# **Stat 207 HW2**

Cheng Luo 912466499 Fan Wu 912538518

January 26, 2015

#### $1 \quad 25.7$

```
(a) sod = read.table("CH25PR07.txt")
    names(sod) = c("Y", "A", "B")
    fit = lm(Y ~ factor(A), data = sod)
    anova(fit)

## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Y
## Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## factor(A) 5 854.53 170.906 238.71 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 42 30.07 0.716
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1</pre>
```

Therefore, test whether or not the mean sodium content is the same in all brands sold in the metropolitan area:

$$H_0:\sigma_\mu^2=0$$
 VS.  $H_1:\sigma_\mu^2\neq 0$  
$$F^*=\frac{MSA}{MSE}=238.71$$

we can reject  $H_0$  if  $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 5, 42) = 3.488235$ , otherwise reject  $H_1$  so that reject  $H_0$  because  $F^* > F(1 - 0.05; 2, 48) = 3.488235$ ,

therefore, the mean sodium content is not the same in all brands sold in the metropolitan area

```
(b) y_bar = 17.62917
s = sqrt(170.906/48)
t = qt(0.995,5)
c(y_bar-s*t, y_bar+s*t)
## [1] 10.02076 25.23758
```

$$\bar{Y}_{\cdot\cdot} = 17.62917$$
 
$$s(\bar{Y}_{\cdot\cdot}) = \sqrt{\frac{MSTR}{nr}} = \sqrt{\frac{170.906}{48}} = 1.88694$$
 
$$t(1-\alpha/2,r-1) = t(1-0.01/2,6-1) = 4.032143$$

Therefore, the confident interval is  $10.021 \le \mu \le 25.237$ .

## 2 25.8

```
(a) f_low = qf(0.005, 5, 42)
f_high = qf(0.995, 5, 42)
f_star = 238.71
n = 8
u = 1/n*(f_star/f_low - 1)
1 = 1/n*(f_star/f_high - 1)
L_star = 1/(1+1)
U_star = u/(u+1)
c(L_star, U_star)
## [1] 0.8812875 0.9973277
```

So a 99% confidence interval for  $\sigma_{\mu}^2/(\sigma_{\mu}^2+\sigma^2)$  is (0.8812875, 0.9973277)

(b) Since

$$E(MSE) = \sigma^{2}$$
 
$$E(MSTR) = n\sigma_{\mu}^{2} + \sigma^{2}$$

MSE = 0.716 estimates  $\sigma^2$ , and  $s_{\mu}^2 = \frac{MSTR - MSE}{n} = 21.27375$  estimates  $\sigma_{\mu}^2$ 

Since

$$SSE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{(r(n-1))}$$
, r=6,n=8

then  $\frac{SSE}{\chi^2_{(0.995,42)}} \le \sigma^2 \le \frac{SSE}{\chi^2_{(0.005,42)}}$ , which means the 95% confidence interval of  $\sigma^2$  is (0.4336853,1.3582695)

(d) test:

$$H_0: \sigma_{\mu}^2 \le 2\sigma^2$$
 VS.  $H_1: \sigma_{\mu}^2 > 2\sigma^2$   $F^* = \frac{MSTR/(2n+1)}{MSE} = 14.04091$ 

we can reject  $H_0$  if  $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 5, 42) = 3.488235$ ,otherwise reject  $H_1$ 

so that reject  $H_0$  because  $F^* > F(1 - 0.05; 2, 48) = 3.488235$ , therefore, the variance of sodium content between brands is more than twice as great as that within brands.

```
c1=0.125
(e)
     c2 = -.125
     ms1=170.906
     ms2=0.716
     df1=5
     df2=42
     F1=qf(.995,5,Inf)
     F2=qf(.995,42,Inf)
     F3=qf(.995, Inf,5)
     F4=qf(.995, Inf, 42)
     F5=qf(.995,5,42)
     F6=qf(.995,42,5)
     G1=1-1/F1
     G2=1-1/F2
     G3=((F5-1)^2-(G1*F5)^2-(F4-1)^2)/F5
     G4=F6*((F6-1)/F6)^2 - (F3-1)/F6)^2 - G2^2)
     H1 = sqrt( (G1*c1*ms1)^2 + ((F4-1)*c2*ms2)^2 - G3*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
     Hl
   ## [1] 14.98986
     Hu = sqrt( (G2*c2*ms2)^2 + ((F3-1)*c1*ms1)^2 - G4*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
   ## [1] 238.0569
     sigma_mu = 21.27375
     c(sigma_mu-Hl, sigma_mu+Hu)
   ## [1] 6.283885 259.330628
```

$$\begin{split} & \text{E(MSTR)} = n\sigma_{\mu}^2 + \sigma^2 & \text{E(MSE)} = \sigma^2 \\ & \text{Base on } L = \sigma_{\mu}^2 = c_1 E(MSTR) + c_2 E(MSE) \\ & \text{then } c_1 = 1/n = 0.125, \ c_2 = -1/n = -0.125 \\ & \text{and } MSTR = 170.906, MSE = 0.716, df1 = 5, df2 = 42 \end{split}$$

```
According to R code, H_l = 14.98986 H_u = 238.0569 \sigma_{\mu}^2 = 21.27375 so that 6.283885 \le \sigma_{\mu}^2 \le 259.330628
```

Confidence interval is very large, because the small sample sizes and the difficulty in estimating variance component precisely.

## 3 25.11

Let  $(\bar{\alpha\beta})_{.j}^*$  denote the mean of the unrestricted interaction terms  $(\alpha\beta)_{.1}^*, (\alpha\beta)_{.2}^* \cdots (\alpha\beta)_{.n}^*$  so that  $(\alpha\beta)_{ij} = (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - (\bar{\alpha\beta})_{.j}^*$ Therefore,  $\sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \sum_i ((\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - (\bar{\alpha\beta})_{.j}^*) = \sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - \sum_i (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* = 0$  but  $\sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij} = \sum_j ((\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - (\bar{\alpha\beta})_{.j}^*) = \sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^* - \sum_j (\bar{\alpha\beta})_{.j}^*$ , usually it doesn't equal zero.

## 4 25.12

We should choose Two factors model (A fixed, B random, ANOVA III, mixed model)

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu.. + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

We choose this model, because there are only 3 possible price, so it's fixed, but we choose 3 colors randomly from many colors to represent the range of different color, so we use this model.

## 5 25.16

```
(a) dat = read.table('CH19PR16.txt')
    names(dat) = c('y', 'A', 'B', 'k')
    dat$A = as.factor(dat$A)
    dat$B = as.factor(dat$B)
    a = length(unique(dat$'A'))
    b = length(unique(dat$'B'))
    n = length(unique(dat$'k'))
    model = aov(y ~ B + Error(A*B), data = dat)
    model.aov = summary(model)
    qf(1-0.01,4,36)

## [1] 3.890308

pf(303.8/52.01,4,36,lower.tail = FALSE)

## [1] 0.0009944442
```

Test:

$$H_0:\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2=0$$
 VS.  $H_1:\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2>0$   $F^*=\frac{MSAB}{MSE}=303.8/52.01=5.841184$ 

we can reject  $H_0$  if  $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 4, 36) = 3.890308$ , otherwise reject  $H_1$  so that reject  $H_0$  because  $F^* > 3.890308$ ,

therefore, there are two factors interact, and P-value of it is 0.0009944442

#### (b) Since

$$E(MSAB)-E(MSE)=n\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$$

then

$$s_{\alpha\beta}^2 = (MSAB - MSE)/n = (303.8 - 52.01)/5 = 50.358$$

therefore,  $s_{\alpha\beta}^2 = 50.358$  is estimate of  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$ , MSE = 52.01 is estimate of  $\sigma^2$ , so that  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$  appears to be small relative to  $\sigma^2$ .

#### (c) test:

$$H_0{:}\sigma_{\alpha}^2=0$$
 VS.  $H_1{:}\sigma_{\alpha}^2>0$  
$$F^*=\frac{MSA}{MSE}=12.29/52.01=0.2363007$$

we can reject  $H_0$  if  $F^* > F(1 - 0.01; 2, 36) = 5.247894$ , otherwise reject  $H_1$  because  $F^* < 5.247894$ ,

therefore, no factor A main effects are present, but the interaction effects are present.

#### (d) test:

$$H_0$$
:all  $\beta_j$  equal zero(j=1,2,3)  
VS.  $H_1$ :not all  $\beta_j$  equal zero(j=1,2,3)  
 $F^* = \frac{MSB}{MSAB} = 14.16/303.8 = 0.04660961$   
we can reject  $H_0$  if  $F^* > F(1-0.01;2,4) = 18$ ,otherwise reject  $H_1$   
so that reject  $H_1$  because  $F^* < 18$ ,

therefore, no factor B main effects are present, but the interaction effects are present.

```
## B: 1
## [1] 56.13333
## B: 2
## [1] 56.6
## ----
## B: 3
## [1] 54.73333
  D1 = means_j[1] - means_j[2]
  D2 = means_j[1] - means_j[3]
  D3 = means_j[2] - means_j[3]
  MSAB = 303.8
  s = sqrt(MSAB/(n*a)*(1+1))
  alpha = .05
  q. = 1/sqrt(2)*qtukey(1-alpha, b, (a-1)*(b-1))
  c(D1-s*q., D1+s*q.)
           1
## -23.14962 22.21629
  c(D2-s*q., D2+s*q.)
           1
## -21.28295 24.08295
  c(D3-s*q., D3+s*q.)
           2
## -20.81629 24.54962
```

$$\begin{split} \bar{Y}_{.1.} &= 56.13333, \bar{Y}_{.2.} = 56.6, \bar{Y}_{.3.} = 54.73333 \\ \hat{D}_1 &= \bar{Y}_{.1.} - \bar{Y}_{.2.} = -0.4666667, \hat{D}_2 = \bar{Y}_{.1.} - \bar{Y}_{.3.} = 1.4, \hat{D}_3 = \bar{Y}_{.2.} - \bar{Y}_{.3.} = 1.866667 \\ S &= \sqrt{\frac{MSAB}{na}} \sum c_i = 6.364485, T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{qtukey} (1 - alpha, b, (a - 1) * (b - 1)) = 3.563989 \\ \text{base on } \hat{D}_i \pm S * T \\ &- 23.14962 \leq D_1 \leq 22.21629 \\ &- 21.28295 \leq D_2 \leq 24.08295 \\ &- 20.81629 \leq D_3 \leq 24.54962 \end{split}$$

It means D1,D2,D3 can equal to zero, there's no significant factor B

effect.

```
(f) mu_j1 = means_j[1]
     mu_j1
   ## 56.13333
    MSA = 12.29
     MSAB = 303.8
     c1 = (a-1)/(n*a*b)
     c2 = 1/(n*a*b)
     s = sqrt(c1*MSAB+c2*MSA)
   ## [1] 3.711514
     df = s^4/((c1*MSAB)^2/((a-1)*(b-1))+(c2*MSA)^2/((a-1)))
     t = qt(1-0.01/2, (df))
   ## [1] 4.485356
     c(mu_j1-s*t, mu_j1+s*t)
      1
   ##
   ## 39.48587 72.78079
```

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mu}_{\cdot 1} = & 56.13333, MSA = 12.29, MSAB = 303.8 \\ c_1 = & \frac{a-1}{nab} = 0.04444444, c_2 = \frac{1}{nab} = 0.022222222 \\ s = & \sqrt{c_1 * MSAB + c_2 * MSA} = 3.711514 \\ df = & \frac{s^4}{\frac{(\frac{a-1}{nab}MSAB)^2}{(a-1)(b-1)} + \frac{(\frac{1}{nab}MSA)^2}{(a-1)}} = 4.160049 \\ t = & t(1-\alpha/2; df) = 4.485356 \\ \text{confidence limits } \hat{\mu}_{\cdot i} \pm s * t \\ & 39.48587 \leq \mu_{\cdot 1} \leq 72.78079 \end{split}$$

We are 99% confident that  $\mu_{.1}$  is between (39.48587, 72.78079)

```
c1=1/15
(g)
     c2 = -1/15
     ms1=12.29
     ms2=52.01
     df1=2
     df2 = 36
     F1=qf(.995,2,Inf)
     F2=qf(.995,36,Inf)
     F3=qf(.995, Inf,2)
     F4=qf(.995, Inf, 36)
     F5=qf(.995,2,36)
     F6=qf(.995,36,2)
     G1=1-1/F1
     G2=1-1/F2
     G3=((F5-1)^2-(G1*F5)^2-(F4-1)^2)/F5
     G4=F6*((F6-1)/F6)^2 - (F3-1)/F6)^2 - G2^2)
     H1 = sqrt( (G1*c1*ms1)^2 + ((F4-1)*c2*ms2)^2 - G3*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
     Hl
   ## [1] 3.613885
     Hu = sqrt( (G2*c2*ms2)^2 + ((F3-1)*c1*ms1)^2 - G4*c1*c2*ms1*ms2)
     Hu
   ## [1] 162.3423
     sigma_mu = (ms1-ms2)/(n*b)
     c(max(0,0-H1), 0+Hu)
   ## [1] 0.0000 162.3423
```

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MSA}) &= nb\sigma_{\mu}^2 + \sigma^2 \qquad \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{MSE}) = \sigma^2 \\ \mathrm{Base \ on} \ L &= \sigma_{\mu}^2 = c_1 E(MSA) + c_2 E(MSE) \\ \mathrm{then} \ c_1 &= 1/(nb) = 0.066666667, \ c_2 = -1/(nb) = -0.06666667 \\ \mathrm{and} \ MSA &= 12.29, MSE = 52.01, df1 = 2, df2 = 36 \end{split}$$

According to MLS procedure,  $H_l=3.613885$   $H_u=162.3423$   $\sigma_{\mu}^2=-2.648$ 

since  $\sigma_{\mu}^2 = -2.648 < 0$ , so that  $\sigma_{\mu}^2 = 0$ 

so that 99% confident interval is  $max(0, 0 - H_l) \le \sigma_{\mu}^2 \le 0 + H_u$ , which means  $0 \le \sigma_{\mu}^2 \le 162.3423$ 

Confidence interval is very large, because the small sample sizes and the difficulty in estimating variance component precisely.

# 6 25.30

$$\begin{split} L &\leq \frac{\sigma_{\mu}^2}{\sigma^2} \leq U \\ \frac{1}{L} &\geq \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_{\mu}^2} \geq \frac{1}{U} \\ \frac{1+L}{L} &\geq \frac{\sigma^2 + \sigma_{\mu}^2}{\sigma_{\mu}^2} \geq \frac{1+U}{U} \\ \frac{L}{1+L} &\leq \frac{\sigma_{\mu}^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_{\mu}^2} \leq \frac{U}{1+U} \end{split}$$

## 7 25.32

$$Y_{ij} = \mu... + \rho_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$
 then 
$$\sigma^2 \{Y_{ij}\} = \sigma^2 \{\mu... + \rho_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij}\} = \sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2$$
 
$$\sigma^2 \{\bar{Y}_{\cdot j}\} = \sigma^2 \{\mu... + \frac{\sum \rho_i}{n_b} + \tau_j + \bar{\epsilon}_{\cdot j}\} = \sigma_\tau^2 + \sigma^2/n_b$$

# 8 25.34

$$\begin{split} \sigma^2\{Y_{ij},Y_{ij'}\} &= E\{(Y_{ij} - E(Y_{ij}))(Y_{ij'} - E(Y_{ij'}))\} \\ &= E\{[\mu.. + \rho_i + \tau_j + \epsilon_{ij} - (\mu.. + \tau_j)][\mu.. + \rho_i + \tau_{j'} + \epsilon_{ij'} - (\mu.. + \tau_{j'})]\} \\ &= E\{(\rho_i + \epsilon_{ij})(\rho_i + \epsilon_{ij'})\} \\ &= E(\rho_i^2) + E(\rho_i \epsilon_{ij'}) + E(\rho_i \epsilon_{ij}) + E(\epsilon_{ij} \epsilon_{ij'}) \\ &= (E(\rho_i))^2 + \sigma_\rho^2 \\ &= \sigma_\rho^2 \end{split}$$