

# REAL NULSTELLENSATZ

MARIA MICHALSKA

This is a self-contained presentation of a standard proof of Real Nullstellensatz, we will omit only the (long) proof of the Tarski-Seidenberg theorem.<sup>1</sup> These notes are intended to be accessible to math students of any level.

Notes are organized as follows: proof of Real Nullstellensatz is given at the beginning. Explanation of notation and notions, as well as essential properties and proofs of intermediate results are in Appendices. On first read it is important to prove Propositions and Properties left without proof as well as solve Exercises of the last section.

## CONTENTS

1. Real Nullstellensatz	1
1.1. Proof of RN in easy direction	1
1.2. We need to prove RN only for prime ideals	1
1.3. Proof of RN for prime ideals	2
Appendix A. Basic algebra	3
Appendix B. Basic Artin-Schreier Theory	6
Appendix C. Tarski's Transfer Principle	11
Appendix D. Artin's solution of Hilbert's 17th Problem	12
References	13
Homework	14

---

<sup>1</sup>Any other proofs omitted in the text are given as exercises to the reader, as they are either simple or easily found eg. on Wikipedia.

## 1. REAL NULLSTELLENSATZ

Every real algebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is equal to the vanishing set of an ideal  $I \triangleleft \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  i.e. it is a set of the form

$$V(I) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \forall f \in I f(x) = 0\}.$$

Note that every polynomial ideal  $I$  is finitely generated by, say,  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ , hence any real algebraic set can be given by one equation  $f_1^2 + \dots + f_k^2 = 0$ . On the other hand, for a set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the defining ideal

$$\mathcal{I}(V) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[X] : \forall x \in V f(x) = 0\}$$

i.e.  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is the largest ideal in  $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that all its elements vanish on  $V$ .

Real Nullstellensatz ties the geometric meaning of ideals with the algebraic meaning of sets in the real euclidean space in the following way:

**Theorem 1 (Real Nullstellensatz).** Let  $I \triangleleft \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

$$I = \mathcal{I}(V(I)) \iff I \text{ is real}$$

*Real Variety of  $I \subseteq$  real zeroes of  $p \Rightarrow p \in$  real closure of  $I$*

Obviously, always  $I \subset \mathcal{I}(V(I))$ . An ideal  $I$  is real if from  $\sum a_j^2 \in I$  follows all  $a_j \in I$ .<sup>2</sup>

**1.1. Proof of RN in easy direction.** Note that for any arbitrary set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the ideal  $\mathcal{I}(V)$  is real. Assume  $I = \mathcal{I}(V)$ . Take  $a_1^2 \dots + a_k^2 \in I$ . Hence  $a_1^2(x) \dots + a_k^2(x) = 0$  at every point  $x \in V$ . Therefore,  $a_1 = \dots = a_k \equiv 0$  on  $V$ . Hence  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{I}(V) = I$  and  $I$  is real. This holds in particular when  $I = \mathcal{I}(V(I))$ .

**1.2. We need to prove RN only for prime ideals.**<sup>3</sup> We will show that if RN is true for prime ideals, then it is true for any ideal. Remember, that we already shown RN one way.

Assume the left implication of RN holds for real prime ideals. Take any real ideal  $I$ . Hence  $I$  is radical and from prime decomposition of Corollary A.9 we have

$$(1) \quad I = \bigcap_{i=1, \dots, r} p_i$$

where  $p_i$  are minimal prime ideals and from Proposition A.11 follows that the ideals  $p_i$  are real.

Hence  $p_l$  in equality (1) are real. Since we assumed RN is true for prime ideals (and from Property 1) we get

$$\mathcal{I}(V(I)) = \mathcal{I}\left(V\left(\bigcap_{l=1, \dots, r} p_l\right)\right) = \mathcal{I}\left(\bigcup_{l=1, \dots, r} V(p_l)\right) = \bigcap_{l=1, \dots, r} \mathcal{I}(V(p_l)) = \bigcap_{l=1, \dots, r} p_l = I.$$

<sup>2</sup>See Appendix A

<sup>3</sup>All notions needed for the proof are in Appendix A

**1.3. Proof of RN for prime ideals.** <sup>4</sup> Take a prime real ideal  $I \subsetneq \mathbb{R}[X]$ . To prove RN it suffices to show that  $I \supset \mathcal{I}(V(I))$ .

Take  $f \notin I$  and denote  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  the generators of  $I$ . Due to Proposition B.6 and Theorem B.13 we can take  $R_1$ , the real closure of the real field  $\text{Quot}(R/I)$ . Naturally  $\mathbb{R}$  embeds into  $R_1$ , see Property A.4, and one can check the natural embedding preserves the order. Note that 0 in  $R_1$  is the image of  $I$ .

Consider elements  $y_1 = X_1 + I, \dots, y_n = X_n + I$  of  $R_1$  and the boolean combination

$$B(Y_1, \dots, Y_n): g_1(Y) = \dots = g_k(Y) = 0 \wedge f(Y) \neq 0$$

defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $f$  is polynomial i.e.  $f = \sum a_\alpha X^\alpha$  a finite sum, we get

$$f(y) = \sum a_\alpha y^\alpha = \sum a_\alpha (X_1 + I)^{\alpha_1} \dots (X_n + I)^{\alpha_n} = \left( \sum a_\alpha X^\alpha \right) + I = f + I$$

Hence  $f(y) = f + I \neq I = 0$  since  $f \notin I$ .

Analogously we show  $g_1(y) = \dots = g_k(y) = 0$ .

The fields  $R_1$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are both real closed fields over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, from Tarski's Transfer Principle we get

$$\exists_{y \in R_1^n} B(y) \Rightarrow \exists_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} B(x).$$

Since the left-hand is true, there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $g_1(x) = \dots = g_k(x) = 0$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$ . Hence  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in V(I)$ . Therefore,  $f \notin \mathcal{I}(V(I))$  and this ends the proof.  $\blacksquare$

Note that the proof is the same if we replace  $\mathbb{R}$  by a real closed field. Hence the following more general statement is true:

Let  $R$  be a real closed field and  $I \triangleleft R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . We have

$$I = \mathcal{I}(V(I)) \iff I \text{ is real}$$

<sup>4</sup>All necessary results are in Appendices B and C.

## APPENDIX A. BASIC ALGEBRA

Throughout this section let  $R$  be a commutative ring (with unity) and  $I \triangleleft R$  an ideal.

**Definition A.1.**  $I$  is real if

$$a_1^2 \cdots a_k^2 \in I \Rightarrow a_1, \dots, a_k \in I$$

for any  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ .

**Property A.2.** (1) If an ideal is prime, then it is radical.  
(2) If an ideal is real, then it is radical.

**Property A.3.**  $I$  is prime iff the quotient ring  $R/I$  is an integral domain i.e. has no zero divisors.

**Property A.4.** (1) Field  $R$  embeds naturally into  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$  if  $I \neq R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .  
(2) Integral domain  $R$  embeds naturally into its field of fractions  $\text{Quot}(R)$ .

**Definition A.5.**  $I$  is primary if

$$ab \in I \Rightarrow a \in I \vee b^m \in I \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Definition A.6.** We say that the commutative ring is noetherian if every ascending chain of ideals stabilizes.

The above is equivalent to saying that every ideal is finitely generated. Note that every field is noetherian, because it contains only two ideals (0) and (1).

**Theorem A.7 (Hilbert's basis theorem).** If  $R$  is a noetherian ring, then the ring of polynomials  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is also noetherian.

**Theorem A.8 (Noether-Lasker Theorem).** Assume ring is noetherian.  
Every ideal is an intersection of finitely many primary ideals.

**Proof:** We divide the proof in two steps.

- Every ideal is a finite intersection of irreducible ideals.

We say that an ideal  $I$  is irreducible if for any two ideals  $J, K$  if  $I = J \cap K$ , then  $I = J$  or  $I = K$ . The proof is standard for noetherian rings:

Let  $A$  be the set of all ideals which are not a finite intersection of irreducible ideals. Take  $I \in A$ . If  $I$  cannot be expressed as an intersection of two ideals different from  $I$ , then  $I$  is irreducible. Therefore  $I \notin A$ . Hence  $I = J_1 \cap K_1$ . Obviously, either  $J_1 \in A$  or  $K_1 \in A$ . Set  $I_1 = J_1$  if  $J_1 \in A$  or  $I_1 = K_1$  otherwise. Proceed inductively, given  $I_k \in A$  we have  $I_k = J_k \cap K_k$  and  $I_k \neq J_k, I_k \neq K_k$ . Put

$$I_{k+1} = \begin{cases} J_k & \text{if } J_k \in A \\ K_k & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We get an ascending sequence

$$I \subset I_1 \subset \dots$$

of ideals. Since  $R$  is noetherian, we get  $I_k = I_N$  for all  $k \geq N$  and some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . But then  $I_N = I_{N+1}$  contrary to assumption. Therefore  $A = \emptyset$ . This ends the proof.

• *Every irreducible ideal is primary*

Take an irreducible ideal  $I$  and take  $ab \in I$ . We will use quotients of ideals to prove that  $a \in I$  or  $b^m \in I$ .

Define  $J_k = I : (b^k) = \{c \in R : cb^k \in I\}$ . We have that  $J_k$  are ideals and

$$I = J_0 \subset J_1 \subset J_2 \subset \dots$$

Since  $R$  is noetherian, the sequence stabilizes. Let  $J_N$  be such that  $J_k = J_N$  for all  $k \geq N$ .

Put  $J = J_N$  and  $K = I + (b^N)$ . Then obviously  $I \subset J \cap K$ . Moreover, if  $c \in J \cap K$ , then

$$(2) \quad c = i + fb^N, \quad i \in I$$

and

$$b^N c \in I.$$

Multiplying both sides of (2) above by  $b^N$  we get

$$cb^{2N} - i = fb^{2N}.$$

Hence  $fb^{2N} \in I$ . Therefore,  $f \in J_{2N} = J_N$ . Hence  $fb^N \in I$  and from the form (2) we see  $c \in I$ . Therefore,  $I = J \cap K$ .

Since  $I$  is irreducible, we get either  $I = K = I + (b^N)$  and  $b^N \in I$  or  $I = J_N$ . In the latter case we have  $I = J_N \supset J_1 \supset J_0 = I$ , hence  $J_1 = I$ . Since  $ab \in I$ , hence  $a \in I : (b) = I$ . ■

**Corollary A.9 (Prime decomposition of a radical).** *Assume ring is noetherian. Every radical ideal is a finite intersection of minimal prime ideals.*

Here a prime ideal  $p$  is minimal with respect to  $I$  if  $I \subset p$  and for any  $p'$  prime:  $I \subset p' \subset p \Rightarrow p' = p$ .

**Proof:** Three easy steps.

• *The radical of primary ideal is prime*

Let  $I$  be primary and  $\sqrt{I} = \{a \in R : a^m \in I \text{ for some } m\}$  be its radical. Take  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ . Then  $(ab)^m \in I$ . Since  $I$  is primary, we get  $a^m \in I$  or  $b^{km} \in I$ . From definition of radical, either  $a \in \sqrt{I}$  or  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ .

• Since  $I = p_1 \cap \dots \cap p_k$  with  $p_i$  primary ideals due to Noether-Lasker Theorem and  $I$  is radical, then

$$I = \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{p_1 \cap \dots \cap p_k} = \sqrt{p_1} \cap \dots \cap \sqrt{p_k},$$

where every  $\sqrt{p_i}$  is prime.

• *The prime ideals in decomposition can be taken as minimal.*

We have  $I = p_1 \cap \cdots \cap p_k$  with all  $p_i$  prime. Fix  $p_i =: p$ . Consider any chain  $(P_\alpha)_\alpha$  with respect to inclusion of prime ideals  $P_\alpha$  such that  $p \supset P_\alpha \supset I$  and  $P_\alpha \subset P_\beta$  for  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Then  $P := \bigcap_\alpha P_\alpha$  is a prime ideal. Indeed, let  $ab \in P$ . Then  $ab \in P_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha$ . Assume  $a, b \notin P$ , then  $a, b \notin P_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  can be chosen in common for  $a, b$  because of inclusions). But this is contrary to assumption that  $P_\alpha$  is prime. Hence every chain has a lower bound. Therefore by Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma<sup>5</sup> there exists a minimal element  $P_i$ . The prime ideal  $P_i$  is a minimal prime containing  $I$  by its definition.

One has  $I = p_1 \cap \cdots \cap p_i \cap \cdots \cap p_m = p_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_i \cap \cdots \cap p_m$ . Apply above reasoning to every ideal  $p_i$  in the representation. ■

**Proposition A.10.** *Assume ring is noetherian. All minimal prime ideals containing a real ideal are real.*

**Proof:** Let  $I$  be a real ideal. Since real ideal is radical, from Corollary A.9 we can write  $I = p_1 \cap \cdots \cap p_r$  with  $p_i$  minimal prime ideals containing  $I$ . Assume  $p_1$  is not real. Then we can take  $a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2 \in p_1$  such that  $a_1 \notin p_1$ . Since  $p_l$  are minimal, we can choose  $b_l \in p_l \setminus p_1$  for  $l = 2, \dots, r$ . Put  $b = \prod_{l=2, \dots, r} b_l$ . We have  $b \notin p_1$  by definition of  $b$ , because  $p_1$  is prime. Then

$$(a_1 b)^2 + \cdots + (a_k b)^2 = (a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2) b^2 \in p_1 \cap \bigcap_{l=2, \dots, r} p_l = I$$

and since  $I$  is real, we have  $a_1 b \in I \subset p_1$ . Since  $p_1$  is prime, we get  $a_1 \in p_1$  or  $b \in p_1$ . This gives a contradiction. Hence  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in p_1$  and  $p_1$  is real. ■

As a reformulation of Proposition A.10 we get

**Corollary A.11 (Real prime decomposition of real ideal).** *Assume ring is noetherian. Every real ideal is a finite intersection of minimal real prime ideals.*

Following is not necessary for proof of RN, but will be used to prove Artin-Lang homomorphism theorem.

**Proposition A.12.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is finitely generated iff it is isomorphic to a quotient ring  $R[X]/I$  for some polynomial ring over  $R$  and an ideal  $I \triangleleft R[X]$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose  $A$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -algebra, this means there exist polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  such that  $A = R[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ . Then put  $\Phi : R[X_1, \dots, X_k] \rightarrow A$  as  $\Phi(f) = f(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ . Without doubt  $\Phi$  is a surjective homomorphism. Take  $I := \ker \Phi$ . Then  $R[X_1, \dots, X_k]/I$  is isomorphic to  $A$ .

Now suppose that  $R[X_1, \dots, X_k]/I$  is isomorphic to  $A$ . Since the natural homomorphism  $\Phi : R[X_1, \dots, X_k] \ni f \rightarrow f + I \in A$  is surjective and  $\Phi(f) = f(\Phi(X_1), \dots, \Phi(X_k))$ , we get  $A = \Phi(R[X_1, \dots, X_k]) = R[\Phi(X_1), \dots, \Phi(X_k)]$ . ■

<sup>5</sup>Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma: If every chain in a partially ordered set is bounded from below, then there exists a minimal element in the set.

## APPENDIX B. BASIC ARTIN-SCHREIER THEORY

One property that separates complex and real numbers is zeros of sums of squares.

**Definition B.1.** A field  $R$  is real if

$$a_1^2 + \cdots + a_k^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1, \dots, a_k = 0$$

(or satisfies any of the equivalent conditions of Theorem B.5).

You can see that complex numbers cannot be a real field since  $i^2 + 1^2 = 0$ . The Artin-Schreier Theory deals with this in an algebraic and model-theoretic way.<sup>6</sup>

Another thing that sets apart real and complex numbers is the ordering.

**Definition B.2.** Let  $R$  be a ring. We say that  $\leq$  is a total (linear) ordering of  $R$  if it is an ordering

- (i)  $a \leq a$
- (ii)  $(a \leq b \wedge b \leq c) \Rightarrow a \leq c$  TRANSITIVE
- (iii)  $(a \leq b \wedge b \leq a) \Rightarrow a = b$  ANTISYMMETRIC

which is total (linear)

- (iv)  $a \leq b \vee b \leq a$

and consistent with addition and multiplication

- (v)  $a \leq b \Rightarrow (\forall c \quad a + c \leq b + c)$
- (vi)  $(0 \leq a \wedge 0 \leq b) \Rightarrow 0 \leq ab$

We write  $a < b$  when  $a \leq b$  and  $a \neq b$ .

**Property B.3.** If  $R$  is ordered, then

- (1)  $0 \leq a^2$ , in particular  $0 < 1$
- (2)  $0 \leq a \Rightarrow -a \leq 0$

Moreover, if  $R$  is a field, then

- (3)  $0 < a < b \Rightarrow 0 < \frac{1}{b} < \frac{1}{a}$
- (4)  $0 < ab \iff 0 < \frac{a}{b} \wedge b \neq 0$

**Corollary B.4.** If the ring  $R$  is ordered, then  $\mathbb{N} \subset R$ .

If a field  $R$  is ordered, then  $\mathbb{Q} \subset R$ . In particular,  $\text{char} R = 0$ .

Denote by  $R^2$  all squares of elements of  $R$ .

Let us denote by  $\sum R^2$  all finite sums of squares of elements of  $R$ .

<sup>6</sup>The theory was developed to give Artin's answer to Hilbert's 17th Problem: is any positive polynomial a sum of squares? See p. 12.

If  $R$  is ordered, then  $0 \leq a$  for all  $a \in \sum R^2$ . Not all rings can be ordered: note that for complex numbers  $-1$  is a square, so ordering would imply all complex numbers to be zero.

First Artin-Schreier Theorem gives characterization of ordered fields as real fields.

**Theorem B.5 (Artin-Schreier Theorem for real fields).** *Let  $R$  be a field. Following conditions are equivalent*

- (1)  $R$  is real i.e.  $a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_1, \dots, a_k = 0$
- (2)  $-1$  is not a sum of squares
- (3)  $R$  can be ordered

**Proof:** (1)  $\iff$  (2) If  $-1 \in \sum R^2$ , then  $-1 = a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2$ . Hence  $0 = 1^2 + a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2$  and  $R$  is not real. If  $\sum_{j=1, \dots, k} a_j^2 = 0$  and  $a_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\sum_{j \neq 1} \left(\frac{a_j}{a_1}\right)^2 = -1$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume  $R$  is ordered. If  $-1 = \sum_{j=1, \dots, k} a_j^2$ , then  $0 \leq -1$ . Hence  $0 < 1 + (-1) = 0$  which gives a contradiction.

(1), (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) To prove this we will introduce a set defining the ordering.

We say  $P \subset R$  is a proper cone, if

- (a)  $\sum R^2 \subset P$
- (b)  $P + P \subset P, P \cdot P \subset P$     CLOSED UNDER ADDITION AND MULTIPLICATION
- (c)  $-1 \notin P$     PROPER
- (d)  $-P \cap P = \{0\}$     ANTISYMMETRIC

A proper cone  $P$  is said to be a positive cone if

- (e)  $P \cup -P = R$     TOTAL

Naturally,  $-P := \{a \in R : -a \in P\}$ . Note that if  $\sum R^2$  is a positive cone, then it is the unique positive cone of  $R$ .

• There is a one-to-one correspondence between total orderings of  $R$  and positive cones  $P$  of  $R$ . The correspondence is given by

$$a \leq b \iff b - a \in P.$$

Indeed, every total ordering defines a positive cone and every positive cone defines a total ordering.

• For  $R$  real there exists a maximal proper cone in  $R$ . A maximal proper cone is a positive cone.

The set  $\sum R^2$  is a proper cone by assumption that  $-1$  is not a sum of squares. Consider any chain  $(P_\alpha)$  of proper cones. Then  $P := \bigcup (P_\alpha)$  is a proper cone. Indeed, it is obvious that  $P$  satisfies points (a)-(c) of the definition. To prove (d) it suffices to note that  $P_\alpha \cap -P_\beta = \{0\}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ . Hence  $0 \in P \cap -P \subset \{0\}$ . Therefore, every chain is bounded from above and by Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma there exists a maximal proper cone  $P_\leq$  in  $R$ .



Assume  $P_{\leq}$  is not a positive cone. Then for  $c \notin P_{\leq} \cup -P_{\leq}$  we have that  $c$  is not a sum of squares and  $P_c := P_{\leq} + cP_{\leq}$  is the smallest proper cone containing  $P_{\leq} \cup \{c\}$ . Since  $P_{\leq}$  is maximal, we get  $P_{\leq} = P_c$ . Hence  $c \in P_{\leq}$ . Contradiction.

Therefore, every real field contains a positive cone, hence it can be ordered. ■

**Proposition B.6.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I \triangleleft R$  a prime ideal.  
Field of fractions  $\text{Quot}(R/I)$  is real iff  $I$  is real.*

**Proof:** Note that  $(a + I)/(b + I) = 0$  in  $\text{Quot}(R/I)$  iff  $a \in I$  and  $b \notin I$ . In particular

$$\sum_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \frac{f_i + I}{g_i + I} \right)^2 = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \frac{f_i g_1 \cdots g_k}{g_i} \right)^2 \in I.$$

So if we assume  $I$  is real, then for  $\sum_{i=1, \dots, k} \left( \frac{f_i + I}{g_i + I} \right)^2 = 0$  we get  $\frac{f_i g_1 \cdots g_k}{g_i} \in I$  for every  $i$ . Therefore  $f_i/g_i = 0$  for every  $i$ . On the other hand, if  $\text{Quot}(R/I)$  is real and we take  $f_1^2 + \cdots + f_k^2 \in I$ , then  $(f_1 + I)^2 + \cdots + (f_k + I)^2 = 0$  and it follows  $f_i + I = 0$  for all  $i$ . Therefore,  $f_i \in I$ . ■

**Definition B.7.** *A field  $R$  is algebraically closed if any univariate polynomial over  $R$  has a root in  $R$ .*

**Theorem B.8.** *For any fields  $C, R$  if  $C$  is an algebraic extension of  $R$  and every polynomial  $R[t]$  has a root in  $C$ , then  $C$  is algebraically closed.*<sup>7</sup>

**Definition B.9.** *A real  $R$  is real closed if its algebraic extension  $R[\sqrt{-1}] = R[X]/(X^2 + 1)$  is proper and algebraically closed.  
(or when  $R$  satisfies any of the equivalent conditions of Theorem B.11)*

Note  $R(a) = R[a]$  for algebraic extension of field  $R$ .

**Remark B.10.** The field  $\mathbb{R}$  is a real closed field.

**Theorem B.11 (Artin-Schreier Theorem for real closed fields).** *Let  $R$  be a field. Following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is real closed i.e. its algebraic extension  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$  is proper and algebraically closed.
- (2)  $R$  is real and has no (proper) algebraic extension which is real
- (3) the positive cone of  $R$  is the squares  $R^2$  and any odd-degree polynomial has a root in  $R$

**Proof:** In the proof we will use following remark

<sup>7</sup>This theorem is classic for field theory. For proof you can look up: Keith Conrad, Constructing Algebraic Closures. In fact see Exercise 21

- For a field  $R \neq R[\sqrt{-1}]$  we have

$$(R = R^2 \cup -R^2 \wedge R^2 = \sum R^2) \iff R[\sqrt{-1}] = (R(\sqrt{-1}))^2$$

Indeed, assume  $R = R^2 \cup -R^2$  and  $R^2 = \sum R^2$ . Take any  $a + \sqrt{-1}b$  with  $a, b \in R$ . The discriminant of  $f = 4X^2 - 4aX - b^2$  is  $(4a)^2 + (4b)^2 \in R^2$ , hence a root  $c$  of  $f$  lies in  $R$ . Since  $R = R^2 \cup -R^2$ , we get  $c = \alpha^2$  or  $c = (\sqrt{-1}\alpha)^2$ . Put  $x = \alpha$  and  $y = \frac{b}{2\alpha}$  in first case or  $x = \sqrt{-1}\alpha$  and  $y = \frac{b}{\sqrt{-1}\alpha}$  otherwise. Then  $x + \sqrt{-1}y \in R[\sqrt{-1}]$  and  $(x + \sqrt{-1}y)^2 = a + \sqrt{-1}b$ .

Assume  $R[\sqrt{-1}] = (R[\sqrt{-1}])^2$ . Take  $a \in R$ . There is  $b + \sqrt{-1}c$ ,  $b, c \in R$ , such that  $a = (b + \sqrt{-1}c)^2 = b^2 - c^2 + 2\sqrt{-1}bc$ . Hence  $b = 0$  or  $c = 0$  and  $a = -c^2$  or  $a = b^2$  respectively. This proves  $R = R^2 \cup -R^2$ . To prove  $R^2 = \sum R^2$  it suffices to show  $a^2 + b^2$  is a square. Take  $c, d \in R$  such that  $a + \sqrt{-1}b = (c + \sqrt{-1}d)^2$ . Then  $a = c^2 - d^2$ ,  $b = 2cd$  and  $a^2 + b^2 = (c^2 + d^2)^2$ .

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$  is proper algebraic closure of  $R$  in particular we have  $\sqrt{-1} \notin R$  and  $R[\sqrt{-1}] = (R[\sqrt{-1}])^2$ . Hence  $R = R^2 \cup -R^2$ ,  $R^2 = \sum R^2$  and  $R^2 \cap -R^2 = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $R$  has a positive cone, hence is real.

Any proper algebraic extension of  $R$  contains an element  $a + \sqrt{-1}b \in R[\sqrt{-1}] \setminus R$ . Since  $b \neq 0$  we have  $R[a + \sqrt{-1}b]$  equals

$$R[X] / (x^2 - 2ax + a^2 + b^2),$$

thus  $a - \sqrt{-1}b \in R[a + \sqrt{-1}b]$ . Hence  $(a + \sqrt{-1}b + a - \sqrt{-1}b)/2b = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $R[a + \sqrt{-1}b] = R[\sqrt{-1}]$ . Hence any proper algebraic extension of  $R$  is algebraically closed. Algebraically closed field is never real.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Suppose  $a \in R \setminus R^2$ . Then  $R[\sqrt{a}]$  is an algebraic extension of  $R$ , by assumption it is not real. Hence

$$-1 = \sum_j (b_j + c_j \sqrt{a})^2 = \sum_j b_j^2 + a \sum_j c_j^2 + \sqrt{a} \sum_j 2b_j c_j.$$

Therefore  $\sum 2b_j c_j = 0$  and  $a = -(1^2 + \sum b_j^2) / \sum c_j^2$ . Hence  $a \leq 0$ . Therefore every positive element is a square.

Now we need to show every odd-degree polynomial has a root in  $R$ . Any polynomial of degree 1 is linear and has a root in  $R$ . Assume all odd-degree polynomials of degree  $< d$  have a root in  $R$ . Let  $f \in R[X]$  be of odd degree  $d$  and suppose  $f$  does not have a root in  $R$ . If  $f$  was reducible, then one of the factors would be an odd-degree polynomial of degree lower than  $f$ , hence  $f$  would have a root in  $R$ . Therefore  $f$  is irreducible over  $R$ . Then  $R[f] = R[X]/(f)$  is an algebraic extension of  $R$ . By assumption the field of fractions is not real. Therefore there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  of degrees  $< d$  such that

$$-1 = \sum (g_j + (f))^2 = \sum g_j^2 + (f).$$

Note that  $\deg(\sum g_j^2) \leq 2d - 2$  and is even (because the leading coefficient is a sum of squares in  $R$  and  $R$  real, hence it does not vanish). Hence  $-1 = \sum g_j^2 + fh$  for

some  $h$  of odd degree  $\leq d-2$ . By inductive assumption,  $h$  has a root  $a$  in  $R$ . We get  $-1 = \sum g_j^2(a) + f(a)h(a) = \sum (g_j(a))^2$ , so  $-1 \in \sum R^2$ . Contradiction.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Under assumption (3) we have  $-1 \notin R^2$ , hence  $R[\sqrt{-1}] \neq R$ .

We will show any polynomial over  $R$  of degree  $d = 2^m n$ ,  $n$  odd, has a root in  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$  by induction on  $m$ . When  $m = 0$  we get the claim from assumption (3). Assume for any  $m' < m$  the assumption holds. Consider polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d = 2^m n$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  be roots of  $f$  in the algebraic closure of  $R$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  put

$$g_N(X) = \prod_{i < j} (X - a_i - a_j - Na_i a_j).$$

The polynomial  $g_N$  is of degree  $d(d-1)/2 = 2^{m-1}(2^m n - 1)$  and it is symmetric in  $a_j$ , the roots of  $f$ . From fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials<sup>8</sup> we get that coefficients of  $g_N$  can be expressed in terms of coefficients of  $f$ , hence  $g_N \in R[X]$ . From inductive assumption every  $g_N$  has a root in  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$ . Hence there exist  $i, j$  and  $N, N' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c, c' \in R[\sqrt{-1}]$  such that  $a_i + a_j + Na_i a_j = c = c' + (N - N')a_i a_j$ . Therefore  $a_i a_j$  and  $a_i + a_j$  are elements of  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$ .

We have  $(X - a_i)(X - a_j) = X^2 - (a_i + a_j)X + a_i a_j$  is a quadratic polynomial over  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$  with roots  $a_i, a_j$  and its discriminant is  $(a_i + a_j)^2 - 4a_i a_j = (a_i - a_j)^2$ . Since  $R = R^2 \cup -R^2$ , then  $R[\sqrt{-1}] = (R[\sqrt{-1}])^2$ . Hence exists  $c \in R[\sqrt{-1}]$  such that  $c^2 = (a_i - a_j)^2$ . Therefore from formulæ for solving quadratic equations we get  $a_i$  or  $a_j \in R[\sqrt{-1}]$  and  $f$  has a root in  $R[\sqrt{-1}]$ . This ends the inductive proof. ■

**Definition B.12.** We say that a real field  $\bar{R}$  is an extension of an ordered ring  $R$  if  $R$  embeds into  $\bar{R}$  with its ring operations and ordering.

**Theorem B.13.** Every real field has a (unique) minimal extension to a real closed field.

**Proof:** Note that algebraically closed field is not a real field, because  $-1$  is a square.

Take a real field  $R$  with ordering  $\leq$  and its algebraic closure  $C$ . Consider any chain  $(R_\alpha, \leq_\alpha)$  of algebraic extensions of  $R$  (contained in  $C$ ) with consistent orderings. The field  $\bigcup R_\alpha$  is an algebraic extension of  $R$  (because it is contained in the algebraic closure). Moreover, if  $a_1^2 + \dots + a_k^2 = 0$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \bigcup R_\alpha$ , we get  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in R_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ . Since  $R_\alpha$  is real, then  $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$  and  $\bigcup R_\alpha$  is real. Hence by Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma there exists a maximal real field  $\bar{R} \subset C$  that is an algebraic extension of  $R$  with consistent ordering. The only algebraic extension of  $\bar{R}$  is  $C$  and  $C$  is not real. Hence  $\bar{R}$  is a real closed field. Obviously, if  $R \subset R' \subset \bar{R}$  and  $R'$  is real closed, then  $R' = \bar{R}$ .

Unique in theorem is up to an order-preserving isomorphism.<sup>9</sup> ■

<sup>8</sup>See Exercise 20.

<sup>9</sup>Compare Exercise 17.

## APPENDIX C. TARSKI'S TRANSFER PRINCIPLE

**Definition C.1.** We say that a formula is a boolean combination in variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  over an ordered ring  $R$  if it is a (syntax correct) finite combination of formulas of the form  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$  with  $f \in R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and the logic operators  $\vee, \wedge$  and  $\neg$ .<sup>10</sup>

**Definition C.2.** A first order formula over an ordered ring is a (syntax correct) finite combination of  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$ , boolean combinations over the ordered field and quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ . The variables which are not under range of any of the quantifiers are called free variables<sup>11</sup>.

The two definitions above are far from precise, for more exact formulation see [Robinson, 1963, Chapter VIII].

For instance  $\Phi(X, Y) : X^2 + 2Y^2 \leq 0 \Rightarrow Y = 0$  is a boolean combination with free variables  $X, Y$ . Then  $\Phi_1(Y) : \exists_x \Phi(x, Y)$  is a first order formula with free variable  $Y$  and  $\Phi_2 : \forall_y \Phi_1(x, y)$  is also a first order formula without free variables,  $\Phi_2$  is a true statement. The formula  $\psi(X) : \exists_y \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X^j < y$  is not a first order formula.

We treat a first order formula  $\Phi$  over  $R$  as a formula over an extension  $R_1$  of  $R$  by taking the range in the quantifiers as  $R_1$ .

**Remark C.3.** Formulas without free variables are either true or false.

We will now state and leave without proof the Tarski's Quantifier Elimination Theorem known in real algebraic geometry as Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem, see [Bochnak et al., 1998], [Tarski, 1951] or [Robinson, 1963] for full proof.

**Theorem C.4 (Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem).** Let  $R$  be an ordered ring. Let  $b(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a boolean combination. There exists a boolean combination  $B(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that for any real closed field  $R_1$  extending  $R$  we have

$$\{x \in R_1^n : \exists_{x_0 \in R_1} b(x_0, x)\} = \{x \in R_1^n : B(x)\}$$

i.e. the projection of a semialgebraic set is semialgebraic.

This is equivalent to the following

**Theorem C.5 (Quantifier Elimination).** Let  $R$  be an ordered ring. For every first order formula  $\Phi(X)$  over  $R$  there exists a boolean combination  $B(X)$  over  $R$  such that for any real closed field  $R_1$  extending  $R$  we have

$$\forall_{x \in R_1} (\Phi(x) \iff B(x)).$$

It is important to note that quantifier elimination holds in the class of algebraically closed fields for constructible sets (see Lefschetz Principle<sup>12</sup>).

<sup>10</sup>Note that a polynomial is a finite (syntax correct) combination of elements of the field, variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , addition and multiplication.

<sup>11</sup>and the formula is in fact a sentential function in the free variables

<sup>12</sup>For sources see a precise and to the point comment on <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/90551/what-does-the-lefschetz-principle-in-algebraic-geometry-mean-exactly>

Now we can prove Tarski's transfer principle

**Theorem C.6 (Tarski's Transfer Principle).** <sup>a</sup> Let  $R$  be an ordered ring. Let  $R_1, R_2$  be real closed extensions of  $R$  and  $B(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  a boolean combination over  $R$ . Then

$$\exists_{x \in R_1^n} B(x) \iff \exists_{x \in R_2^n} B(x)$$

<sup>a</sup>It can be equivalently stated: theory of real closed fields is model-complete.

**Proof:** Note that since  $R$  is ordered, it is an integral domain and by Proposition B.6 and Theorem B.13, there exist real closed extensions of  $R$ .

Take  $B(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  a boolean combination over  $R$ . By Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem and finite induction we can eliminate the quantifier in the formula  $\exists_{x_1, \dots, x_n} B(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  i.e. there exists a boolean combination  $\tilde{B}$  such that for any real closed extension  $R_1$  of  $R$  we have

$$\exists_{x \in R_1^n} B(x) \iff \forall_{y \in R_1} \exists_{x \in R_1^n} B(x) \iff \forall_{y \in R_1} \tilde{B} \iff \tilde{B}.$$

The formula  $\tilde{B}$  does not have free variables, therefore it is either true or false. Due to Tarski's Quantifier elimination it has uniform logical value over all real closed fields extending  $R$ , in particular over  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . ■

#### APPENDIX D. ARTIN'S SOLUTION OF HILBERT'S 17TH PROBLEM

Following theorems are not necessary for the proof of RN, but of interest partly because Artin-Schreier Theory was developed to answer the following question:

##### Hilbert's 17th Problem

Is every positive polynomial a sum of squares of rational functions?

In fact, the problem dates back to Minkowsky and Hilbert was considering mainly polynomials with rational coefficients. Hilbert already proved that there exist polynomials positive on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that they are not sums of squares of polynomials. On the other hand, all nonnegative polynomials of degree  $d$  in  $n$  variables are sums of squares of polynomials if and only if  $d \leq 2$  or  $n = 1$  or  $d = 4$  and  $n = 2$ .<sup>13</sup> (see for instance [Bochnak et al., 1998, Section 6.3]).

**Theorem D.1 (Solution to Hilbert's 17th Problem).** Let  $R$  be a real closed field and  $Q$  its subfield with the positive cone  $P = Q \cap R^2$ . Take  $f \in Q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  which is nonnegative i.e.

$$\forall_{x \in R^n} f(x) \geq 0.$$

Then

$$f \in \sum P \cdot (Q(X))^2$$

i.e.  $f = \sum a_j q_j^2(X)$  with  $a_j \in P$  and  $q_j \in (Q(X))^2$ .

<sup>13</sup>See Exercise 22

**Proof:** Take  $f \in Q[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  nonnegative and suppose  $f \notin \sum P \cdot (Q(X))^2$ . Hence either  $-f \in \sum P \cdot (Q(X))^2$  or not. In both cases, we can extend the proper cone  $\sum P \cdot (Q(X))^2$  to a positive cone  $P'$  of  $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  such that  $-f \in P'$  (compare page 7).

Write  $f = \sum a_\alpha X^\alpha$  with  $a_\alpha \in Q$ . Consider the first order variable-free formula  $\Phi$  with coefficients in  $Q$  of the form

$$\Phi: \exists_{x_1, \dots, x_n} \sum a_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n} < 0.$$

Note that  $\Phi$  is equivalent to

$$\exists_{x_1, \dots, x_n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) < 0$$

From the choice of ordering of  $Q(X)$ , the statement  $\Phi$  is true over the real closure of  $Q(X)$ . By Tarski's Transfer Principle,  $\Phi$  is also true over  $R$ . Therefore, there exists  $x \in R^n$  such that  $f(x) < 0$  which is against nonnegativity of  $f$ . ■

In particular the above theorem is the desired solution to Hilbert's problem: every nonnegative real polynomial is a sos of real rational functions ( $R = Q = \mathbb{R}$ ). Moreover, every polynomial with rational coefficients is a sos of functions in  $\mathbb{Q}(X)$  ( $R = \mathbb{R}, Q = \mathbb{Q}$ ).

In the original solution of Hilbert's problem by Artin an important tool was:

**Theorem D.2 (Artin-Lang Homomorphism Theorem).** *Let  $R \subset R_1$  be real closed fields and  $A$  a finitely generated  $R$ -algebra. If there is a homomorphism  $\phi_1 : A \rightarrow R_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow R$ .*

**Proof:** We may assume  $A = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$  by Proposition A.12. Take a homomorphism  $\phi_1 : A \rightarrow R_1$  and put  $y = (\phi_1(X_1), \dots, \phi_1(X_n)) \in R_1^n$ . Since  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  is noetherian, consider finitely many generators  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  of  $I$ . For any polynomial  $f = \sum a_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$  we have

$$\phi(f + I) = \phi\left(\sum a_\alpha (X_1 + I)^{\alpha_1} \dots (X_n + I)^{\alpha_n}\right) = \sum a_\alpha \phi(X_1 + I)^{\alpha_1} \dots \phi(X_n + I)^{\alpha_n} = f(y).$$

Therefore  $f_1(y) = \dots = f_k(y) = 0$ . By Tarski's Transfer Principle we get there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $f_1(x) = \dots = f_k(x) = 0$ . Now we see the homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow R$  given by assignment  $X_i \rightarrow x_i$  is well-defined. ■

## REFERENCES

- [Bochnak et al., 1998] Bochnak, J., Coste, M., and Roy, M.-F. (1998). *Real algebraic geometry*, volume 36 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Translated from the 1987 French original, Revised by the authors.
- [Robinson, 1963] Robinson, A. (1963). *Introduction to model theory and to the metamathematics of algebra*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam.
- [Tarski, 1951] Tarski, A. (1951). *A decision method for elementary algebra and geometry*. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, Calif. 2nd ed.

## HOMEWORK

*Exercises marked with "\*)" may not be simple.*

**Exercise 1.** Prove that

- (1)  $V(I \cap J) = V(I) \cup V(J)$
- (2)  $\mathcal{I}(V_1 \cup V_2) = \mathcal{I}(V_1) \cap \mathcal{I}(V_2)$

**Exercise 2.** Try to find the set  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  as small as possible such that  $\mathcal{I}(V) = (0)$ .

**Exercise 3.** Give an example of real algebraic set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is a union of manifolds of dimension 0, 1 and 2.

\*) Find an example that is irreducible.

\*) Find an example in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that is union of manifolds of dimensions 0, ...,  $n - 1$ .

**Exercise 4.** Prove Hilbert's basis theorem.

**Exercise 5.** Prove that interior of an algebraic set is empty unless it is equal  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Exercise 6.** Prove that

- (1)  $V \subset V' \iff \mathcal{I}(V) \supset \mathcal{I}(V')$
- (2) any sequence of algebraic sets  $V_1 \supset \dots \supset V_k \supset \dots$  stabilizes i.e. exists  $N$  such that  $V_k = V_N$  for all  $k > N$ .
- (3) any algebraic set is a finite union of irreducible algebraic sets<sup>14</sup>

**Exercise 7.** Write down all prime ideals of  $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]$  containing  $(xy)$ . Describe ideal  $(xy)$  as an infinite intersection of prime ideals. How does it connect to Noether-Lasker Theorem?

**Exercise 8.** Prove  $(xy)$  is radical and real, but not prime.

**Exercise 9.** Prove the statement of page 7: There is a one-to-one correspondence between total orderings of  $R$  and positive cones  $P$  of  $R$ .

**Exercise 10.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  the field of rational functions in one variable. Is the relation

$$f \leqslant g \iff \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (f(t) - g(t)) \geq 0$$

an ordering of  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ ?

**Exercise 11.** Consider  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  the field of rational functions in one variable.

1) Let us define  $\leq_0$  as relation given by two-step construction:

for polynomials  $f, g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 <_0 a_k t^k + \text{higher order terms} &\iff 0 < a_k \\ 0 \leq_0 f/g &\iff 0 \leq fg \end{aligned}$$

for rational functions  $f, g$ :

$$f \leq_0 g \iff 0 \leq g - f$$

Is the relation  $\leq_0$  an ordering of  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ ?

2) Give an alternative definition of  $\leq_0$  as relation between values of  $f$  and  $g$ .

---

<sup>14</sup> $V$  is irreducible if whenever  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  with  $V_1, V_2$  algebraic, then  $V = V_1$  or  $V = V_2$ .

**Exercise 12.** Give infinitely many orderings of rational functions. \*) Is every ordering of  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  of a certain form?

**Exercise 13.** Give an ordering of rational functions in two variables.

**Exercise 14.** Give an example of ordered ring  $R$  and prime ideal  $I$  such that  $\text{Quot}(R/I)$  cannot be ordered.

**Exercise 15.** Is there a smallest field that is real? Is there the smallest field that is real closed?

**Exercise 16.** Give two examples of real closed fields.

**Exercise 17.** Consider orderings of Exercise 12. Is the real closure of  $\mathbb{R}(t)$  with two different orderings the same?

\*) What is the real closure of  $\mathbb{R}(t)$ ?

**Exercise 18.** Recount Ostrowski's Theorem on absolute values.

**Exercise 19.** Find example of a real field  $R$  such that the set of all squares  $R^2$  is the positive cone but not every polynomial of odd degree has a root.

\*) Give an example of a real field  $R$  such that every positive element is in  $\sum R^2$  but not every positive element is a square. (Hint: see what a pythagorean number is.)

**Exercise 20.** Present a proof of fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials.

**Exercise 21.** Present a proof of the following: if  $C$  is an algebraic extension of  $R$  and every polynomial  $R[t]$  has a root in  $C$ , then  $C$  is algebraically closed.

**Exercise 22.** (1) Prove that all polynomials in one variable are sums of squares of polynomials.

(2) Prove that all quadratic polynomials are sums of squares of polynomials.