

# Well-Quasi-Orders and Regular $\omega$ -languages

Mizuhito Ogawa <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*NTT Communication Science Laboratories,  
3-1 Morinosato-Wakamiya Atsugi Kanagawa 243-0198 Japan  
mizuhito@theory.brl.ntt.co.jp*

---

## Abstract

In Ref. [2], Ehrenfeucht et al. showed that a set  $L$  of finite words is regular if and only if  $L$  is  $\leq$ -closed under some monotone Well-Quasi-Order (WQO)  $\leq$  over finite words. We extend this result to regular  $\omega$ -languages. That is,

- (1) an  $\omega$ -language  $L$  is regular if and only if  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed under a *periodic* extension  $\preceq$  of some monotone WQO over finite words, and
- (2) an  $\omega$ -language  $L$  is regular if and only if  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed under a WQO  $\preceq$  over  $\omega$ -words which is a *continuous* extension of some monotone WQO over finite words.

*Key words:*  $\omega$ -language, well-quasi-order, regularity.

---

## 1 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we will use  $A$  for a finite alphabet,  $A^*$  for a set of all (possibly empty) finite words on  $A$ , and  $A^\omega$  for a set of all  $\omega$ -words on  $A$ . A concatenation of two words  $u, v$  is denoted by  $uv$ , an elementwise concatenation of two sets  $U, V$  of words is denoted by  $UV$ ,  $\underbrace{V.V.\cdots V}_i$  is denoted by  $V^i$ , and  $V.V.V.\cdots$  is denoted by  $V^\omega$ . The length of a finite word  $u$  is denoted by  $|u|$ . As a convention, we will use  $\epsilon$  for the empty word,  $u, v, w, \cdots$  for finite words,  $\alpha, \beta, \cdots$  for  $\omega$ -words,  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$  for elements in  $A$ ,  $i, j, k, l, \cdots$  for indices, and  $U, V, \cdots$  (capital letters) for sets. We sometimes use  $x, y, \cdots$  for elements of a set.

A regular  $\omega$ -language is a set of  $\omega$ -words which are accepted by a (nondeterministic) *Büchi* automata  $\mathcal{A} = \{Q, q_0, \Delta, F\}$  where  $Q$  is a finite set of states,  $q_0$  is an initial state,  $\Delta \subseteq Q \times A \times Q$  is a transition relation, and  $F$  is a set

of final states.  $\alpha = a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots \in A^\omega$  is accepted by  $\mathcal{A}$  if its corresponding run  $q_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{a_2} q_2 \xrightarrow{a_3} \cdots$  runs through some state of  $F$  infinitely often. A set of accepted  $\omega$ -words by  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $L(\mathcal{A})$ . For states  $q, q'$  and  $w \in A^*$ , we write  $q \xrightarrow{w} q'$  if there is a run of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $w$ , and we write  $q \xrightarrow[F]{w} q'$  if there is a run of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $w$  from  $q$  to  $q'$  such that the run runs through some state of  $F$ .

A congruence  $\sim$  is an equivalent relation over  $A^*$  preserved by concatenations. A congruence  $\sim$  is finite if there are only finitely many  $\sim$ -classes. Details are given elsewhere [3].

**Definition 1.1** Let  $L \subseteq A^\omega$  and let  $\sim$  be a congruence over  $A^*$ . We say that  $\sim$  saturates  $L$  if for each  $\sim$ -class  $U, V$ ,  $U.V^\omega \cap L \neq \emptyset$  implies  $U.V^\omega \subseteq L$ .

**Lemma 1.2** For a Büchi automata  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $u, v \in A^*$ , we define  $u \sim_{\mathcal{A}} v$  if  $(q \xrightarrow{u} q' \Leftrightarrow q \xrightarrow{v} q') \wedge (q \xrightarrow[F]{u} q' \Leftrightarrow q \xrightarrow[F]{v} q')$  for each  $q, q' \in Q$ . Then  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a finite congruence which saturates  $L(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Theorem 1.3**  $L \subseteq A^\omega$  is regular if and only if some finite congruence saturates  $L$ .

**Lemma 1.4** Let  $\sim$  be a finite congruence over  $A^*$ .

- (1) Let  $\alpha = u_1 u_2 \cdots \in A^\omega$  and let  $u(i, j) = u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_{j-1}$  where  $u_i \in A^*$ . There exist a  $\sim$ -class  $V$  and  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$  such that  $u(i_j, i_k) \in V$  for each  $j, k$  with  $j < k$ .
- (2) Let  $U, V$  be  $\sim$ -classes. There exist  $\sim$ -classes  $U', V'$  such that  $U.V^\omega \subseteq U'.V'^\omega$  and  $V'.V' \subseteq V'$ .

**Proof.**

- (1) Since  $\sim$  has only finitely many  $\sim$ -classes, this is a direct consequence of (infinite) *Ramsey Theorem*.
- (2) Note that for each  $\sim$ -class  $U_1, \dots, U_m, W$ ,  $U_1 \cdots U_n \cap W \neq \emptyset$  implies  $U_1 \cdots U_n \subseteq W$ . Since  $\sim$  has only finitely many  $\sim$ -classes, from (infinite) *Ramsey Theorem* there exist a  $\sim$ -class  $V'$  and  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots$  such that  $V^{i_k - i_j} \subseteq V'$  for each  $j, k$  with  $j < k$  and  $V'.V' \subseteq V'$ . Let  $U'$  be a  $\sim$ -class which includes  $U.V^{i_1}$ . Then  $U.V^\omega \subseteq U'.V'^\omega$  and  $V'.V' \subseteq V'$ . ■

We denote a quasi-order (QO, i.e., reflexive transitive binary relation) over a set  $S$  by  $(S, \leq)$ . If  $S$  is clear from the context, we simply denote by  $\leq$ . As a convention, a QO over finite words is denoted by  $\leq$ , and a QO over  $\omega$ -words is denoted by  $\preceq$ .

**Definition 1.5** For a QO  $(S, \leq)$  and  $L \subseteq S$ ,  $L$  is  $\leq$ -closed if for each  $x \in L$   $x \leq y$  implies  $y \in L$ .

**Definition 1.6** A QO  $(S, \leq)$  is a Well-Quasi-Order (WQO) if for any infinite sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in  $S$ , there exist  $i, j$  such that  $i < j$  and  $x_i \leq x_j$ .

A QO  $(A^*, \leq)$  is monotone if  $u \leq v$  implies  $w_1 u w_2 \leq w_1 v w_2$  for each  $u, v, w_1, w_2 \in A^*$ .

## 2 First theorem

**Definition 2.1** A QO  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  is a periodic extension of  $(A^*, \leq)$  if following conditions are satisfied:

- For each  $u_i, v_i \in A^*$ ,  $u_i \leq v_i$  for any  $i$  implies  $u_1 u_2 u_3 \dots \preceq v_1 v_2 v_3 \dots$ .
- For each  $\alpha \in A^\omega$ , there exist  $u, v \in A^*$  such that  $\alpha \preceq u.v^\omega$  and  $\alpha \succeq u.v^\omega$ .

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $L \subseteq A^\omega$ .  $L$  is regular if and only if  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed under a periodic extension  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  of a monotone WQO  $(A^*, \leq)$ .

For instance, the embedding over  $\omega$ -words is the periodic extension of the embedding over finite words. Note that a periodic extension of a monotone WQO over  $A^*$  is a WQO over  $A^\omega$ . We will prove Theorem 2.2 below.

**Lemma 2.3** Let  $\sim$  be a finite congruence on  $A^*$  and let  $U, V$  be  $\sim$ -classes. For  $u, v \in A^*$ , if  $uv^\omega \in U.V^\omega$  and  $V.V \subseteq V$ , there exist  $w \in U$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in V$  such that  $ww_1 w_2^\omega = uv^\omega$ .

**Proof.** Let  $uv^\omega = u'v'_1 v'_2 \dots$  satisfying  $u' \in U$  and  $v'_i \in V$ , and let  $w(i, j) = v'_i \dots v'_{j-1}$  for  $i < j$ . Let  $k_j \equiv |w(1, j)| \pmod{|v|}$ . Then there exist  $k_{j_1}$  and  $k_{j_2}$  such that  $k_{j_1} < k_{j_2}$  and  $k_{j_1} \equiv k_{j_2} \pmod{|v|}$ . Since there are infinitely many such pairs, we can assume that  $|u| \leq |u'w(1, j_1 - 1)|$ . Let  $w_1 = w(1, j_1 - 1)$  and  $w_2 = w(j_1, j_2 - 1)$ . Since  $V.V \subseteq V$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in V$  and  $uv^\omega = u'w_1 w_2^\omega$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4** For a Büchi automata  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in A^\omega$ , let  $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket = \{U.V^\omega \mid \alpha \in U.V^\omega\}$  where  $U, V$  are  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ -classes. We define  $\alpha \preceq' \beta$  if  $\llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \beta \rrbracket \neq \emptyset$ . Then,

- (1)  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\preceq'$ -closed.
- (2)  $u_i \sim_{\mathcal{A}} v_i$  for each  $i$  imply  $u_1 u_2 \dots \preceq' v_1 v_2 \dots$ .

**Proof.** From Lemma 1.2,  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  saturates  $L$  and  $U.V^\omega \subseteq L$  for each  $U.V^\omega \in \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket$ . Thus  $L$  is  $\preceq'$ -closed.

From Lemma 1.4 (i), there exist a  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ -class  $V$  and  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  such that  $u(i_j, i_k) \in V$  for each  $j < k$ . Let  $U$  be a  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ -class such that  $u(1, i_1) \in U$ . (We borrow the notation from Lemma 1.4 (i).) Since  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a congruence,  $v(1, i_1) \in U$  and  $v(i_j, i_k) \in V$  for each  $j < k$ . Thus  $u_1 u_2 \dots \in U.V^\omega$  implies

$v_1 v_2 \cdots \in U.V^\omega$ , and  $\alpha \preceq \beta$ . ■

**Definition 2.5** [1] For  $u, v \in A^*$ , we define  $u \approx_L v$  if  $w(w_1 u w_2)^\omega \in L \Leftrightarrow w(w_1 v w_2)^\omega \in L$  and  $w_1 u w_2 w^\omega \in L \Leftrightarrow w_1 v w_2 w^\omega \in L$  for each  $w, w_1, w_2 \in A^*$ .

## Proof of Theorem 2.2

*Only-if part:* Assume  $L$  is regular. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Büchi automata such that  $L = L(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a finite congruence,  $(A^*, \sim_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a monotone WQO. Define  $\preceq$  as the transitive closure of  $\preceq'$  (defined in Lemma 2.4), then  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  is a periodic extension of  $(A^*, \sim_{\mathcal{A}})$  and  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\preceq$ -closed.

*If part:* Assume that  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed where  $\preceq$  is a periodic extension of a monotone WQO  $\leq$ . First, we show that  $\approx_L$  is a finite congruence. Assume that  $\{u_i\}$  is an infinite set in  $A^*$  such that  $u_i \not\approx_L u_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $(A^*, \leq)$  is a WQO, there exists an infinite ascending subsequence  $\{u_{k_i}\}$ .

Let  $F(u) = \{(v, v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2, w) \in A^* \times A^* \times A^* \times A^* \times A^* \times A^* \mid v(v_1 u v_2)^\omega \in L \wedge w_1 u w_2 w^\omega \in L\}$ . Since  $\preceq$  is a periodic extension of  $\leq$  and  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed, each  $F(u)$  is  $\leq \times \leq \times \leq \times \leq \times \leq \times \leq$ -closed and hence  $F(u_{k_i}) \subseteq F(u_{k_j})$  for  $i < j$ . Since  $u_{k_i} \not\approx_L u_{k_j}$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $F(u_{k_i}) \neq F(u_{k_j})$ , thus  $F(u_{k_i}) \subset F(u_{k_j})$ . Then there exists an infinite sequence in which each pair of different elements is incomparable. Since  $\leq \times \leq \times \leq \times \leq \times \leq \times \leq$  is a WQO over  $A^* \times A^* \times A^* \times A^* \times A^* \times A^*$ , this is a contradiction.

Second, we show that  $\approx_L$  saturates  $L$ . Assume that some  $\approx_L$ -classes  $U, V$  satisfy  $U.V^\omega \cap L \neq \phi$  and  $U.V^\omega \not\subseteq L$ . From Lemma 1.4 (ii), we can assume that  $V.V \subseteq V$ .

Let  $\alpha \in U.V^\omega \cap L$  and  $\beta \in U.V^\omega \setminus L$ . Since  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  is a periodic extension, from Lemma 2.3 there exist  $u, u' \in U$  and  $v_1, v_2, v'_1, v'_2 \in V$  such that  $\alpha = uv_1 v_2^\omega$  and  $\beta = u'v'_1 v'_2^\omega$ . By definition of  $\approx_L$ ,  $uv_1 v_2^\omega \in L$  and  $u'v'_1 v'_2^\omega \notin L$  are a contradiction. ■

## 3 Second theorem

**Definition 3.1** For a monotone QO  $(A^*, \leq)$ , a QO  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  is a *continuous extension* if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) For each  $u, v \in A^*$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in A^\omega$ ,  $u \leq v$  and  $\alpha \preceq \beta$  imply  $u\alpha \preceq v\beta$ .
- (2) Let  $u_j, v_j \in A^*$  for each  $j$  and let  $\alpha_i = v_1 \cdots v_{i-1} u_i \cdots$  for each  $i$  and  $\alpha_\infty = v_1 v_2 \cdots$ . For  $\beta \in A^\omega$ , if  $u_i \leq v_i$  and  $\alpha_i \preceq \beta$  for each  $i$  then  $\alpha_\infty \preceq \beta$ , and if  $u_i \geq v_i$  and  $\alpha_i \succeq \beta$  for each  $i$  then  $\alpha_\infty \succeq \beta$ .

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $L \subseteq A^\omega$ .  $L$  is regular if and only if  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed under a WQO  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  which is a continuous extension of a monotone WQO  $(A^*, \leq)$ .

For the embedding  $\leq$  over finite words, let  $(A^*, \leq^\circ)$  be defined as  $u \leq^\circ v$  if and only if  $u \leq v$  and  $\text{elt}(u) = \text{elt}(v)$  where  $\text{elt}(u) = \{a_i \mid u = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_j\}$ . Since the embedding  $\leq$  over finite words is a WQO from Higman's lemma,  $\leq^\circ$  is also a WQO. Then the embedding over  $A^\omega$  is a continuous extension of  $\leq^\circ$ . Note that the embedding over  $A^\omega$  is a continuous extension of the embedding  $\leq$  over finite words. Actually, any continuous extension of the embedding  $\leq$  over finite words is a trivial WQO (i.e.,  $A^\omega \times A^\omega$ ). For instance, given  $\alpha, \beta \in A^\omega$ . Let  $\alpha(1, i)$  be the prefix of  $\alpha$  of the length  $i$  and  $\alpha_i = \alpha(1, i). for each  $i$ . Since  $\alpha(1, i) \geq \epsilon$ ,  $\alpha_i \succeq \beta$  for each  $i$ . Thus by definition of continuity  $\alpha_\infty = \alpha \succeq \beta$ . Hence for any  $\alpha, \beta \in A^\omega$ , we conclude  $\alpha \succeq \beta$ .$

**Definition 3.3** Let  $u, v \in A^*$  and let  $L \subseteq A^\omega$ . We write

- $u \simeq_L^1 v$  if and only if  $\forall w \in A^*, \forall \alpha \in A^\omega. wu\alpha \in L \Leftrightarrow wv\alpha \in L$ ,
- $u \simeq_L^2 v$  if and only if  $\forall w \in A^*. wu^\omega \in L \Leftrightarrow wv^\omega \in L$ , and
- $u \simeq_L v$  if and only if  $u \simeq_L^1 v$  and  $u \simeq_L^2 v$ .

### Proof of Theorem 3.2

*Only-if part:* Assume  $L$  is regular. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Büchi automata such that  $L = L(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a finite congruence,  $(A^*, \sim_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a monotone WQO. Define  $\preceq$  as the transitive closure of  $\preceq'$  (defined in Lemma 2.4), then  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\preceq$ -closed. Since  $\preceq'$  is symmetric,  $(A^\omega, \preceq)$  is a continuous extension of  $(A^*, \sim_{\mathcal{A}})$  from Lemma 2.4 (ii).

*If part:* First, we show that  $\simeq_L$  is a finite congruence. Assume that  $\{u_i\}$  is an infinite set in  $A^*$  such that  $u_i \not\simeq_L u_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $(A^*, \leq)$  is a WQO, there exists an infinite ascending subsequence  $\{u_{k_i}\}$ .

Let  $F(u) \subseteq A^* \times A^\omega \times A^*$  be a set such that  $(w, \alpha, v) \in F(u) \Leftrightarrow wu\alpha \in L \wedge v u^\omega \in L$ . Then, each  $F(u)$  is  $\leq \times \preceq \times \leq$ -closed and hence  $F(u_{k_i}) \subseteq F(u_{k_j})$  for  $i < j$ . Since  $u_{k_i} \not\simeq_L u_{k_j}$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $F(u_{k_i}) \neq F(u_{k_j})$ , thus  $F(u_{k_i}) \subset F(u_{k_j})$ . Then there exists an infinite sequence in which each pair of different elements is incomparable. Since  $\leq \times \preceq \times \leq$  is a WQO over  $A^* \times A^\omega \times A^*$ , this is a contradiction.

Second, we show that  $\simeq_L$  saturates  $L$ . Assume that some  $\simeq_L$ -classes  $U, V$  satisfy  $U.V^\omega \cap L \neq \phi$  and  $U.V^\omega \not\subseteq L$ . From Lemma 1.4 (2), we can assume that  $V.V \subseteq V$ .

Let  $\alpha = uv_1v_2\cdots$  be a minimal element (wrt  $\preceq$ ) in  $U.V^\omega \cap L$ , and let  $\beta = u'v'_1v'_2\cdots \in U.V^\omega \setminus L$  such that  $u, u' \in U$  and  $v_i, v'_i \in V$ . Let  $\{\bar{v}_l\}$  be sets of

minimal elements of  $V$  wrt  $\leq$ . Since  $(V, \leq)$  is a WQO,  $\{\bar{v}_l\}$  are finite.

Let  $\alpha'(j, j+k) = v_j \cdots v_{j+k}$ . Since  $\bar{v}_l$  are finitely many, from (infinite) *Ramsey Theorem* there exist  $l$  and an ascending sequence  $0 < j_1 < j_2 < \cdots$  such that  $\alpha'(j_m, j_{m+1} - 1) \geq \bar{v}_l$  for any  $m > 0$ .

Let  $\alpha_m = u \alpha'(1, j_1 - 1) \bar{v}_l^{m-1} \alpha'(j_m, j_{m+1} - 1) \cdots$ . Obviously,  $\alpha_m \preceq \alpha$  and  $\alpha_m \in U.V^\omega \cap L$ . Since  $\alpha$  is minimal in  $U.V^\omega \cap L$ ,  $\alpha_m \succeq \alpha$ . By definition of the continuous extension,  $\alpha_\infty = u \alpha'(1, j_1 - 1) \bar{v}_l^\omega \succeq \alpha$ . Thus since  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed,  $\alpha_\infty \in U.V^\omega \cap L$ .

Let  $\beta'(j, j+k) = v'_j \cdots v'_{j+k}$ . Since  $\bar{v}_l$  are finitely many, from (infinite) *Ramsey Theorem* there exist  $l'$  and an ascending sequence  $0 < j'_1 < j'_2 < \cdots$  such that  $\beta'(j'_m, j'_{m+1} - 1) \geq \bar{v}_{l'}$  for any  $m > 0$ . Let  $\beta_\infty = u' \beta'(1, j'_1 - 1) \bar{v}_{l'}^\omega$ . By definition of the continuous extension,  $\beta_\infty \preceq \beta$ . Since  $L$  is  $\preceq$ -closed,  $\beta \notin L$  implies  $\beta_\infty \notin L$ . Thus  $\bar{\beta} \in U.V^\omega \setminus L$ .

Since  $u \simeq_L^1 u'$  and  $\bar{v}_j \simeq_L^2 \bar{v}_{j'}$  for each  $j$ , repeated applications of  $\simeq_L^1$  and an application of  $\simeq_L^2$  imply that  $\alpha_\infty \in L \Leftrightarrow \beta_\infty \in L$ . This contradicts to  $\alpha_\infty \in L$  and  $\beta_\infty \notin L$ . ■

**Example 3.4** Either periodic or continuous assumption cannot be dropped. Let  $\beta = abaabaaabaaaab \cdots$  and let  $L(\beta)$  be the set of  $\omega$ -words that have a common suffix with  $\beta$ . For  $\alpha \in A^\omega$ , let  $p_\beta(\alpha) = 1$  if  $\alpha \in L(\beta)$  and let  $p_\beta(\alpha) = 0$  if  $\alpha \notin L(\beta)$ . Define  $\alpha \preceq \alpha' \Leftrightarrow p_\beta(\alpha) \leq p_\beta(\alpha')$ . Then  $\preceq$  is a WQO over  $\omega$ -words and  $L(\beta)$  is  $\preceq$ -closed, but  $L(\beta)$  is not regular.

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks to Jean-Eric PIN for valuable comments at the previous presentation.

## References

- [1] A. Arnold. A syntactic congruence for rational  $\omega$ -languages. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 39:333–335, 1985.
- [2] A. Ehrenfeucht, D. Hausser, and G. Rozenberg. On regularity of context-free languages. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 27:311–332, 1983.
- [3] W. Thomas. Automata on infinite objects. In J. van Leeuwen, editor, *Handbook of Theoretical Computer Science*, volume B, chapter 4, pages 133–192. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1990.