi \in A$  subject to no conditions whatsoever. To introduce the

associative law, we must "equate" certain two morphisms  $I \rightarrow [3]$  in  $T$  or equivalently certain two elements of the free  $T$ -algebra  $A_3$ . These two elements are

$$((1, 2)\pi, 3)\pi, (1, (2, 3)\pi)\pi.$$

This generates a congruence  $Q$  in  $T$  (*a priori* satisfying only (8.1) and (8.2)). A  $T$ -algebra  $A$  is compatible with  $Q$  if and only if

$$((a_1, a_2)\pi, a_3)\pi = (a_1, (a_2, a_3)\pi)\pi$$

holds for any  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ ; i.e., if and only if  $A$  is a semigroup. The fact that there exist semigroups with more than one element implies that  $Q$  satisfies also (8.3). Then  $Sg$  is defined as  $T/Q$ .

To obtain the "monoid theory"  $M$  whose algebras will be monoids, one proceeds as above, but in addition to  $\pi : I \rightarrow [2]$ , one has an additional generator  $\epsilon : I \rightarrow \emptyset$ . Then in the free algebra  $A_1$ , one must "equate" the following three elements

$$(1, \epsilon_1)\pi, 1, (\epsilon_1, 1)\pi,$$

where  $\epsilon_1$  is the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \emptyset \xrightarrow{\epsilon} I,$$

$\sigma$  being the unique morphism.

There is one more theory that is of vital interest in the theory of automata. Let  $M$  be a fixed monoid. We shall construct a theory whose algebras will be sets on which  $M$  operates on the right. To this end we consider generators  $m : I \rightarrow I$  in a 1-1 correspondence with the elements of  $M$ . In the free algebra  $A_1$  we then must equate the pairs

$$(1m)n, 1(mn) \quad m, n \in M$$

$$1, 1\epsilon$$

where  $\epsilon \in M$  is the unit element of  $M$ . Usually we adjoin to this theory an additional generator  $\tau : I \rightarrow \emptyset$  without any axioms. There results a theory  $\tilde{M} = S_0[M, \tau]$ . An  $\tilde{M}$ -algebra is a set  $A$  with a right action  $am \in A$  for  $a \in A, m \in M$  satisfying

$$(am)n = a(mn), \quad a\epsilon = a$$

and with a selected element  $\tau_A \in A$ . The initial algebra for this theory is the monoid  $M$  itself acting on itself by multiplication and with

If  $M$  is a free monoid with base  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ , then  $\tilde{M}$  is the free theory  $S_0[\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \tau]$ .

### 10. OPERATIONS ON THEORIES

An important operation on theories is the construction of the *free product* (also called *direct sum* or *coproduct*)  $T = T' \oplus T''$  of two theories  $T'$  and  $T''$ . This theory is completely determined by the requirement that its algebras are to be sets  $A$  equipped with a  $T'$ -algebra structure and  $T''$ -algebra structure simultaneously, without any further conditions. The existence of such a theory can be established by choosing presentations of  $T'$  and  $T''$ . In particular, if  $T' = S_0[\Omega']$  and  $T'' = S_0[\Omega'']$ , then  $T = S_0[\Omega]$  where  $\Omega$  is the disjoint union  $\Omega' \cup \Omega''$ . The free product  $T \oplus S_0[\Omega]$  is denoted by  $T[\Omega]$ ; this is the theory obtained from  $T$  by adjoining "freely" the operations  $\Omega$ .

Let  $T$  be a theory and  $A^0$  a  $T$ -algebra. One can construct a new theory  $T[A^0]$  whose algebras will be pairs  $(A, f)$  where  $A$  is a  $T$ -algebra and  $f: A^0 \rightarrow A$  is a morphism of  $T$ -algebras. A morphism  $g: (A, f) \rightarrow (A', f')$  will be a morphism  $g: A \rightarrow A'$  of  $T$ -algebras satisfying  $gf = f'$ . The theory  $T[A^0]$  may be constructed by first adjoining freely all the elements of  $A^0$  to  $T$  as operations  $I \rightarrow \emptyset$  and then dividing by a suitable congruence. The theory  $T[A^0]$  has the property that the pair  $(A^0, 1_{A^0})$  becomes the initial algebra in category  $T[A^0]$ . If  $A^0 = A_X$  is a free algebra on a base  $X$ , then  $T[A^0]$  is nothing else than the free extension  $T[\Omega]$  with  $\Omega_0 = X$ ,  $\Omega_i = \emptyset$  for  $i > 0$ .

### 11. RECOGNIZABLE SETS

Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -algebra and  $Q$  a congruence in  $A$ . We say that  $Q$  is *finite* if  $A$  has a finite number of equivalence classes mod  $Q$ , or equivalently if  $A/Q$  is a finite  $T$ -algebra. A subset  $X$  of  $A$  is said to be *closed* for  $Q$  if  $X$  is the union of congruence classes mod  $Q$ , or equivalently if  $a \sim b$  and  $a \in X$  imply  $b \in X$ . A subset  $X$  of  $A$  which is closed relative to some finite congruence  $Q$  is called *recognizable*. The class of recognizable subsets of  $A$  is closed with respect to finite Boolean operations.

If  $M$  is a monoid, then  $M$  may be viewed as a  $T$ -algebra for a variety of theories  $T$ . If we take for  $T$  the "monoid theory", then  $T^b$  is the category of monoids and  $M \in T^b$ . A congruence  $Q$  in  $A$  is then an equivalence relation for which  $m_1 \sim m_2$  implies  $km_1l \sim km_2l$  for all  $k, l \in M$ . The same notion of congruence in  $M$  is obtained if we view  $M$  as the initial algebra for the extended theory  $T[M]$ .

On the other hand,  $M$  may also be viewed as the initial algebra for the theory  $\tilde{M} = S_0[M, \tau]$  described in section 9. A congruence in  $M$  is then an equivalence relation for which  $m_1 \sim m_2$  implies  $m_1l \sim m_2l$  for all  $l \in M$ .

It is a known fact that both types of congruences in  $M$  lead to the same class of recognizable sets.

### 12. AUTOMATA

Let  $T$  be a theory. A  $T$ -automaton is a pair  $\mathbf{A} = (A, t)$  where  $A$  is a finite  $T$ -algebra and  $t$  is a subset of  $A$ . The  $T$ -automata are converted into a category  $T_a^b$  by defining a morphism  $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  where  $\mathbf{B} = (B, s)$  as a morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  of  $T$ -algebras such that  $f^{-1}s = t$ .

The *behavior*  $\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{A}$  is defined as a subset of the initial  $T$ -algebra  $A_0$  as follows: Let  $\zeta_A: A_0 \rightarrow A$  be the unique  $T$ -morphism. Then  $\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{A} = \zeta_A^{-1}t$ .

The morphism  $\zeta_A$  defines a congruence  $Q$  in  $A_0$  by defining  $a_1 \sim a_2$  whenever  $\zeta_A a_1 = \zeta_A a_2$ . This congruence is finite since  $A$  is finite. Further,  $\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{A}$  is closed for  $Q$ .

$\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{A}$  is a recognizable subset of  $A_0$ . Conversely, let  $X$  be any recognizable subset of  $A_0$ . Let then  $Q$  be a finite congruence in  $A_0$  for which  $X$  is closed. Then  $A = A_0/Q$  is a finite  $T$ -algebra and setting  $t = X/Q$  we obtain a  $T$ -automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, t)$ . Further,  $\zeta_A$  is the natural factorization mapping  $A_0 \rightarrow A_0/Q = A$ . Thus,  $X = \zeta_A^{-1}t = \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{A}$ . This shows that the class of all the behaviors of  $T$ -automata coincides with the class of recognizable subsets of  $A_0$ .

### 13. RELATIONAL ALGEBRAS

In order to generalize the notion of a nondeterministic automaton, we restrict ourselves to the case that the theory  $T$  is free:  $T = S_0[\Omega]$ . In view of (7.7), a  $T$ -algebra  $A$  is then described by functions  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)\omega \in A$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_n$ .

We define a *relational*  $T$ -algebra  $A$  to consist of a set  $A$  together with functions which to  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_n$  assign a *subset*  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)\omega$  of  $A$ . If  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are subsets of  $A$ , then we set

$$(X_1, \dots, X_n)\omega = \bigcup (x_1, \dots, x_n)\omega, \quad (13.1)$$

the union extended over all  $n$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $A$  such that  $x_i \in X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . In this way, the set  $\hat{A}$  of all the subsets of  $A$  becomes a  $T$ -algebra.

Conversely, assume that on the set  $\hat{A}$  we have a  $T$ -algebra structure

satisfying the "distributive" law (13.1) where  $A$  is regarded as a subset of  $\hat{A}$ . Then restricting each  $\omega \in \Omega_n$  to  $A$  gives the relational  $T$ -algebra  $A$ .

A morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  of relational  $T$ -algebras is defined as a morphism

$$f: \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{B} \text{ in } T^b$$

satisfying the distributivity condition

$$fX = \bigcup fx, \quad x \in X. \quad (13.2)$$

A function  $\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{B}$  satisfying (13.2) is the same thing as a relation from  $A$  into  $B$ ; it is described by the subset  $R$  of  $A \times B$  defined as follows:

$$R = \{(a, b) \mid b \in fa\}.$$

The relational  $T$ -algebras form a category denoted by  $T^b$ . The category of  $T$ -algebras is a subcategory of  $T^b$ . Further, the passage from  $A$  to  $\hat{A}$  yields a functor  $\Lambda: T^b \rightarrow T^b$ .

An important fact to note is that the initial algebra  $A_0$  for the category  $T^b$  remains an initial algebra also within the larger category  $T^b$ . Indeed, if  $A \in T^b$ , then  $\hat{A} \in T^b$  and we have a unique  $\zeta_A: A_0 \rightarrow \hat{A}$ . This defines  $\zeta_A: A_0 \rightarrow A$  in  $T^b$ , which is unique since  $\zeta_A$  is.

#### 14. RELATIONAL AUTOMATA

We define a relational automaton  $A = (A, t)$  exactly as above, except that  $A \in T^b$ . The behavior is defined as

$$\mathcal{G}A = \zeta_A^{-1}t = \{x \mid x \in A_0, \zeta_A x \cap t \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is now clear that if we define the automaton

$$A = (\hat{A}, t'), \quad t' = \{X \mid X \subset A, X \cap t \neq \emptyset\},$$

then  $\mathcal{G}A = \mathcal{G}A$ . We thus have the generalization of the known fact that nondeterministic automata recognize the same sets as deterministic automata.

#### 15. POLYNOMIALS

Let  $T$  be a free theory. A *polynomial*

$$P: [n] \rightarrow [p]$$

is an  $n$ -tuple  $P = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$  where  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are finite subsets of

$T(1, [p])$ . The elements of  $P_i$  are called the *constituents* of  $P$ , and if all these constituents have degree 1, then we say that  $P$  has degree 1.

Let  $A$  be a relational  $T$ -algebra, and let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$  be a  $p$ -vector of subsets of  $A$ . We define

$$XP_i = \bigcup_{\phi \in P_i} (X_1, \dots, X_p)\phi$$

$$XP = (XP_1, \dots, XP_n).$$

Thus,  $XP$  is an  $n$ -vector of subsets of  $X$ . Therefore,  $P$  defines a function

$$P_A: \hat{A}^p \rightarrow \hat{A}^n.$$

In the  $p$ -fold product  $\hat{A}^p$  of  $\hat{A}$  we define inclusion and union coordinate by coordinate. We then have the following important property of  $P_A$ :

(15.1) If in  $\hat{A}^p$  we have

$$X^0 \subset X^1 \subset \dots \subset X^k \subset \dots,$$

then

$$(\bigcup_k X^k)P_A = \bigcup_k (X^k P_A).$$

For the proof it suffices to consider the case  $n = 1$  and  $P = P_1 = \phi: I \rightarrow [p]$  is a monomial (i.e.,  $P$  has a single constituent). In this case the desired relation is proved in a straightforward manner by induction on the degree of  $\phi$ .

Property (15.1) implies that  $P_A$  is monotone; i.e., that  $XP_A \subset YP_A$  whenever  $X \subset Y$  in  $\hat{A}^p$ .

We now consider a polynomial

$$P: [n] \rightarrow [n].$$

Then the transformation

$$P_A: \hat{A}^n \rightarrow \hat{A}^n$$

may be iterated, yielding

$$P_A^k: \hat{A}^n \rightarrow \hat{A}^n,$$

for which (15.1) also holds. In particular, if  $\emptyset \in \hat{A}^n$  is the  $n$ -tuple  $(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset)$ , we have

$$\emptyset \subset \emptyset P_A \subset \emptyset P_A^2 \subset \dots \subset \emptyset P_A^k \subset \dots$$

and we define

$$\bar{P}_A = \bigcup_k \emptyset P_A^k.$$

The following fact should be regarded as well known (as well as easily provable):

(15.2)  $\bar{P}_A$  is the least solution of the equation

$$XP_A = X,$$

for  $X \in A^n$ , as well as the least solution of the inequality

$$XP_A \subset X.$$

In the special case where  $A = A_0$  is the initial algebra in  $T^h$ , we shall write  $\bar{P}$  instead of  $\bar{P}_{A_0}$ . This special case is all-important because of

$$\text{If } \zeta_A : A_0 \rightarrow A, \quad \text{then } \bar{P}_A = \zeta_A \bar{P}. \quad (15.3)$$

Here,  $\zeta_A$  denotes the mapping  $\zeta_A : \hat{A}_0^n \rightarrow \hat{A}^n$  defined by the mapping  $\zeta_A : \hat{A}_0 \rightarrow \hat{A}$  given by the relation  $\zeta_A : A_0 \rightarrow A$ . The fact stated in (15.3) follows readily from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{A}_0^n & \xrightarrow{P_{A_0}} & \hat{A}_0^n \\ \zeta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta_A \\ \hat{A}^n & \xrightarrow{P_A} & \hat{A}^n \end{array}$$

and the facts that

$$\zeta_A \emptyset = \emptyset, \quad \zeta_A \bigcup_k X^k = \bigcup_k \zeta_A X^k.$$

## 16. ALGEBRAIC SETS

A subset  $X$  of the initial algebra  $A_0$  for a free theory  $T = S_0[\Omega]$  is called *algebraic* if there exists an integer  $n$  and a polynomial  $P : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  such that  $X = \bar{P}_1$ ; i.e.,  $X$  is the first coordinate of the least solution of the equation  $YP_{A_0} = Y$  for  $Y \in \hat{A}_0^n$ .

The following properties of algebraic sets should be regarded as known:

(16.1) Each element  $x$  of  $A_0$  is an algebraic set.

(16.2) The empty set is algebraic.

(16.3) If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are algebraic sets, then so is  $X_1 \cup X_2$ .

(16.4) If  $\phi : I \rightarrow [p]$  in  $T$  and  $X_1, \dots, X_p$  are algebraic sets, then so is  $(X_1, \dots, X_p)\phi$ .

We are now in a position to state the main results of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *For each algebraic set  $X$  in the initial algebra  $A_0$  over a free theory  $T = S_0[\Omega]$ , there exists an integer  $n > 0$  and a polynomial  $P : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  of degree 1 such that  $X = \bar{P}_1$ .*

The proof will be given in section 17.

Now assume that the theory  $T = S_0[\Omega]$  is free on a finite base; i.e., that each of the sets  $\Omega_k$  is finite and that  $\Omega_k = \emptyset$  for all but a finite number of integers  $k \geq 0$ .

Let  $A$  be a relational  $T$ -algebra with  $[n]$  as underlying set. We associate with  $A$  a polynomial,

$$A^p : [n] \rightarrow [n]$$

of degree 1 as follows: A morphism  $\phi : I \rightarrow [n]$  of degree 1 is a composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [p] \xrightarrow{x} [n],$$

where  $\omega \in \Omega_p$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ , a  $p$ -tuple of elements in  $[n]$ ; i.e., in  $A$ . We define  $x\omega \in P_i$  if and only if  $i \in (x_1, \dots, x_p)\omega$  according to the relational  $T$ -algebra structure  $A$ . This clearly gives a bijection between the relational  $T$ -algebra structures  $A$  on  $[n]$  and polynomials  $P : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  of degree 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *If the relational  $T$ -algebra  $A$  on  $[n]$  and the polynomial  $P : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  of degree 1 are related as above, then*

$$\bar{P}_i = \zeta_A^{-1}i.$$

We recall here that  $\zeta_A : A_0 \rightarrow A = [n]$  is a relation so that

$$\zeta_A^{-1}i = \{y \mid y \in A_0, i \in \zeta_A y\}.$$

The proof will be given in section 18.

From the two theorems asserted above, we can now prove:

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $T = S_0[\Omega]$  is a free theory on a finite base, then in the initial  $T$ -algebra  $A_0$  the recognizable sets and the algebraic sets coincide.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \subset A_0$  be recognizable. Then  $X = \mathcal{B}A$  where  $A = (A, t)$  is an automaton. Since the  $T$ -algebra  $A$  is finite, we may, without loss, assume that the underlying set of  $A$  is  $[n]$  for some  $n > 0$ . Let  $P : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  be the associated polynomial of degree 1. Then by Theorem 2

$$X = \mathcal{B}A = \zeta_A^{-1}t = \bigcup_{i \in t} \zeta_A^{-1}i = \bigcup_{i \in t} \bar{P}_i.$$

Since each  $\bar{P}_i$  is algebraic, it follows from (16.3) that  $X$  is algebraic.

Conversely, let  $X$  be an algebraic set in  $A_0$ . Then by Theorem 1 we have  $X = \bar{P}_1$  for some polynomial  $P: [n] \rightarrow [n]$  of degree 1. Let  $A$  be the relational  $T$ -algebra structure associated with  $P$  and let  $A = (A, t)$  be the relational automaton with  $t = \{1\}$ . Then by Theorem 2

$$\mathcal{R}A = \xi_A^{-1}1 = \bar{P}_1 = X$$

so that  $X$  is recognizable.

#### 17. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We shall establish two auxiliary propositions.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Given a polynomial  $P: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ , there exists a polynomial  $Q: [n] \rightarrow [n]$  such that*

- (i) *The constituents of  $Q$  are precisely the constituents of  $P$  of degree  $> 0$ .*
- (ii)  $\bar{Q} = \bar{P}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Given a polynomial  $P: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ , there exists a polynomial  $Q: [m] \rightarrow [m]$ ,  $n \leq m$  such that*

- (i) *All constituents of  $Q$  have degree  $\leq 1$ .*
- (ii)  $Q_i$  *has the same constituents of degree 0 as  $P_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*
- (iii)  $Q_i$ ,  $n < i < m$  *has no constituents of degree 0.*
- (iv)  $\bar{Q}_i = \bar{P}_i$  *for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

It is now clear how Theorem 1 follows from these two propositions. Given an algebraic set  $X$  in  $A_0$ , choose a polynomial  $P: [n] \rightarrow [n]$  such that  $X = \bar{P}_1$ . Then apply both propositions consecutively and in either order. There results a polynomial  $Q: [m] \rightarrow [m]$ ,  $n \leq m$  of degree 1 such that  $\bar{Q}_1 = X$ .

In the proofs that follow, it will be convenient to use the symbol  $\vdash$  for  $\cup$  and  $\Sigma$  for  $\cup$ .

**Proof of Proposition 1.** We represent the polynomial  $P$  in the form  $P = R + M$  where  $R: [n] \rightarrow [n]$  consists of all the constituents of  $P$  of degree  $> 0$ , while  $M$  consists of the constituents of  $P$  of degree 0. The morphisms  $j: 1 \rightarrow [n]$  of degree 0 are  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus  $M$  may be represented as the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\{M_{ij}\}$  whose coordinates  $M_{ij}$  are 1 or 0 depending on whether  $j: 1 \rightarrow [n]$  is a constituent of  $M_i$  (i.e., a constituent of  $P_i$ ). We now form the matrix

$$N = E + M + M^2 + \dots + M^k + \dots$$

as follows: We regard  $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}$  as a semi-ring with the operation table

$$0 + 0 = 0, \quad 0 + 1 = 1 + 0 = 1 + 1 = 1$$

$$11 = 1, \quad 01 = 10 = 00 = 0$$

and regard  $M$  as a matrix with coefficients in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Matrices are multiplied and added in the usual fashion.  $E$  denotes the matrix with 1 on the diagonal and zero everywhere else. The sequence of matrices

$$M^{(k)} = E + M + M^2 + \dots + M^k$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots$  is ascending and, therefore, for some  $k$  we have  $M^{(k)} = M^{(l)}$  for all  $l \geq k$ . The matrix  $N$  is then defined as  $M^{(k)}$ , for  $k$  sufficiently large. We now define the polynomial  $Q: [n] \rightarrow [n]$  as  $Q = RN$ ; i.e.,

$$Q_i = \sum_k R_k N_{ki}.$$

Since  $N_{ii} = 1$  we have  $R_i \subset Q_i$ . Condition (i) of Proposition 1 is then clearly satisfied and we now prove that  $\bar{Q} = \bar{P}$ .

Assume that  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a vector of subsets of  $A_0$  such that  $XP \subset X$ . Then since  $P = R + M$ , we must have  $XR \subset X$  and  $XM \subset X$ . Therefore,  $XM^k \subset X$  for all  $k$  and thus  $XN \subset X$ . It follows that

$$XQ = (XR)N \subset XN \subset X.$$

This proves that  $\bar{Q} \subset \bar{P}$ . To prove the converse, assume that  $XQ = X$ . Since  $R \subset Q$ , we have  $XR \subset X$ . Since  $NM \subset N$ , we have

$$QM = RNM \subset RM = Q.$$

Therefore,

$$XP = XR + XM = XR + XQM \subset X + QX = X.$$

This shows that  $\bar{P} \subset \bar{Q}$ . Thus  $\bar{P} = \bar{Q}$ .

**Proof of Proposition 2.** Assume that  $k > 1$  is the highest degree of the constituents of  $P$  and let  $\phi: I \rightarrow [n]$  be a constituent of  $P$  of degree  $k$ . We may write

$$P = R + \phi M,$$

where  $R$  is a polynomial not containing  $\phi$  as a constituent while  $M = (M_1, \dots, M_n)$  is a vector with components 0, 1 defined by  $M_i = 1$  or  $M_i = 0$  depending on whether or not  $\phi$  is a constituent of  $P_i$ . The morphism  $\phi$  has a factorization

$$I \xrightarrow{\psi} [p] \xrightarrow{\psi} [n]$$



with  $\omega \in \Omega_p$  and  $d\psi = k - 1$ . Since  $d\psi = \sum d(\psi_i) i = 1, \dots, p$  we must have  $d(\psi_i) > 0$  for some  $i$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $d(\psi_p) > 0$ .

Finding  $\bar{P}$  is equivalent to finding the minimal solution of the system of equations

$$X = XP \quad (17.1)$$

in subsets  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  of  $A_0$ . The equation (17.1) may be rewritten as

$$X = XR + (X\psi_1, \dots, X\psi_p)\omega M, \quad (17.2)$$

where  $\psi_i = \psi_i$ .

We now consider the system of  $n + 1$  equations with  $n + 1$  unknowns as follows:

$$X = XR + (X\psi_1, \dots, X\psi_{p-1}, X_{n+1})\omega M \quad (17.3)$$

$$X_{n+1} = X\psi_p,$$

where  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . It is clear that if  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is the minimal solution of (17.2), then  $(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1})$  with  $X_{n+1} = X\psi_p$  is the minimal solution of (17.3).

The right-hand side of (17.3) yields a polynomial  $Q: [n + 1] \rightarrow [n + 1]$  whose constituents are: ( $1^\circ$ ) compositions

$$I \xrightarrow{\gamma} [n] \xrightarrow{f} [n + 1],$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constituent of  $P$  different from  $\phi$  and  $f$  is an inclusion; ( $2^\circ$ ) morphisms  $\tau: I \rightarrow [n + 1]$  satisfying  $0 < d\tau < k$ . By the above,  $\bar{Q}_i = \bar{P}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

An iteration of the above procedure yields the conclusion of Proposition 2.

## 18. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let

$$Q_i = \{\phi \mid \phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset, i \in \zeta_A \phi\}.$$

Then  $Q_i \subset A_0$  and we wish to show that  $Q = \bar{P}$  where  $Q = (Q_1, \dots, Q_n)$ .

We first show that  $\bar{P} \subset Q$ . For this, it suffices to show that  $QP \subset Q$ ; i.e., that

$$(Q_1, \dots, Q_n)\phi \subset Q_i \text{ whenever } \phi \in P_i.$$

Let  $\phi \in P_i$ . Then  $\phi$  is the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [p] \xrightarrow{\gamma} [n]$$

with  $\omega \in \Omega_p$ ,  $x$  is a mapping in  $S_0$  and

$$i \in (x1, \dots, xp)\omega.$$

Thus we must show that

$$(Q_{x1}, \dots, Q_{xp})\omega \subset Q_i. \quad (18.1)$$

Let then

$$\psi_j \in Q_{xj} \quad j = 1, \dots, p$$

$$\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p): [p] \rightarrow \emptyset.$$

Then  $xj \in \zeta_A \psi_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ , and

$$i \in (x1, \dots, xp)\omega \subset (\zeta_A \psi_1, \dots, \zeta_A \psi_p)\omega = \zeta_A(\psi\omega).$$

Consequently,  $\psi\omega \in Q_i$ , so that (18.1) holds.

To show the opposite inclusion, we must prove that

$$(18.2) \text{ if } \phi: I \rightarrow \emptyset \text{ and } i \in \zeta_A \phi, \text{ then } \phi \in \bar{P}_i.$$

This will be done by induction with respect to the degree of  $\phi$ . First let  $d\phi = 1$ . Then  $\phi \in \Omega_0$  and  $i \in \phi_A$ . Then the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\phi} \emptyset \rightarrow [n]$$

is in  $P_i$  so that  $\phi = \phi_{A_0} \in \emptyset P_i$ . Thus  $\phi \in \bar{P}_i$  as required.

Now assume  $d\phi = k > 1$ . Let

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [p] \xrightarrow{\gamma} [n]$$

be the factorization of  $\phi$  with  $\omega \in \Omega_p$  and  $d\psi = k - 1 > 0$ . Then  $p > 0$ . We have

$$i \in \zeta_A \phi = \zeta_A \psi\omega = \zeta_A(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p)\omega = (\zeta_A \psi_1, \dots, \zeta_A \psi_p)\omega.$$

Let then

$$x: [p] \rightarrow [n] = A \text{ in } S_0$$

be such that

$$xj \in \zeta_A \psi_j \quad j = 1, \dots, p \quad (18.3)$$

$$i \in (x1, \dots, xp)\omega. \quad (18.4)$$

It follows from (18.4) that the composition

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega} [p] \xrightarrow{x} [n]$$

is in  $P_i$ . Condition (18.3), in view of  $d\psi_j < k$ , implies that  $\psi_j \in \bar{P}_{xj}$ . Thus  $\phi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p)\omega \in (\bar{P}_{x1}, \dots, \bar{P}_{xp})\omega = (\bar{P}_1, \dots, \bar{P}_n)x\omega \subset (\bar{P}_1, \dots, \bar{P}_n)P_i \subset \bar{P}_i$ . Consequently,  $\phi \in \bar{P}_i$  as required.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Dr. C. C. Elgot for his careful reading of the manuscript and many suggested modifications of the text.

RECEIVED: September 27, 1967

#### REFERENCES

- ARDEN, D. N. (1961). Delayed-logic and finite-state machines. Course notes 6.531. Department of Electrical Engineering, M.I.T.
- BUCHI, J. R. AND WRIGHT, J. B. (1960). "Mathematical Theory of Automata." course notes, Communications Sciences 403, University of Michigan.
- CHOMSKY, N. AND SCHÜTZENBERGER, M. P. (1963). The Algebraic Theory of Context-Free Languages. In "Computer Programming and Formal Systems" (edited by P. Braffort and D. Hirschberg), North-Holland, Amsterdam, p. 118.
- ELGOT, C. C. AND MEZEI, J. (1965). On relations defined by generalized finite automata. *IBM J. Res. Develop.* Vol. 9.
- GINSBURG, S. AND RICE, H. G. (1962). Two families of languages related to ALGOL. *J. Assoc. Comp. Mach.* 9, p. 350.
- LAWVERE, F. W. (1963). Functorial semantics of algebraic theories. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 50, 869-872.
- MEZEI, J. AND WRIGHT, J. B. (1965). Generalized ALGOL-like languages. IBM Research Report RC 1528. Also to appear in *Inform. Control as Algebraic automata and context-free sets*.
- RABIN, M. O. AND SCOTT, D. (1959). Finite automata and their decision problems. *IBM J. Res. Develop.* Vol. 3.
- THATCHER, J. W. AND WRIGHT, J. B. (1966). Generalized finite automata theory with an Application to a Decision Problem of second-order logic. IBM Research Report RC 1713.

INFORMATION AND CONTROL 11, 471 (1967)

#### ERRATUM

Vol. 10, No. 4 (1967), in the article entitled, "Cascade Synthesis of Finite-State Machines," by H. P. Zeiger, pp. 419-433:

Page 429, line 8, the sentence beginning, "Let  $D$  be any ..." should read: "Let  $D$  be a similarity class of initial elements for which the cardinality of each element is maximal; line 26, "Let  $Q_L$  be  $\{R \in C' : R \subset q\}$ " should read: "Let  $Q_L$  be the set of blocks that replaced  $q$  in passing from  $C$  to  $C'$ "; third line from the bottom, "(3) ... let  $t = v_s^q x_M(p)$ ," should read: "(3) ... let  $t$  be any element of  $Q_L$  contained in  $v_s^q x_M(p)$ "; line 2 from bottom should read:

"(4) if  $p \in D$  and  $s \in D$  and

(a)  $v_s^q x_M v_q^p(q) \neq q$  let  $t$  be any element of  $Q_L$  contained in  $v_s^q x_M v_q^p(q)$ ,

(b)  $v_s^q x_M v_q^p(q) = q$  let  $t$  be  $v_s^q x_M v_q^p(r)$ ."

Page 430, line 4, "... part 3 produces ..." should read: "... parts 3 and 4a produce ..."; line 5, "... and part 4 ..." should read: "... and part 4b ..."

The author is indebted to Mr. Y. Perry of Hebrew University, Jerusalem, for pointing out the need for these corrections, and particularly for showing that in the original construction,  $C'$  may not always be a proper refinement of  $C$ . Mr. Perry also provided an ingenious patch for the difficulty. The first correction above represents a simpler patch that is due to Abraham Ginsburg of Carnegie Institute of Technology.