

SCHANUEL PROPERTY FOR ADDITIVE POWER SERIES

BY

PIOTR KOWALSKI*

*Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski
pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland
e-mail: pkowa@math.uni.wroc.pl
URL: <http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/~pkowa/>*

ABSTRACT

We prove a version of Schanuel's Conjecture for a field of Laurent power series in positive characteristic replacing \mathbb{C} and a non-algebraic additive power series replacing the exponential map.

1. Introduction

In [2], James Ax proved the power series version of Schanuel's Conjecture [2, (SP)], which may be regarded as a transcendence statement about the exponential map on an algebraic torus. By the “power series version”, we mean that the field of complex numbers is replaced with the non-Archimedean field of power series, where the exponential map is evaluated. In [3], Ax extended [2, (SP)] from a torus to an arbitrary semi-abelian variety. Bertrand noticed in [5] that a semi-abelian variety may be replaced with any commutative algebraic group without additive quotients. Ax also proved a transcendence statement about differential fields of characteristic 0 [2, (SD)], which was used to prove [2, (SP)]. An elliptic curve version was proved by Brownawell and Kubota in [7] and Kirby [12] generalized both these results to the case of semi-abelian varieties.

Trying to extend the power series version of Schanuel's Conjecture to the positive characteristic case one immediately faces a basic problem — there are

* Supported by the Polish grant MEN no. N N201 545938

Received May 12, 2010 and in revised form August 1, 2010

no exponential maps over a field of positive characteristic! Therefore some other formal maps need to be considered. A Schanuel type result was obtained [13, 6.12] for a raising to power map on a characteristic zero algebraic torus replacing the exponential map. A right class of possible powers needs to be specified, since e.g. the identity map obviously does not yield any transcendence results. The class which was isolated in [13] is very natural: it consists of power series (expansions of) X^α , where the algebraic degree of α over \mathbb{Q} is bigger than the dimension of the torus. The main theorem of this paper is analogous to [13, 6.12], where a characteristic 0 torus is replaced with a positive characteristic vector group. It is worth mentioning here a related result [4, Theorem 1.1], which concerns raising to powers in the Archimedean case, however the non-algebraicity condition on the set of the possible powers is rather restrictive there.

The ring of endomorphisms of the (algebraic) additive group over \mathbb{F}_p coincides with the ring of additive polynomials (with composition) over \mathbb{F}_p which we denote $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$. It is isomorphic to the twisted (by Frobenius) polynomial ring over \mathbb{F}_p , but since Frobenius acts trivially on \mathbb{F}_p , it is isomorphic to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$. In the torus case this ring is \mathbb{Z} , so both rings are similar to each other, e.g. they are both 1-dimensional. The ring of formal endomorphisms of the additive group of \mathbb{F}_p coincides with the ring of additive power series denoted $\mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Fr}]]$ (with composition) and it is isomorphic to the power series ring $\mathbb{F}_p[[X]]$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ denote the fraction field of $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$. If we have in mind that \mathbb{Z} corresponds to $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$, then the main theorem below is of the same form as the theorem about the torus from [13].

THEOREM 1.1: *Let $F \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Fr}]]$ and assume that the algebraic degree of F over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ is greater than n (e.g. F is transcendental over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$). Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in t\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$ and*

$$g := (x_1, \dots, x_n, F(x_1), \dots, F(x_n)).$$

Then $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(g) \geq n + 1$.

Let us note that the assumptions of the above theorem cannot be weakened. Firstly, the linear independence over \mathbb{F}_p does not suffice. For instance t, t^p are \mathbb{F}_p -independent but the transcendence degree of $(t, t^p, F(t), F(t^p))$ is not greater than 2, for $F(t^p) = (F(t))^p$. Secondly, we can neither relax the non-algebraicity assumption on F . If F is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ of degree n ,

then $t, F(t), \dots, F^{n-1}(t)$ (compositional powers) are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$, and for $x := (t, F(t), \dots, F^{n-1}(t))$, we have $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(x, F(x)) \leq n$. Using Hensel's Lemma, it is easy to see that for any n which is non-divisible by p , there is an additive power series which is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ of degree n .

There are many other results about transcendence in positive characteristic which are related to Drinfeld modules; see, e.g., [15] and [17]. The main difference between these results and our case is that we do not allow “non-constant coefficients”—this is discussed in Section 6.2.

A possible line of further research is to replace an additive power series with a formal map between algebraic groups in positive characteristic. The most natural example of such a map is a formal isomorphism between an ordinary elliptic curve and the multiplicative group. Such formal maps will be considered in a forthcoming paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall Vojta's notion of higher differential forms and prove a technical result about linear dependence of differential forms. This result replaces the usage of the Lie derivative in Ax's proof. We find in Section 3 a non-zero additive power series which vanishes on g in a certain strong sense. In Section 4, we use this power series to find additive polynomials vanishing on g . In Section 5 we show how these polynomials affect the degree of F over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$. In Section 6 we discuss generalizations of Theorem 1.1 and its relationship to some other results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I would like to thank Boris Zilber for suggesting this topic to me, Daniel Bertrand for his comments, Amador Martin-Pizarro for many stimulating discussions and the referee for her/his helpful report.

2. Linear dependence of differential forms

In this section we prove a technical result about dependence of (higher) differential forms under certain assumptions. Throughout this section C is a perfect field of positive characteristic p and T is a C -algebra. We will use Vojta's higher forms from [16]. Its usage here is not absolutely necessary, but it simplifies some arguments. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\text{HS}_{T/C}^m$ (we suppress “/ C ” in the sequel) denote the T -algebra of higher differential forms which comes with the universal Hasse-Schmidt derivation over C (HS-derivation for short) of order m

$$(d_0, \dots, d_m) : T \rightarrow \text{HS}_T^m.$$

For precise definitions, the reader should consult [16]. The T -algebra HS_T^m is generated by the symbols of the form $d_i(t)$ for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $t \in T$ which satisfy the following relations:

- for each $t \in T$, $d_0(t) = t$,
- for each $c \in C$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, $d_i(c) = 0$,
- for each $t, t' \in T$ and $0 \leq i \leq m$,

$$d_i(t + t') = d_i(t) + d_i(t'), \quad d_i(tt') = \sum_{k+l=i} d_k(t)d_l(t').$$

In particular, we have $d_{p^{n+1}}(t^p) = d_{p^n}(t)^p$ and $d_1(t^p) = 0$. Let us also notice that $\text{HS}_T^0 = T$ and $\text{HS}_T^1 = S(\Omega_T)$ (the symmetric algebra). We will use the following easy observation.

LEMMA 2.1: *Let $C \subseteq L$ be a field extension, $a \in L$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $a \in L^{p^{m+1}}$,
- (2) $d_1(a) = d_2(a) = \cdots = d_{p^m}(a) = 0$,
- (3) $d_{p^m}(a) = 0$.

Proof. Only (3) to (1) requires an argument. Assume $a \in L \setminus L^{p^{m+1}}$. Therefore, there is $n \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ and $b \in L \setminus L^p$ such that $a = b^{p^n}$. We can extend $\{b\}$ to B , a p -basis of L over C . Since C perfect, the extension $C \subseteq L$ is separable. Thus, by [14, Theorem 26.8], B is algebraically independent over C and L is étale over $C(B)$. There is an HS-derivation D on $C(B)$ vanishing on C such that $D_{p^{m-n}}(b) \neq 0$. Therefore

$$D_{p^m}(a) = D_{p^{m-n}}(b)^{p^n} \neq 0.$$

By [14, Theorem 27.2], D extends (uniquely) to an HS-derivation D' on L . Since $D'_{p^m}(a) \neq 0$, we have $d_{p^m}(a) \neq 0$. ■

Additive power series give rise to infinite sequences having a certain property which motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.2: A sequence $(h_m \in T)_m$ is **compatible** if $h_{m+1} - h_m \in T^{p^{m+1}}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $T = C[X_1, \dots, X_k]$, then any additive power series in k variables is a limit of a compatible sequence of additive polynomials from T . Obviously, there are many compatible sequences of additive polynomials converging to the same

power series (there is the most natural choice though). However, (h_m) and (t_m) converge to the same power series if and only if for each m , we have $h_m - t_m \in T^{p^{m+1}}$. This observation motivates the next definition.

Definition 2.3: Let $h := (h_m)$ be a compatible sequence on T and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- The sequence h **vanishes** if for each m , we have $h_m \in T^{p^{m+1}}$.
- We write $h \in T^{p^{k+1}}$ if $h_k \in T^{p^{k+1}}$.

Note that h vanishes if and only if, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $h \in T^{p^{k+1}}$ and that $h \in T^{p^{k+1}}$ if and only if, for each $0 \leq i \leq k$, $h_i \in T^{p^{i+1}}$.

If we have a homomorphism of C -algebras $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$, then using this homomorphism we can send any compatible sequence on T_1 to a compatible sequence on T_2 , so we sometimes say that a compatible sequence on T_1 vanishes on T_2 .

For a compatible sequence $h = (h_m)$ on T , we define $d_{p^k}(h)$ as $d_{p^k}(h_k)$. Note that for each $l \geq k$, we have $d_{p^k}(h) = d_{p^k}(h_l)$. By 2.1 we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.4: Let h be a compatible sequence on L and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have:

- (1) $h \in L^{p^{k+1}}$ if and only if $d_{p^k}(h) = 0$,
- (2) h vanishes if and only if $d_{p^k}(h) = 0$ for all k .

The next lemma replaces the usage of the Lie derivative in Ax's proof from [3] (see also [12] and [13]).

PROPOSITION 2.5: Let $C \subseteq L \subseteq K$ be a tower of fields. Assume that $L \not\subseteq K^p$, $K^{p^\infty} = C$ and $\text{trdeg}_C L \leq n$. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be compatible sequences on L vanishing on K . Then $d_1(a_1), \dots, d_1(a_n) \in \Omega_L$ are linearly dependent over C . Moreover, if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L^{p^k}$ for some $k > 0$, then $d_{p^k}(a_1), \dots, d_{p^k}(a_n) \in \text{HS}_L^{p^k}$ are linearly dependent over C .

Proof. We fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote $L \cap K^{p^m}$ by L_m . Since $L \not\subseteq K^p$, then $L^{p^m} \not\subseteq K^{p^{m+1}}$; in particular, we have $L_m \not\subseteq K^{p^{m+1}}$. By 2.1, the natural map

$$\phi : \Omega_{L_m} \rightarrow \Omega_{K^{p^m}}$$

is non-zero.

Since the extension $C \subseteq L_m$ is separable, by [14, 26.8] we have

$$\dim_{L_m} \Omega_{L_m} \leq \text{trdeg}_C(L_m) \leq n.$$

For each i , we have $a_{i,m} \in L_{m+1} \subseteq L_m$, for a_i vanishes on K . Therefore $d_1(a_{i,m}) \in \Omega_{L_m}$ and

$$d_1(a_{1,m}), \dots, d_1(a_{n,m}) \in \ker(\phi).$$

Since ϕ is non-zero, $\dim_{L_m}(\ker(\phi)) < n$, therefore $d_1(a_{1,m}), \dots, d_1(a_{n,m})$ are linearly dependent over L_m in Ω_{L_m} . However, for each i , $d_1(a_i) = d_1(a_{i,m})$ in Ω_L , hence $d_1(a_1), \dots, d_1(a_n)$ are linearly dependent over L_m in Ω_L for each m . Since $C = \bigcap L_m$, we get that da_1, \dots, da_n are linearly dependent over C .

For the ‘moreover’ clause, notice that if b is a compatible sequence on L which vanishes on K and such that $b \in L^{p^k}$, then

$$(\sqrt[p^k]{b_k}, \sqrt[p^k]{b_{k+1}}, \dots)$$

is a compatible sequence on L which vanishes on K . Then we can use the case of $k = 0$ proved above, since $d_{p^k}(b_k) = d_1(\sqrt[p^k]{b_k})^{p^k}$. ■

3. A vanishing power series

We proceed towards the proof of 1.1. Let us assume that $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(g) \leq n$ and we want to show that x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$. We will prove this in Section 5. In this section, we find a non-zero power series of a special form which vanishes on g in the sense of 2.3.

Let us define:

$$L = \mathbb{F}_p(g), \quad K = \mathbb{F}_p((t)), \quad \bar{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n), \quad \bar{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n).$$

To apply 2.5 to our situation we need to know whether $L \not\subseteq K^p$. It need not be the case, but we will show below that without loss of generality we may assume it is. Assume that for each i we have $x_i = y_i^p$. Since $F(y_i^p) = (F(y_i))^p$, we get

$$\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(x_1, F(x_1), \dots, x_n, F(x_n)) = \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(y_1, F(y_1), \dots, y_n, F(y_n)).$$

It is also easy to see that the linear dependence of y_1, \dots, y_n over $\mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$ implies the linear dependence of x_1, \dots, x_n . Thus we can replace x_1, \dots, x_n with y_1, \dots, y_n . Then the only problem could be that $x_1, \dots, x_n \in K^{p^\infty}$, but since $K^{p^\infty} = \mathbb{F}_p$, we would get the \mathbb{F}_p -dependence then.

We have a \mathbb{F}_p -algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_p[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] \ni w \mapsto w(g) \in L,$$

so it is meaningful to say that a compatible sequence on $\mathbb{F}_p[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$ vanishes on L .

We have noted that any additive power series $h \in \mathbb{F}_p[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$ is the limit of a compatible sequence $(h_m \in \mathbb{F}_p[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}])$ and it is the limit of some other compatible sequence (h'_m) if and only if $(h_m - h'_m)$ vanishes. Therefore, it makes sense to say that h vanishes on L (or K) and that $h \in L^{p^{m+1}}$. Similarly, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the differential form $d_{p^m}(h(g)) \in \text{HS}_L^{p^m}$ is well defined as $d_{p^m}(h_m(g))$ for any compatible sequence (h_m) converging to h .

PROPOSITION 3.1: *There is $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Fr}]]^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$h := h_1 \circ (Y_1 - F(X_1)) + \dots + h_n \circ (Y_n - F(X_n))$$

vanishes on L .

Proof. In this proof, by a permutation we mean $\sigma \in S_n$ applied both to \overline{X} - and \overline{Y} -coordinates. Let us define $f_i := Y_i - F(X_i) \in \mathbb{F}_p[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]$. From the assumptions in 1.1, each f_i vanishes on K .

By 2.5 (for $C = \mathbb{F}_p$), the forms $d_1(f_1(g)), \dots, d_1(f_n(g)) \in \Omega_L$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_p . After applying a permutation, there is $0 \leq r_0 < n$ such that $\{d_1(f_1(g)), \dots, d_1(f_{r_0}(g))\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_p -linear span of these forms. For each $r_0 < l \leq n$, there are $\alpha_{l,1}, \dots, \alpha_{l,r_0} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that

$$d_1(f_l(g)) = \sum_{i=1}^{r_0} \alpha_{l,i} d_1(f_i(g)).$$

We define now new additive power series. For each $1 \leq l \leq r_0$ we set $f_l^{(1)} := f_l^p$ and for each $r_0 < l \leq n$ we set $f_l^{(1)} := f_l - \sum_{i=1}^{r_0} \alpha_{l,i} f_i$.

Note that $f_1^{(1)}(g), \dots, f_n^{(1)}(g) \in L^p$ by 2.4. Since each $f^{(i)}$ still vanishes on K , by 2.5 the forms $d_p(f_1^{(1)}(g)), \dots, d_p(f_n^{(1)}(g)) \in \text{HS}_L^p$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_p . Since for each $1 \leq l \leq r_0$ we have

$$d_p(f_l^{(1)}(g)) = d_1(f_l(g))^p,$$

the forms $d_p(f_1^{(1)}(g)), \dots, d_p(f_{r_0}^{(1)}(g))$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p (since \mathbb{F}_p is perfect). Therefore, after applying a permutation, there is $r_0 \leq r_1 < n$ such that $\{d_p(f_1^{(1)}(g)), \dots, d_p(f_{r_1}^{(1)}(g))\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_p -linear span of $\{d_p(f_1^{(1)}(g)), \dots, d_p(f_n^{(1)}(g))\}$.

We define now new power series $f_i^{(2)}$ in the same fashion as we have defined the power series $f_i^{(1)}$. Note that $f_1^{(2)}(g), \dots, f_n^{(2)}(g) \in L^{p^2}$ and each $f_i^{(2)}$ vanishes on K .

If we continue like this we get a sequence $0 \leq r_0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots < n$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that for each $j \geq m$ we have $r_m = r_j$. Let $f_{< n}$ denote (f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) . Note that

$$(1) \quad f_1^{(m)} = t_1(f_{< n}), \dots, f_{n-1}^{(m)} = t_{n-1}(f_{< n}), f_n^{(m)} = f_n - t_n(f_{< n})$$

for certain additive polynomials t_1, \dots, t_n .

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there are $\alpha_{1,k}, \dots, \alpha_{n-1,k} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that if we set

$$(2) \quad w_k := \alpha_{1,k}(f_1^{(m)})^{p^k} + \dots + \alpha_{n-1,k}(f_{n-1}^{(m)})^{p^k},$$

$$(3) \quad h^{(k+1)} := f_n^{(m)} - w_0 - \dots - w_k,$$

we get that $h^{(k)}$ is an additive power series such that $h^{(k)}(g) \in L^{p^{k+m}}$. Clearly $(h^{(k)})_k$ is a compatible sequence in the ring of power series; in particular, it is a Cauchy sequence. From (1), (2) and (3), $h := \lim(h^{(k)})$ is our required power series. ■

4. An algebraic subgroup

In this section we replace the additive power series h from 3.1 with an algebraic group.

Let \mathbb{G}_a denote the (algebraic) additive group over $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ (the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p) and A denote \mathbb{G}_a^{2n} . Assume that $W \subseteq A$ is an irreducible affine subvariety such that 0 is a smooth point of W . Let \mathcal{O}_A and \mathcal{O}_W denote the coordinate rings over $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ and let I_W be the kernel of the restriction map $\pi_W : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W$ denote the completion of \mathcal{O}_W with respect to the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$. We identify $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W$ with the inverse limit of the inverse system $(\mathcal{O}_W / \mathfrak{m}_{W,0}^{p^{m+1}})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. We would like to emphasize that $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}^m$ denotes here the p^m -th power of the ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$ and not the image of $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$ by the p^m -th power of the Frobenius map (which is contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}^{p^m}$), although, for any C -algebra T , T^{p^m} still denotes the image of T by the m -th power of the Frobenius map. Let $C(W)$ denote the fraction field of \mathcal{O}_W (the field of rational functions on W). In the lemma below we note the relation between compatible sequences on $C(W)$ and elements of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W$. This

relation also justifies the choice of indices in the inverse system representing $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W$.

LEMMA 4.1: *Let $f = (f_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a compatible sequence on $C(W)$ such that each f_m belongs to $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$. Then*

$$\widehat{f} := (f_m + \mathfrak{m}_{W,0}^{p^{m+1}})_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W.$$

Moreover, if f vanishes on $C(W)$, then $\widehat{f} = 0$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$ is maximal, we can replace \mathcal{O}_W with its localization at $\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$, i.e., with the local ring of W at 0. Since 0 is a smooth point, \mathcal{O}_W is regular, in particular normal. Therefore, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$C(W)^{p^m} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{W,0} \subseteq \text{Fr}^m(\mathfrak{m}_{W,0}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{W,0}^{p^m}$$

and the result follows. ■

Clearly the converse to neither clause in the lemma above holds.

We consider \mathcal{O}_W as a subring of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W$. We identify $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A$ with $\mathbb{F}_p[[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]]$, in particular $h \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A$, where h comes from 3.1. Since $\pi_W(\mathfrak{m}_{A,0}) = \mathfrak{m}_{W,0}$, we get the induced epimorphism $\widehat{\pi}_W : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W$.

We note a classical result which will be needed in the next section.

LEMMA 4.2: *For W, A as above we have $\ker(\widehat{\pi}_W) = I_W \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A$.*

Proof. This is well-known and follows directly from [11, Theorem 7.2(a)] and [11, Lemma 7.15]. ■

We say that h vanishes on W if h vanishes on the function field of W (as a compatible sequence, note the discussion in the beginning of the previous section).

LEMMA 4.3: *If h vanishes on W , then $h \in \ker(\widehat{\pi}_W)$.*

Proof. It follows directly from 4.1. ■

For any \mathcal{O}_A -module N , denote by \widehat{N} the completion of N with respect to $\mathfrak{m}_{A,0}$. Then $N \mapsto \widehat{N}$ is an exact functor [11, Lemma 7.15], $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W = \widehat{\mathcal{O}_W}$ and $\widehat{\pi}_W = \widehat{\pi_W}$.

For $W_1, W_2 \subseteq A$ subvarieties, let $W_1 + W_2$ denotes the Zariski closure of the set $\{w_1 + w_2 | w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2\}$.

PROPOSITION 4.4: Let $W_1, W_2 \subseteq A$ be subvarieties having 0 as a smooth point and assume $h \in \ker(\widehat{\pi}_{W_1}) \cap \ker(\widehat{\pi}_{W_2})$. Then $h \in \ker(\widehat{\pi}_{W_1+W_2})$.

Proof. From the definition of $W_1 + W_2$, $\ker(\pi_{W_1+W_2})$ coincides with the kernel of the following composition:

$$\mathcal{O}_A \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_A \xrightarrow{\pi_V \otimes \pi_W} \mathcal{O}_V \otimes \mathcal{O}_W,$$

where μ is the coaddition map. Therefore, $\ker(\widehat{\pi}_{W_1+W_2})$ coincides with the kernel of the following composition:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_A \xrightarrow{\widehat{\pi}_V \otimes \widehat{\pi}_W} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_V \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_W.$$

Since h is additive, $\widehat{\mu}(h) = h \widehat{\otimes} 1 + 1 \widehat{\otimes} h$, hence h belongs to the kernel of the above composition. ■

Let us assume that $V \subseteq A$ is an irreducible subvariety. By a theorem of Chevalley (see Chapter II Section 7 in [8]), V generates in finitely many steps H , a coset of an algebraic subgroup of A . Clearly, if V is defined over \mathbb{F}_q , then H is defined over \mathbb{F}_q . By the locus of g over $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ we mean the smallest algebraic subvariety V of A which is defined over $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ and such that $g \in V(\mathbb{F}_p((t)))$. Since $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ is algebraically closed, V is irreducible. Let us recall the power series h from the statement of 3.1 which plays an important role in the main result of this section below.

PROPOSITION 4.5: Let V be the locus of g over $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ and H be the coset generated by V . Then H is an algebraic subgroup defined over \mathbb{F}_p , $g \in H(\mathbb{F}_p((t)))$ and $h \in \ker(\widehat{\pi}_H)$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$ is linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}$ over \mathbb{F}_p (in $\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}((t))$), we have

$$\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}[g] = \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}} \mathbb{F}_p[g] \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p[g].$$

Therefore, V is defined over \mathbb{F}_p and H is defined over \mathbb{F}_p as well.

By 3.1, h vanishes on $\mathbb{F}_p(g)$. Let $c \in V(\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}})$ be a smooth point and $V_c := V - c$. Then V_c generates a group G whose coset is H . Since $\mathbb{F}_p(g)$ is a subfield of the function field of V_c and 0 is a smooth point of V_c , h vanishes on V_c . By 4.3, $h \in \ker(\widehat{\pi}_{V_c})$. Since G is generated in finitely many steps by V_c , we get by 4.4 that $h \in \ker(\widehat{\pi}_G)$.

We will show that $c \in G(\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}})$, which clearly implies that $g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p((t)))$ and $H = G$. Assume that $c \notin G(\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}})$ and we will reach a contradiction. There is

an additive polynomial w which vanishes on $g - c$ and $w(c) \neq 0$. Hence there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n} \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}[\text{Fr}]$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(x_i) + \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} \alpha_j(F(x_i)) \in t\mathbb{F}_p^{\text{alg}}[[t]] \cap \{w(c)\} = \emptyset,$$

a contradiction. \blacksquare

The main fact behind 4.5 can be stated much more generally. Assume G is an algebraic group, V a subvariety containing the identity element of G and \mathcal{H} a formal subgroup of \widehat{G} , the formalization of G . Proceeding as in the proof of 4.4, one can show that if $\widehat{V} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, then $\widehat{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, where H is the algebraic subgroup of G generated by V .

5. The conclusion of the proof

In this section we finish the proof of 1.1 in a similar way as in the proof of [13, 6.12]. We need to show that x belongs to a proper algebraic subgroup of \mathbb{G}_a^n defined over \mathbb{F}_p .

Let us take h from 3.1 and H from 4.5. For $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, let $\pi_i : \mathbb{G}_a^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^i$ denote the projection on the first i coordinates. If $\pi_n(H) \neq \mathbb{G}_a^n$, then we are done by 4.5. Assume not. We will show that $\pi_n(H) = \mathbb{G}_a^n$ implies that the algebraic degree of F over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ is at most n .

Let us recall the form of h from 3.1:

$$(1) \quad h = h_1 \circ (Y_1 - F(X_1)) + \dots + h_n \circ (Y_n - F(X_n)),$$

where h_1, \dots, h_n is a non-zero n -tuple of elements from $\mathbb{F}_p[[\text{Fr}]]$.

Since $H \neq \mathbb{G}_a^{2n}$, then after applying a permutation of the \bar{X} -coordinates and the corresponding permutation of the \bar{Y} -coordinates, we can assume that there is $n \leq i < 2n$ such that

$$\dim(\pi_i(H)) = \dim(H) = i.$$

Then for each $i < j \leq 2n$ there are additive polynomials

$$f_j \in \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}], \quad g_j \in \mathbb{F}_p[\bar{X}, Y_1, \dots, Y_i]$$

such that

$$I_H = (g_{i+1} - f_{i+1}(Y_{i+1-n}), \dots, g_{2n} - f_{2n}(Y_n)).$$

By 4.2, there are $\alpha_{i+1}, \dots, \alpha_{2n} \in \mathbb{F}_p[[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]]$ such that:

$$(2) \quad h = (g_{i+1} - f_{i+1}(Y_{i+1-n}))\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + (g_{2n} - f_{2n}(Y_n))\alpha_{2n}.$$

For each j , let f_j^{-1} denote the compositional inverse of f_j in $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ and let $t_j := f_j^{-1} \circ g_j$. Then we have

$$(3) \quad t_j = \sum_{k=1}^n (t_{j,k}(X_k) + s_{j,k}(Y_k)),$$

for some $t_{j,k}, s_{j,k} \in \mathbb{F}_p[\text{Fr}]$.

From (2) we get

$$(4) \quad h(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{2n}) = 0.$$

Then by (1) and (4) we have

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^i h_k \circ (Y_k - F(X_k)) + \sum_{k=i+1}^n h_k \circ (t_{n+k} - F(X_k)) = 0.$$

We focus now on the variables X_{k+1}, \dots, X_n in (5). Using (3), for each $k \in \{i+1, \dots, n\}$ we have the following equation in the field $\mathbb{F}_p((\text{Fr}))$:

$$(*_k) \quad h_{i+1-n} \circ t_{i+1,k} + \dots + h_n \circ t_{2n,k} = h_k \circ F.$$

The equations $*_{i+1}, \dots, *_n$ mean that F is a characteristic value of the linear map given by the matrix $(t_{j,k}) \in M_{2n-i}(\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr}))$. By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, F is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_p(\text{Fr})$ of degree not greater than $2n - i \leq n$, which finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6. Other formal maps

In this section we discuss transcendence results related to power series of a more general form than the one considered in Theorem 1.1.

6.1. POWER SERIES OVER A PERFECT FIELD. Let us replace \mathbb{F}_p with C , an arbitrary perfect field of characteristic p . As the referee has pointed out, for an extension of commutative domains $R \subseteq S$ and $s \in S$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) the element s is algebraic over (the fraction field of) R of degree at most n ,

- (2) there is $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ and an R -algebra embedding of $R[rs]$ into the ring of n -by- n matrices over R ,
- (3) there is $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that rs is an eigenvalue of a non-zero n -by- n matrix over R .

The conditions (2) and (3) make sense for rings which are not necessarily commutative. We can prove 1.1 for C , after replacing the algebraicity condition with the condition (3) for $R = C[\text{Fr}]$. We do not know if the conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent for arbitrary rings, or even for the rings of the form $C[\text{Fr}]$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, we obtain a result over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} generalizing Theorem 1.1. Let us take an additive power series F with coefficients from \mathbb{F}_{p^m} and let Fr denote the Frobenius map.

THEOREM 6.1: *Assume that for each $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[\text{Fr}]^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$\alpha_n \text{Fr}^{m-1}(F)^n + \dots + \alpha_1 \text{Fr}^{m-1}(F) + \alpha_0 \neq 0$$

in the ring $(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[[\text{Fr}]], +, \circ)$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in t\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[[t]]$ be linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[\text{Fr}]$ and

$$g := (x_1, \dots, x_n, F(x_1), \dots, F(x_n)).$$

Then $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(g) \geq n + 1$.

Proof. Let us notice first that for $G, H \in X\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[[X]]$ we have

$$(1) \quad \text{Fr}(G \circ H) = G^{\text{Fr}} \circ \text{Fr}(H) = \text{Fr}(G) \circ H,$$

where for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$, $(\sum a_i X^i)^\sigma$ denotes $\sum \sigma(a_i) X^i$.

It is also clear that for $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fr}^{m-1}(X\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[[X]])$, we have

$$(2) \quad F_1 \circ F_2 = F_2 \circ F_1.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of 1.1 we obtain $0 < l \leq n$ and t_{ij}, h_k such that for each $0 \leq k \leq l$ we have

$$(*_k) \quad h_1 \circ t_{1,k} + \dots + h_l \circ t_{l,k} = h_k \circ F.$$

Applying Fr^{2m-2} and using $2m - 2$ times (1) we get

$$(**_k) \quad \sigma(h_1^\sigma) \circ \sigma(t_{1,k}) + \dots + \sigma(h_l^\sigma) \circ \sigma(t_{l,k}) = \sigma(h_k^\sigma) \circ \sigma(F),$$

where $\sigma = \text{Fr}^{m-1}$.

Using (2), we see that all the elements involved in $(**_1), \dots, (**_l)$ commute with each other, so we can finish as in Section 5. ■

6.2. DRINFELD MODULES. There is a rich theory of algebraic independence in positive characteristic related to Drinfeld modules. For a survey of this theory the reader is referred to [6]. In this subsection we briefly describe how our results are related to this theory. In [10], Drinfeld introduced elliptic modules, which are now called Drinfeld modules. In our setting, Drinfeld modules are certain homomorphisms between $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ and $K[\text{Fr}]$, where q is a power of p and $K = \mathbb{F}_q((\theta))$ is the non-Archimedean field of Laurent series over \mathbb{F}_q . An additive power series over K is associated to each Drinfeld module and this series is entire on K . A number of very interesting transcendence results for such additive power series is obtained; see, e.g., [17]. A special case of such a series was introduced by Carlitz (before the invention of Drinfeld's modules) and it is called the Carlitz exponential. Several Schanuel-type results for the Carlitz exponential were obtained in [9] and a Carlitz exponential version of the (still open) conjecture on algebraic independence of logarithms of algebraic numbers was proved in [15, 1.2.6].

The power series we consider in this paper do not fit in the above framework, since we consider power series with *constant coefficients* only, i.e., there is no transcendental element θ present in the coefficients of our series.

6.3. NON-ADDITIVE POWER SERIES. The transcendence statement 1.1 was obtained for certain additive power series, i.e., for sufficiently non-algebraic formal maps between vector groups. It is natural to try to extend Theorem 1.1 to the context of an arbitrary “sufficiently non-algebraic” formal map between (the formalizations of) algebraic groups, e.g., a formal isomorphism between an abelian variety and an algebraic torus. Such a general statement was obtained [13, 5.5] in the case of characteristic 0. We aim to state and prove a positive characteristic version of [13, 5.5] in a forthcoming paper. It is worth mentioning here a related result [1, Théorème 1] concerning raising one point in a multiplicative group to several linearly independent p -adic powers.

References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, M. Mendès-France and A. J. van der Poorten, *Indépendance algébrique de certaines séries formelles* Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France **116** (1988), 449–454.
- [2] J. Ax, *On Schanuel's conjectures*, Annals of Mathematics **93** (1971), 252–268.
- [3] J. Ax, *Some topics in differential algebraic geometry. I. Analytic subgroups of algebraic groups*, American Journal of Mathematics **94** (1972), 1195–1204.

- [4] M. Bays, J. Kirby and A. Wilkie, *A Schanuel property for exponentially transcendental powers*, The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **42** (2010), 917–922.
- [5] D. Bertrand, *Schanuel's conjecture for non-isoconstant elliptic curves over function fields*, in *Model theory with applications to algebra and analysis. Vol. 1*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. vol. 349, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 41–62.
- [6] W. D. Brownawell, *Transcendence in positive characteristic*, in *Number theory (Tiruchirapalli, 1996)*, Vol. 210 of Contemporary Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 317–332.
- [7] W. D. Brownawell and K. Kubota, *Algebraic independence of Weierstrass functions* Acta Arithmetica **33** (1977), 111–149.
- [8] C. Chevalley, *Théorie des groupes de Lie, vol II, Groupes algébriques*, Springer, Berlin, 1951.
- [9] L. Denis, *Indépendance algébrique et exponentielle de Carlitz*, Acta Arithmetica **69** (1995), 75–89.
- [10] V. G. Drinfeld, *Elliptic modules* (Russian), Matematicheskii Sbornik. Novaya Seriya **94** (1974), 594–627. English translation: Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik **23** (1976), 561–592.
- [11] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [12] J. Kirby, *The theory of the exponential differential equations of semiabelian varieties*, Selecta Mathematica **15** (2009), 445–486.
- [13] P. Kowalski, *A note on a theorem of Ax*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **156** (2008), 96–109.
- [14] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [15] M. Papanikolas, *Tannakian duality for Anderson-Drinfeld motives and algebraic independence of Carlitz logarithms*, Inventiones Mathematicae **171** (2008), 123–174.
- [16] P. Vojta, *Jets via Hasse-Schmidt derivations in Diophantine Geometry*, Proceedings (U. Zannier, ed.), Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, 2006, pp. 335–361.
- [17] J. Yu, *Transcendence and Drinfeld modules*, Inventiones Mathematicae **83** (1986), 507–517.