# Note on a Lower Bound of the Linear Complexity of the Fast Fourier Transform

### JACQUES MORGENSTERN

Université de Nice, Nice, France

ABSTRACT. A lower bound for the number of additions necessary to compute a family of linear functions by a linear algorithm is given when an upper bound c can be assigned to the modulus of the complex numbers involved in the computation. In the case of the fast Fourier transform, the lower bound is  $(n/2) \log_2 n$  when c = 1.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: numerical algorithms, fast Fourier transform, matrix product

CR CATEGORIES: 5.12, 5.13

## Section 1

We recall the notation of [1] and [2]: A linear algorithm is a sequence  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_m$  of linear affine functions from  $\mathbf{C}^r$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  contains the constants and the projections, and such that  $\mathfrak{F}_{i+1} = \mathfrak{F}_i \cup \{\lambda_i f + \mu_i g\}$  with  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\mu_i \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $f, g \in \mathfrak{F}_i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a family of n forms in r variables over  $\mathbf{C}$ , the matrix of its coefficients being F. Let  $\alpha = \mathfrak{F}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_m$  be a linear algorithm computing  $\mathfrak{F}(\mathfrak{F} \leq \mathfrak{F}_m)$  with the minimum number of additions. Obviously this algorithm also minimizes the number of additions to compute  $any \mathfrak{F}_i$ .

*Remark*. To my knowledge it is an unsolved problem to know if a nonlinear algorithm would reduce the number of additions to compute a given set of linear functions.

Let  $\Delta(F) = \max |d|$  where d is the determinant of any square submatrix of F. Proposition. If at each step of the algorithm  $|\lambda_1| \leq c$  and  $\mu_i \leq c$ ,  $c > \frac{1}{2}$ , then the number  $m^+$  of additions is greater than  $(\log |\Delta(F)|)/(\log (2c))$ .

Proof. According to [2] the functions in each  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  are homogeneous since  $\alpha$  is minimal. The proof then goes by induction on i: The inequality holds for  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  (i.e. the projections  $\Delta_0 = 1$ ). Let  $\Delta_i$  be a subdeterminant of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  of greatest modulus, and let  $m_i^+$ , the number of additions necessary to compute  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ , be such that  $m_i^+ \geq (\log |\Delta_i|)/(\log (2c))$  or  $(2c)_i^{m^+} \geq \Delta_i$ . If  $\Delta_{i+1}$  is a subdeterminant from  $\mathfrak{F}_{i+1}$  of greatest modulus, then two cases can occur: Either  $\Delta_{i+1}$  does not contain a subrow from  $\varphi_i = \lambda_i f + \mu_i g$  and  $\Delta_{i+1}$  can be taken equal to  $\Delta_i$ , or  $\Delta_{i+1}$  contains a subrow of  $\varphi_i$  and then  $\Delta_{i+1} = \lambda_i \Delta_i' + \mu_i \Delta_i''$  with  $|\lambda_i|$  and  $|\mu_i| \leq c$  and  $|\Delta_i'|$ ,  $|\Delta_i''| \leq \Delta_i'$ ; therefore  $|\Delta_{i+1}| \leq 2c \cdot |\Delta_i|$ , since  $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , which gives the result for the family  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

Copyright © 1973, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

Author's present address: Mathématiques et Sciences Théoriques, Université de Nice, Nice, France.

#### Section 2

In the case where  $\mathfrak{T}$  is the family of the discrete Fourier transforms on  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $x_n$ , the corresponding matrix F is the Hadamard matrix  $f_{k,l}$ , with  $f_{k,l} = \omega^{(k-1)(l-1)}$ ,  $k, l = 1, 2, \cdots, n$  where  $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/n)$ . The row vectors are pairwise orthogonal in the Hilbert space  $C^n$ , with the usual Hermitian form, their common length being  $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ; hence taking a basis along those n vectors, we get that  $|\Delta| = n^{n/2}$  (see [3]), from which follows  $m^+ \geq ((n/2) \log n)/(\log c + \log 2)$ .

PROPOSITION. If one tries to compute the discrete Fourier transform using complex numbers of modulus less than or equal to one, the minimum number of "operations" in the sense of [4] is greater than  $(n/2) \log_2 n$ .

Comment. In another paper, to appear soon, the author will give an example of reduction of the number of operations to compute the discrete Fourier transform of order 7 using complex numbers of larger moduli. It is well known (see [4]) that the discrete Fourier transform can be evaluated by a linear algorithm using constants of modulus 1 within  $n \log n$  additions.

A linear algorithm using no other coefficients than the entries  $x_{ij}$  and  $y_{hk}$  of the  $n \times n$  matrices X and Y cannot compute the product  $X \cdot Y$  in less than  $(n^2/2) \log n$  additions (since the product can be viewed as a product of an  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix and a vector).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Morgenstern, J. Algorithmes linéaires. Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. 272, 1059-1060.
- 2. Morgenstern, J. On linear algorithms. In Theory of Machines and Computations, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971, pp. 59-66.
- 3. QUEYSANNE, M. Agèbre M.G.P. Collection U. Armand Colin, Paris, 1966, Exercice No. 258, p. 363.
- 4. COOLEY, J. W, AND TUKEY, J. W. An algorithm for the machine computation of complex Fourier series. Math. Comp. 19 (1964), 297-301.

RECEIVED JULY 1972; REVISED SEPTEMBER 1972