

# Logic for Computer Science

Summer Semester  
2019-2020

Lectures : LORENZO CLEMENTE

Tutorials : DARIA WALUKIEWICZ, JACEK CHRZASZCZ,  
JĘDRZEJ KOTODZIEJSKI

Labs : DARIA, JACEK + PIOTR HOFMAN

# ORGANIZATION

- WEBSITE of the course : [ldem.github.io/logic-course](https://ldem.github.io/logic-course)  
(or google my name)
- This year we have a problem book !
- Please ask questions.

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT – ARISTOTLE'S SYLLOGISM

OK

P1 [No homework is fun

P2 [Some reading is homework

---

C [Some reading is not fun

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT – ARISTOTLE'S SYLLOGISM

Some mammals are whales

NO

Some whales are big

~~YES?~~

Some mammals are big

All unicorns are mammals

YES

All mammals are animals

Some unicorns are animals

non-empty  
models

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT

- Leibniz (1646-1716): "quando orientur controversial sufficiente sedere ad abacos et sibi motus dicere : CALCULEMUS"
- Bernhard Bolzano (1781-1848), precise handling of quantifiers: continuity of  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 
$$\forall x \cdot \forall \varepsilon > 0 \cdot \exists \delta > 0 \cdot \forall y \cdot |y - x| \leq \delta \rightarrow |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$
- Augustus de Morgan (1806-1871), eponymous laws:  
 $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$  and  $\overline{A \cap B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT - "Mathematical analysis of logic", 1847

- George Boole (1815-1864). Birth of MATHEMATICAL LOGIC

- Mathematical (algebraic) approach to logic.

→ logic became a discipline that could be studied mathematically.

- Analysis of the syllogism with Boole's algebra

$$(B, +, \cdot, 0, 1), B = \{0, 1\} \quad (1 + 1 = 0)$$

Every  $P$  is  $q$  :  $Pq = P$

Every  $P$  is  $\neg q$  :  $P(1+q) = P$

Equational /  
Reasoning!

- Beyond 3 predicates :  $Pq = P, q\ell = q, \ell s = \ell$

$$\underline{ps = p}$$

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT

- Charles S. Pierce (1839-1914) : Theory of relations, CNF, DNF, quantifiers  $\Pi, \Sigma$  - "Algebra of Logic" 1885 decision procedure for propositional logic based on truth tables.
- Gottlob Frege (1848-1925), worked independently.  
"Begriffsschrift" (= concept script, 1879) : relations & quantifiers
- Giuseppe Peano (1858-1932). Symbol for the existential quantifier  $\exists$ .
- Edward V. Huntington (1874-1952) : axiomatisation and naming of "Boolean algebra", albeit with " $t$ " = INCLUSIVE OR.

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT

- Bertrand Russell (1872-1970): his eponymous Paradox (1901) destroyed Frege's formalisation of mathematics:  
$$A = \{B \mid B \notin B\} . \quad A \in A ?$$
- Fixup: Theory of types.
- Leopold Löwenheim (1878-1957). Started Model Theory
- David Hilbert (1862-1943).
  - Introduction of  $\forall$  for the universal quantifier.
  - Birth of modern mathematical logic.
  - Logicist program: complete & decidable Axiomatization of mathematics.  
→ ENTSCHEIDUNGSPROBLEM (decision problem, 1928)

# HISTORICAL CONTEXT

- Alonzo Church (1903 - 1995) . Undecidability of the validity problem in first-order logic, undecidability of first-order arithmetic (1936)
- Alan Turing (1912 - 1954) .  
"On computable numbers, with application to the Entscheidungsproblem" (1936). Also / Msc: Rediscovery of the central limit theorem (1935)
  - \ Phd on ordinal logics (1938)  
- incomputable oracles
- Kurt Gödel (1906 - 1978).
  - Completeness theorem for Hilbert's proof system (1929)
  - Incompleteness theorems for arithmetic (1931)
  - General recursive functions (1933).
    - American Guest
    - Time travel
    - Starving

# LOGIC in COMPUTER SCIENCE

- Circuits: Claude Shannon (1916-2001) 1938  
(Master thesis)
- Intuitionistic logic: The law of excluded middle  
" $P \vee \neg P$ " is not valid (Brouwer, Heyting, Kolmogorov,...)
- Complexity Theory: Cook's Theorem SAT is NP-complete
- Descriptive Complexity:
  - Fagin's Theorem:  $\text{NP} = \exists \text{SO}$  (1974)  
(Birth of Finite model theory)
  - Stockmeyer's Theorem:  $\text{PH} = \text{SO}$  (1977)

# LOGIC in COMPUTER SCIENCE

## - Database Theory :

Codd's Theorem  $FO = \text{Relational Algebra}$  (1970).

## - Type Theory : Curry - Howard Correspondence :

Types  $\leftrightarrow$  Propositions

Programs  $\leftrightarrow$  Proofs

Execution  $\leftrightarrow$  Proof simplification (cut elimination)

## - Artificial intelligence (Knowledge representation)

- Modal logics, description logics, epistemic logics, ...

# LOGIC in COMPUTER SCIENCE

- Temporal logics
  - LTL, CTL, Hennessy-Milner,  $\mu$ -calculus, ...
  - Verification of hardware & software (model checking)
- Theories of programs
  - Hoare logic (program correctness), PDL, ...
- Programming languages
  - Simple types, polymorphic types, dependent types, recursive types, intersection types, ...

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC - SYNTAX

$$\varphi, \psi := \perp \mid \top \mid \varphi \wedge \psi \mid \varphi \vee \psi \mid \neg \varphi \mid \varphi \rightarrow \psi \mid \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$$

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC - SEMANTICS

Truth Tables

P	$\neg P$
0	1
1	0

P	q	$P \wedge q$	$P \vee q$
0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Propositional Variables  $Z = \{P, q, \dots\}$

Truth valuation  $p: Z \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

Extended to all formulas

$$[\neg q]_p = p(\neg q), [\psi_1 \psi]_p = F_1([\psi]_p, [\psi]_p), \dots$$

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC - TAUTOLOGIES

$\varphi$  is a tautology  $\Leftrightarrow \forall p \cdot [I\varphi]_p = 1$   
satisfiable  $\exists p \cdot [I\varphi]_p = 1$

## SATISFIABILITY PROBLEM (SAT)

Input:  $\varphi$

Output: is  $\varphi$  satisfiable? NP-complete

## TAUTOLOGY PROBLEM (coNP-complete)

# PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC - ALTERNATIVE SEMANTICS

- Three-valued logics  $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\}$

- Heyting-Kleene-tukasiewicz  $\frac{1}{2} = \text{"Unknown"}$   
 $\approx \text{SQL NULL Semantics}$

P	$\neg P$
0	1
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
1	0

$\wedge$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
0	0	0	0
$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1

$\vee$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1
1	1	1	1

- Bochvar:  $\frac{1}{2}$  propagates and means "fault".
- Sobociński:  $\frac{1}{2}$  propagates only if all inputs are  $\frac{1}{2}$
- Probabilistic logics  $([0,1], \oplus, \cdot)$