

Finiteness of the Odd Perfect and Primitive Abundant Numbers with n Distinct Prime

Factors

Author(s): Leonard Eugene Dickson

Reviewed work(s):

Source: American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 35, No. 4 (Oct., 1913), pp. 413-422

Published by: <u>The Johns Hopkins University Press</u> Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2370405

Accessed: 28/09/2012 09:50

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Johns Hopkins University Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to American Journal of Mathematics.

Finiteness of the Odd Perfect and Primitive Abundant Numbers with a Distinct Prime Factors.*

By LEONARD EUGENE DICKSON.

1. Denote by $\sigma(a)$ the sum of all the divisors of a positive integer a. Then a is called abundant, perfect, or deficient, according as

$$\sigma(a) > 2a, = 2a, < 2a,$$

respectively. If d_1, \ldots, d_k are the divisors of a, the divisors of ma include md_1, \ldots, md_k and unity. Hence

$$\sigma(ma) > m\sigma(a) \qquad (m > 1),$$

so that any greater multiple of an abundant or perfect number is abundant. This implies that any smaller divisor of a deficient or perfect number is deficient.

A non-deficient number will be called *primitive* if it is not a multiple of a smaller non-deficient number. The set of all non-deficient numbers is identical with the set of all multiples of the primitive non-deficient numbers. Any perfect number is a primitive non-deficient number.

While there is an infinitude of non-deficient odd numbers having any given number n (n > 2) of distinct prime factors, for example,

$$3^e \cdot 5 \cdot 7 p_4^{e_4} \cdot \ldots p_n^{e_n}$$
 $(e \ge 3, p's \text{ distinct primes } > 7),$

we shall nevertheless prove the following

Theorem. There is only a finite number of primitive non-deficient odd † numbers having any given number of distinct prime factors.

Corollary. There is not an infinitude of odd perfect numbers with any given number of distinct prime factors.

Sylvester ‡ proved that there is no odd perfect number having five or fewer distinct prime factors.

Below are listed all primitive non-deficient odd numbers with four or fewer distinct prime factors. Since none of them are perfect, we obtain anew Sylvester's result, except for the case of five primes.

^{*} Presented to the American Mathematical Society, December 31, 1912.

[†] The restriction to odd numbers is essential. For instance, if p is a prime, $2^m p$ is non-deficient if and only if $2^{m+1} > p+1$.

[‡] Collected Math. Papers, Vol. IV (1912), pp. 588-629 (several articles).

2. Lemma A. Any set S of functions of the type

$$F = x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_n^{e_n} \qquad (e's \ integers \ge 0) \tag{1}$$

contains a finite number of functions F_1, \ldots, F_k such that each function F of the set S can be expressed as a product $F_i f$, where f is of the form (1), but is not necessarily in the set S.

For n=1, we may take k=1, $F_1=x_1^l$, where l is the least e_1 . To proceed by induction, let the lemma be true for n-1 variables. Select at random a function $x_1^{e_1} \ldots x_n^{e_n}$ of the set S and call it F_1 . Then any function (1) of S is of the desired form F_1f if $e_1 \geq c_1, \ldots, e_n \geq c_n$, simultaneously. If there be further functions in S, those in which $e_i = v$, where i is a fixed integer $\leq n$ and v a fixed integer $< c_i$, have the desired property. Indeed, after deleting the common factor x_i^v , we have a set S' of functions

$$F' = x_1^{e_1} \dots x_{i-1}^{e_{i-1}} x_{i+1}^{e_{i+1}} \dots x_n^{e_n},$$

which by hypothesis are expressible as products $F'_i f'$ $(j = 1, ..., k_i)$. The number of cases arising by varying i and v is finite.

We may also derive* the lemma from a theorem due to Hilbert,† which may be stated in the following form:

Any set S of homogeneous polynomials in x_1, \ldots, x_n contains a finite number of polynomials F_1, \ldots, F_k such that any polynomial F of the set can be expressed in the form $f_1F_1 + \ldots + f_kF_k$, where f_1, \ldots, f_k are homogeneous polynomials in x_1, \ldots, x_n , not necessarily in the set S.

Let each F be a monomial form (1). Since the f's are not uniquely determined, the resulting expression $\sum f_i F_i$ for F is not necessarily of the form $f_i F_i$. However, there is always at least one determination of the f's which gives this special expression for F. Indeed, we have $f_i \equiv 0$ unless the degree of F in x_j equals or is greater than the degree of F_i in x_j for each $j=1,\ldots,n$. Since not every f_i vanishes identically, there is some value of i for which the monomial F is of the form $g_i F_i$, where g_i is monomial.

3. Lemma A may be stated and proved in an equivalent geometrical form. For example, let n=2 and define the region R(P) to be the quadrant of the plane determined by the two half-lines extending from the point P horizontally to the right and vertically upwards, respectively. Let S be a set of points (A, B) with integral coordinates ≥ 0 . Let a be the least A, β the least B for the points (a, B), and set $P_1 = (a, \beta)$. Let b be the least B, a the least A for the points (A, b), and set $P_2 = (a, b)$. All the points of S lie in R(M),

^{*} Professor E. H. Moore suggested to me that I undertake this derivation.

[†] Mathematische Annalen, Vol. XXXVI.

where M = (a, b). In particular, if $\beta = b$, then $\alpha = a$ (and conversely), and $P_1 = P_2 = M$, so that the points of S lie in $R(P_1)$. In general, the points of S in neither $R(P_1)$ nor $R(P_2)$ lie inside the rectangle determined by P_1 , P_2 , M, and hence are finite in number.

By 2^n applications of Lemma A we obtain the theorem: Let the signs of the coordinates of a point $X' = (\xi'_1, \ldots, \xi'_n)$ be such that

$$\varepsilon_1 \xi_1' \geq 0, \ldots, \varepsilon_n \xi_n' \geq 0 \qquad (\varepsilon_1^2 = 1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n^2 = 1),$$

and denote by $R_{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n}(X')$ the region of *n* dimensions which is composed of all the points $X = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ for which

$$arepsilon_i \, \xi_i \geqq arepsilon_i \, \xi_i' \qquad (i=1,\,\ldots,\,n).$$

Then any set S of points X with integral coordinates contains a finite number of points $X_{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n}^{(i)}$ such that every point of S lies in at least one region $R_{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n}(X_{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n}^{(i)})$.

The lemma may also be interpreted as a theorem on permutable operations O_i with positive or negative integral exponents. We replace x_i by $O_i^{\epsilon_i}$ and consider the aggregate of the resulting 2^n sets of operations.

4. From Lemma A we derive at once

LEMMA B. If
$$p_1, \ldots, p_n$$
 are given integers, any set S of integers
$$p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \ldots p_n^{e_n} \quad (e's \ integers \ge 0)$$
 (2)

contains a finite number of integers F_1, \ldots, F_k such that every integer of the set S is a multiple of at least one F_i .

5. Let 0 < k < n, $1 < p'_i \le p_i$ (i > k), and set

$$\begin{split} P &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\sigma(p_i^{e_i})}{p_i^{e_i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i - 1/p_i^{e_i}}{p_i - 1}, \\ P_0 &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}, \quad P_k = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\sigma(p_i^{e_i})}{p_i^{e_i}} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1}, \\ P'_0 &= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p'_i}{p'_i - 1}, \quad P'_k = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\sigma(p_i^{e_i})}{p_i^{e_i}} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{p'_i}{p'_i - 1}. \end{split}$$

Let p_1, \ldots, p_n be fixed distinct primes. By definition, an integer a, of the form (2), is deficient if P < 2, non-deficient if $P \ge 2$. Since

$$P'_m \ge P_m > P \qquad (0 \le m < n),$$

$$F_i = p_1 c_{i1} p_2 c_{i2} \dots p_n c_{in}$$
 $(i = 1, \dots, k)$.

We may separate the numbers $1, \ldots, k$ into sets I_1, \ldots, I_s , where $s \leq k$, and define corresponding distinct positive integers j_1, \ldots, j_s , each $\leq n$, such that

$$e_{j_1} < c_{ij_1}$$
 (*i* in I_1), ..., $e_{j_s} < c_{ij_s}$ (*i* in I_s),

while the remaining n-s exponents e are subject to no restrictions.

^{*} For a case (like that of all non-deficient numbers built from p_1, \ldots, p_n) in which every multiple of any F_i is in the set S, we readily obtain the generators of the complementary set C of all integers (2) not in S. A number (2) is in C if and only if for each $i \leq k$ there is some value of j such that $e_j < c_{ij}$ where

a is deficient if $P_m \leq 2$, also if $P'_m \leq 2$. Since P_m is the limit of P for $e_i = \infty$ $(i = m + 1, \ldots, n)$ and since P < 2 if a is deficient, we conclude that $P_m \leq 2$ if a is deficient for all values of e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n .

The case $P_m = 2$ occurs only when $a = 2^e$. For, if p is the greatest of the primes p_{m+1}, \ldots, p_n , no number in the denominator of P_m is divisible by p. Thus $P_m = 2$ implies that p = 2, m = 0, n = 1, $a = 2^e$.

LEMMA C. If $P_m \leq 2$ or $P'_m \leq 2$, (2) is deficient. If an odd number (2) is deficient for all values of e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n , then $P_m < 2$.

6. We are now in a position to prove

Lemma D. All primitive non-deficient odd numbers having a given number n of distinct prime factors are formed from a finite number of sets of n primes.

We consider numbers a of the form (2), where p_1, \ldots, p_n are primes in ascending order of magnitude. If r is the positive real n-th root of 2, then $p_1 < r/(r-1)$. For,* if we take $p_i' = p_1$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$, we see that a is deficient if

$$P_0' = \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1-1}\right)^n \leq 2, \quad p_1 \geq \frac{r}{r-1}.$$

To proceed by induction, assume that p_1, \ldots, p_{ν} ($\nu < n$) is a particular one of a finite number of sets of ν distinct primes. Since a is to be a primitive non-deficient number, its divisor

$$lpha=p_1^{e_1}\,p_2^{e_2}\ldots\,p_{
u}^{e_{
u}}$$

must be deficient. Noting that each divisor of a deficient α is deficient (or using the foot-note in §4), we see that the deficient α 's are the numbers in which certain exponents e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_k} are arbitrary, while each remaining exponent takes a limited number of values, and further numbers in which every exponent is limited. We consider one such type of deficient α 's, thus treating one of a finite number of analogous cases. After permuting p_1, \ldots, p_r , we may assume that $\mu(0 \le \mu \le r)$ is an integer such that e_1, \ldots, e_{μ} are limited, while e_i $(i = \mu + 1, \ldots, r)$ takes all values. By Lemma C, the deficiency of these α 's implies that

$$\Pi_{\mu} = \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \frac{\sigma(p_i^{e_i})}{p_i^{e_i}} \cdot \prod_{i=\mu+1}^{\nu} \frac{p_i}{p_i-1} < 2,$$

the second product being absent if $\mu = \nu$. Since there is a limited number of sets e_1, \ldots, e_{μ} , each Π_{μ} is less than a constant M < 2. The use of P'_{μ} for

$$p'_i = p_i \ (i = \mu + 1, \ldots, \nu), \quad p'_i = p_{\nu+1} \ (i = \nu + 1, \ldots, n),$$

$$\frac{p_1}{p_1-1}$$
 $\frac{p_2}{p_2-1}$ $\frac{p_3}{p_3-1} > 2$ if $p_1=3$, $p_2=5$, $p_3 \le 13$.

^{*} A like simple proof by use of P_0 ' shows that also p_2 is limited (since $p_1 > 2$) and then that p_3 is limited, but fails for p_4 since

shows that a is deficient if

$$\Pi_{\mu} \cdot \left(\frac{p_{\nu+1}}{p_{\nu+1}-1}\right)^{n-\nu} \leq 2.$$

Hence a is deficient if

$$M\left(\frac{p_{\mathbf{v}+1}}{p_{\mathbf{v}+1}\!-\!1}\right)^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{v}}\!\leq\!2,\quad p_{\mathbf{v}+1}\!\geq\!\frac{g}{g\!-\!1},\quad g\equiv\sqrt[\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{v}]{2/M}>1.$$

Hence in a non-deficient a, $p_{\nu+1}$ is less than the largest of the limits obtained in the various cases, finite in number.

- 7. Consider the set S of primitive non-deficient numbers having as distinct prime factors p_1, \ldots, p_n a particular one of the finite (Lemma D) number of possible sets of n primes. Since any greater multiple of a non-deficient number is not primitive, the set S is finite by Lemma B. We have therefore proved the theorem of § 1.
- 8. Theorem. There is no odd abundant number with less than three distinct prime factors, and no odd perfect number with less than four distinct prime factors. The primitive odd abundant numbers with three distinct prime factors are:

$$3^{3}5 \cdot 7, \ 3^{2}5^{2}7, \ 3^{2}5 \cdot 7^{2}, \ 3^{3}5^{2}11, \ 3^{5}5^{2}13, \ 3^{4}5^{3}13, \ 3^{4}5^{2}13^{2}, \ 3^{3}5^{3}13^{2}.$$
 (3)

We have n > 2 since $\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} < 2$. Let n = 3. Since $\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^3 < 2$, we have $p_1 = 3$.

We have $p_2 = 5$ and then $p_3 < 17$ since

$$\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{11}{10} = \frac{77}{40} < 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{17}{16} = \frac{255}{128} < 2.$$

For $e_1 = 1$ or 2, we obtain a smaller limit for p_3 by use of $P_1 > 2$, viz., $p_3 < 6$, $p_3 < 11$, respectively. Hence $e_1 > 1$.

First, let $e_1 = 2$, so that $p_3 = 7$. Unless $e_2 = e_3 = 1$ (giving a deficient a), a is a multiple of (3_2) or (3_3) .

Next, if $e_1 \ge 3$ and $p_3 = 7$, a is a multiple of (3_1) .

Finally, let $e_1 \ge 3$, $p_3 = 11$ or 13. If $e_2 = 1$, a is deficient since

$$\frac{\sigma(5)}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{11}{10} = \frac{99}{50} < 2.$$

If $e_2 > 1$ and $p_3 = 11$, a is a multiple of (3_4) . Let therefore $e_1 \ge 3$, $e_2 > 1$, $p_3 = 13$. The conditions that $3^e \cdot 5^f \cdot 13$ be non-deficient for f = 2 and 3 are $3^e > 217$, e > 4; $3^e > 42$, e > 3, respectively; while $3^a \cdot 5^f \cdot 13$ is deficient since

$$\frac{\sigma(3^3)}{3^3} \cdot \frac{\sigma(13)}{13} \cdot \frac{5}{4} = \frac{700}{351} < 2.$$

Hence, for $e_3 = 1$, a is non-deficient if and only if it is a multiple of (3_5) or (3_6) .

Next, $3^e 5^2 13^2$ and $3^3 5^f 13^2$ are non-deficient if and only if $3^e > 47$, e > 3; $5^f > 76$, f > 2, respectively. Hence for $e_3 = 2$, a is non-deficient if and only if it is a multiple of (3_7) or (3_8) . For $e_3 \ge 3$, a is a multiple of one of the latter numbers unless it be $3^3 5^2 13^e$, which is deficient.

9. Passing to the case n = 4, we have $p_1 = 3$, $p_2 = 5$ or 7 since

$$\frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{13}{12} = \frac{1001}{576} < 2, \quad \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{11}{10}\right)^3 = \frac{3993}{2000} < 2.$$

THEOREM. The primitive non-deficient odd numbers with four distinct prime factors, of which the second is 7, are

$$3^{2}7^{2}11^{2}13^{3}$$
, $3^{2}7^{2}11^{3}13^{2}$, $3^{2}7^{3}11^{2}13^{2}$, $3^{3}7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13^{2}$, $3^{3}7 \cdot 11^{2}13$, $3^{3}7^{2}11 \cdot 13$, $3^{4}7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$; (4)

$$3^{3}7^{2}11^{2}17, 3^{3}7^{3}11\cdot 17^{2}, 3^{4}7^{2}11\cdot 17, 3^{5}7\cdot 11^{2}17^{3}, 3^{5}7\cdot 11^{8}17^{2}, 3^{6}7\cdot 11^{2}17^{2};$$
 (5)

$$3^{3}7^{2}11^{3}19^{2}$$
, $3^{3}7^{8}11^{3}19$, $3^{3}7^{8}11^{2}19^{2}$, $3^{4}7^{2}11\cdot19^{2}$, $3^{4}7^{2}11^{2}19$, $3^{4}7^{3}11\cdot19$, $3^{5}7^{2}11\cdot19$; (6)

$$3^{4}7^{3}11^{2}23^{2}, 3^{4}7^{4}11^{3}23, 3^{5}7^{2}11^{2}23^{2}, 3^{5}7^{2}11^{4}23, 3^{5}7^{3}11^{2}23, 3^{6}7^{2}11^{2}23;$$
 (7)

$$3^{4}7^{2}13^{3}17^{3}$$
, $3^{4}7^{3}13^{2}17^{2}$, $3^{5}7^{2}13^{2}17^{2}$, $3^{5}7^{3}13^{2}17$, $3^{6}7^{2}13^{3}17$, $3^{6}7^{3}13 \cdot 17^{2}$, $3^{7}7^{2}13^{2}17$; (8)

$$3^{6}7^{4}13^{3}19^{3}$$
, $3^{7}7^{3}13^{4}19^{4}$, $3^{7}7^{3}13^{5}19^{3}$, $3^{7}7^{4}13^{3}19^{2}$, $3^{8}7^{3}13^{3}19^{3}$, $3^{8}7^{4}13^{2}19^{3}$, $3^{10}7^{3}13^{4}19^{2}$. (9)

Henceforth we shall write a, b, c, d for e_1, \ldots, e_4 and p for p_4 . Denote (2) by A. We have $p_3 < 17$. Consider first the case $p_3 = 11$. Then A is deficient if

$$\frac{3 \cdot 3^a - 1}{2 \cdot 3^a} \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{p}{p - 1} < 2, \quad 3^a (240 - 9 p) \le 77 p.$$

Hence, for a non-deficient A, p < 27 and $a \ge 4$ if p = 23, $a \ge 3$ if p = 19 or 17, $a \ge 2$ if p = 13. Similarly, $b \ge 2$ if $p \ge 19$, $c \ge 2$ if p = 23. First, let p = 23. Then A is a multiple of (7_6) unless $a \le 5$. For a = 4, we have b > 2; if d > 1, A is a multiple of (7_1) ; if d = 1, then c > 2, b > 3, and A is a multiple of (7_2) . For a = 5, A is a multiple of (7_5) unless b = 2, in which case it is a multiple of (7_3) if d > 1; while, if d = 1, then $c \ge 4$ and A is a multiple of (7_4) .

Next, let p=19. Then A is a multiple of (6_7) unless a=3 or 4. First, let a=3. Then c>1. For b=2, we have c>2, d>1, whence A is a multiple of (6_1) . For $b\geq 3$, A is a multiple of (6_3) if d>1; while, if d=1, we have $c\geq 3$ and A is a multiple of (6_2) . Next, let a=4. Then A is a multiple of (6_4) , (6_5) or (6_6) unless b=2, c=d=1, when A is deficient.

For p=17, $a \ge 3$. First, let b=1. Then c>1, d>1, $a \ge 5$, and A is a multiple of (5_6) unless a=5. If a=5, A is a multiple of (5_4) or (5_5) unless c=d=2, in which case it is deficient. Next, if $b \ge 2$, $a \ge 4$, A is a multiple of (5_3) . Finally, if $b \ge 2$, a=3, A is a multiple of (5_1) or (5_2) unless c=d=1, or c=1, d>1, b=2, in each of which two cases A is deficient.

The case p=13 needs no special comment.

It remains to treat the coordinate case $p_3 = 13$. By P_1 ,

$$3^a(288 - 15 p) > 91 p$$

whence p=19, $a \ge 6$, or p=17, $a \ge 4$. Similarly, $b \ge 3$, $c \ge 2$, $d \ge 2$ if p=19; $b \ge 2$ if p=17. For p=19, A is a multiple of (9_1) unless b=3 or c=2 or d=2. First, let c=2. Then A is deficient if $a \le 7$ or b=3 or d=2; the remaining A's are multiples of (9_6) . Next, let d=2 (c>2). Then A is deficient if a=6. Thus, if $b \ge 4$, A is a multiple of (9_4) . But if b=3, A is deficient if c=3 or $a \le 9$, while the remaining A's are multiples of (9_7) . Finally, let b=3 (c>2, d>2). Now A is deficient if a=6, and a multiple of (9_5) unless a=6 or a=7, we must have a=6. Then a=6 is a multiple of a=6, if a=6, and a=6 if a=6, and a multiple of a=6, and a=6.

Finally, let p=17. Unless A is a multiple of (8_1) , c<3 or d<3. First, let c=2. Then A is a multiple of (8_2) or (8_3) unless d=1 or b=2, a=4. In the latter case A is deficient. For d=1, A is a multiple of (8_7) or (8_4) unless b=2, a<7 or a=4, while A is then deficient. Second, let c=1; then a>5, b>2, d>1, and A is a multiple of (8_6) . Third, let d=2, $c\ge 3$. Then A is a multiple (8_2) or (8_3) unless b=2, a=4, in which case A is deficient. Finally, let d=1, $c\ge 3$. If a=4 or if a=5, b=2, A is deficient. If a=5, $b\ge 3$, A is a multiple of (8_4) . If a=6 or $a\ge 7$, A is a multiple of (8_5) or (8_7) , respectively.

10. In treating the more prolific case $p_2=5$, use is made of the

Theorem. If the prime p is not a divisor of the deficient number k, then kp^e is non-deficient if and only if

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma(k)}{2k - \sigma(k)} \ge \frac{p^e}{\sigma(p^{e-1})}.$$
 (10)

Since $2k = \sigma(k)$ (1 + 1/x), the condition is

$$\sigma(k) \ \sigma(p^e) \ge 2 k p^e, \quad \sigma(p^e) \ge p^e (1 + 1/x).$$

But $\sigma(p^e) = p^e + \sigma(p^{e-1})$. Hence the inequality (10) follows.

Corollary. k p (and hence also $k p^e$) is non-deficient if $p \leq x$, while $k p^e$ is deficient if $p \geq x + 1$,

To obtain the latter part of the corollary, we note that

$$\frac{p^e}{\sigma(p^{e-1})} = p - 1 + \frac{1}{\sigma(p^{e-1})}.$$

To give another proof, note that $k p^e$ is deficient if

$$P_1 = \frac{\sigma(k)}{k} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1} \leq 2, \quad p \geq \frac{2k}{2k-\sigma(k)} = \kappa + 1.$$

The corollary gives complete information concerning $k p^e$ unless there be a prime K between κ and $\kappa + 1$. In the latter case, k K is deficient, while $k K^e (e > 1)$ is to be tested by the theorem itself.

11. For n = 4, there remains the case $p_1 = 3$, $p_2 = 5$. Since

$$\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{4} \cdot \frac{31}{30} \cdot \frac{37}{36} = \frac{1147}{576} < 2$$

 $p_3 \le 29$. First, let $p_3 = 7$. Then A is a multiple of (3_1) , (3_2) or (3_3) unless a = 1 or a = 2, b = c = 1. In the latter case, A is abundant if $p \le \varkappa = 104$, deficient if p > 104. Let next a = 1. Then A is deficient if p > 36. For

$$k = 3 \cdot 5^2 7^2$$
, $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2$, $3 \cdot 5^2 7$, $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$,

the integer just $> \varkappa$ is 26, 14, 18, 11, respectively. For $k = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, we test p = 11 by the theorem and find that (10) holds for e = 2, since $\varkappa = 32/3$. Again, A is deficient if b = 1, $p \ge 17$; b = 2, c = 1, $p \ge 19$; c = 1, p > 21; b = 2, c = 3, p = 29; b = 2, p = 31; b = 3, c = 2, d = 1, p = 31. Hence all the primitives with $p_3 = 7$ are given in the first part of the following list. As in this case, we have rearranged the primitives, listing together those with the same set of primes. Two or more complete sets of primitives differing only in the value of the fourth prime are combined in the list. Although this rearranged list is somewhat longer than the list as initially determined, it has obvious advantages over the latter.

12. List of the primitive abundant numbers with exactly four distinct prime factors, the second prime being 5.

```
3^25^311^297, 3^75\cdot11^397^2, 3^75\cdot11^497, 3^85\cdot11^397; 3^25^311^2p (p=101,103);
3^25^311^2107^2, 3^25^411^2107; 3^25^311^3p, 3^25^411^2p (p = 109,113);
3^{2}5^{4}11^{3}127, 3^{2}5^{5}11^{2}127; 3^{2}5^{4}11^{3}131; 3^{2}5^{4}11^{4}137^{2}, 3^{2}5^{5}11^{3}137; 3^{2}5^{5}11^{3}139;
3^25^213p, 3^35\cdot13p (p=17,19); 3^25^213\cdot23, 3^35\cdot13\cdot23^2, 3^35\cdot13^223, 3^45\cdot13\cdot23:
3^25^213^229, 3^25^313\cdot29, 3^35^213\cdot29, 3^45\cdot13^229, 3^55\cdot13\cdot29;
3^{2}5^{2}13^{2}31, 3^{2}5^{3}13\cdot31, 3^{3}5^{2}13\cdot31, 3^{4}5\cdot13^{2}31, 3^{5}5\cdot13\cdot31^{2}, 3^{6}5\cdot13\cdot31;
3^25^313^237, 3^35^213\cdot37, 3^55\cdot13^237^2, 3^65\cdot13^237; 3^25^313^241^2, 3^25^313^341, 3^35^213\cdot41;
3^25^413^243^2, 3^25^413^343, 3^25^513^243, 3^35^213\cdot43;
3^35^213p(47 \le p \le 89); 3^35^213^2p, 3^35^313p, 3^45^213p(97 \le p \le 181);
3^35^213^3p, 3^35^313p, 3^45^213p (191 \le p \le 199); 3^35^313p, 3^45^213p (p = 211, 223);
3^35^413p, 3^45^213p (227 \le p \le 313); 3^35^513p, 3^45^213p (p = 317, 331, 337);
3^35^613 \cdot 347, 3^45^213 \cdot 347; 3^35^613 \cdot 349^2, 3^35^713 \cdot 349, 3^45^213 \cdot 349;
3^45^213p(353 \le p \le 383);
3^25^217 \cdot 19^2, 3^25^217^219, 3^25^317 \cdot 19, 3^35^217 \cdot 19, 3^45 \cdot 17^219, 3^45 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^2, 3^55 \cdot 17 \cdot 19;
3^25^317^223^2, 3^25^417^223, 3^35^217\cdot23, 3^35^217p(p=29,31);
3^35^217^237, 3^35^317\cdot37, 3^45^217\cdot37; 3^35^217^241^2, 3^35^317\cdot41, 3^45^217\cdot41;
3^35^317 \cdot 43, 3^45^217 \cdot 43; 3^35^317 \cdot 47^2, 3^35^317^247, 3^35^417 \cdot 47, 3^45^217 \cdot 47;
3^35^317^253, 3^45^217^253, 3^45^317\cdot53, 3^55^217\cdot53;
3^35^417^259^2, 3^35^417^359, 3^35^517^259, 3^45^217^259, 3^45^317 \cdot 59, 3^55^217 \cdot 59;
3^{4}5^{2}17^{3}61, 3^{4}5^{2}17^{2}61^{2}, 3^{4}5^{3}17 \cdot 61, 3^{5}5^{2}17^{2}61, 3^{6}5^{2}17 \cdot 61;
3^45^317p, 3^55^217^2p (p = 67, 71, 73);
3^{4}5^{3}17^{2}79, 3^{4}5^{4}17.79, 3^{5}5^{3}17\cdot79, 3^{6}5^{2}17^{2}79^{2}, 3^{6}5^{2}17^{3}79, 3^{7}5^{2}17^{2}79;
3^{4}5^{3}17^{2}83, 3^{4}5^{4}17 \cdot 83, 3^{5}5^{3}17 \cdot 83, 3^{7}5^{2}17^{3}83^{2}, 3^{9}5^{2}17^{3}83;
3^{4}5^{3}17^{2}89, 3^{5}5^{3}17 \cdot 89; 3^{4}5^{3}17^{2}97, 3^{5}5^{3}17 \cdot 97^{2}, 3^{5}5^{4}17 \cdot 97, 3^{6}5^{3}17 \cdot 97;
3^{4}5^{3}17^{2}101, 3^{5}5^{4}17\cdot101, 3^{6}5^{3}17\cdot101;
3^{4}5^{8}17^{3}103, 3^{4}5^{4}17^{2}103, 3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}103, 3^{5}5^{4}17 \cdot 103, 3^{6}5^{3}17 \cdot 103;
3^{4}5^{4}17^{2}107, 3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}107, 3^{5}5^{4}17\cdot107, 3^{7}5^{3}17\cdot107;
3^{4}5^{4}17^{2}109, 3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}109, 3^{5}5^{4}17 \cdot 109, 3^{7}5^{3}17 \cdot 109^{2}, 3^{8}5^{3}17 \cdot 109;
3^{4}5^{4}17^{2}113, 3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}113, 3^{5}5^{5}17 \cdot 113^{2}, 3^{5}5^{6}17 \cdot 113, 3^{6}5^{4}17 \cdot 113;
3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}127, 3^{6}5^{5}17 \cdot 127^{2}, 3^{7}5^{5}17 \cdot 127, 3^{8}5^{4}17 \cdot 127; 3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}131, 3^{7}5^{5}17 \cdot 131;
3^{5}5^{3}17^{2}p(p = 137, 139); \quad 3^{4}5^{4}17^{2}p, 3^{6}5^{3}17^{2}p(p = 149, 151, 157);
3^45^417^2163, 3^65^817^8163, 3^75^817^2163; 3^55^417^2167, 3^65^817^8167^2, 3^75^817^2167:
3^{5}5^{4}17^{3}173, 3^{5}5^{5}17^{2}173, 3^{6}5^{4}17^{2}173, 3^{7}5^{3}17^{3}173, 3^{8}5^{3}17^{2}173^{2}, 3^{9}5^{3}17^{2}173:
3^{5}5^{5}17^{2}179, 3^{6}5^{4}17^{2}179, 3^{8}5^{3}17^{3}179^{2}, 3^{8}5^{3}17^{4}179, 3^{9}5^{3}17^{3}179:
3^{5}5^{5}17^{3}181, 3^{5}5^{6}17^{2}181, 3^{6}5^{4}17^{2}181, 3^{9}5^{3}17^{4}181^{2}, 3^{10}5^{3}17^{3}181^{2};
3^65^417^2p (p = 191, 193, 197, 199); 3^65^417^3211, 3^65^517^2211, 3^75^417^2211;
3^{6}5^{5}17^{3}223, 3^{7}5^{4}17^{3}223, 3^{7}5^{5}17^{2}223, 3^{8}5^{4}17^{2}223^{2}, 3^{9}5^{4}17^{2}223;
3^{6}5^{6}17^{3}227^{2}, 3^{6}5^{6}17^{4}227, 3^{6}5^{7}17^{3}227, 3^{7}5^{5}17^{2}227, 3^{7}5^{4}17^{3}227^{2}, 3^{8}5^{4}17^{3}227:
3^65^717^4229^2, 3^75^517^2229, 3^85^417^3229;
```

```
3^{7}5^{5}17^{8}233, 3^{7}5^{6}17^{2}233^{2}, 3^{8}5^{4}17^{3}233^{2}, 3^{8}5^{5}17^{2}233, 3^{9}5^{4}17^{4}233;
3755173239, 38561722392, 3857172239, 3956172239, 3^{10}55172239^2;
3^{7}5^{5}17^{3}241^{2}, 3^{7}5^{5}17^{4}241, 3^{7}5^{6}17^{3}241, 3^{8}5^{5}17^{3}241, 3^{9}5^{6}17^{2}241^{2}, 3^{9}5^{7}17^{2}241,
                                                                                                          3^{10}5^{6}17^{2}241:
3856173251^2, 3857174251, 3956173251, 3105174251^2, 3115173251^2;
3^35^219p(p=23,29); 3^35^219^231, 3^35^319\cdot31, 3^45^219\cdot31;
3^{3}5^{3}19 \cdot 37^{2}, 3^{3}5^{3}19^{2}37, 3^{3}5^{4}19 \cdot 37, 3^{4}5^{2}19 \cdot 37;
3^{3}5^{3}19^{2}41^{2}, 3^{3}5^{4}19^{2}41, 3^{4}5^{2}19^{2}41, 3^{4}5^{3}19\cdot41, 3^{5}5^{2}19\cdot41;
3^{3}5^{4}19^{2}43^{2}, 3^{3}5^{5}19^{3}43, 3^{4}5^{2}19^{2}43, 3^{4}5^{3}19\cdot 43, 3^{5}5^{2}19\cdot 43;
3^{4}5^{3}19.47, 3^{5}5^{2}19^{2}47, 3^{7}5^{2}19.47^{2};
3^{4}5^{3}19 \cdot 53^{2}, 3^{4}5^{3}19^{2}53, 3^{5}5^{3}19 \cdot 53, 3^{7}5^{2}19^{2}53^{2}, 3^{9}5^{2}19^{2}53;
3^{4}5^{3}19^{2}59, 3^{5}5^{3}19 \cdot 59; 3^{4}5^{3}19^{2}61^{2}, 3^{4}5^{3}19^{3}61, 3^{5}5^{3}19 \cdot 61;
3^{4}5^{4}19^{3}67^{2}, 3^{4}5^{5}19^{2}67, 3^{5}5^{3}19^{2}67, 3^{5}5^{4}19 \cdot 67^{2}, 3^{5}5^{5}19 \cdot 67, 3^{6}5^{4}19 \cdot 67, 3^{7}5^{3}19 \cdot 67^{2};
3^{5}5^{3}19^{2}71, 3^{6}5^{5}19\cdot71, 3^{7}5^{4}19\cdot71; 3^{5}5^{8}19^{2}73, 3^{6}5^{6}19\cdot73^{2}, 3^{7}5^{5}19\cdot73, 3^{9}5^{4}19\cdot73;
3^{5}5^{4}19^{2}79, 3^{6}5^{3}19^{2}79^{2}, 3^{6}5^{3}19^{3}79, 3^{7}5^{3}19^{2}79;
3^{5}5^{5}19^{2}83^{2}, 3^{6}5^{4}19^{2}83, 3^{9}5^{3}19^{3}83^{2}; 3^{6}5^{4}19^{3}89^{2}, 3^{6}5^{5}19^{2}89, 3^{7}5^{4}19^{2}89;
335323292, 335423\cdot292, 335423\cdot292, 34523\cdot292, 345223\cdot292, 345223\cdot293, 345323\cdot293;
3^{8}5^{5}23^{2}31^{2}, 3^{4}5^{2}23^{2}31^{2}, 3^{4}5^{8}23\cdot31, 3^{5}5^{2}23\cdot31; 3^{4}5^{8}23\cdot37, 3^{4}5^{4}23\cdot37, 3^{5}5^{8}23\cdot37;
3^{4}5^{4}23^{2}41^{2}, 3^{5}5^{3}23^{2}41, 3^{5}5^{4}23\cdot41, 3^{6}5^{3}23\cdot41;
3^{5}5^{3}23^{2}43^{2}, 3^{5}5^{4}23^{2}43, 3^{5}5^{5}23\cdot43^{2}, 3^{6}5^{3}23^{2}43, 3^{6}5^{4}23\cdot43; 3^{5}5^{6}23^{3}47^{2}, 3^{6}5^{4}23^{2}47;
3^{5}5^{3}29^{2}31^{2}, 3^{5}5^{4}29\cdot31^{2}, 3^{5}5^{4}29^{2}31, 3^{6}5^{3}29\cdot31^{2}, 3^{6}5^{3}29^{2}31, 3^{6}5^{4}29\cdot31.
13. Theorem. The only primitive odd abundant numbers < 15000 are:
3^{3}5.7 = 945, 3^{2}5^{2}7 = 1575, 3^{2}5.7^{2} = 2205, 3^{2}5.7.11 = 3465,
3^{2}5\cdot7\cdot13 = 4095, 3^{2}5\cdot7\cdot17 = 5355, 3\cdot5^{2}7\cdot11 = 5775, 3^{2}5\cdot7\cdot19 = 5985,
3^25 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 = 6435, 3 \cdot 5^27 \cdot 13 = 6825, 3^25 \cdot 7 \cdot 23 = 7245, 3^35^211 = 7425,
3.5.7^{2}11 = 8085, 3^{2}5.11.17 = 8415, 3.5^{2}7.17 = 8925, 3^{2}5.7.29 = 9135,
3.5.7^{2}13 = 9555, 3^{2}5.7.31 = 9765, 3^{2}5.7.37 = 11655, 3.5.7.11^{2} = 12705,
3^25 \cdot 7 \cdot 41 = 12915, 3^25 \cdot 7 \cdot 43 = 13545, 3^25 \cdot 7 \cdot 47 = 14805.
The number n of distinct prime factors is < 5, since
```

For n < 4, the primitives are given by (3). Since $3^45^213 = 26325$, the last four exceed 78000. Finally, let n = 4. The primitives (§ 9) with $p_2 = 7$ evidently exceed $3^27^211\cdot13 = 63063$. Next, let $p_2 = 5$. The primitives (§ 11) with $p_3 = 7$, which are < 15000, are readily listed. The primitives with $p_3 \ge 11$ have the factor 3^2 since $3 \cdot 5^b p_3^c p^d$ is deficient. Since $3^25 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 = 6435$, whose product by 3 exceeds our limit, it remains to examine only $3^25 p_3 p_4$. The latter is deficient if $p_3 > 11$, and if $p_3 = 11$, $p_4 > 17$.

 $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 = 15015.$