

# On Cancellation Properties of Languages Which Are Supports of Rational Power Series

*over a field*

ANTONIO RESTIVO

*Università di Palermo, Palermo, Italy*

AND

CHRISTOPHE REUTENAUER

*LITP, Institut de Programmation, Université  
Paris VI, Paris 75230, France*

Received February 24, 1983; revised November 2, 1983

Two properties of languages which are supports of rational power series are proved: (i) if two supports are complementary, then they are regular languages; (ii) the Ehrenfeucht conjecture is true for these languages. © 1984 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study properties of supports, that is, formal languages that are supports of rational power series. We answer affirmatively a conjecture quoted in [14]: if two supports are complementary, then they are regular languages (Theorem 3.1). Secondly we solve, for this special class of languages, the Ehrenfeucht conjecture (cf. [9]): given a language it contains some finite test set (Theorem 4.1).

Recall that supports were introduced in [16], as a natural generalization of regular languages. They possess some properties similar to the properties of regular languages, such as pumping and closure by usual operations (but not complementation). For a survey of these questions, see [14]. The techniques of proof here rely on cancellation properties of supports. For Theorem 3.1, we use a characterization of regularity, through a cancellation property, as proved by Ehrenfeucht, *et al.* [5]. For Theorem 4.1 we establish a more delicate cancellation property, which allows us to prove the Ehrenfeucht conjecture in a similar way as for regular languages.

We study in this paper rational power series with coefficients in a field and not in a semiring as is customary. Actually, it is not reasonable to expect any interesting property of supports, when no assumption is made on the semiring of coefficients; indeed, a general semiring is a very loose structure. Recall that, in order to obtain a basic property such as the pumping lemma for supports, it is necessary to suppose that it is a field, as did Jacob [8] (see also [12]). As another example, let us mention

a result of E. Sontag [17], who showed that if a rational power series with coefficients in a commutative ring has only a finite number of coefficients, then for each scalar  $a$ , the language of those words having  $a$  as coefficient is a regular language; this is no longer true for a general semiring (even commutative), as shown by C. Choffrut, see [3, p. 207]. Moreover, Sontag showed that for each language, it is possible to find a (noncommutative) ring such that the characteristic series of this language is rational, see [17, p. 380]. A similar construction shows that there exists a noncommutative ring such that for any language, its characteristic series is a rational power series over this ring. (uniform)

## 2. RATIONAL POWER SERIES

Let  $A$  be a finite alphabet and  $k$  a field. A formal power series is a mapping  $S: A^* \rightarrow k$ . The image of a word  $w$  through  $S$  will be denoted  $(S, w)$ . The series  $S$  is denoted by the infinite sum

$$S = \sum_{w \in A^*} (S, w) w.$$

The sum of two series  $S$  and  $T$  is defined by

$$(S + T, w) = (S, w) + (T, w).$$

The product of a series  $S$  by a scalar  $\alpha \in k$  is defined by

$$(\alpha S, w) = \alpha(S, w).$$

The product of  $S$  by  $T$  is defined by

$$(ST, w) = \sum_{uv=w} (S, u)(T, v).$$

With these operations, the set of all formal power series gets a structure of algebra over  $k$ , denoted by  $k\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ . It contains  $A^*$  and  $k$ .

The *support* of a series  $S$  is the language

$$\text{supp}(S) = \{w \in A^*, (S, w) \neq 0\}.$$

A *polynomial* is a series with finite support. The set of all polynomials, denoted by  $k\langle A \rangle$ , is a subalgebra of  $k\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ .

The *star* of a series  $S$  such that  $(S, 1) = 0$ , where 1 stands for the empty word, is defined by

$$S = \sum_{k \geq 0} S^k.$$

This infinite sum is well defined because  $(S, 1) = 0$ .

The set of *rational* power series is the least subalgebra of  $k\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$  containing  $k\langle A \rangle$  and closed for the star operation.

A formal power series  $S$  is *recognizable* if there exists an integer  $n$ , a monoid homomorphism  $\mu$  from the free monoid  $A^*$  into the multiplicative monoid  $k^{n \times n}$  of  $n$ -by- $n$  matrices over  $k$ , a row matrix  $\lambda \in k^{1 \times n}$  and a column matrix  $\gamma \in k^{n \times 1}$  such that for any word  $w$

$$(S, w) = \lambda \mu w \gamma. \quad (2.1)$$

By the Kleene–Schützenberger theorem, *a series is recognizable if and only if it is rational.*

In the sequel, we study languages that are supports of some rational power series; such a language will simply be called a *support*, for brevity. For a proof of the above-cited theorem and properties of supports, see [7] or [15].

### 3. COMPLEMENTARY SUPPORTS

We solve a conjecture quoted in [14].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two complementary languages which are supports of rational power series. Then they are regular languages.*

Note that the converse is also true, because each regular language  $L$  is a support; even the characteristic series  $\mathbf{L}$  of  $L$ ,

$$\mathbf{L} = \sum_{w \in L} w$$

is rational, see, e.g., [14, Theorem 2.5.1]. Moreover the complementary of a regular language is regular.

To prove the theorem, we use a result of [5]. In this paper a property of languages is introduced as follows: a language  $L$  has the *cancellation* property if there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that for any words  $w, x, u_1, \dots, u_n, y$  verifying

$$w = xu_1 \cdots u_n y$$

there exists  $i, j, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , such that

$$w \in L \Leftrightarrow xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1} u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y \in L.$$

In other words, by cancelling  $u_i \cdots u_j$  in  $w$ , one obtains a word  $w'$  such that  $w$  and  $w'$  are simultaneously in or out of  $L$ .

The following theorem is due to Ehrenfeucht *et al.*

**THEOREM.** *If a language has the cancellation property, then it is regular.*

In analogy with the cancellation property, we say that a language  $L$  has the *weak cancellation property* if there exists an integer  $n$  such that for each word  $w$  in  $L$  such that  $w = xu_1 \cdots u_n y$  for some words  $x, u_1, \dots, u_n, y$ , there exists  $i, j, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , such that  $xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y$  is in  $L$  (the weak property is obtained from the strong one by replacing  $\Leftrightarrow$  with  $\Rightarrow$ ).

Note that if this property holds for  $n$ , then it holds also for any  $n' \geq n$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two complementary languages. If they both have the weak cancellation property, then they are regular.*

*Proof.* By the theorem of Ehrenfeucht *et al.*, it suffices to show that  $L_1$  has the cancellation property. Let  $n$  be such that both  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  have the weak cancellation property for  $n$  (see the previous remark). Let  $w = xu_1 \cdots u_n y$  be some word. Define  $i, j, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , by:

If  $w \in L_1$  let  $i, j$  be such that  $xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1} \cdots u_n \in L_1$  (weak property for  $L_1$ ).

If  $w \in L_2$  let  $i, j$  be such that  $xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n \in L_2$  (weak property for  $L_2$ ).

Thus  $w \in L_1$  implies  $xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n \in L_1$ , and  $w \notin L_1$  implies  $w \in L_2$  hence  $xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y \in L_2$ , hence  $w \notin L_1$ . Thus  $w \in L_1 \Leftrightarrow xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n \in L_1$  and  $L_1$  has the cancellation property. ■

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* By the corollary, it suffices to show that each support has the weak cancellation property. Let  $L = \text{supp}(S)$  where  $S$  is defined by (2.1). Let  $w = xu_1 \cdots u_n y \in L$ . The vectors

$$\lambda\mu x, \lambda\mu xu_1, \lambda\mu xu_1 u_2, \dots, \lambda\mu xu_1 \cdots u_n$$

belong to the  $n$ -dimensional space  $k^{1 \times n}$ .

Moreover  $\lambda\mu x \neq 0$ , otherwise  $(S, w) = \lambda\mu x u_1 \cdots u_n y = 0$  and  $w \notin \text{supp}(S)$ . Hence there exists  $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , such that  $\lambda\mu xu_1 \cdots u_j$  is a linear combination of  $\lambda\mu x, \dots, \lambda\mu xu_1 \cdots u_{j-1}$ :

$$\lambda\mu xu_1 \cdots u_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \alpha_i \lambda\mu xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}$$

with  $\alpha_i$  in  $k$ .

Multiplying on the right by  $\mu u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y$  we obtain

$$(S, w) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \alpha_i (S, xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y).$$

Because  $(S, w) \neq 0$ , there exists some  $i, 1 \leq i \leq j$ , such that  $(S, xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y) \neq 0$ . Hence  $xu_1 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{j+1} \cdots u_n y \in L$  and  $L$  has the weak cancellation property. ■

*Remark.* A quite similar proof shows that if  $A^* = L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_k$  is a partition of  $A^*$  into a finite number of supports, then they are all regular.

Theorem 3.1 leaves open the following conjecture.

Conjecture. Let  $L_1, L_2$  be two disjoint supports. Then there exist disjoint regular languages  $K_1, K_2$  such that  $L_1 \subset K_1, L_2 \subset K_2$ . Note that a positive answer would imply Theorem 3.1. This conjecture is true for languages over a one-letter alphabet: if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , it is a trivial consequence of the theorem of Skolem–Mahler–Lech, see [10], and if  $\text{char}(k) \neq 0$ , it is proved in [13].

#### 4. ON THE EHRENFEUCHT CONJECTURE

The following conjecture is due to Ehrenfeucht, see [9]:

Let  $L \subset A^*$  be a language. Then there exists a finite subset  $K$  of  $L$  such that for any alphabet  $B$  and any homomorphisms  $f, g: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ , the condition  $f|_K = g|_K$  implies  $f|_L = g|_L$ .

In other words, to test whether two homomorphisms coincide on  $L$  it is enough to do the test on some finite subset of  $L$  (depending only on  $L$ ). This conjecture was proved in the case where  $L$  is context-free [1], or when  $A$  has only two letters [5] or [6].

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The Ehrenfeucht conjecture is true for supports.*

As the proof will show, the finite test set may effectively be constructed. We need a lemma, which proves a kind of cancellation property.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $L$  be a support. Then there exists an integer  $N$  such that each word  $w$  in  $L$ , of length at least  $N$ , admits a factorization  $w = x y v z$ , such that  $u, v \neq 1$  and  $x y v z, x y z, x y z \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L = \text{supp}(S)$  where  $S$  is defined by (2.1). Let  $N = n^4$ . Let  $w \in L$ , of length at least  $2N$ . Then  $w$  may be written

$$w = a_1 \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_1$$

for some letters  $a_1, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N$  and some word  $s$ . Consider in the  $n^4$ -dimensional vector space  $k^{1 \times n} \otimes k^{n \times 1} \otimes k^{1 \times n} \otimes k^{n \times 1}$  the  $n^4 + 1$  vectors

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \otimes \gamma \otimes \lambda \otimes \gamma \\ & \lambda \mu a_1 \otimes \mu b_1 \gamma \otimes \lambda \mu a_1 \otimes \mu b_1 \gamma \\ & \lambda \mu a_1 a_2 \otimes \mu b_2 b_1 \gamma \otimes \lambda \mu a_1 a_2 \otimes \mu b_2 b_1 \gamma \\ & \vdots \\ & \lambda \mu a_1 \cdots a_N \otimes \mu b_N \cdots b_1 \gamma \otimes \lambda \mu a_1 \cdots a_N \otimes \mu b_N \cdots b_1 \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $w \in L$ ,  $\lambda\mu w\gamma \neq 0$ , hence the first vector is nonzero. Thus, there exists some  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N$ , such that one has the linear dependence relation

$$(\lambda\mu a_1 \cdots a_j \otimes \mu b_j \cdots b_1 \gamma)^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \alpha_i (\lambda\mu a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} \otimes \mu b_{i-1} \cdots b_1 \gamma)^2$$

where  $\alpha_i \in k$  and where the square means the tensor square. Let  $\gamma' \in k^{n \times 1}$ ,  $\lambda' \in k^{1 \times n}$  and  $M \in k^{n \times n}$ . Then the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1 \times n} \otimes k^{n \times 1} \otimes k^{1 \times n} \otimes k^{n \times 1} &\rightarrow k \\ v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 &\mapsto v_1 \gamma' \cdot \lambda' v_2 \cdot \lambda v_3 M v_4 \gamma \end{aligned}$$

is linear. Put  $\gamma' = \mu a_{j+1} \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_1 \gamma$ ,  $\lambda' = \lambda \mu a_1 \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_{j+1}$ ,  $M = \mu a_{j+1} \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_{j+1}$ .

Apply this mapping to the above relation, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} (S, w)^3 &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j} \alpha_i (S, a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{j+1} \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_1) \\ &\quad \cdot (S, a_1 \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_{j+1} b_{i-1} \cdots b_1) \\ &\quad \cdot (S, a_1 \cdots a_{i-1} a_{j+1} \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_{j+1} b_{i-1} \cdots b_1). \end{aligned}$$

From this relation and  $(S, w) \neq 0$  we deduce that there exists  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , such that the  $i$ th term of the above sum is nonzero. Let  $x = a_1 \cdots a_{i-1}$ ,  $u = a_i \cdots a_j$ ,  $y = a_{j+1} \cdots a_N s b_N \cdots b_{j+1}$ ,  $v = b_j \cdots b_i$ ,  $z = b_{i-1} \cdots b_1$ . Then we obtain:  $xyvz$ ,  $xuyz$ ,  $xyz \in L$ . ■

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let  $L \subset A^*$  be a support and  $N$  the integer of the lemma. Let

$$K = \{w \in L, |w| < N\}.$$

$K$  is a finite subset of  $L$ . Let  $f, g$  be two homomorphisms  $A^* \rightarrow B^*$  such that  $f|_K = g|_K$ . We show by induction on  $|w|$ , that for each  $w \in L$ ,  $f(w) = g(w)$ .

This is surely true if  $|w| < N$ . Let  $|w| \geq N$ : then, by the lemma,  $w = xuyvz$  for some words  $u, v \neq 1, x, y, z$  such that  $xyvz, xuyz, xyz \in L$ . By induction  $f$  and  $g$  coincide on these three words. Let  $F(A)$  (resp.  $F(B)$ ) be the free group generated by  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ). Then  $f$  and  $g$  extend uniquely to homomorphisms  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}: F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ . Because  $w = xuyvz = xuyz (xyz)^{-1} xyvz$ ,  $w$  belongs to the subgroup generated by  $xyz, xuyz$ , and  $xyvz$ . Hence  $\tilde{f}(w) = \tilde{g}(w)$ , which implies  $f(w) = g(w)$ . ■

*Remark.* If  $L$  is a regular language, it is easy to show that there exists an integer  $N$  such that each word  $w$  in  $L$  of length at least  $N$  admits a factorization  $w = xuvz$  such that  $xz, xvz, xuz \in L$ . This raises the question of whether this property is also true for supports.

Other open questions concerning supports are the following: (i) If  $L$  is the support of a rational power series over  $\mathbb{R}$ , is  $L$  also a support of a rational power series over

Q? (question raised in [14]). (ii) Is it possible to characterize bounded supports, in a way similar to the characterization of bounded regular or context-free languages, as proved in [2, 11]?

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was done while the second author was a visiting professor at the University of Palermo, supported by the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

### REFERENCES

1. J. ALBERT, K. CULIK, AND J. KARHUMÄKI, Test sets for context-free languages and systems of equations over a free monoid, *Inform. and Control* **52** (1982), 172–186.
2. L. BOASSON AND A. RESTIVO, Une caractérisation des langages algébriques bornés, *RAIRO Inform. Théor.* **11** (1977), 203–205.
3. C. CHOFRUT, Sur les traductions reconnaissables, *RAIRO Inform. Théor.* **12** (1978), 203–218.
4. K. CULIK AND A. SALOMAA, Test sets and checking words for homomorphism equivalence, *J. Comput. System Sci.* **20** (1980), 379–395.
5. A. EHRENFUCHT, R. PARIKH, AND G. ROZENBERG, Pumping lemmas for regular sets, *SIAM J. Comput.* **10** (1981), 536–541.
6. A. EHRENFUCHT, J. KARHUMÄKI, AND G. ROZENBERG, On binary equality languages and a solution to the test set conjecture in the binary case, *J. Algebra* **85** (1983), 76–85.
7. S. EILENBERG, “Automatas, Languages and Machines,” Vol. A, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
8. G. JACOB, Un théorème de factorisation des produits d’endomorphismes de  $K^n$ , *J. Algebra* **63** (1979), 389–412.
9. M. KARPINSKI (Ed.), New Scottish book of problems, in preparation.
10. C. LECH, A note on recurring series, *Ark. Math.* **2** (1952), 417–421.
11. A. RESTIVO, Mots sans répétitions et langages rationnels bornés, *RAIRO Inform. Théor.* **11** (1977), 197–202.
12. C. REUTENAUER, An Ogden-like iteration lemma for rational power series, *Acta Inform.* **13** (1980), 189–197.
13. C. REUTENAUER, Sur les éléments inversibles de l’algèbre de Hadamard des séries rationnelles, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **110** (1982), 225–232.
14. A. SALOMAA, Formal power series in noncommuting variables, in “18th Scand. Congr. Math., Proc., Aarhus 1980,” *Prog. Math.* **11** (1981).
15. A. SALOMAA AND M. SOITTOLA, “Automata—Theoretic Aspects of Formal Power Series,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1978.
16. M. P. SCHÜTZENBERGER, On the definition of a family of automata, *Inform. and Control* **4** (1961), 245–270.
17. E. SONTAG, On some questions of rationality and decidability, *J. Comput. System Sci.* **11** (1975), 375–381.