

Using Groebner Bases to Determine the Algebraic and Transcendental Nature of Field Extensions: return of the killer tag variables

Moss Sweedler
ACSyAM / MSI, 409 College Ave
Cornell University
Ithaca NY 14853

ABSTRACT: Suppose I is a prime ideal in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with a given finite generating set and $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ is a finitely generated subfield of the field of fractions Z of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ and c is an element of Z . We present Groebner basis techniques to determine:

- * if c is transcendental over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$,
- * a minimal polynomial for c if c is algebraic over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.
- * the algebraic or transcendental nature of Z over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.

The information about c also tells whether c lies in $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$, solving the subfield membership problem. Determination of the algebraic or transcendental nature of Z over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ includes finding the index in case of algebraicity or transcendence degree in case the extension is transcendental. The determination of the nature of Z over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ is not simply an iterative application of the results for c and only requires computing one Groebner basis.

1 INTRODUCTION: Certain questions about fields reduce to questions about finitely generated commutative algebras. For example, suppose L is a field containing an element c and a subfield K . One may wish to determine if c is algebraic or transcendental over K and if c is algebraic over K , one may wish to determine a minimal polynomial for c over K . Frequently, in computational algebra, L is the field of rational functions in several variables X_1, \dots, X_n , c is given as a rational function and the subfield K is generated - *as a field* - by a given finite set S of rational functions. In this case, there is a *common denominator* D , where c and S lie in the finitely generated algebra A which is generated by the X_i 's and $1/D$. The issue of c being transcendental over K reduces to c being transcendental over B , where B is the subalgebra of A which is (*algebra*) generated by S . In section 2 we describe several processes for dealing with this and related situations. Groebner bases are the underlying engine which make both processes run, constructively. *Process one* determines the algebraic or transcendental nature of c over B . The variant - *process two* - determines the algebraic or transcendental nature of A over B in *one shot*, rather than using *process one* repeatedly. Both processes pertain to finitely generated commutative algebras. After the processes are presented, the proofs that the processes perform as promised and application of the processes appear in sections 3 and 4.

The final application deals with a more general situation than simply subfields of rational function fields. It solves the following problems. Suppose:

I is a prime ideal in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with a given finite generating set

$k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ is a finitely generated subfield of Z the field of fractions of $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$

c is an element of Z

Process one may be applied to determine:

* if c is transcendental over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$,

* a minimal polynomial for c if c is algebraic over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$. This also determines if $c \in k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.

Process two may be applied to determine the algebraic or transcendental nature of Z over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$. This includes the index in case of algebraicity or transcendence degree in case the extension is transcendental.

CREDITS: In part, this paper deals with transcendence degree. See [Kredel88], [Ollivier89] and [Audoly91] for related work. The source from which this paper springs is [Shannon87]. Dave Shannon is spiritually a joint author of this paper. The subtitle: "Return of the Killer Tag Variables" comes from the fact that [Shannon87], like [Shannon88] and the apocryphal [Spear77], uses tag variables to *knock off* the problem.

2 PROCESSES FOR ALGEBRAS

2.1 THE SETTING: 1. A is a finitely generated commutative algebra over a ground field k and $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ is a generating set for A over k . $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ is the polynomial ring in the n variables $\{X_i\}$ and γ is used to denote the k -algebra map $\gamma: k[X_0, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$, $f(X_0, \dots, X_n) \mapsto f(a_0, \dots, a_n)$.

2. H_γ is an explicitly given finite subset of $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ which generates the ideal $\text{Ker } \gamma$.

3. B is a subalgebra of A and B is generated by $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$.

4. c is an element in A .

5. The b_i 's and c are explicitly given as polynomials in the a_i 's. I.e. we are given polynomials $B_i(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ and $C(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ where $\gamma(B_i) = B_i(a_0, \dots, a_n) = b_i$ and $\gamma(C) = C(a_0, \dots, a_n) = c$.

2.2 FIRST CONSTRUCTION: Form the polynomial ring $k[X_0, \dots, X_n, S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$. Using any term order where each X_i is greater than any monomial in $k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ and S is greater than any monomial in $k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$, construct a Groebner basis G for the ideal generated by:

2.3 $H_\gamma \cup \{S - C\} \cup \{T_i - B_i\}_i$

Within G let $G_T = G \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$ and let $G_S = \{ h \in G \cap k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m] : \text{the lead term of } h \text{ is not divisible by the lead term of any element of } G_T \}$.

2.4 FIRST CONCLUSIONS: a. If $h \in G_S$, then $h \in k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ and we consider h to be a polynomial of S, T_1, \dots, T_m ; i.e. $h = h(S, T_1, \dots, T_m)$. $h(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ viewed as a polynomial $p(S)$ in $B[S]$ has the property: $p(S)$ is a non-zero polynomial where $p(c) = 0$. Moreover $\text{degree}_S h = \text{degree}_S p(S)$.

b. If there exists a non-zero polynomial $p(S)$ in $B[S]$ with $p(c) = 0$ then there is a polynomial h in G_S where $\text{degree}_S h \leq \text{degree}_S p(S)$.

c. c is integral over B if and only if G contains an element whose lead term is a pure power of S . In this case, the minimal e where G contains an element with lead term S^e is the same as the minimal degree of integral polynomial satisfied by c over B .

d. Suppose A is an integral domain. Let (B) denote the field of fractions of B . c is algebraic over (B) if and only if G_S is non-empty. In this case, let g be a polynomial in G_S of minimal S -degree. Then $p(S) = g(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ is a minimal degree polynomial in $B[S]$ for c over (B) .

Although $p(S)$ is not monic in general, part (d) shows that the degree of the field extension is $\text{degree}_S g$.

2.5 SECOND CONSTRUCTION: Form the polynomial ring $k[X_0, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m]$. Using any term order where each X_i is greater than any monomial in $k[X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m]$,² construct a Groebner basis G for the ideal generated by:

$$2.6 \quad H_\gamma \cup \{ T_i - B_i \}_i$$

Within G let $G_T = G \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$ and let $G_i = \{ h \in G \cap k[X_1, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m] : \text{the lead term of } h \text{ is not divisible by the lead term of any element of } G \cap k[X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m] \}$.³ For $i = 0, \dots, n$ if G_i is *not empty* define E_i as the minimal positive integer such that there is h_i in G_i with $\text{degree}_{X^i} h_i = E_i$.

2.7 SECOND CONCLUSIONS: Suppose A is an integral domain. Let (A) and (B) denote the respective fields of fractions of A and B .

1 If G is a reduced Groebner basis then G_S has a simpler description as $(G \cap k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m]) - G_T$. The somewhat more complicated description eliminates the need for a reduced Groebner basis.

2 " $k[X_j, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ " means " $k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$ " for $j \geq n$.

3 If G is a reduced Groebner basis then, G_i has a simpler description as: $(G \cap k[X_1, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m]) - k[X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m]$. The somewhat more complicated description eliminates the need for a reduced Groebner basis.

a. If all the G_i 's are non-empty then (A) is algebraic over (B) and the index of the extension is $\prod_i E_i$.

b. If one of the G_i 's is empty then (A) is transcendental over (B) and the transcendence degree of the extension is the number of empty G_i 's.

3 VERIFICATION: We continue and extend the notation already developed. Γ is used to denote the algebra map $k[X_0, \dots, X_n, S, T_1, \dots, T_m] \rightarrow A$, $f(X_0, \dots, X_n, S, T_1, \dots, T_m) \mapsto f(a_0, \dots, a_n, c, b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Γ extends the previous γ . Let H_Γ denote the set $H_\gamma \cup \{S - C\} \cup \{T_i - B_i\}_i$ in (2.3). Recall that $\text{Ker } \gamma$ is generated by H_γ .

3.1 LEMMA: $\text{Ker } \Gamma$ is generated by H_Γ .

PROOF: Since $c = C(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and $b_i = B_i(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ it follows that H_Γ lies in $\text{Ker } \Gamma$. Now to show that H_Γ actually generates $\text{Ker } \Gamma$. Put any term order on $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ and with respect to this term order let G_γ be a Groebner basis for the ideal generated by H_γ . Since H_γ and G_γ generate the same ideal, it suffices to prove that $\text{Ker } \Gamma$ is generated by $G_\Gamma = G_\gamma \cup \{S - C\} \cup \{T_i - B_i\}_i$.

Extend the term order of the previous paragraph to any term order on $k[X_0, \dots, X_n, S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ which has the property that S and all T_i 's are greater than any monomial in $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$. We show that G_Γ not only generates $\text{Ker } \Gamma$ but is a Groebner basis for $\text{Ker } \Gamma$. We do this by showing that any element of $\text{Ker } \Gamma$ reduces to zero over G_Γ . Since S is the lead term of $S - C$ and T_i is the lead term of $T_i - B_i$, any polynomial in $k[X_0, \dots, X_n, S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ reduces over $\{S - C\} \cup \{T_i - B_i\}_i$ to a polynomial in $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$. Since Γ extends γ , $\text{Ker } \Gamma \cap k[X_0, \dots, X_n] = \text{Ker } \gamma$. Hence, reducing any element of $\text{Ker } \Gamma$ over $\{S - C\} \cup \{T_i - B_i\}_i$ to an element of $k[X_0, \dots, X_n]$, yields an element of $\text{Ker } \gamma$. This further reduces to zero over G_γ since G_γ is a Groebner basis for $\text{Ker } \gamma$. **QED**

3.2 PROOF of FIRST CONCLUSIONS (2.4): a. Say $h \in G_S$ and form $p(S) = h(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ as in (2.4,a). Then $p(c) = h(c, b_1, \dots, b_m) = \Gamma(h)$ and this is zero because h lies in $\text{Ker } \Gamma$. To see that $\text{degree}_S h = \text{degree}_S p(S)$, consider h as a polynomial in $k[T_1, \dots, T_m][S]$. Write h as:

$$h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)S^e + h_1(T_1, \dots, T_m)S^{e-1} + \dots + h_e(T_1, \dots, T_m)$$

where $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ is non-zero. The term order in (2.2) has S larger than any monomial in $k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$. Hence the lead term of h is the lead term of $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)S^e$ and this is precisely the lead term of h_0 multiplied by S^e . Because h lies in G_S , this lead term is not divisible by any element of G_T . Hence the lead term of h_0 is not divisible by any element of G_T and h_0 does not reduce to zero over G_T .

The choice of term order insures that $G \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_m] = G_T$ generates $\text{Ker } \Gamma \cap k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$. Hence the fact that h_0 does not reduce to zero over G_T insures that $\Gamma[h_0] = h_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ is non-zero. Thus $p(S) =$

$$h_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)S^e + h_1(b_1, \dots, b_m)S^{e-1} + \dots + h_e(b_1, \dots, b_m)$$

is a polynomial of S -degree e in $B[S]$. This also shows that $p(S)$ is non-zero.

b. Say $p(S)$ is a non-zero polynomial in $B[S]$ with $p(c) = 0$. Write p as $\beta_0 S^e + \beta_1 S^{e-1} + \dots + \beta_e$ with β_j 's in B and β_0 not equal to zero. Since the b_i 's generate B as an algebra, there are polynomials $h_j(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ where each $\beta_j = h_j(b_1, \dots, b_m) = \Gamma(h_j)$. Let us assume that $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ has been *chosen with minimal lead monomial* among those elements which Γ maps to β_0 . Define h in $k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ by:

$$h = h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)S^e + h_1(T_1, \dots, T_m)S^{e-1} + \dots + h_e(T_1, \dots, T_m)$$

Then $\Gamma(h) = h_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)c^e + h_1(b_1, \dots, b_m)c^{e-1} + \dots + h_e(b_1, \dots, b_m) = \beta_0 c^e + \beta_1 c^{e-1} + \dots + \beta_e = p(c) = 0$. Hence h lies in $\text{Ker } \Gamma$ and so must reduce to zero over the Groebner basis G in (2.2). As in the proof of part a, the lead term of h is the lead term of $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ times S^e . This lead term must be divisible by (the lead term of) an element g of G since h reduces to zero over G .

We shall show that g lies in G_S . The fact that g divides the lead term of h implies that, $\text{degree}_S g \leq \text{degree}_S h = e = \text{degree}_S p$. Thus g has the claimed "degree $_S$ " property. The way we show that g lies in G_S is to show that h lies in the set N defined by:

$$\{ d \in k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m] : \text{the lead term of } d \text{ is not divisible by the lead term of any element of } G_T \}$$

If h lies in N and the lead term of g divides the lead term of h , then g lies in N by transitivity of *divisibility*. This shows that g lies in G_S since $G_S = N \cap G$.

Can the lead term of h be divisible by the lead term of an element of G_T ? Suppose so. Suppose there is f in G_T whose lead term divides the lead term of h . Since f lies in $k[T_1, \dots, T_m]$, it follows that the lead term of f divides the lead term of $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$. Thus $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ reduces over $\{f\}$ to an element $e_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$ with smaller lead term. Since f lies in G which lies in $\text{Ker } \Gamma$, $\Gamma(e_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)) = \Gamma(h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m))$ which contradicts the minimality property of h_0 . Hence h lies in N and g lies in G_S .

c. Suppose c is integral over B . Let $p(S)$ in part (b) be an integral polynomial. Thus $\beta_0 = 1$ in the proof of part (b) above. Follow that proof using 1 for $h_0(T_1, \dots, T_m)$

when "pulling back" β_0 . It then follows that the g , in the proof, has a lead term which is a pure power of S . And as above: $\text{degree}_S g \leq e = \text{degree}_S p$.

Conversely, say g is an element of G whose lead term is a pure power of S . Since the X_i 's are greater than all monomials in $k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ in the term order (2.2), g must lie in $k[S, T_1, \dots, T_m]$ and hence in G_S . As in the proof of part (a), $g(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ gives a polynomial in $B[S]$ satisfied by v . Moreover, $\text{degree}_S g = e = \text{degree}_S g(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Finally, note that $g(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ is a monic polynomial in $B[S]$.

d. If h lies in G_S then part (a) shows that $p(S) = h(S, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ gives a polynomial satisfied by c over B and hence (B). Moreover part (a) gives: $\text{degree}_S h = \text{degree}_S p(S)$. Conversely, assume c is algebraic over (B) , let $q(S)$ be a minimal degree polynomial for c over (B) . Since the coefficients of q lie in (B) there is a common denominator b in B where bq has coefficients in B . I.e. $p = bq$ is a polynomial in $B[S]$, of same S -degree as q , satisfied by c . By part (b), G_S contains an element of this S -degree or less. **QED**

3.3 PROOF of SECOND CONCLUSIONS (2.7): The trick here is to view the information produced by the second construction (2.5) from $n+1$ points of view and each time apply the first conclusion. To be more precise, for $i = 0, \dots, n$.

VIEW i: $c_i = a_i$, $B_i = k[a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m]$. In this view X_i plays the role of S in the first process; $X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_m$ plays the role of T_1, \dots, T_m in the first process and G_i plays the role of G_S in the first process.

The tower of algebras $B_0 \supset B_1 \supset \dots \supset B_n \supset B$ gives the tower of fields $(B_0) \supset (B_1) \supset \dots \supset (B_n) \supset (B)$. The rest is a straightforward $n+1$ fold application of (2.4) and standard results about algebraicity and transcendentalty of towers of fields. **QED**

4 FIELD APPLICATIONS:

4.1 APPLICATION TO FIELDS OF RATIONAL FUNCTIONS AND FINITELY GENERATED SUBFIELDS: The first application is to finitely generated subfields of the field of rational functions: $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Suppose $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ is a finitely generated subfield of $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, where $q_i \in k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Furthermore, let c be an element of $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Find a common denominator $d \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ where $dq_i \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$, $dc \in k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ and let p_i denote dq_i and let C denote dc . Let A be the subalgebra of $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ generated by $\{1/d, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ and let B be the subalgebra of A generated by $\{p_1/d, \dots, p_m/d\}$. Note that $c = C/d$ also lies in A . Consider the map $\gamma: k[X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$, $f(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow f(1/d, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. It is well known and easy to verify that $\text{Ker } \gamma$ is generated by $X_0 d(X_1, \dots, X_n) - 1$. (Originally d is a polynomial in $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$

and we are substituting X 's for the Y 's.) Hence, let $H_\gamma = \{ X_0 - d(X_1, \dots, X_n) \}$. Finally note that $(A) = k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and $(B) = k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.

Process one may be applied to determine:

- * if c is transcendental over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$,
- * a minimal polynomial for c if c is algebraic over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$. This also determines if $c \in k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.

Process two may be applied to determine the algebraic or transcendental nature of $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$. This includes the index in case of algebraicity or transcendence degree in case the extension is transcendental.

4.2 COMMENTS: If one just wants to learn the nature of the field extension $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ then there is no element c and d is just the common denominator for q_1, \dots, q_m . Also, if $d = 1$, i.e. the q_i 's and c - if there is a c - are all polynomials, then drop d and X_0 . I.e. let A be the subalgebra $k[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$ of $k(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and let B be the subalgebra of A generated by $\{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$. c - if there is a c - lies in A . The map $\gamma: k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$ is determined by:

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$$

and $\text{Ker } \gamma = \{0\}$. Let H_γ be the empty set.

4.3 LEMMA: Suppose A is a subalgebra of a larger algebra and d is an element of A which is invertible in the larger algebra. Let $A[1/d]$ denote the subalgebra of the larger algebra which is generated by A and $1/d$. Let $\mu: A[X] \rightarrow A[1/d]$ be the algebra map which sends $f(X) \mapsto f(1/d)$. μ maps $A[X]$ onto $A[1/d]$ and has kernel generated by $dX - 1$.

PROOF: Clearly $\langle dX - 1 \rangle$ lies in the kernel of μ . The opposite inclusion is verified by a little computation. Let $f(X) = a_0 X^e + a_1 X^{e-1} + \dots + a_e$ be a polynomial which lies in $\text{Ker } \mu$. If $e = 0$, i.e. f has degree zero, then f must be the zero polynomial which lies in $\langle dX - 1 \rangle$. Hence we may assume that $e \geq 1$. Rewrite $f(X)$ as:

$$(a_0 + a_1 d^1 + \dots + a_e d^e) X^e - (a_1 d^1 + \dots + a_e d^e) X^e + a_1 X^{e-1} + \dots + a_e$$

$$0 = f(1/d) \text{ gives } 0 = d^e f(1/d) \text{ which gives: } 0 = a_0 + a_1 d^1 + \dots + a_e d^e.$$

Thus the first expression in the rewritten $f(X)$ vanishes, leaving $f(X)$ as:

$$- (a_1 d^1 + \dots + a_e d^e) X^e + a_1 X^{e-1} + \dots + a_e$$

Again, regroup and rewrite, giving $f(X)$ as:

$$a_1 (1 - dX) X^{e-1} + a_2 (1 - (dX))^2 X^{e-2} + \dots + a_e (1 - (dX))^e$$

Each $1 - (dX)^i$ equals $1 + dX + \dots + (dX)^{i-1}$ times $1 - dX$. Hence, $f(X)$ lies in $\langle dX - 1 \rangle$. **QED**

4.4 COROLLARY: Suppose Z is an algebra and the algebra map:

$$\mu: k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow Z, \quad f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

has kernel generated by the finite set H_μ . Let d_1, \dots, d_m be elements in the image of μ which are invertible in Z . Choose polynomials D_1, \dots, D_m in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ where $\mu(D_i) = d_i$ and consider the algebra map:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: k[W_1, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n] &\rightarrow Z \\ f(W_1, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n) &\mapsto f(1/d_1, \dots, 1/d_m, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{Ker } \gamma$ is generated by:

$$H_\mu \cup \{ D_1 W_1 - 1, \dots, D_m W_m - 1 \}$$

PROOF: The map γ factors into the two maps:

$$\begin{aligned} k[W_1, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n] &\rightarrow Z[W_1, \dots, W_m] \rightarrow Z \\ f(W_1, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n) &\mapsto f(W_1, \dots, W_m, z_1, \dots, z_n) \\ &\mapsto f(1/d_1, \dots, 1/d_m, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the first map is $\text{Ker } \mu$ extended to $k[W_1, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Hence it is generated by H_μ . The first map carries $\{ D_1 W_1 - 1, \dots, D_m W_m - 1 \}$ to $\{ d_1 W_1 - 1, \dots, d_m W_m - 1 \}$ which, by the preceding lemma iterated, generates the kernel of the second map. Hence the kernel of the composite - and the composite equals γ - is generated by the given set. **QED**

4.5 APPLICATION TO FINITELY GENERATED FIELDS OVER FINITELY GENERATED SUBFIELDS: Suppose I is a prime ideal in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with finite generating set H_I . Let P denote $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ and let:

$$\mu: k[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow P$$

be the natural algebra map. Z denotes the field of fractions of P . If $z_i = \mu(X_i)$, then μ may alternatively be described by:

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \mapsto f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

Suppose we are given a finitely generated subfield $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ of Z and (possibly) an element $c \in Z$. Each q_i and c can be expressed as a quotient $q_i = p_i/d_i$, and $c = p_0/d_0$ with p_i and d_i in P . Let A be the subalgebra of Z generated by P and $\{ 1/d_i \}$. Note that $Z = (A)$. Select D_i in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ where $\mu(D_i) = d_i$. By the preceding corollary:

$$H_I \cup \{ D_0 W_0 - 1, \dots, D_m W_m - 1 \}$$

generates the kernel of the algebra map:

$$\gamma: k[W_0, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$$

$$f(W_0, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow f(d_0, \dots, d_m, z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

Let H_Y denote $H_1 \cup \{D_0 W_0 - 1, \dots, D_m W_m - 1\}$ and let B be the subalgebra of A generated by $\{p_i/d_i\}$ so that $k(q_1, \dots, q_m) = (B)$. Modulo the renaming $X_0 \dots, X_n$ to $W_0, \dots, W_m, X_1, \dots, X_n$, the techniques of section 2 now apply.

Process one may be applied to determine:

- * if c is transcendental over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$,
- * a minimal polynomial for c if c is algebraic over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$. This also determines if $c \in k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$.

Process two may be applied to determine the algebraic or transcendental nature of Z over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$. This includes the index in case of algebraicity or transcendence degree in case the extension is transcendental.

4.6 COMMENTS: If one just wants to learn the nature of the field extension Z over $k(q_1, \dots, q_m)$ then there is no element c and this permits one to drop W_0 . If the q_i 's - and c if there is one - actually lie in P , then none of the W_i 's are necessary and $A = P$. In (4.1) we found a common denominator and in (4.5) we threw in each denominator separately. Throwing in each denominator separately adds more variables: W_0, \dots, W_m . Finding a common denominator increases the degree of D . One could even do mixed cases, throwing in several partial common denominators. We do not know the best strategy to follow.

REFERENCES:

- Audoly, S. Bellu, G. Buttu, A. and D'Angio, L. (1991). Procedures to investigate injectivity of polynomial maps and to compute the inverse, *Lournal Applicable Algebra*, 2 91-104
- Buchberger, B. (1965). An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal, Dissertation, Universitaet Innsbruck, Institut fuer Mathematik.
- Buchberger, B. (1970). An algorithmic criterion for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations. *Aequationes Mathematicae* 4/3, 374-383.
- Buchberger, B. (1976). A theoretical basis for the reduction of polynomials to canonical forms. *ACM Sigsam Bull.* 10/3 19-29 1976 & *ACM Sigsam Bull.* 10/4 19-24.
- Buchberger, B. (1979). A criterion for detecting unnecessary reductions in the construction of Groebner bases. *Proc. of EUROSAM 79, Lect. Notes in Computer Science* 72, Springer 3-21.
- Buchberger, B. (1984). A critical-pair/completion algorithm for finitely generated ideals in rings. *Decision Problems and Complexity. (Proc of the Symposium "Rekursive Kombinatorik", Muenster, 1983.)* E. Boerger, G. Hasenjaeger, D. Roedding, eds. *Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 171, page 137.
- Buchberger, B. (1985). Groebner bases: an algorithmic method in polynomial ideal

- theory. *Multidimensional Systems Theory*. N. K. Boese ed. D. Reidel Pub Co. 184-232.
- Kredel,H. and Weispfenning, V. (1988). Computing dimension and independent sets for polynomial ideals. Special Volume of the JSC on the computational aspects of commutative algebra. Vol. 6 1988.
- Ollivier,F. (1989). Inversibility of rational mappings and structural identifiability in automatics. Proc. ISSAC' 89, 43-53, ACM
- Shannon,D. and Sweedler,M. (1988). Using Groebner bases to determine algebra membership, split surjective algebra homomorphisms and determine birational equivalence. *J. Symbolic Computation*, 6, 267-273.
- Shannon,D. and Sweedler,M. (1987). Using Groebner bases to determine the algebraic or transcendental nature of field extensions within the field of rational functions. Preprint.
- Spear,D. (1977). A constructive approach to commutative ring theory. *Proceedings 1977 MACSYMA User's Conference*, pp.369-376.