ALGEBRAIC G-FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED TO MATRICES OVER A GROUP-RING

STAVROS GAROUFALIDIS AND JEAN BELLISSARD

ABSTRACT. Given a square matrix with elements in the group-ring of a group, one can consider the sequence formed by the trace (in the sense of the group-ring) of its powers. We prove that the corresponding generating series is an algebraic G-function (in the sense of Siegel) when the group is free of finite rank. Consequently, it follows that the norm of such elements is an exactly computable algebraic number, and their Green function is algebraic. Our proof uses the notion of rational and algebraic power series in non-commuting variables and is an easy application of a theorem of Haiman. Haiman's theorem uses results of linguistics regarding regular and context-free language. On the other hand, when the group is free abelian of finite rank, then the corresponding generating series is a G-function. We ask whether the latter holds for general hyperbolic groups.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Algebricity of the Green's function for the free group	1
2. The case of the free abelian group	2
2.1. Holonomic, algebraic and G-functions	2
2.2. The case of the free abelian group	3
2.3. A complexity remark	4
2.4. Acknowledgement	4
3. A theorem of Haiman and a proof of Theorem 1	4
4. Algebraic and rational functions in noncommuting variables	4
4.1. Rational, algebraic and holonomic functions in one variable	4
4.2. Rational and algebraic functions in noncommuting variables	5
4.3. Proof of Theorem 1	5
5. Some Linguistics	7
5.1. Regular and context-free languages	7
5.2. Some questions	7
6. A functional analysis interpretation of Theorem 1	8
References	8

1. Introduction

1.1. Algebricity of the Green's function for the free group. Given a group G, consider the groupalgebra $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, and define a trace map:



 $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{Q}[G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \qquad \operatorname{Tr}(P) = \text{constant term of } P = \text{P(1)} \quad 1 \in \mathbb{C}$

Date: October 1, 2007.

The authors were supported in part by NSF.

1991 Mathematics Classification. Primary 57N10. Secondary 57M25.

Key words and phrases: rational functions, algebraic functions, holonomic functions, G-functions, generating series, non-commuting variables, moments, hamiltonians, resolvant, regular languages, context-free languages, Hadamard product, group-ring, free probability, Schur complement method, free group, von Neumann algebras, polynomial Hamiltonians, free $probability, spectral\ theory,\ norm.$

where the constant term is the coefficient of the identity element of G. Let $M_N(R)$ denote the set of N by N matrices with entries in a ring R. We can extend the trace to the algebra $M_N(\mathbb{Q}[G])$ by:

(2)
$$\operatorname{Tr}: M_N(\mathbb{Q}[G]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \qquad \operatorname{Tr}(P) = \sum_{j=1}^N \operatorname{Tr}(P_{jj}).$$

Definition 1.1. Given $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[G])$, consider the sequence $(a_{P,n})$

$$(3) a_{P,n} = \operatorname{Tr}(P^n)$$

and the generating series

(4)
$$R_P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{P,n} z^n.$$

Let F_r denote the free group of rank r.

Theorem 1. The Green's function $R_P(z)$ of every element P of $M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$ is algebraic.

Theorem 1 appears in the cross-roads of several areas of research:

- (a) operator algebras
- (b) free probability
- (c) linguistics and context-free languages
- (d) non-commutative combinatorics
- (e) mathematical physics

In fact, Woess proves Theorem 1 when N=1 using linguistics and context-free languages; see [Wo1, Wo2]. Voiculescu proves Theorem 1 using the R and S transforms of free probability; see [Vo1, Vo2]. For additional results using free probability, see [Ao, CV] and also [Le1, Le2].

It is well-known that Theorem 1 provides an exact calculation of the norm of $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r]) \subset M_N(L(F_r))$, where $L(F_r)$ denotes the reduced \mathbb{C}^* -algebra completion of the group-algebra $\mathbb{C}[F_r]$. For a detailed discussion, see the above references.

Our proof of Theorem 1 uses the notion of an algebraic function in non-commuting variables and a theorem of Haiman, which itself is based on a theorem of Chomsky-Schützenberger on context-free languages. A byproduct of our proof is the fact that the moment generating series is a matrix of algebraic power series in non-commuting variables (see Proposition 4.4), which is a statement a priori stronger than Theorem 1.

An alternative proof of Theorem 1 uses methods from functional analysis, and most notably the *Schur* complement method (see below). We will discuss in detail the first proof and postpone the third proof to a later publication. Either proof explains the close relation between the differential properties of the generating function $R_P(z)$ and the word problem in G.

2. The case of the free abelian group

2.1. Holonomic, algebraic and G-functions. A priori, $R_P(z)$ is only a formal power series. However, it is easy to see that $(a_{P,n})$ is bounded exponentially by n, which implies that $R_P(z)$ defines an analytic function in a neighborhood of z=0. The paper is concerned with differential/algebraic properties of the function $R_P(z)$. Algebraic and holonomic functions are well-studied objects. Let us recall their definition here.

Definition 2.1. (a) A holonomic function f(z) is one that satisfies a linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients. In other words, we have:

$$c_d(z)f^{(d)}(z) + \dots + c_0(z)f(z) = 0$$

where $c_j(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ for all j = 0, ..., d and $f^{(j)}(z) = d^j/dz^j f(z)$.

(b) An algebraic function f(z) is one that satisfies a polynomial equation:

$$Q(f(z), z) = 0$$

where $Q(y, z) \in \mathbb{Q}[y, z]$.

Lesser known to the combinatorics community are G-functions, which originated in the work Siegel on arithmetic problems in elliptic integrals, and transcendence problems in number theory; see [Si]. Holonomic G-functions originate naturally in

- (a) algebraic geometry, related to the regularity properties of the Gauss-Manin connection, see for example [De, Ka, Ma],
- (b) arithmetic, see for example [An2, Bm, DGS],
- (c) enumerative combinatorics, as was recently shown in [Ga2].

Definition 2.2. A <u>G-function</u> $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is one which satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$,
- (b) there exist a constant $C_f > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have: $|a_n| \leq C_f^n$ (for every conjugate of a_n) and the common denominator of a_0, \ldots, a_n is less than or equal to C_f^n .
- (c) f(z) is holonomic.

The next theorem summarizes the analytic continuation and the shape of the singularities of algebraic functions and G-functions. Part (a) follows from the general theory of differential equations (see eg. [Wa]), parts (b) and (d) follow from [CSTU, Lem.2.2] (see also [DGS] and [DvdP]) and (c) follows from a combination of Katz's theorem, Chudnovsky's theorem and André's theorem; see [An2, p.706] and also [C-L].

Theorem 2. (a) A holonomic function f(z) can be analytically continued as a multivalued function in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Sigma_f$ where $\Sigma_f\subset\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the finite set of singular points of f(z).

- (b) Every algebraic function f(z) is a G-function.
- (c) In a neighborhood of a singular point $\lambda \in \Sigma_f$, a G-function f(z) can be written as a finite sum of germs of the form:

$$(z - \lambda)^{\alpha_{\lambda}} (\log(z - \lambda))^{\beta_{\lambda}} h_{\lambda}(z - \lambda)$$

where $\alpha_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\beta_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}$, and h_{λ} a holonomic G-function.

(d) In addition, $\beta_{\lambda} = 0$ if f(z) is algebraic.

Remark 2.3. Local expansions of the form (5) are known in the literature as Nilsson series (see [Ni]), and minimal order linear differential equations that they satisfy are known to be regular singular, with rational exponents $\{a_{\lambda}\}$ and quasi-unipotent monodromy. For a discussion, see [Ka, Ma, Ga2] and references therein.

It is classical and easy to show that the existence of analytic continuation of a function implies the existence of asymptotic expansion of its Taylor series; see for example [Ju, Co] and also [CG, Sec.7] and [Ga2].

Lemma 2.4. If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is holonomic and analytic at z = 0, then the nth Taylor coefficient a_n has an asymptotic expansion in the sense of Poincaré

(6)
$$a_n \sim \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma} \lambda^{-n} n^{-\alpha_{\lambda} - 1} (\log n)^{\beta_{\lambda}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{\lambda, s}}{n^s}$$

where Σ_f is the set of singularities of f, $\alpha_{\lambda}, \beta_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $c_{\lambda,s} \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.2. The case of the free abelian group. In this section we will summarize what is known about the generating functions $R_P(z)$ when $G = \mathbb{Z}^r$ is the free abelian group or rank r. The next theorem is shown in [Ga2], using Andreé main theorems from [An2]. An alternative proof uses the regular holonomicity of the Gauss-Manin connection and the rationality of its exponents. This was kindly communicated to us by C. Sabbah (see also [DvK]). Holonomicity of $R_P(z)$ also follows from a fundamental result of Wilf-Zeilberger, explained in [Ga2].

Theorem 3. [Ga2] For every $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^r])$, $R_P(z)$ is a G-function

- 2.3. A complexity remark. Given $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$ (resp. $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$), one may ask for the complexity of a minimal polynomial $Q(y,z) \in \mathbb{Q}[y,z]$ (resp. minimal degree differential operator $D(z,\partial_z) \in \mathbb{Q}\langle z,\partial z\rangle$) so that $Q(R_P(z),z) = 0$ (resp. $D(z,\partial_z)R_P(z) = 0$). One expects that the y-degree of Q(y,z) and the ∂_z -degree of $D(z,\partial_z)$ is exponential in the complexity of P, where the latter can be defined to be the degree of P and the maximum of the absolute values of the coefficients of the enrities of P. This prohibits explicit calculations in general.
- 2.4. **Acknowledgement.** The second author wishes to thank R. Gilman, F. Flajolet, L. Mosher, C. Sabbah and D. Zeilberger for stimulating conversations and D. Voiculescu for bringing into the attention relevant literature on free probability.

3. A THEOREM OF HAIMAN AND A PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In [Ha] Haiman proves the following theorem.

Theorem 4. [Ha] Let K be a field with a rank 1 discrete valuation v; K_v its completion with respect to the metric induced by v. Let $f(x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r)$ be a rational power series over K in non-commuting indeterminants. Any coefficient of $f(x_1, \ldots, x_r, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_r^{-1})$ converging over K_v is algebraic over K.

Letting $K = \mathbb{Q}(z)$, and $K_v = \mathbb{Q}((z))$ the ring of formal Laurent series in z, and considering the element $(1-zP)^{-1}$, where $P \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$, gives an immediate proof of Theorem 1.

In the next section we will give a detailed description of Haiman's argument which exhibits a close relation to linguistics, as well as an obstruction to generalizing Theorem 1 to groups other than the free group.

4. Algebraic and rational functions in noncommuting variables

4.1. Rational, algebraic and holonomic functions in one variable. In this section all functions will be analytic in a neighborhood of z=0. Let $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{rat}}(z)$, $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{alg}}(z)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{hol}}(z)$ denote respectively the set of rational, algebraic and holonomic functions, analytic at z=0. Let $\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ denote the set of formal power series in z. Using the injective Taylor series map around z=0, we will consider $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{rat}}(z)$, $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{alg}}(z)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{hol}}(z)$ as subsets of $\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$:

(7)
$$\mathbb{Q}_0^{\text{rat}}(z) \subset \mathbb{Q}_0^{\text{alg}}(z) \subset \mathbb{Q}_0^{\text{hol}}(z) \subset \mathbb{Q}[[z]].$$

 $\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ has two multiplications:

• the usual multiplication of formal power series

(8)
$$(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n) \cdot (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k}) z^n.$$

• The Hadamard product:

$$(9) \qquad (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n) \circledast (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

With respect to the usual multiplication, $\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$ is an algebra and $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{rat}}(z)$, $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{alg}}(z)$ and $\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{hol}}(z)$ are subalgebras. In case two power series are convergent in a neighborhood of zero, so is their Hadamard product. Hadamard, Borel and Jungen studied the analytic continuation and the singularities of the Hadamard product of two functions; see [Bo, Ju]. Their method used an integral representation of the Hadamard product, and a deformation of the contour of integration; see [Ju, Fig.2,p.303]. Let us summarize these classical results.

Theorem 5. (a) If f and g are rational, so is $f \otimes g$.

- (b) If f is rational and g is algebraic, then $f \otimes g$ is algebraic.
- (c) If f and g are holonomic (resp. regular holonomic with rational exponents), so is $f \otimes g$.
- (d) If f and g are algebraic, then $f \otimes g$ is not necessarily algebraic.

For a proof, see Thm.7, Thm.8, Theorem E and the example of p.298 from [Ju].

4.2. Rational and algebraic functions in noncommuting variables. In this section we discuss a generalization of the previous section to non-commuting variables. Let X be a finite set, and let X^* denote the free monoid on X. In other words, X consists of the set of all words in X, including the empty word e. Let $\mathbb{Q}\langle X\rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$) denote the algebra of polynomials (resp. formal power series) in non-commuting variables. In [Sh], Schützenberger defines the notion of a rational and an algebraic power series in non-commuting variables. Let $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{rat}}(X)$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}(X)$ denote the sets of rational (resp. algebraic) power series. Then, we have an inclusion:

(10)
$$\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X\rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{alg}}\langle X\rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle.$$

 $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ has two multiplications:

• the usual multiplication of formal power series in non-commuting variables:

(11)
$$(\sum_{w \in X^*} a_w w) \cdot (\sum_{w \in X^*} b_w w) = \sum_{w \in X^*} (\sum_{w', w'': w'w'' = w} a_{w'} b_{w''}) w.$$

• The Hadamard product:

$$(\sum_{w \in X^*} a_w w) \circledast (\sum_{w \in X^*} b_w w) = \sum_{w \in X^*} a_w b_w w.$$

With respect to the usual multiplication, $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ is a non-commutative algebra and $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X\rangle$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{alg}}\langle X\rangle$ are subalgebras. We have the following analogue of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. [Sh, Pro.2.2] (a) If
$$f \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X \rangle$$
 and $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X \rangle$, then $f \circledast g \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X \rangle$. (b) If $f \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X \rangle$ and $g \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{alg}}\langle X \rangle$, then $f \circledast g \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{alg}}\langle X \rangle$.

Remark 4.1. The notion of rational and algebraic functions works for an arbitrary ring \mathcal{R} of characteristic zero, instead of \mathbb{Q} . Theorem 6 is still valid.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let F_r denote the free group of rank r with generating set $\{u_1,\ldots,u_r\}$, and

$$X = \{x_1, \dots, x_r, \overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_r\}.$$

Consider the monoid map:

(13)
$$\pi: X^* \longrightarrow F_r, \qquad \pi(x_i) = u_i, \qquad \pi(\overline{x}_i) = u_i^{-1}.$$

The kernel $\operatorname{Ker}(\pi)$ of π is the set of those words in X which reduce to the identity under the relations $x_i\overline{x}_i=\overline{x}_ix_i=e$. Let

$$(14) \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle. \Delta = \sum_{w \in \operatorname{Ker}(\pi)} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle.$$

The next proposition is attributed to Chomsky-Schützenberger by Haiman. For a proof, see [Ha, Sec.3].

Proposition 4.2. [CS] Δ is algebraic.

The map π has a right inverse (that satisfies $\pi \circ \iota = I_{F_r}$):

$$\iota: F_r \longrightarrow X$$

defined by mapping a reduced word in u_i to a corresponding word in X. For every $f \in \mathbb{Q}[F_r]$ we have a key relation between trace and Hadamard product:

(16)
$$\operatorname{Tr}(f) = \phi(\iota(f) \circledast \Delta)$$

where ϕ is a \mathbb{Q} -linear map defined by:

(17)
$$\phi: \mathbb{Q}\langle X \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}, \quad \phi(w) = 1 \quad \text{for } w \in X^*.$$

Now, fix $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$. Let Δ_N denote the N by N matrix with entries equal to Δ , and $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Q}(z)$. Let

$$P_z = z\iota(P) \in M_N(\mathcal{R}\langle X \rangle), \qquad P_z^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_z^n \in M_N(\mathcal{R}\langle \langle X \rangle \rangle).$$

Notice that P_z^* is well-defined since P_z has no z-constant term.

Lemma 4.3. We have:

(18)
$$P_z^* \in M_N(\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{rat}}\langle X \rangle).$$

Proof. P_z^* satisfies the matrix equation

$$(1 - P_z)P_z^* = I$$

with entries in $\mathcal{R}\langle X \rangle$.

Lemma 4.3, together with Propositions 4.2 and part (b) of 6 imply the following result, which we can think as a noncommutative analogue of Theorem 1.

Proposition 4.4. For every $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$, we have:

(19)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (\iota(P))^n \circledast \Delta_N \in M_N(\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{alg}}\langle X \rangle).$$

Consider the abelianization ring homomorphism:

(20)
$$\psi: \mathcal{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}[[X]]$$

where $\mathcal{R}[[X]]$ is the formal power series ring in commuting variables. Haiman proves the following:

Proposition 4.5. [Ha, Prop.3.3] If $f \in \mathcal{R}^{alg}(X)$, then $\psi(f)$ is algebraic over $\mathcal{R}(X)$.

It follows that $\psi(P_z^* \otimes \Delta_N) \in M_N(\mathcal{R}^{alg}(X))$. Consider now the subalgebra $\mathcal{R}^{conv}[[X]]$ of $\mathcal{R}[[X]]$ that contains all elements of the form

$$\sum_{w \in X^*} a_w w$$

where $a_w \in z^{l(w)}\mathbb{Q}[[z]]$, where l(w) denotes the length of w. Then, we can define an algebra map:

(21)
$$\phi_z : \mathcal{R}^{\text{conv}}[[X]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[z]], \quad \phi_z(w) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in X$$

Haiman shows that if $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{alg}}(X) \cap \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{conv}}[[X]]$, then $\phi_z(f) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{alg}}$. To state our final conclusion, we define for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, the sequence $(a_{P,n}^{ij})$ by

$$a_{P,n}^{ij} = \operatorname{Tr}((P^n)_{ij})$$

and the matrix of generating series $A_P(z) \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[[z]])$ by:

$$(A_P(z))_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{P,n}^{ij} z^n.$$

Lemma 4.6. We have:

$$(22) \qquad (\phi_z \circ \psi)(P_z^* \circledast \Delta_N) = A_P(z).$$

Thus, $A_P(z) \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}_0^{\mathrm{alg}}(z)).$

Proof. Equation (22) follows from Equation (16). The conclusion follows from the above discussion.

Thus, the entries of $A_P(z)$ are algebraic functions, convergent at z=0. Since by definition we have:

$$R_P(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (A_P(z))_{ii}$$

it follows that $R_P(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0^{\text{alg}}(z)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5. Some Linguistics

5.1. Regular and context-free languages. Haiman's proof uses the key Proposition 4.2 from linguistics. Let us recall some concepts from this field. See for example [BR, Li, Ya] and references therein. Given a finite set X (the alphabet), a language L is a collection of words in X. In other words, $\mathcal{L} \subset X^*$. The generating series F_L of a language is:

$$F_{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{w \in L} w \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle.$$

It follows that for two languages \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 we have:

$$F_{\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2} = F_{\mathcal{L}_1} \circledast F_{\mathcal{L}_2}.$$

A language L is called rational (resp. context-free) iff $F_L \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{rat}}(X)$ (resp. $F_L \in \mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}(X)$). In this context, Theorem 6 takes the following form:

Theorem 7. [CS] (a) If \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are rational languages, so is $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2$. (b) If \mathcal{L}_1 is rational and \mathcal{L}_2 is (unambiguous) context-free, then $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2$ is (unambiguous) context-free.

It was pointed out to us independently by D. Zeilberger and F. Flajolet that the above theorem essentially proves Theorem 1.

5.2. **Some questions.** Let us end this short paper with some questions. Despite the similarity in their statements and the multitude of proofs, Theorems 1 and 3 have different assumptions, different proofs and different conclusions.

Consider a generating set X for a group G such that every element of G can be written as a word in X with nonnegative exponents. Given X and G, let \mathcal{L}_X denote the set of all words in X that map to the identity in G. Deciding membership in \mathcal{L}_X is the word problem in G.

Definition 5.1. A group G has context-free word problem if it has a generating set X such that the language \mathcal{L}_X is context-free.

The proof of Theorem 1 applies to groups with a context-free word problem. Miller-Schupp classified those groups. In [MS] Miller-Schupp prove that G has context-free word problem iff G has a free finite-index subgroup.

On the other hand, if G is the fundamental group of a hyperbolic manifold of dimension not equal to 2, then G does not have a free finite-index subgroup.

Thus, the linguistics proof of Theorem 1 does not apply to the case of hyperbolic groups in dimension three. Neither does it apply to the case of \mathbb{Z}^r since the latter does not have context-free word problem.

Question 1. If P is a hyperbolic group and $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[G])$, is it true that $R_P(z)$ is a G-function?

The question may be relevant to low dimensional topology, when one tries to compute the ℓ^2 -torsion of a hyperbolic manifold using Luecke's theorem; [Lu]. In that case, the matrix P comes from Fox (free differential) calculus of a presentation of the fundamental group G of the hyperbolic manifold. See also [DL].

Question 2. Given $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$, consider the abelianization $P^{ab} \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^r])$, and the G-functions $R_P(z)$ and $R_{P^{ab}}(z)$. How are the singularities of $R_P(z)$ and $R_{P^{ab}}(z)$ related?

Question 3. What is a holonomic function in non-commuting variables?

6. A functional analysis interpretation of Theorem 1

The present paper is focusing on results and techniques inspired by algebra, non-commutative algebraic combinatorics. However it is worth mentioning that Theorem 1 has applications to problems coming from functional analysis, spectral theory, and the spectrum of Schrödinger operators. For instance, the Schrödinger equation describing the electron motion in a d-dimensional periodic crystal, can be well approximated by the difference equation on a lattice of same dimension. The corresponding operator can be seen as an element of the group ring of \mathbb{Z}^d . The function $R_P(z)$ defined previously is noting but the diagonal element of the resolvent and is used to compute the spectral measure, through the Charles de la Vallée Poussin theorem. There are instances for which, this operator is better approximated by the free group analog. For instance the retracable path approximation was used by Brinkman and Rice [BrRi] in 1971 to treat the effect of spin-orbit coupling in the Hall effect, while it was used in [BFZ] to compute the electronic Density of States when the electron is submitted to a random magnetic field. The same operator, seen as an element of the free group ring, is used to describe various infinite dimension approximations. The seminal work of Georges and Kotliar [GK] used this free group approximation to give the first model known with a Mott-Hubbard transition.

Another domain in which the Theorem 1 may apply is the Voiculescu Theory of Free Probability [Vo2, Vo3]. The so-called R-transform used to treat the convolution of free random variables, is also based upon the Schur complement formula. In particular the free central limit theorem asserts that a sum of identically distributed free random variable obey the semicircle law, is a special case of the present result.

Besides the two proofs of Theorem 1 discussed in this paper, the algebraic character of $R_P(z)$ can also be deduced from the used of the Schur complement method [Sch]. This is what makes the free group approximation so attractive to theoretical physicists. This method, also known under the name of Feshbach method [Fe1] is used in many domains of Physics, Quantum Chemistry, Solid State Physics, Nuclear Physics, to reduce the Hilbert space to a finite dimensional one and make the problem amenable to numerical calculations. However, very few Mathematical Physicists have paid attention to the fact that algebraicity or holonomy can give rise to results concerning the explicit computation of the spectral radius, or more generally, to the band edges, of the Hamiltonian they consider. This later problem is known to be notably hard with other methods.

For the benefit of the reader, we include some history of that method. The Schur complement method [Sch] is widely used in numerical analysis under this name, while Mathematical Physicists prefer the reference to Feshbach [Fe1]. In Quantum Chemistry, the common reference is Feshbach-Fano [Fa1] or Feshbach-Löwdin [Lo]. This method is used in various algorithms in Quantum Chemistry (ab initio calculations), in Solid State Physics (the muffin tin approximation, LMTO) as well as in Nuclear Physics. The formula used above is found in the original paper of Schur [Sch, p.217].

The formula has been proposed also by an astronomer Tadeusz Banachiewicz in 1937, even though closely related results were obtained in 1923 by Hans Boltz and in 1933 by Ralf Rohan [PS]. Applied to the Green function of a selfadjoint operator with finite rank perturbation, it becomes the Kreın formula [Kr].

Let us end this section with a small dictionary that compares our notions with those in physics.

$H \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}[F_r])$	Hamiltonian
1/(z-H)	resolvant
$1/zR_H(1/z)$	trace of the resolvant
$\operatorname{Tr}(H^n)$	nth moment of H

References

- [An1] Y. Andre, G-functions and qeometry, Aspects of Mathematics, E13. Braunschweig, 1989.
- [An2] _____, Séries Gevrey de type arithmétique. I. Théorèmes de pureté et de dualité, Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000) 705-740.
- [Ao] K. Aomoto, Spectral theory on a free group and algebraic curves, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 31 (1984) 297–318.
- [BFZ] A. Barelli, R. Fleckinger, T. Ziman, Localization of two-dimensional electrons with uniform and random fluxes, Europhysics Letters 27 (1994) 531–536.
- [BR] J. Berstel and C. Reutenauer, Rational series and their languages, EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science 12 Springer-Verlag 1988.

- [Bm] E. Bombieri, On G-functions, in Recent progress in analytic number theory, Academic Press Vol. 2 (1981) 1–67.
- [Bo] E. Borel, Sur les singularités des séries de Taylor, Bull. Soc. Math. France 26 (1898) 238–248.
- [BrRi] W.F. Brinkman, T.M. Rice, Hall effect in the presence of strong spin-disorder scattering Physical Review **B4**, (1971), 1566-1571.
- [C-L] A. Chambert-Loir, Théorèmes d'algébricité en géométrie diophantienne (d'après J.-B. Bost, Y. André, D. & G. Chudnovsky), Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2000/2001. Astérisque No. 282 (2002) Exp. No. 886 175–209.
- [CV] P-A. Cherix and A. Valette, On spectra of simple random walks on one-relator groups, With an appendix by Paul Jolissaint. Pacific J. Math. 175 (1996) 417–438.
- [CS] N. Chomsky and M.P. Schützenberger, *The algebraic theory of context-free languages*, in Computer programming and formal systems (1968) North-Holland 118–161.
- [Co] L. Comtet, Calcul pratique des coefficients de Taylor d'une fonction algébrique, Enseignement Math. 10 (1964) 267-270.
- [CSTU] O. Cormier, M.F. Singer, B.M. Trager and F. Ulmer, Linear differential operators for polynomial equations, J. Symbolic Comput. 34 (2002) 355–398.
- [CG] O. Costin and S. Garoufalidis, Resurgence of the Kontsevich-Zagier power series, preprint 2006 math.GT/0609619.
- [DL] O. Dasbach and M. Lalin, Mahler measure under variations of the base group, preprint 2007 math.NT/0702394.
- [De] P. Deligne, Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **163** Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [DvK] J.J. Duistermaat and W. van der Kallen, Constant terms in powers of a Laurent polynomial, Indag. Math. 9 (1998) 221–231.
- [DGS] B. Dwork, G. Gerotto and F.J. Sullivan, An introduction to G-functions, Annals of Mathematics Studies 133 Princeton University Press, 1994.
- [DvdP] and A.J. van der Poorten, The Eisenstein constant, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992) 23–43.
- [Fa1] U. Fano, Absorption spectrum of the noble gases near the limit of the arc spectrum, Nuovo Cimento 12 (1935) 154–161.
- [Fa2] _____, Effects of Configuration Interaction on Intensities and Phase Shifts, Phys Rev. 124 (1961) 1866-1878.
- [Fe1] H. Feshbach, Unified theory of nuclear reactions, Ann. Phys. 5 (1958) 357–390.
- [Fe2] _____, A unified theory of nuclear reactions II, Ann. Phys. 19 (1962) 287–313, (1962); i.b.i.d. Ann. Phys. 43 (1967) 410–420.
- [FS] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, Analytic combinatorics, Cambridge University Press 2008.
- [Ga1] S. Garoufalidis, An ansatz for the singularities of hypergeometric multisums, preprint 2007 arXiv:0706.0722.
- [Ga2] _____, G-functions and multisum versus holonomic sequences, preprint 2007.
- [GK] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, Hubbard model in infinite dimensions Physical Review B45, (1992), 6479-6483
- [Ha] M. Haiman, Noncommutative rational power series and algebraic generating functions, European J. Combin. 14 (1993) 335–339.
- [Ju] R. Jungen, Sur les séries de Taylor n'ayant que des singularités algébrico-logarithmiques sur leur cercle de convergence, Comment. Math. Helv. 3 (1931) 266–306.
- [Ka] N.M. Katz, Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin, IHES Publ. Math. 39 (1970) 175–232.
- [Kr] M. Krein, Concerning the resolvents of an Hermitian operator with the deficiency-index (m, m), C. R. Doklady Acad. Sci. URSS 52 (1946) 651–654.
- [Le1] F. Lehner, Computing norms of free operators with matrix coefficients, Amer. J. Math. 121 (1999) 453–486.
- [Le2] F. Lehner, On the computation of spectra in free probability, J. Funct. Anal. 183 (2001) 451–471.
- [Li] P. Linz, An introduction to formal languages and automata, second edition, Jones and Bartlett Publishers 1997.
- [Lo] P. O. Löwdin, Solution of eigenvalue problem by projection operator formalism, J. Math. Phys. 3 (1962) 969–982.
- [Lu] W. Lück, ℓ^2 -torsion and 3-manifolds, Low-dimensional topology (Knoxville, TN, 1992), Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, III (1994) 75–107.
- [Ma] B. Malgrange, Intégrales asymptotiques et monodromie, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 7 (1974) 405–430.
- [MS] D.E. Muller and P.E. Schupp, Groups, the theory of ends, and context-free languages, J. Comput. System Sci. 26 (1983) 295–310.
- [Ni] N. Nilsson, Some growth and ramification properties of certain integrals on algebraic manifolds, Ark. Mat. 5 (1965) 463–476.
- [P] A. Panholzer, Gröbner bases and the defining polynomial of a context-free grammar generating function, J. Autom. Lang. Comb. 10 (2005) 79–97.
- [PS] S. Puntanen, & G. P. H. Styan, Issai Schur (1875-1941) and the early development of the Schur complement, with applications to statistics and probability, Department of Mathematics, report # A346, University of Tampere, Finland (2004).
- [Sch] I. Schur, Über potenzreihen, die im innern des einheitskreises beschränkt sind, J. Reine Angew. Math. 147 (1917) 205–232.
- [Sh] M.P. Schützenberger, On a theorem of R. Jungen, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962) 885–890.
- [Si] C.L. Siegel, Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. 1 (1929) 1–70.
 Reprinted in Gesammelte Abhandlungen, vol. 1, no 16 (1966) 209–266.

- [Vo1] D. Voiculescu, Operations on certain non-commutative operator-valued random variables, in Recent advances in operator algebras. Astérisque No. 232 (1995) 243–275.
- [Vo2] _____, Free probability and the von Neumann algebras of free groups, Rep. Math. Phys. **55** (2005) 127–133.
- [Vo3] _____, Aspects of free probability, XIVth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, World Scientific (2005) 145–157.
- [Wa] W. Wasow, Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations, Reprint of the 1976 edition. Dover Publications 1987.
- [WZ] H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and q) multisum/integral identities, Inventiones Math. 108 (1992) 575–633.
- [Wo1] W. Woess, Context-free languages and random walks on groups, Discrete Math. 67 (1987) 81–87.
- [Wo2] ______, Random walks on infinite graphs and groups, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 138 Cambridge University Press 2000.
- [Ya] S.Y. Yan, An introduction to formal languages and machine computation, World Scientific 1998.
- [Z] D. Zeilberger, A holonomic systems approach to special functions identities, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 32 (1990) 321–368.

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA, $http://www.math.gatech.edu/\sim stavros$

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: stavros@math.gatech.edu}$

School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0160, USA, http://www.math.gatech.edu/~jeanbel

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: jeanbel@math.gatech.edu}$