

Graviational Waves from Feynman Diagrams

Lucien Huber

9/13/2022

Table of contents

Preface	3
1 Introduction	4
2 Gravitational Wave Generation	6
2.1 Gravitational Waves	6
2.2 Homogenous solutions	9
2.3 Inhomogenous solutions	11
2.4 Gravitational Wave Sources	13
2.4.1 Compact binaries	16
2.4.2 BH Binaries	17
2.4.3 NS Binaries	17
2.4.4 Exotic Sources	17
References	18

Preface

This is a Quarto book. I am going to try and hyperlink it as much as possible

To learn more about Quarto books visit <https://quarto.org/docs/books>. Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory
()

1 Introduction

The detection of gravitational waves by the LIGO and Virgo collaborations in 2016 (2016) has sparked a new era of gravitational wave astronomy. The first detections were of Binary Black Hole () mergers. More recently, Binary Neutron Star () mergers (2017) as well as Neutron Star ()-Black Hole () mergers have been detected (2021). Future detectors will further increase sensitivity and will be able to detect a wide range of astrophysical sources. Studying these gravitational waves signals gives us a very powerful new window into the universe. It allows us to study the properties of neutron stars and black holes, and the physics of compact object mergers, but also gives us a powerful testing apparatus for general relativity.

To detect these faint signals LIGO and Virgo, have been made to be extraordinarily precise instruments. Thus, they demand correspondingly precise theoretical predictions and models. This is not just for comparison's sake, but for detection as well. These faint signals are often buried in the noise of the detector. To counteract this experimental physicists make use of a matched filtering approach, where they try to match the signal to a template. The template is a model of the signal ideally provided by theoretical physicists based on physical theories. The more precise the template, the higher the signal-to-noise ratio, the more probable and precise the detection.

In this thesis we will explore the theoretical landscape surrounding the generation of these templates. We will focus on a nascent subfield where tools originally used for Quantum Field Theory

Abbott, B. P., R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, et al. 2016. "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger." *Physical Review Letters* 116 (6): 061102. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102>.

Abbott, B. P., Rich Abbott, Thomas D. Abbott, et al. 2017. "GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral." *Physical Review Letters* 119 (16): 161101. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101>.

Abbott, R., T. D. Abbott, S. Abraham, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, A. Adams, C. Adams, et al. 2021. "Observation of Gravitational Waves from Two Neutron Star-Black Hole Coalescences." *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* 915 (1): L5. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac082e>.

() and particle physics are being applied to the study of gravitational waves. Specifically we are interested in the diagrammatic objects that arise when framing the two body problem similarly to particle collisions. We will explain where these tools shine in the broader context of waveform approaches such as Effective One-Body (), Nonrelativistic General Relativity (), Post-Minkowski () and Post-Newtonian () approximations. We will also discuss the challenges that lie ahead in the field.

2 Gravitational Wave Generation

First let us look at where and how gravitational waves are generated. We will look at how General Relativity () predicts that gravitational waves exist, and what conditions have to be met for them to become observable. We will also look at how we can detect them, and what we can learn from them.

2.1 Gravitational Waves

The most complete theory of gravity we have right now is that of GR, due to Einstein. It formulates spacetime as a Riemannian manifold with curvature, sensitive to mass. Objects then move around in that deformed spacetime encoded in the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Objects interact gravitationally when the spacetime they move around in is affected by the curvature caused by other objects. The equation that governs this interaction between mass and curvature is Einstein's field equations,

$$\boxed{R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}}. \quad (2.1)$$

The Left-Hand Side () of this equation, contains several objects that are all only really dependent on the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. $R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci tensor¹, a contraction of the Riemann curvature tensor $R^\beta_{\mu\nu\rho}$. This tensor² encodes the curvature of spacetime, as it is essentially a measurement of the amount with which covariant derivatives don't commute. When they do, it means that they have collapsed to regular derivatives, and thus the Levi-civita connection³ must have vanished. This is only possible if the metric is flat $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. The Riemann curvature tensor is exclusively

¹ The Ricci Tensor is given by:

$$R_{\mu\nu} := R^\alpha_{\mu\alpha\nu} = g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu}$$

² The Riemann Tensor is given by:

$$R^\beta_{\mu\nu\rho} = \Gamma^\beta_{\mu\nu,\rho} - \Gamma^\beta_{\mu\rho,\nu} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\rho} \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\nu} + \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\rho}.$$

³ The Levi-Civita Connection is given by:

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\rho} \{ g_{\rho\nu,\mu} + g_{\rho\mu,\nu} - g_{\mu\nu,\rho} \}$$

made up of the metric, its first, second derivatives and it is linear in the second derivative of the metric. In fact it is the only possible tensor of that sort. The second term on the LHS is the Ricci scalar, a further contraction of the Ricci Tensor: $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$. The final term on the LHS is just a proportional constant factor of the metric, where Λ is called the cosmological constant. It can be measured and has a low known upper bound. It is in part responsible for the expansion of the universe.

The Right-Hand Side () of 2.1 encodes the effect of mass and energy on the metric. $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress-energy tensor, dependent on the dynamics of the system. In empty space this term is zero. It is further multiplied by the Gravitational constant G .

Equation 2.1 can be recast in a form where the Ricci scalar has been eliminated,

$$\boxed{R_{\mu\nu} = -8\pi G \left(T_{\mu\nu} - T^\alpha_\alpha \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} \right) + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}} \quad (2.2)$$

Either equation 2.1, 2.2 with $\Lambda = 0$ admit wave solutions. We can see this by looking at these equations in the weak field approximation. Namely, we take the metric to be a Minkowskian background and a small perturbation

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.3)$$

with $h_{\mu\nu}$ small. Note that if we restrict ourselves to first order in $h_{\mu\nu}$ then all raising and lowering of indices has to be done with $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, or else we increase the order of the term by 1. Now since the LHS of 2.1 is made up of the Ricci tensor and scalar, let us see how these behave in the weak approximation. Both are in fact made up of Levi-Civita connections, which to first order in $h_{\mu\nu}$ is given by:

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\rho} \left\{ h_{\rho\nu,\mu} + h_{\rho\mu,\nu} - h_{\mu\nu,\rho} \right\} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad . \quad (2.4)$$

Plugging in to the definition of the Ricci tensor we see that the terms with products of the connection vanish, and we are left with the derivative terms:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\eta^{\alpha\rho}}{2} [h_{\rho\alpha,\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu,\rho\alpha} - h_{\mu\alpha,\rho\nu} - h_{\nu\rho,\mu\alpha}] + \mathcal{O}(h^2) = R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \quad (2.5)$$

The linearized Ricci scalar is then just $R^{(1)} = \eta^{\mu\nu} R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$. Regardless of the weak approximation, 2.1 has some gauge freedom. This means that if we solve eq 2.1, it won't be the only possible solution, and in fact we can generate the others by changing coordinated in such a way that the equation isn't effected. To fix this ambiguity we choose a coordinate system ('gauge'), by imposing the harmonic coordinate conditions:

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

The harmonic coordinate conditions demand the vanishing of the Levi-Civita connection. They have a simplified form in the weak approximation, which we can access by plugging 2.4 into 2.6, giving:

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta^{\alpha\beta} + h^{\alpha\beta}) \frac{1}{2} (\eta^{\mu\rho} + h^{\mu\rho}) [h_{\alpha\rho,\beta} + h_{\beta\rho,\alpha} - h_{\alpha\beta,\rho}] &= 0 \\ \eta^{\mu\rho} \eta^{\alpha\beta} [2h_{\alpha\rho,\beta} - h_{\alpha\beta,\rho}] + \mathcal{O}(h^2) &= 0 \\ \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\rho,\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta,\rho} \eta^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \end{aligned}$$

The last equation to first order is called the de Donder gauge and is often written:

$$h_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\mu} = \frac{1}{2} h^\alpha_{\alpha,\nu}$$

In de Donder gauge we can simplify the terms in 2.5 to:

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\mu,\nu\beta} \approx \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu},$$

and

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\beta\nu,\mu\alpha} \approx \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}.$$

With these two relations the expression for the linearized Ricci tensor 2.5 simplifies to

$$R^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \square_{SR} h_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.7)$$

Where we have defined the Special Relativity () D'Alembertian as: $\square_{SR} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$. We can plug this into 2.2, with $\Lambda = 0$, and up to first order in $h_{\mu\nu}$ we get the linearized Einstein field equations for a system of harmonic coordinates:

$$\square_{SR} h_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G \overbrace{(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{2} T^\alpha{}_\alpha)}^{S_{\mu\nu}} \quad (2.8)$$

$$h^\alpha{}_{\mu,\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} h^\alpha{}_{\alpha,\mu}. \quad (2.9)$$

Where raising and lowering indices has been done with the Minkowski metric. The tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ encodes the behavior of the source of gravitational waves. One could also plug 2.7 into 2.1, with $\Lambda = 0$, and if we change to the trace reversed perturbation: $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} h^\alpha{}_\alpha \eta_{\mu\nu}$, we get similar and simpler equations at the cost of a more complex perturbation: ⁴ ⁵

$$\square_{SR} \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G T_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\nu} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

In this form we can very easily recover the conservation equation for the stress-energy tensor. We take the divergence of 2.10 and use 2.11 to get:

$$T_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\nu} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Let us look at what sorts of solutions come out of these equations.

2.2 Homogenous solutions

The simplest first step is to consider the homogeneous solution, as all solutions will involve these terms. Setting $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ or $S_{\mu\nu} = 0$ yields an easily recognisable wave equation.

$$\square_{SR} h_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

⁴ Note that the inverse change of variables is just: $h_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{h}^\alpha{}_\alpha \eta_{\mu\nu}$.

⁵ We eliminate the trace of the stress-energy tensor by using: $R = 8\pi G T^\alpha{}_\alpha$. We can write $R^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} \square_{SR} \bar{h}^\alpha{}_\alpha$, in the de Donder gauge, which is precisely the extra term dropping out of $\square_{SR} h_{\mu\nu}$ when we express it in terms of $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}$.

The de Donder gauge (2.9) and the remaining gauge freedom⁶ restricts the possible forms of this solution to having only helicity ± 2 physically significant components (see Weinberg (1972)). Let us look at its generic form. The metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ ought to be real-valued, thus we seek real solutions of the form

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} e^{ik \cdot x} + \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^* e^{-ik \cdot x},$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the polarization tensor and k is the wave vector. The polarization tensor is a symmetric rank-2 tensor, since $h_{\mu\nu}$ is. Additionally we define:

$$k \cdot x \equiv \eta_{\mu\nu} k^\mu x^\nu = k_\mu x^\mu.$$

Substituting into $\square_{SR} h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ gives $k_\mu k^\mu \equiv k^2 = 0$ ⁷. From 2.9 we have

$$\varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu k_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^\alpha{}_\alpha k_\nu. \quad (2.14)$$

As said at the beginning of this subsection, we still have some remaining gauge freedom, which we now fix, choosing the following coordinate change $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \zeta^\mu$ where:

$$\zeta^\mu = i A^\mu e^{ik \cdot x} = -i A^{*\mu} e^{-ik \cdot x}$$

Imposing this change yields the following modified perturbation:

$$h'_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial \zeta_\mu}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial \zeta_\nu}{\partial x^\mu} = \varepsilon'_{\mu\nu} e^{ik \cdot x} + \varepsilon'^*_{\mu\nu} e^{-ik \cdot x}.$$

with

$$\varepsilon'_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} + k_\mu A_\nu + k_\nu A_\mu \quad (2.15)$$

Equations 2.14 and 2.15 reduce the free components in the polarization tensor to just two. Additionally these equations can conspire to yield a traceless polarisation tensor $\varepsilon^\alpha{}_\alpha = 0$, with $\varepsilon_{0\mu} = 0$ (see Carroll (2019)). This then extends to the metric perturbation, which when imposed to be traceless, becomes equal to its trace-reversed counterpart. This is the so-called transverse traceless gauge:

⁶ from changes in coordinates such as $x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \xi^\mu$ with $\square_{SR} \xi^\mu = 0$

Weinberg, Steven. 1972. *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*. New York: Wiley.

⁷ assuming non-zero perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ of course

⁸ this is saying that the wavevector for the wave is null, thus that the wave propagates at the speed of light

Carroll, Sean M. 2019. "Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity." *Higher Education from Cambridge University Press*. <https://www.cambridge.org/highereducation/books/spacetime-and-geometry/38ED-ABF9E2BADCE6FBCF2B22DC12BFFE>; Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108770385>.

$$h_{0\mu} = 0, \quad h^\alpha{}_\alpha = 0, \quad h_{\mu\nu}{}^{,\nu} = 0.$$

The metric perturbation in this gauge is written as: h^{TT}_{ij} .

2.3 Inhomogenous solutions

With the homogenous part of 2.8 accounted for, we can now look at the inhomogenous part. The solution in the presence of a source term of 2.8 will be heavily inspired by the analogous problem in electromagnetism. If we define the following retarded Green's function

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) = -2\pi \delta^{(4)}((x^\mu - x'^\mu)^2) \Theta^0(x^0 > x'^0),$$

which satisfies,

$$\square_{SR} \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) = \delta^{(4)}(x^\mu - x'^\mu).$$

Then, the solution to

$$\square_{SR} h_{\mu\nu} = -16\pi G S_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.16)$$

is given by:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) = 8G \int d^4x' \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) S_{\mu\nu}(x'), \quad (2.17)$$

since when we plug in 2.17 into 2.16

$$\square_x h_{\mu\nu} = 8G \int d^4x' (\underbrace{(\square_x \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu))}_{=-2\pi \delta^{(4)}(x^\mu - x'^\mu)}) S_{\mu\nu}(x') = -16\pi G S_{\mu\nu}(x).$$

One gets the parallel solution to the trace reversed equation 2.10 by swapping S with T and all h with \bar{h}

We can perform the $x'^0 = t'$ integration in 2.17, with the delta function, setting $t' = t - |x^\mu - x'^\mu| = t_r$ the retarded time, i.e:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) = 8G \int d^3\mathbf{y} S_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{y}) dt' \frac{\delta(t' - (t - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|))}{2|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}$$

$$h_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 4G \int d^3\mathbf{y} \frac{S_{\mu\nu}(t - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|, \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}. \quad (2.18)$$

We can interpret the solution at (\mathbf{x}, t) above, as the perturbation due to the summed up contributions of all the sources at the point $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, t_r)$ on the past light cone. Put differently this will be the gravitational radiation produced by the source $S_{\mu\nu}$. Additionally, the form of the time argument of the source tensor, imposed by the definition of the Green's function, shows that the radiation propagates with velocity $= 1 = c$. This retarded solution satisfies the harmonic coordinate condition of 2.8, as required. Indeed, we have

$$T_{\mu\nu;\mu} = 0 \Rightarrow T_{\mu\nu,\mu} + \underbrace{\Gamma\Gamma}_{\text{non-linear}} = 0 \Rightarrow T_{\mu\nu,\mu} = 0,$$

ignoring non-linearities. Then,

$$S^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\mu} = \partial_\mu \left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2} T^\alpha{}_\alpha \right) = -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2} T^\alpha{}_{\alpha,\mu}.$$

Also

$$S^\mu{}_\mu = T^\mu{}_\mu - \frac{\delta^\mu_\mu}{2} T^\alpha{}_\alpha = -T^\alpha{}_\alpha.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} S^\alpha{}_{\alpha,\mu} \eta^{\mu\nu} \\ S^\mu{}_{\nu,\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} S^\alpha{}_{\alpha,\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
h^\mu{}_{\nu,\mu} &= 8G \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \int d^4x' \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) S^\mu{}_\nu(x') \\
&= 8G \int d^4x' \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu)}{\partial x^\mu} S^\mu{}_\nu(x') \\
&= -8G \int d^4x' \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu)}{\partial x'^\mu} S^\mu{}_\nu(x') \\
&= \underbrace{8G \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) \delta^\mu_\nu(x')}_{=0 \text{ for } x=x'} + 8G \int d^4x' \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) \frac{\partial S^\mu{}_\nu(x')}{\partial x'^\mu} \\
&= 8G \int d^4x' \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S^\alpha{}_\alpha(x')}{\partial x'^\mu} \\
&= \dots \text{ repeat in reverse} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left\{ 8G \int d^4x' \mathcal{G}_{\text{ret}}(x^\mu - x'^\mu) \frac{1}{2} S^\alpha{}_\alpha(x') \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} h^\alpha{}_{\alpha,\mu} \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

2.4 Gravitational Wave Sources

Now that we have the general form of the solutions to the linearized Einstein equations, we can proceed to the analysis of the sources of gravitational waves. The first step is to analyse the equations in the frequency domain. We will use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_t[\phi](\omega, \mathbf{x}) &= \int dt \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{i\omega t} \\
\mathcal{F}_\omega^{-1}[\phi](t, \mathbf{x}) &= \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \mathcal{F}_t[\phi](\omega, \mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega t}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us look at the trace reversed solution, as the conservation

equation for

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_t [h_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{x}) &= \int dt h_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}) e^{i\omega t} \\
&= 4G \int d^3\mathbf{y} dt \frac{S_{\mu\nu}(t - |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|, \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} e^{i\omega t} \\
&= 4G \int d^3\mathbf{y} dt_r \frac{S_{\mu\nu}(t_r, \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} e^{i\omega t_r} e^{i\omega|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} \\
&= 4G \int d^3\mathbf{y} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{t_r} [S_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} e^{i\omega|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

We can now apply various approximations to this form of the perturbation. The first is to consider that we look at the radiation only in the so called *wave zone*, at a distance $r = |\mathbf{x}|$ much larger than the dimensions of the source $R = |\mathbf{y}|_{\max}$. Additionally we assume that $r \gg \frac{1}{\omega}$, i.e long wavelengths don't dominate. Finally we assume that $r \gg \omega R^2$, i.e. the ratio of R to the wavelength is not comparable to the ratio of r to R . Using this approximation we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| &= r \left(1 - 2\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \frac{\mathbf{y}^2}{r^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| &\approx r \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r} \right) \quad \text{with: } \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we additionally further separate the scales in the following way⁹

$$r \gg \left[\frac{1}{\omega}, \omega R^2 \right] \gg R,$$

Then 2.19 becomes much simpler¹⁰:

$$\mathcal{F}_t [h_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{x}) = 4G \frac{e^{i\omega R}}{R} \int d^3\mathbf{y} \mathcal{F}_t [S_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{y}) \tag{2.20}$$

Now let us look at the fourier transform of the source term. By definition we have

⁹ we just add the condition that $\frac{1}{\omega} \gg R$, that is the source radius is much smaller than the wavelength

¹⁰ the approximations all conspire to be able to neglect the \mathbf{y} dependence of $e^{i\omega|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}$ in the integral

$$\mathcal{F}_t [S_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{F}_t [T_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{y}) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}_t [T^\alpha_\alpha] (\omega, \mathbf{y})$$

Thus the term to analyse is actually $\mathcal{F}_t [T_{\mu\nu}]$. We can use the conservation equation 2.12 in fourier t -space to write:

$$-\mathcal{F}_t [T_{i\mu}]^{,i} = i\omega \mathcal{F}_t [T_{0\mu}] \quad (2.21)$$

This equation becomes algebraic when we further fourier transform in \mathbf{x} -space:

$$\hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(k^\alpha) = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \int d^3\mathbf{y} \mathcal{F}_t [T_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{y}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}}$$

Then the conservation equation becomes:

$$k^\mu T_{\mu\nu}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0$$

These four equations enable us to just care about the purely spacelike components of $T_{\mu\nu}$. Let us apply 2.21 to itself to obtain:

$$\mathcal{F}_t [T_{ij}]^{,ij} = -\omega^2 \mathcal{F}_t [T_{00}]$$

which when multiplied by $x_m x_n$ and integrated over \mathbf{x} gives¹¹:

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \mathcal{F}_t [T_{mn}] (\omega, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{\omega}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} x_m x_n \mathcal{F}_t [T_{00}] (\omega, \mathbf{x})$$

Notice the last integral is in fact the fourier transform of (a third of) the quadrupole moment tensor of the energy density¹². We call it $\hat{q}_{mn}(\omega)$ and we can finally rewrite 2.20 as:

$$\mathcal{F}_t [h_{\mu\nu}] (\omega, \mathbf{x}) = -\frac{2G\omega^2}{3} \frac{e^{i\omega R}}{R} (\hat{q}_{mn}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \hat{q}^n_n(\omega))$$

Going back t -space we have:

¹¹ two integrations by parts cancels the $x_m x_n$ term in the LHS, since boundary terms are 0 (the source is finite) we have

$$\int d\mathbf{x} x_m x_n \mathcal{F}_t [T_{ij}]^{,ij} = \int d\mathbf{x} x_m x_n^{,ij} \mathcal{F}_t [T_{ij}]$$

The hessian of $x_m x_n$ is $(\delta_m^i + \delta_n^i)(\delta_n^j + \delta_m^j)$, but since $T_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric the integral is

$$\int d\mathbf{y} 2\mathcal{F}_t [T_{mn}] (\omega, \mathbf{y})$$

¹²

$$q_{mn} = 3 \int x_m x_n T_{00}(\omega, \mathbf{x})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}) &= -\frac{G}{3\pi R} \int d\omega e^{-i\omega(t-R)} \omega^2 (\hat{q}_{mn}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \hat{q}^n{}_n(\omega)) \\
&= \frac{G}{3\pi R} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int d\omega e^{-i\omega(t_r)} (\hat{q}_{mn}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \hat{q}^n{}_n(\omega)) \\
&= \frac{2G}{3R} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (q_{mn}(t_r) + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} q^n{}_n(t_r))
\end{aligned}$$

This equation has a very nice physical interpretation. The gravitational wave produced by a non-relativistic source is proportional to the second derivative of the quadrupole moment of the its energy density at the time where the past light cone of the observer intersects the source (t_r). The nature of gravitational radiation is in stark contrast to the leading electromagnetic contribution which is due the the change in the *dipole* moment of the charge density. The change of the dipole moment can be attributed to the change in center of charge (for Electromagnetism ()), or mass (for GR), and while a center of charge is free to move around, the center of mass (of an isolated) is fixed by the conservation of momentum. The quadrupole moment, on the other hand, is sensitive to the shape of the source, which a gravitational system can modify. Finally the quadrupole radiation is subleading when compared to dipole radiation. Thus on top of the much smaller coupling constant, gravitational radiation is also weakened by this fact, and thus is usually orders of magnitude weaker than electromagnetic radiation.

Thus any object that is modifying its shape is a source of gravitational waves. All orbiting systems therefore are sources of Gravitational Wave (s). However as said just above, only very important ‘changes in shape’ have a chance to be detectable. These phenomena are what we will explore next.

2.4.1 Compact binaries

How could one construct a very powerful source of GWs? One could take two very massive objects, (such that T_{00} is large) and make them orbit each other. At that point one could hope to detect this orbit if the objects are massive enough and orbiting close enough for the ‘change in shape’ of the system to sizeable.

For these very massive objects to be close enough for a small orbit, they have to be very compact. Assuming that is the case, a funny thing happens, as these objects orbit each other, they emit GWs, and in doing so they lose energy¹³. Thus they slow down, and their orbit shrinks. This continues until the orbit is so small that the objects merge into a single object. Of course this is a very important change of shape and thus we have a constructed (if not all on purpose) a very powerful source of GWs. Such objects are called compact binaries.

¹³ of course this happens in every orbiting system just on a time scale that is negligible. Only systems which are massive enough to produce large amounts of radiation actually lose enough energy for it to matter

2.4.2 BH Binaries

Taking the process described above to the limit one could imagine asking for the most dense objects possible to orbit each other. In GR this object is called a black hole (BH). It is a possible solution to the full fat Einstein Field Equations (2.1), where we consider a static and isotropic universe, with point like mass at its center. Then the solutions to the equations 2.1 have a unique form (Birkhoff and Langer (1923)), called the Schwarzschild solution. The solution is given by:

Birkhoff, George David, and Rudolph Ernest Langer. 1923. *Relativity and Modern Physics*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; [etc., etc.].

2.4.3 NS Binaries

We can also not go as far as having our compact object be a BH, but can also consider NSs. These object are nonetheless extremely dense (not infinitely so), and are essentially like big atomic nuclei. Not much is known about them and in fact GW astronomy is could be

2.4.4 Exotic Sources

Cosmic strings, supernovae, and other exotic sources of GWs are also possible.

References