

# Splitting homotopy idempotents II

Peter Freyd\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA*

Alex Heller

*Mathematics Program, Graduate School and University Center, City University of New York,  
New York, NY 10036, USA*

In honor of Professor Alex Heller  
on the occasion of his sixty-fifth birthday

## 1. Introduction and main results

The question studied here arose in connection with an investigation of the representability of half-exact homotopy functors [5]; specifically, (P1) in Section 2 below gives an example of a retract of a representable functor in unpointed homotopy which is not representable. The same question arises in shape theory and Dydak [1], from this starting point, arrived independently at part of our Main Theorem.

We say that an idempotent  $e : A \rightarrow A$  (in any category) *splits* if there exist  $g : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $h : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{h} A = e$  and  $B \xrightarrow{h} A \xrightarrow{g} B = 1_B$ . Brown's theorem on the representability of half-exact functors implies that all idempotents split in the homotopy category of connected, pointed CW-complexes (the maps being homotopy-classes of continuous maps).

To say that  $f^2$  is homotopic to  $f$  can mean one of two things: by *strict-homotopy* we mean a homotopy that preserves the base-point; by *free-homotopy* we mean a homotopy that pays no attention to the base-point. The assertion that homotopy

*Correspondence to:* Professor P. Freyd, Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, 209 S. 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395, USA.

\* This work dates back at least 20 years (its first appearance was in a series of ETH, Zurich, Spring 1969). This particular manuscript has existed (with just a few changes) for at least ten years and for a tiresome series of reasons remained unpublished. Since it has been cited rather extensively (often just by a reference to the 'Freyd–Heller group') this seems to be an excellent occasion to get it into print. (pjf)

idempotents split is true only for strict-homotopy—we will exhibit counterexamples for free-homotopy. (Note that if we do not insist that the space be connected we may adjoin a disjoint base-point to all spaces and translate statements about free-homotopy to statements about strict-homotopy.)

Suppose that  $\mathbb{A}$  is a category in which all idempotents split and that  $\equiv$  denotes a congruence on  $\mathbb{A}$  (that is,  $f \equiv g$  implies  $hf \equiv hg$  and  $fh \equiv gh$  whenever the compositions are defined). Let  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$  be the resulting quotient category (its objects are the same as those of  $\mathbb{A}$ , its map are  $\equiv$ -classes of maps in  $\mathbb{A}$ ). We seek conditions for idempotents to split in  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$ . Examples include:  $\mathbb{A}$  the category of CW-complexes,  $\equiv$  either strict or free homotopy;  $\mathbb{A}$  the category of groups,  $\equiv$  denoting conjugacy, that is,  $f \equiv g$  iff there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $f(x) = \alpha^{-1}g(x)$  for all  $x$ ;  $\mathbb{A}$  the category of categories,  $\equiv$  denoting natural equivalence of functors. We do not have an answer for all cases but there is a type of congruence for which we do, namely those that arise from certain actions of group-valued functors.

An *action of a group-valued functor*,  $\pi$ , on  $\mathbb{A}$  is an assignment for each  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \pi(B)$  a map  $f^\alpha : A \rightarrow B$  subject to the conditions:

- (A1)  $f^1 = f$ ,
- (A2)  $(f^\alpha)^\beta = f^{\alpha\beta}$ ,
- (A3)  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g^\alpha} C = (A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)^\alpha$ ,
- (A4)  $A \xrightarrow{f^\alpha} B \xrightarrow{g} C = (A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C)^{(\pi g)(\alpha)}$ .

By a *conjugacy action* we mean an action that satisfies the further condition:

- (A5)  $\pi(f^\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}(\pi f)\alpha$ .

The easiest and most fundamental example is the case that  $\pi$  is the identity functor on the category of groups and  $f^\alpha$  is defined by conjugation (as forced by A5).

Given an action of  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{A}$  we obtain a congruence by defining  $f \equiv g$  iff there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $f = g^\alpha$ . ( $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$  is thus an ‘orbit category’.)

The motivating topological example is the case that  $\mathbb{A}$  is the strict-homotopy category and  $\pi$  is the fundamental-group functor in which case  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$  is the free-homotopy category. The conjugacy action of  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{A}$  is obtained as follows: given  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\alpha \in \pi(B)$  let  $I$  denote the unit-interval and let  $H : A \times I \rightarrow B$  be a map such that  $H|_{(A \times \{0\})} = f$  and  $H|_{(\{*\} \times I)}$  represents  $\alpha$ . Then  $H|_{(A \times \{1\})}$  is unique up to strict-homotopy and we take it as the definition of  $f^\alpha$ . ( $H$  always exists since  $A \vee I$  is a retract of  $A \times I$ .) Note that  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$  is the category of connected pointed CW-complexes and free-homotopy classes of *pointed* maps. One could object: the free-homotopy category ought totally to ignore base-points. But it is transparent that the category whose objects are non-empty connected CW-complexes and whose maps are free-homotopy classes of maps (no base-points in sight) is equivalent (and with a hefty use of the axiom of choice, isomorphic) to  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$ .

**Main Lemma.** For any  $\pi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  and conjugacy action of  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{A}$  consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathfrak{g} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}/\equiv & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \mathfrak{g}/\equiv \end{array}$$

If idempotents split in  $\mathbb{A}$  then  $\bar{\pi}$  preserves and reflects the existence of splittings. That is, if  $f$  is an idempotent in  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$  then  $f$  splits in  $\mathbb{A}/\equiv$  iff  $\bar{\pi}(f)$  splits in  $\mathfrak{g}/\equiv$ .

Any functor preserves splittings. The converse is proved in Section 3.

We are thus led to consider conjugacy idempotents on groups. There is a universal example, that is, there is a group  $F$  together with an endomorphism  $f$  and an element  $a \in F$  such that  $f^2 = f^a$  with the property that for any other such triple  $\langle G, g, \beta \rangle$  there exists a unique  $h : F \rightarrow G$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{h} & G \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ F & \xrightarrow{h} & G \end{array}$$

commutes and  $h(\alpha) = \beta$ . (The simplest construction of  $F$  is as the initial algebra for the equational theory obtained by adjoining to the theory of groups a unary operation  $f$ , a constant  $\alpha$ , and two equations:

$$f(xy) = (fx)(fy), \quad f(fx) = \alpha^{-1}(fx)\alpha.)$$

**Main Theorem.** Let  $\langle F, f, \alpha \rangle$  be the universal conjugacy idempotent.

(T1) An arbitrary conjugacy idempotent  $\langle G, g, \beta \rangle$  fails to split iff the induced map  $F \rightarrow G$  is an embedding.

(T2)  $F$  is a finitely presentable group.

(T3) The commutator subgroup  $F'$  of  $F$  is simple (and non-trivial).

(T4)  $F'$  contains a copy of  $F$ .

(T5)  $F$  is torsion-free; indeed, it is a totally ordered group.

(T6)  $F$  contains a copy of its own infinite wreath-product.

(T7) Every abelian subgroup of  $F$  is free abelian.

(T8) Every subgroup of  $F$  is either finite-rank free abelian or contains an infinite-rank free abelian subgroup.

The proof appears in Section 4.

Among the consequences:

**Main Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the class of all groups that do not contain a copy of  $F$ .*

- (C1) *If  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  then every conjugacy idempotent on  $G$  splits.*
- (C2)  *$\mathcal{C}$  is a pseudo-variety, that is, it is closed under the formation of subgroups and infinite cartesian products.*
- (C3)  *$\mathcal{C}$  contains all residually torsion groups.*
- (C4)  *$\mathcal{C}$  contains all groups of the form  $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$  where  $K$  is an arbitrary field, hence it contains all groups whose linear representations are collectively faithful.*
- (C5)  *$\mathcal{C}$  is closed under extensions, that is, if  $H \triangleleft G$  then  $H, G/H \in \mathcal{C}$  imply  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ .*
- (C6)  *$\mathcal{C}$  contains every proper group-variety. That is, if  $G$  satisfies any non-trivial equation then  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ .*
- (C7)  *$\mathcal{C}$  is closed under the formation of directed colimits.*

For the most part these are immediate consequences of the Main Theorem. C1 is just a restatement of T1. T4 says that  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  iff  $G$  does not contain a copy of  $F'$  and since  $F'$  is simple (T3) we easily obtain C2 and C5. Indeed, C5 may be improved:

(C8) *Let  $G$  be a group,  $\Omega$  a section of ordinal numbers, and  $\{G_\alpha\}_\Omega$  a chain of subgroups that descends to  $\{1\}$  such that  $G_{\alpha+1} \triangleleft G_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$  and for all limit ordinals  $\alpha$  (including 0) it is the case that  $G_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta$ . Then  $G_\alpha / G_{\alpha+1} \in \mathcal{C}$  all  $\alpha$  implies  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ .*

As a sample consequence:

(C9)  *$\mathcal{C}$  contains all transfinitely solvable groups.*

(C3) follows immediately from C2 and T5. It, too, may be improved.

(C10) *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an hereditary class of groups, that is, whenever  $H \rightarrow G$  is an embedding then  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  implies  $H \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $F \notin \mathcal{G}$  then the pseudo-variety  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{G}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of groups satisfying some property  $\mathbb{P}$  then  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  is the class of groups that are ‘residually  $\mathbb{P}$ ’. A group is in  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  iff it is embedded in the product of all its quotients that lie in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of groups with the property that each finitely presented subgroup is residually finite. T2 and T5 imply that  $F \notin \mathcal{G}$  and hence  $\hat{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . This appears to be only a technical improvement until one recalls that all linear groups lie in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Thus C4.

C4 may be improved:

(C11)  *$\mathcal{C}$  contains all groups that may be faithfully represented in groups of the form  $\mathrm{GL}(n, R)$  where  $R$  is a commutative ring (indeed, any PI ring).*

For commutative  $R$  note first that the problem immediately reduces to the cases that  $R$  is Noetherian (because  $F$  is finitely generated). Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the radical of  $R$  and let  $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(n, R)$  be the subgroup of elements equivalent mod  $\mathcal{R}$  to the identity element. Note that  $H$  is the intersection of all the kernels of the maps  $\mathrm{GL}(n, R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, R/\mathcal{P})$  as  $\mathcal{P}$  ranges over all prime ideals.  $H$  is solvable and it thus suffices to show that any copy of  $F'$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(n, R)$  is contained in  $H$ . But we have already established that any map of the form  $F' \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, R) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, R/\mathcal{P})$  is trivial.

C6 is a consequence of T6. We suspect that the experts have recorded this theorem but we have not yet found a reference. We include a proof in Section 5.

The finite presentability of  $F$  implies that the functor it represents,  $(F, -)$ , preserves directed colimits (in fact, it is equivalent to this). Any map  $h : F \rightarrow G$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{C}$ , must kill  $F'$  (using T3 and T4). Hence C7.

Birkhoff's theorem says that a class of groups is defined by a family of equational conditions (that is, it is a variety) iff it is a pseudo-variety closed under the formation of quotient groups. A well-known variation is that a class of groups is defined by a family of universally quantified Horn sentences iff it is a pseudo-variety closed under the formation of directed colimits. Rather than argue the general case we use, here, the fact that  $F$  is generated by two elements  $a, b$  subject to two relations  $[b^a, ba^{-1}] = [b^{a^2}, ba^{-1}] = 1$  (as proved in Section 4).

(C12)  $G \in \mathcal{C}$  iff it satisfies the condition

$$\forall x, y ([y^x, yx^{-1}] = [y^{x^2}, yx^{-1}] = 1 \Rightarrow [x, y] = 1).$$

## 2. Pathology

(P1) *There are free-homotopy idempotents that do not split.*

The fundamental group functor has a one-sided inverse  $K(-, 1) : \mathbf{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ , the Eilenberg-Mac Lane functor. By the Main Lemma we need only verify that it carries inner automorphisms to maps that are freely homotopic to identity maps. Thus  $(K(F, 1), K(f, 1))$  provides an example of an unsplit free-homotopy idempotent.

An evident question is, what other complexes share this property of carrying such an idempotent? It is known [4] that they must be infinite-dimensional.

(P2) *For any ring  $K$  there exists a  $K$ -algebra with a conjugacy idempotent that cannot be split. If  $K$  is without zero-divisors then the  $K$ -algebra may be chosen to be without zero-divisors. If  $K$  is a division algebra then the  $K$ -algebra may be chosen to be a division algebra.*

Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the category of  $K$ -algebras,  $\pi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  the ‘group of units’ functor, that is,  $\pi(R) = R^*$ , the set of units in  $R$ . The resulting congruence on  $\mathbb{A}$  is, of course, given by conjugation. The nearest approximation to the topological  $K[-, 1]$ , is  $K[-]$ , the group-ring functor. It is not a one-sided inverse for  $(-)^*$ , but there is a comparison map,  $G \rightarrow (K[G])^*$ , and it is an embedding. T1 easily implies now that  $K[F]$  is an example. If  $K$  is without zero-divisors then, as for any totally ordered group (TOG),  $K[F]$  is also without zero-divisors.

If  $K$  is a division algebra one may obtain a new division algebra by replacing  $K[F]$  with the set of functions from  $F$  to  $K$  not with finite support but with well-ordered support (that is, formal  $K$ -linear combinations of elements of  $F$  for which the subset of elements that appear in any given linear combination is well-ordered under the ordering induced from  $F$ ).

### 3. Proof of the Main Lemma

From now to the end of the paper  $\mathbb{A}$  denotes a category in which all idempotents split,  $\pi : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  a functor with a conjugacy action on  $A$ .

(L1) *An idempotent in  $A/\equiv$  splits iff there exists  $f'$  such that  $f \equiv f'$  and  $(f')^2 = f'$ .*

One direction is clear: given such  $f'$  we may, by hypothesis, split  $f'$  in  $\mathbb{A}$  to obtain a splitting of  $f$  in  $A/\equiv$ .

The other direction is an easy computation. Suppose that  $f$  splits in  $A/\equiv$ , that is, there exist maps  $g, h$  such that  $gh = f$  and  $hg = 1$ . Let  $\alpha$  be such that  $hg = 1^\alpha$  and define  $f'$  as  $g^{\alpha^{-1}}h$ .  $\square$

Henceforth we will write  $\pi(f)$  as  $f^*$ .

(L2) *If  $f^2 = f^\alpha$  and if  $\alpha \in \text{Image}(f^*)$  then  $f$  splits in  $A/\equiv$ .*

Let  $\beta$  be such that  $f^*(\beta) = \alpha^{-1}$ . Then  $f^\beta$  is an idempotent in  $\mathbb{A}$  (because  $(f^\beta)^2 = f^\beta f^\beta = (f^2)^{f^*(\beta)\beta} = (f^\alpha)^{\alpha^{-1}\beta} = f^\beta$ ).  $\square$

For the Main Lemma, suppose that  $f^*$  splits in  $\mathfrak{g}/\equiv$ . By L1 there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $(f^*)^\alpha$  is an idempotent in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A5 says, therefore, that  $g = f^\alpha$  is such that  $g^*$  is an idempotent in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $g \equiv f$  it clearly suffices to split  $g$  in  $A/\equiv$ .  $g^*$  obviously satisfies the hypothesis of:

(L3) *If  $g^2 = g^\beta$  and if  $g^*(\beta)$  is a fixed point of  $g^*$  (that is,  $(g^*)^2(\beta) = g^*(\beta)$ ) then  $g$  splits in  $A/\equiv$ .*

It clearly suffices to split  $h = g^2$ . But  $h^2 = g^2 g g = g^\beta g g = (g^2)^{g^*} g = g^{\beta g^*(\beta)} g = (g^2)^{g^*(\beta^2)} = h^{h^*(\beta^2)}$  and by L2,  $h$  splits.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the Main Theorem

Let  $F$  be the group generated by a sequence of elements  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$  subject to the relations  $\alpha_j \alpha_i = \alpha_i \alpha_{j+1}$  all  $0 \leq i < j$ . We define an endomorphism  $f$  by  $f(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+1}$ . Then  $f^2(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+2} = \alpha_{i+1}^{\alpha_0} = f^{\alpha_0}(\alpha_i)$  and  $f$  is a conjugacy idempotent. Given another conjugacy idempotent  $\langle G, g, \beta \rangle$  define  $h : F \rightarrow G$  by  $h(\alpha_i) = g^i(\beta)$ . ( $h$  extends from the generators to a homomorphism because  $h(\alpha_i^{-1})h(\alpha_j)h(\alpha_i) = g^i(\beta^{-1})g^j(\beta)g^i(\beta) = g^i(\beta^{-1}g^{j-1}(\beta)\beta) = g^i(g^{j-i+1}(\beta)) = g^{j+1}(\beta) = \alpha_{j+1}$  for all  $i \leq j$ );  $h$  is clearly the unique map such that  $h(\alpha_0) = \beta$  and  $g(h(x)) = h(f(x))$  all  $x$ .

**Proof of T2.**  $F$  is generated by  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1$  because  $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_0^{-1} \alpha_i \alpha_0$  for each  $i > 0$  hence by iteration,  $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_0^{-i} \alpha_1 \alpha_0^i$ . The relations  $\alpha_j \alpha_1 = \alpha_1 \alpha_{j+1}$ ,  $j > 1$  now suffice: the case  $i = 0$  is automatic from the definition of  $\alpha_j$  and the case  $\alpha_{j+1} \alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{j+2}$  for  $0 < i < j$  is obtained by  $\alpha_{j+1} \alpha_{i+1} = (\alpha_{j-i+1} \alpha_1)^{\alpha_0^i} = (\alpha_1 \alpha_{j-i+2})^{\alpha_0^i} = \alpha_{i+1} \alpha_{j+2}$ . In fact, we need  $\alpha_j \alpha_1 = \alpha_1 \alpha_{j+1}$  only for  $j = 2, 3$ . From the case  $j = 2$  we obtain, as just seen,  $\alpha_{j+1}^{-1} \alpha_{j+2} \alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_{j+3}$  for  $j \geq 0$ . Assume that we have  $\alpha_k^{\alpha_1} = \alpha_{k+1}$  for all  $1 < k < j$ . Then  $\alpha_j^{\alpha_1} = (\alpha_{j-2}^{-1} \alpha_{j-1} \alpha_{j-2})^{\alpha_1} = \alpha_{j-1}^{-1} \alpha_j \alpha_{j-1} = \alpha_{j+1}$ . The argument requires that  $1 < j - 2$ , hence we must start the induction with the case  $j = 3$ .  $\square$

The commutator form for these relations used in C11 are obtainable as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_{j+1} \alpha_1 &= \alpha_{j+1} \\ \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_0^{-j} \alpha_1 \alpha_0^j \alpha_1 &= \alpha_0^{-j-1} \alpha_1 \alpha_0^{j+1} \\ \Leftrightarrow \alpha_0^{-j} \alpha_1 \alpha_0^j \alpha_1 \alpha_0^{-1} &= \alpha_1 \alpha_0^{-j-1} \alpha_1 \alpha_0^j \\ \Leftrightarrow \alpha_1^{\alpha_0^j} (\alpha_1 \alpha_0^{-1}) &= (\alpha_1 \alpha_0^{-1}) \alpha_1^{\alpha_0^j}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall need the following technical lemma.

(L4) Any non-trivial element of  $F$  is conjugate to an element of the form  $\alpha_i^n f^{i+1}(\beta)$  where  $i = 0, 1$  and  $n \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** By the length of an element we mean the length of the shortest word on the  $\alpha$ 's needed to describe it. We may choose a conjugate of a given non-trivial element of minimal length. If we choose a minimal word on the  $\alpha$ 's to describe it, we may conjugate with  $\alpha_0^{-1}$ , if necessary, to insure that the smallest index,  $i$ , is

either 0 or 1. If  $\alpha_j^{\pm 1} \alpha_i$ ,  $i \neq j$  appears as a sub-word it may be replaced with  $\alpha_i \alpha_{j+1}^{\pm 1}$  and if  $\alpha_i^{-1} \alpha_j^{\pm 1}$ ,  $j \neq i$  appears it may be replaced with  $\alpha_{j+1}^{\pm 1} \alpha_i^{-1}$ . By iteration, all possible occurrences of  $\alpha_i$  may be moved to the far left, all negative occurrences to the far right and the word becomes equivalent to one of the form  $\alpha_i^b (\dots) \alpha_i^{-c}$  where all indices in  $(\dots)$  are larger than  $i$  and hence  $(\dots)$  describes an element of  $f^{i+1}(F)$ . Finally, conjugate by  $\alpha_i^c$  to obtain  $\alpha_i^{b-c} f^{i+1}(\beta)$ . If  $b - c = 0$  then the original element is conjugate to  $f^{i+1}(\beta)$  which is of smaller length.  $\square$

We have found two closely related permutation representations to be very useful. We began with a huge group and then cut down. Let  $\Sigma$  be the group of all continuous order-preserving permutations on the real numbers. For  $T \in \Sigma$  we will find it convenient to use the ‘diagrammatic’ order to denote its action:  $T$  sends a real number  $x$  to  $xT$ . The support of  $T$ ,  $\text{spt}(T)$ , is its set of non-fixed points,  $\{x \mid xT \neq x\}$ . Let  $S$  be the ‘shift function’:  $xS = x + 1$ . The subgroup  $\Sigma^+$  of permutations whose supports lie in the positive half of the reals is invariant under conjugation by  $S$  (but not by  $S^{-1}$ ). Define  $g : \Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+$  by  $g(T) = T^S$ . Let  $Q \in \Sigma^+$  be anything that agrees with  $S$  on  $[1, \infty)$ . Then  $g^2 = g^Q$ .

We define  $Q_0$  to be the simplest such function, to wit, the piece-wise linear function which has exactly three pieces. More generally, let  $Q_k$  be the function that acts trivially on  $(-\infty, k]$ , that linearly stretches the interval  $[k, k + 1]$  to the interval  $[k, k + 2]$  that shifts  $[k + 1, +\infty)$  to  $[k + 2, +\infty)$  by adding 1. Then  $Q_k^S = Q_{k+1}$  and we obtain an induced map  $h : F \rightarrow \Sigma^+$  that sends  $\alpha_k$  to  $Q_k$ . We take this as the definition of the *First Canonical Representation*.

For any  $T \in \Sigma^+$  define  $\mu(T)$  as  $\inf(\text{spt}(T))$  ( $\mu(1) = +\infty$ ). It is clear that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(L5)} \quad & \mu(T) < +\infty \iff T \neq 1. \\
 & \mu(T) < (T') \implies \mu(TT') = \mu(T'T) = \mu(T). \\
 & \mu(g(T)) = 1 + \mu(T). \\
 & \mu(g^{i+1}(T)) \geq i + 1. \\
 & \mu(Q_i) = i. \\
 & \mu(T^n) = \mu(T), \quad \text{for } n \neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence an element of the form  $\alpha_i^n f^{c+1}(\beta)$ ,  $n \neq 0$  is sent to an element  $T$  such that  $\mu(T) = i$ . L4 thus yields:

(L6) *The First Canonical Representation of  $F$  is faithful.*

**Proof of T5 ( $F$  is a TOG).** The last two lemmas clearly imply that  $F$  is torsion-free (indeed,  $\Sigma$  is torsion-free). For a total ordering define  $d(\beta)$  as the right-hand derivative of  $h(\beta)$  at  $\mu(h(\beta))$ . The set of elements  $\beta$  such that  $1 < d(\beta)$  is easily checked to be a normal subsemigroup with no units. As always in such a case we



obtain a partial ordering by defining  $\beta < \gamma$  iff  $1 < d(\beta^{-1}\gamma)$ . Since  $d(\beta^{-1}) = (d(\beta))^{-1}$  we easily obtain that for any  $\beta, \gamma$  either  $\beta < \gamma$ ,  $\gamma < \beta$  or  $\beta = \gamma$ .  $\square$

The faithfulness of the First Canonical Representation clearly implies:

(L7)  $f : F \rightarrow F$  is an embedding and its only fixed point is 1.

For the record, define the set of *canonical words* as those that arise as follows: the empty word is canonical; if  $w$  is canonical and if  $m(w)$  denotes the lowest index appearing in  $w$  then  $\alpha_i^b w \alpha_i^{-c}$  is canonical if  $i < m(w)$  and  $b, c$  are non-negative and if either  $bc \neq 0$  or  $m(w) = i + 1$ . Then every element is described by a unique canonical word.

(The proof of uniqueness can be proved using two lemmas stemming from the First Canonical Representation: if  $\alpha_i^b w \alpha_i^{-c}$  is canonical then  $i \leq \mu(\alpha_i^b w \alpha_i^{-c}) < i + 1$  and its right-hand derivative at  $\mu$  is  $2^{b-c}$ .)

(L8) If  $\langle G, g, \beta \rangle$  is a conjugacy idempotent then  $g$  splits iff  $\beta$  and  $g(\beta)$  commute.

If  $[\beta, g(\beta)] = 1$  then  $g^2(\beta) = (g(\beta))^\beta = g(\beta)$  and L3 says that  $g$  splits. For the converse, suppose  $G \rightarrow H$ ,  $H \rightarrow G$  are such that  $G \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \equiv g$  and  $H \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \equiv 1_H$ . The only endomorphisms conjugate to the identity morphism are inner-automorphisms, in particular, they are automorphisms. Hence  $H \rightarrow G$  is an embedding,  $G \rightarrow H$  is onto and it becomes clear that

$$\text{Image}(g) = \text{Image}(g^2).$$

We may compute  $g^3$  in two ways:

$$g^3 = gg^2 = gg^\beta = (g^2)^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad g^3 = g^2g = g^\beta g = (g^2)^{g(\beta)}.$$

Thus  $\beta$  and  $g(\beta)$  act, via conjugation, on  $G^2(G)$  the same way. Since  $g^2(G) = g(G)$  we may infer that

$$(g(\beta))(g(\beta))^{g(\beta)} = g(\beta).$$

We may restate this lemma as:

(L9) If  $\langle G, g, \beta \rangle$  is a conjugacy idempotent then  $g$  splits iff the kernel of the induced map  $F \rightarrow G$  contains the commutator subgroup  $F'$ .

The First Canonical Representation clearly shows that  $F$  is not abelian, hence there does exist a non-split conjugacy idempotent.

(L10) If  $1 \neq H \triangleleft F$  and  $f(H) \subseteq H$  then  $F' \subseteq H$ .

**Proof.** The  $f$ -invariance of  $H$  yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{f} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F/H & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & F/H \end{array}$$

and  $\bar{f}$  is a conjugacy idempotent. By the last lemma it suffices to prove that  $\bar{f}$  splits.

By L4 we may conclude that for some  $n \neq 0$  and  $i = 0, 1$  it is the case that  $\bar{\alpha}_i^n$  is the image of  $\bar{f}^{i+1}$  (where  $\bar{\alpha}_i$  denotes the element in  $F/H$  represented by  $\alpha_i$  in  $F$ ). We may assume that  $n$  is positive. We may assume that  $i < n$  (by squaring if necessary). By conjugating with  $\bar{\alpha}_i$  we may insure that  $\bar{\alpha}_i^n$  is in the image of  $\bar{f}^n$ . Let  $g = \bar{f}^n$  then

$$g^2 = f \bar{f}^{2n} = (\bar{f}^{2n-1})^{\bar{\alpha}_i} = (\bar{f}^{2n-2})^{\bar{\alpha}_i^2} = \dots = (\bar{f}^{2n-n})^{\bar{\alpha}_i^n} = \bar{g}^{\bar{\alpha}_i^n}.$$

Since  $\bar{\alpha}_i^n$  is in the image of  $g$ , L2 says that  $g$  splits. But  $g$  is conjugate to  $\bar{f}$ , hence  $\bar{f}$  splits.  $\square$

(L11) If  $1 \neq H \triangleleft F$  then  $H \cap f(F) \neq 1$ .

Using L4, let  $\alpha_i^n f^{i+1}(\beta) \in H$  where  $n \neq 0$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . If  $i = 1$  we are done. For  $i = 0$  we note that  $\alpha_0^n f^2(\beta) = (\alpha_0^n f(\beta))^{\alpha_0}$  is also in  $H$  hence  $f(\beta^{-1}f(\beta)) = (\alpha_0^n f(\beta))^{-1}(\alpha_0^n f^2(\beta))$  is in  $H$ . By L7,  $f(\beta^{-1}f(\beta)) = 1$  implies that  $\beta = 1$ . In that case  $H$  includes  $\alpha_0^n$  and therefore it includes  $(\alpha_0^{-n})^{\alpha_1} \alpha_0^n = \alpha_1^{-1} \alpha_{n+1} = f(\alpha_0^{-1} \alpha_n)$ .

(L12) All non-trivial normal subgroups of  $F$  contain the commutator.

Suppose that  $1 \neq H \triangleleft F$ . Then  $f^{-1}(H)$  is normal and  $f$ -invariant:  $f(f^{-1}(H)) \subseteq f^{-1}(H) \Leftrightarrow f^2(f^{-1}(H)) \subseteq H \Leftrightarrow (ff^{-1}(H))^{\alpha_0} \subseteq H$  and as for any subset  $(ff^{-1}(H)) \subseteq H$ . The last lemma says that  $f^{-1}(H)$  is non-trivial thus L10 implies that  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2^{-1} = [\alpha_1, \alpha_0^{-1}] \in f^{-1}(H)$  hence  $\alpha_2 \alpha_3^{-1} = f(\alpha_1 \alpha_2^{-1}) \in H$ . But  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2^{-1} = (\alpha_2 \alpha_3^{-1})^{\alpha_0^{-1}}$  is the commutator of a pair that generates  $F$ . Any normal subgroup that contains their commutator must contain the entire commutator.  $\square$

T1 is now an immediate consequence of L9 and L12.

Returning to  $\Sigma$ , the group of continuous order-preserving permutations of the reals, we note that the sequence  $S, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots$  satisfies the defining relations for  $F$ . The induced map  $F \rightarrow \Sigma$  is the *Second Canonical Representation*. Its image is not abelian and the last lemma says, therefore, that it is faithful.

We will notationally confuse  $F$  and its image under this representation. For any

$T \in F$  there exist integers  $a, b$  such that  $xT = x + a$  for all sufficiently small  $x$  and  $xT + x + b$  for all sufficiently large  $x$ . We use this to define  $h : F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  ( $\mathbb{Z}$  is the group of integers), to wit,

$$h(t) = \langle \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} (xT - x), \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (xT - x) \rangle.$$

Because  $F/F'$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  we conclude that the kernel of  $g$  is  $F'$ . Thus *an element is in the commutator subgroup iff its support is bounded*.

(It is possible to characterize  $F'$  as the subgroup of  $\Sigma$  consisting of all piece-wise linear permutations with bounded support and with a finite number of singular points each of which is a dyadic rational and, finally, such that at all non-singular points the derivative is equal to a power of 2.)

If two elements have disjoint support they obviously commute. There is a sense in which it is correct to say that any two elements of  $F'$  ‘probably commute’.

**Proof of T3 ( $F'$  is simple).** It suffices, given the last lemma, to show that every normal subgroup of  $F'$  is normal in  $F$ . And for that, it suffices to show that the two conjugacy relations on  $F'$ —the standard one and the one induced by elements of the ambient group—coincide. We need only find for  $i = 0, 1$  and for any  $\beta \in F'$  an element  $\gamma \in F'$  such that  $\beta^{\alpha_i} = \beta^\gamma$ .

Using the notation of the Second Canonical Representation we know that for any  $T \in F'$  it is the case that  $[T, Q_k] = 1$  for all large  $k$  (disjoint supports). Hence  $T^{Q_k^{-1}Q_1} = T^{Q_1}$  and  $Q_k^{-1}Q_1 \in F'$ . Moreover,  $T^{Q_l} = T^S$  for all small  $l$  (which is allowed to be negative). Hence  $T^{Q_k^{-1}Q_l} = T^S$ .  $\square$

**Proof of T4 ( $F$  appears in  $F'$ ).** For all  $i < j$  and all sufficiently large  $n$  it is the case that  $(\alpha_j \alpha_n^{-1})(\alpha_i \alpha_n^{-1}) = \alpha_j \alpha_i \alpha_{n+1}^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} = a_i \alpha_{j+1} \alpha_{n+1}^{-1} \alpha_n^{-1} = (\alpha_i \alpha_n^{-1})(\alpha_{j+1} \alpha_n^{-1})$ . Hence for all large  $n$  the two elements  $\alpha_a \alpha_n^{-1}$  and  $\alpha_2 \alpha_n^{-1}$  satisfy the two necessary defining relations for  $F$ . They do not commute hence we obtain a copy of  $F$  (using L12).  $\square$

**Proof of T6.** In terms of the Second Canonical Representation, any finitely generated subgroup  $H \subseteq F'$  has bounded support on the real line. Thus for all sufficiently large or small  $n$  it is the case that  $[H, H^{S^n}] = 1$ . Clearly, therefore,  $F'$  contains a copy of the infinite weak product  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}} H$  and an element  $S^n$  such that conjugation by  $S^n$  is the shift operation on  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}} H$ .  $\square$

For the proofs of T7 and T8 we use the First Canonical Representation and note that for any pair of elements  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ,  $(\mu(\beta))\gamma = \mu(\beta^\gamma)$ . If  $G$  is a subgroup of  $F$  and  $M$  denotes the set  $\{\mu(\beta) \mid \beta \in G, \beta \neq 1\}$  it follows that  $M$  is invariant under the action of  $G$ . If  $G$  is abelian, then  $M$  is not only invariant but fixed by the action of  $G$ . In that case, we can use the right-hand derivatives, one for each element in  $M$ , to obtain an embedding into a cartesian power of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (it is not really

the derivatives but their logarithms-base-two). But any countable subgroup of such a cartesian power is known to be free abelian.

We have shown: abelian implies that  $M$  is fixed and that  $M$  fixed implies free-abelian. For T8 we can thus concentrate on the case that  $M$  is not fixed. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $M$  is bounded (if necessary use the embedding of  $F$  into  $F'$ ). Let  $z$  be the least upper bound of all the non-fixed points of  $M$ .  $z$  is easily seen to be a fixed-point for  $G$ . Since each non-trivial orbit is infinite we know that  $M \cap [0, z]$  is infinite.

We shall find a sequence of elements  $\{\beta_i\}$  such that  $\text{spt}(\beta_i) \cap [0, z]$  is non-empty, and such that  $\text{spt}(\beta_i) \cap \text{spt}(\beta_j) \cap [0, z]$  is empty for  $i \neq j$ . The support of the commutator  $[\beta_i, \beta_j]$  clearly lies to the right of  $z$ . But the right-hand derivative at  $\mu[\beta_i, \beta_j]$  would have to be 1, hence  $[\beta_i, \beta_j] = 1$ .

There must be an element  $\beta_1$  such that  $\mu(\beta_1) < z$  but such that the left-hand derivative at  $z$  is 1 (if no such element presents itself, let  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  be such that  $\mu(\gamma) < \mu(\delta) < z$ ; for suitable integers  $b$  and  $c$  we may take  $\beta_1 = \gamma^c \delta^b$ ). Let  $y < z$  be such that  $\text{spt}(\beta_1) \cap [0, z]$  is contained in  $[0, y]$  and let  $G_1$  be the subgroup of  $G$  defined by  $G_1 = \{\gamma \in G \mid \mu(\gamma) > y\}$ . We may repeat this argument to find  $\beta_2 \in G_1$ . In this fashion we will have at each finite stage a finite sequence of elements  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  and a subgroup  $G_n$  such that to the left of  $z$  the supports of the  $\beta$ 's are pairwise-disjoint and disjoint from the supports of any element in  $G_n$ . It remains the case that  $\{\mu(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in G_n\} \cap [0, z]$  is infinite. By iteration, therefore, we obtain the desired sequence.

## 5. A proof of lawlessness

Let  $F$  be any group that contains a copy of its own infinite wreath product. Let  $w$  be any non-trivial reduced word. We seek elements  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in F$  such that  $w(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 1$  (where  $w(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$  indicates the result of replacing the variables of  $w$  with the indicated elements and then, of course, evaluating). We will assume that the result holds for all non-trivial reduced words shorter than  $w$ .

If  $w(x_0, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$  is non-trivial we are done (because  $F$  cannot be a torsion group). Thus the total degree of  $x_0$  may be assumed to be zero, which case  $w$  is a product of various  $x_0$ -conjugates of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . That is, there is another word  $w'(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$  such that a sequence of substitutions of the form  $y_i = x_j^{x_0^k}$  transforms  $w'$  into  $w$ .  $w'$  may have more variables than  $w$  but it is shorter (it is just as long as  $w(1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ). By the inductive hypothesis, there exist elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in F$  such that  $w'(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \neq 1$ .

Using the  $b$ 's we will construct elements  $a_0, \dots, a$  in the infinite wreath-product. We first fix some notation. The infinite wreath-product has a normal subgroup isomorphic to  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}} F$  and it has an element  $c$  such that for all  $x \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}} F$  and all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  it is the case that  $p_i(x^c) = p_{i-1}(x)$ . We will take  $c$  as the value for  $a_0$ . All other  $a$ 's will lie in  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ . For a given  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we take  $a_j$  to be an element such

that  $p_k(a_i) = b_i$  where  $i, j, k$  are such that  $y_i = x_j^{x_0^k}$  is in the above-mentioned sequence of substitutions. Then

$$p_0(w(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)) = w'(b_1, \dots, b_m) \neq 1. \quad \square$$

*Several comments about this result and its proof:* It implies that any free group may be embedded in a cartesian power of  $F$  and since powers of TOGs and TOGs, we have shown, in passing, that free groups are TOGs. The proof does not require infinite wreath-products, only arbitrarily large ones. Any variety closed under large wreath-products is therefore entire. Hence any non-trivial variety closed under semi-direct products must be entire. Any non-trivial pseudo-variety closed under extensions must contain all free groups. An example of such is the collection of  $p$ -nilpotent groups. Finally, note that an  $mn$ -fold wreath product appears as a subgroup of an  $m$ -fold wreath product of an  $n$ -fold wreath product. Thus to require arbitrarily large wreath products is to require no more than non-trivial wreath products.

## References

- [1] J. Dydak, A simple proof that pointed, connected FANR-spaces are regular fundamental retracts of ANR's, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys.* 25 (1977) 55–62.
- [2] J. Dydak and H.M. Hastings, Homotopy idempotent on two-dimensional complexes split, in: *Proceedings of the International Conference on Geometric Topology, Warszawa, 1978.*
- [3] P.J. Freyd, Splitting homotopy idempotents, in: *Proceedings of the Conference of Categorical Algebra* (Springer, Berlin, 1966).
- [4] H.M. Hastings and A. Heller, Homotopy idempotents on finite-dimensional complexes split, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85 (1982) 619–622.
- [5] A. Heller, On the representability of homotopy functors, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 23 (1981) 551–562.

## Inferences

*Some of the works that have cited this work:*

- [1] M.G. Brin and C.C. Squier, Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of the real line, *Invent. Math.* 79 (1985) 485–498.
- [2] K.S. Brown, Finiteness properties of groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 44 (1987) 45–75.
- [3] K.S. Brown and R. Geoghegan, Fp-infinity groups and Hnn extensions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1983) 227–229.
- [4] K.S. Brown and R. Geoghegan, An infinite-dimensional torsion-free Fp-infinity group, *Invent. Math.* 77 (1984) 367–381.
- [5] A. Calder and H.M. Hastings, Realizing strong shape equivalences, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 20 (1981) 129–156.
- [6] M.S. Farber, An algebraic classification of some even-dimensional spherical knots, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 281 (1984) 507–527.
- [7] R. Geoghegan, Splitting homotopy idempotents which have essential fixed-points, *Pacific J. Math.* 95 (1981) 95–103.
- [8] E. Ghys and V. Sergiescu, A remarkable group of diffeomorphisms of the circle, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 62 (1987) 185–239 (in French).

- [9] H.M. Hastings and A. Heller, Homotopy idempotents on finite-dimensional complexes split, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85 (1982) 619–622.
- [10] A. Heller, On the representability of homotopy functors, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 23 (1981) 551–562.
- [11] W. Luck, The transfer maps induced in the algebraic  $K_0$ -group and  $K_1$ -group by a fibration, *Math. Scand.* 59 (1986) 93–121.
- [12] W. Luck and A. Ranicki, Chain homotopy projections, *J. Algebra* 120 (1989) 361–391.
- [13] S. Mardesic and J. Segal, *Shape Theory, The Inverse System Approach* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- [14] G.W. Whitehead, 50 Years of homotopy-theory, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1983) 1–29.