

Stat 207 HW2

Cheng Luo 912466499
Fan Wu 912538518

January 21, 2015

1 25.7

```
(a) sod = read.table("CH25PR07.txt")
names(sod) = c("Y", "A", "B")
fit = lm(Y ~ factor(A), data = sod)
anova(fit)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Y
##          Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## factor(A)  5 854.53  170.906   238.71 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 42  30.07    0.716
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Therefore, test whether or not the mean sodium content is the same in all brands sold in the metropolitan area:

$$H_0: \sigma_\mu^2 = 0$$

$$\text{VS. } H_1: \sigma_\mu^2 \neq 0$$

$$F^* = \frac{MSA}{MSE} = 238.71$$

we can reject H_0 if $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 5, 42) = 3.488235$, otherwise reject H_1

so that reject H_0 because $F^* > F(1 - 0.05; 2, 48) = 3.488235$,

therefore, the mean sodium content is not the same in all brands sold in the metropolitan area

```
(b) y_bar = 17.62917
s = sqrt(170.906/48)
t = qt(0.995, 5)
c(y_bar-s*t, y_bar+s*t)

## [1] 10.02076 25.23758
```

$$\bar{Y}_{..} = 17.62917$$

$$s(\bar{Y}_{..}) = \sqrt{\frac{MSTR}{nr}} = \sqrt{\frac{170.906}{48}} = 1.88694$$

$$t(1 - \alpha/2, r - 1) = t(1 - 0.01/2, 6 - 1) = 4.032143$$

Therefore, the confident interval is $10.021 \leq \mu. \leq 25.237$.

2 25.8

```
(a) f_low = qf(0.005, 5, 42)
     f_high = qf(0.995, 5, 42)
     f_star = 238.71
     n = 8
     u = 1/n*(f_star/f_low - 1)
     l = 1/n*(f_star/f_high - 1)
     L_star = l/(l+1)
     U_star = u/(u+1)
     c(L_star, U_star)

## [1] 0.8812875 0.9973277
```

So a 99% confidence interval for $\sigma_\mu^2/(\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma^2)$ is (0.8812875, 0.9973277)

(b) Since

$$E(MSE) = \sigma^2$$

$$E(MSTR) = n\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma^2$$

MSE = 0.716 estimates σ^2 , and $s_\mu^2 = \frac{MSTR - MSE}{n} = 21.27375$ estimates σ_μ^2

```
(c) sse = 0.716
     x1 = qchisq(0.005, 42)
     x2 = qchisq(0.995, 42)
     c(30.07/x2, 30.07/x1)

## [1] 0.4336853 1.3582695
```

Since

$$SSE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{(r(n-1))}^2, r=6, n=8$$

then $\frac{SSE}{\chi_{(0.995, 42)}^2} \leq \sigma^2 \leq \frac{SSE}{\chi_{(0.005, 42)}^2}$, which means the 95% confidence interval of σ^2 is (0.4336853, 1.3582695)

(d) test:

$$H_0: \sigma_\mu^2 \leq 2\sigma^2$$

$$\text{VS. } H_1: \sigma_\mu^2 > 2\sigma^2$$

$$F^* = \frac{MSTR/(2n+1)}{MSE} = 14.04091$$

we can reject H_0 if $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 5, 42) = 3.488235$, otherwise reject H_1

so that reject H_0 because $F^* > F(1 - 0.05; 2, 48) = 3.488235$,
therefore, the variance of sodium content between brands is more than
twice as great as that within brands.

(e)

```

c1=0.125
c2=-.125
ms1=170.906
ms2=0.716
df1=5
df2=42
F1=qf(.995,5,Inf)
F2=qf(.995,42,Inf)
F3=qf(.995,Inf,5)
F4=qf(.995,Inf,42)
F5=qf(.995,5,42)
F6=qf(.995,42,5)
G1=1-1/F1
G2=1-1/F2
G3=((F5-1)^2-(G1*F5)^2-(F4-1)^2)/F5
G4=F6*((F6-1)/F6)^2 - ((F3-1)/F6)^2 - G2^2
Hl = sqrt((G1*c1*ms1)^2 + ((F4-1)*c2*ms2)^2 - G3*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
Hl

## [1] 14.98986

Hu = sqrt((G2*c2*ms2)^2 + ((F3-1)*c1*ms1)^2 - G4*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
Hu

## [1] 238.0569

sigma_mu = 21.27375
c(sigma_mu-Hl, sigma_mu+Hu)

## [1] 6.283885 259.330628

```

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(MSTR) &= n\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma^2 & E(MSE) &= \sigma^2 \\
 \text{Base on } L = \sigma_\mu^2 &= c_1 E(MSTR) + c_2 E(MSE) \\
 \text{then } c_1 = 1/n &= 0.125, c_2 = -1/n = -0.125 \\
 \text{and } MSTR &= 170.906, MSE = 0.716, df1 = 5, df2 = 42
 \end{aligned}$$

According to R code, $H_l = 14.98986$ $H_u = 238.0569$ $\sigma_\mu^2 = 21.27375$
so that $6.283885 \leq \sigma_\mu^2 \leq 259.330628$

Confidence interval is very large, because the small sample sizes and the difficulty in estimating variance component precisely.

3 25.11

Let $(\bar{\alpha}\beta)_{.j}^*$ denote the mean of the unrestricted interaction terms $(\alpha\beta)_{.1}^*, (\alpha\beta)_{.2}^* \cdots (\alpha\beta)_{.n}^*$
 so that $(\alpha\beta)_{ij} = (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - (\bar{\alpha}\beta)_{.j}^*$
 Therefore, $\sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \sum_i ((\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - (\bar{\alpha}\beta)_{.j}^*) = \sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - \sum_i (\bar{\alpha}\beta)_{.j}^* = 0$
 but $\sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \sum_j ((\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - (\bar{\alpha}\beta)_{.j}^*) = \sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - \sum_j (\bar{\alpha}\beta)_{.j}^*$, usually it doesn't equal zero.

4 25.12

We should choose Two factors model (A fixed, B random, ANOVA III, mixed model)

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu_{..} + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

We choose this model, because there are only 3 possible price, so it's fixed, but we choose 3 colors randomly from many colors to represent the range of different color, so we use this model.

5 25.16

```
(a) dat = read.table('CH19PR16.txt')
names(dat) = c('y', 'A', 'B', 'k')
dat$A = as.factor(dat$A)
dat$B = as.factor(dat$B)
a = length(unique(dat$A))
b = length(unique(dat$B))
n = length(unique(dat$k'))
model = aov(y ~ B + Error(A*B), data = dat)
model.aov = summary(model)
qf(1-0.01,4,36)

## [1] 3.890308

pf(303.8/52.01,4,36,lower.tail = FALSE)

## [1] 0.0009944442
```

Test:

$$H_0: \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 = 0$$

$$\text{VS. } H_1: \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 > 0$$

$$F^* = \frac{MSAB}{MSE} = 303.8/52.01 = 5.841184$$

we can reject H_0 if $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 4, 36) = 3.890308$, otherwise reject H_1
so that reject H_0 because $F^* > 3.890308$,
therefore, there are two factors interact, and P-value of it is 0.0009944442

(b) Since

$$E(MSAB) - E(MSE) = n\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$$

then

$$s_{\alpha\beta}^2 = (MSAB - MSE)/n = (303.8 - 52.01)/5 = 50.358$$

therefore, $s_{\alpha\beta}^2 = 50.358$ is estimate of $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$, $MSE = 52.01$ is estimate of σ^2 ,
so that $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$ appears to be small relative to σ^2 .

(c) test:

$$H_0: \sigma_\alpha^2 = 0$$

$$\text{VS. } H_1: \sigma_\alpha^2 > 0$$

$$F^* = \frac{MSA}{MSE} = 12.29/52.01 = 0.2363007$$

we can reject H_0 if $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 2, 36) = 5.247894$, otherwise reject H_1
so that reject H_1 because $F^* < 5.247894$,
therefore, no factor A main effects are present, but the interaction effects
are present.

(d) test:

$$H_0: \text{all } \beta_j \text{ equal zero (j=1,2,3)}$$

$$\text{VS. } H_1: \text{not all } \beta_j \text{ equal zero (j=1,2,3)}$$

$$F^* = \frac{MSB}{MSAB} = 14.16/303.8 = 0.04660961$$

we can reject H_0 if $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 2, 4) = 18$, otherwise reject H_1
so that reject H_1 because $F^* < 18$,
therefore, no factor B main effects are present, but the interaction effects
are present.

(e)

```
means_j = with(dat, by(y, B, mean))
means_j
```

```

## B: 1
## [1] 56.13333
## -----
## B: 2
## [1] 56.6
## -----
## B: 3
## [1] 54.73333

D1 = means_j[1]-means_j[2]
D2 = means_j[1]-means_j[3]
D3 = means_j[2]-means_j[3]
MSAB = 303.8
s = sqrt(MSAB/(n*a)*(1+1))
alpha = .05
q. = 1/sqrt(2)*qtukey(1-alpha, b, (a-1)*(b-1))
c(D1-s*q., D1+s*q.)

##          1          1
## -23.14962  22.21629

c(D2-s*q., D2+s*q.)

##          1          1
## -21.28295  24.08295

c(D3-s*q., D3+s*q.)

##          2          2
## -20.81629  24.54962

```

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}_{.1.} &= 56.13333, \bar{Y}_{.2.} = 56.6, \bar{Y}_{.3.} = 54.73333 \\
\hat{D}_1 &= \bar{Y}_{.1.} - \bar{Y}_{.2.} = -0.4666667, \hat{D}_2 = \bar{Y}_{.1.} - \bar{Y}_{.3.} = 1.4, \hat{D}_3 = \bar{Y}_{.2.} - \bar{Y}_{.3.} = 1.866667 \\
S &= \sqrt{\frac{MSAB}{na} \sum c_i} = 6.364485, T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{qtukey}(1 - \alpha, b, (a-1) * (b-1)) = 3.563989 \\
&\text{base on } \hat{D}_i \pm S * T \\
&-23.14962 \leq D_1 \leq 22.21629 \\
&-21.28295 \leq D_2 \leq 24.08295 \\
&-20.81629 \leq D_3 \leq 24.54962
\end{aligned}$$

It means D1,D2,D3 can equal to zero, there's no significant factor B

effect.

```
(f) mu_j1 = means_j[1]
    mu_j1

##          1
## 56.13333

    MSA = 12.29
    MSAB = 303.8
    c1 = (a-1)/(n*a*b)
    c2 = 1/(n*a*b)
    s = sqrt(c1*MSAB+c2*MSA)
    s

## [1] 3.711514

    df = s^4/( (c1*MSAB)^2/((a-1)*(b-1)) + (c2*MSA)^2/((a-1)))
    t = qt(1-0.01/2, (df))
    t

## [1] 4.485356

    c(mu_j1-s*t, mu_j1+s*t)

##          1          1
## 39.48587 72.78079
```

$$\hat{\mu}_{.1} = 56.13333, MSA = 12.29, MSAB = 303.8$$

$$c_1 = \frac{a-1}{nab} = 0.04444444, c_2 = \frac{1}{nab} = 0.02222222$$

$$s = \sqrt{c_1 * MSAB + c_2 * MSA} = 3.711514$$

$$df = \frac{s^4}{\frac{(\frac{a-1}{nab} MSAB)^2}{(a-1)(b-1)} + \frac{(\frac{1}{nab} MSA)^2}{(a-1)}} = 4.160049$$

$$t = t(1 - \alpha/2; df) = 4.485356$$

confidence limits $\hat{\mu}_{.i} \pm s * t$

$$39.48587 \leq \mu_{.1} \leq 72.78079$$

We are 99% confident that $\mu_{.1}$ is between (39.48587, 72.78079)


```

(g)  c1=1/15
      c2=-1/15
      ms1=12.29
      ms2=52.01
      df1=2
      df2=36
      F1=qf(.995,2,Inf)
      F2=qf(.995,36,Inf)
      F3=qf(.995,Inf,2)
      F4=qf(.995,Inf,36)
      F5=qf(.995,2,36)
      F6=qf(.995,36,2)
      G1=1-1/F1
      G2=1-1/F2
      G3=((F5-1)^2-(G1*F5)^2-(F4-1)^2)/F5
      G4=F6*((F6-1)/F6)^2 - ((F3-1)/F6)^2 - G2^2
      Hl = sqrt((G1*c1*ms1)^2 + ((F4-1)*c2*ms2)^2 - G3*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
      Hl

## [1] 3.613885

      Hu = sqrt((G2*c2*ms2)^2 + ((F3-1)*c1*ms1)^2 - G4*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
      Hu

## [1] 162.3423

      sigma_mu = (ms1-ms2)/(n*b)
      c(max(0,0-Hl), 0+Hu)

## [1] 0.0000 162.3423

```

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(MSA) &= nb\sigma_\mu^2 + \sigma^2 & E(MSE) &= \sigma^2 \\
 \text{Base on } L &= \sigma_\mu^2 = c_1 E(MSA) + c_2 E(MSE) \\
 \text{then } c_1 &= 1/(nb) = 0.06666667, c_2 = -1/(nb) = -0.06666667 \\
 \text{and } MSA &= 12.29, MSE = 52.01, df1 = 2, df2 = 36
 \end{aligned}$$

According to MLS procedure, $H_l = 3.613885$ $H_u = 162.3423$ $\sigma_\mu^2 = -2.648$

since $\sigma_\mu^2 = -2.648 < 0$, so that $\sigma_\mu^2 = 0$

so that 99% confident interval is $\max(0, 0 - H_l) \leq \sigma_\mu^2 \leq 0 + H_u$, which means $0 \leq \sigma_\mu^2 \leq 162.3423$

Confidence interval is very large, because the small sample sizes and the difficulty in estimating variance component precisely.

6 25.30

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\leq \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma^2} \leq U \\
\frac{1}{L} &\geq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_\mu^2} \geq \frac{1}{U} \\
\frac{1+L}{L} &\geq \frac{\sigma^2 + \sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_\mu^2} \geq \frac{1+U}{U} \\
\frac{L}{1+L} &\leq \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_\mu^2} \leq \frac{U}{1+U}
\end{aligned}$$

7 25.32

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{ij} &= \mu_{..} + \rho_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij} \\
\text{then } \sigma^2\{Y_{ij}\} &= \sigma^2\{\mu_{..} + \rho_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij}\} = \sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2 \\
\sigma^2\{\bar{Y}_{.j}\} &= \sigma^2\{\mu_{..} + \frac{\sum \rho_i}{n_b} + \tau_j + \bar{\epsilon}_{.j}\} = \sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n_b
\end{aligned}$$

8 25.34

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^2\{Y_{ij}, Y_{ij'}\} &= E\{(Y_{ij} - E(Y_{ij}))(Y_{ij'} - E(Y_{ij'}))\} \\
&= E\{[\mu_{..} + \rho_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij} - (\mu_{..} + \tau_j)][\mu_{..} + \rho_i + \tau_{j'} + \epsilon_{ij'} - (\mu_{..} + \tau_{j'})]\} \\
&= E\{(\rho_i + \epsilon_{ij})(\rho_i + \epsilon_{ij'})\} \\
&= E(\rho_i^2) + E(\rho_i \epsilon_{ij'}) + E(\rho_i \epsilon_{ij}) + E(\epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{ij'}) \\
&= (E(\rho_i))^2 + \sigma_\rho^2 \\
&= \sigma_\rho^2
\end{aligned}$$