## Tutorial session 4 - Constraints in continuous optimization

## 1 Problem

A winner at Euromillions receives a S million prize and decides to live on the investment of her gain. Her welfare at time n+1 is supposed to be proportional to  $r_n^{1/2}$  where  $r_n$  is the money spent between time n and n+1. She thus wants to maximize

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \beta^n \sqrt{r_n}$$

where  $N-1 \geq 1$  is her remaining life expectancy and the exponentially decaying term  $\beta^n$  with  $\beta \in ]0,1[$  accounts for the fact that spending money today is more enjoyable than spending it tomorrow (in other words, the younger, the more fun!). If  $x_n$  designates the available amount of money at time  $n \in \{0,\ldots,N-1\}$ , we have

$$(\forall n \in \{0, \dots, N-2\}) \quad x_{n+1} = \gamma x_n - r_n$$

where  $\gamma \in [1, +\infty[$  represents the investment rate.

Our purpose is to find the optimal way of spending money.

## 2 Numerical solution

- 1. What are the equality and inequality constraints to be handled?
- 2. Which kind of optimization problem should be solved?
- 3. The provided PPXA Matlab function allows us to tackle the related constrained optimization problem. Use it to solve numerically the problem when N=100 semesters, S=130 millions,  $\gamma=1.03$ , and  $\beta=0.96$ .
- 4. Plot  $(x_n)_{0 \le n \le N-1}$  and  $(r_n)_{0 \le n \le N-2}$ . Compute the associated cost value.
- 5. Compare the optimal strategy with the strategy which would consist in spending half of the money available at each time n.
- 6. The winner might live longer than expected. As a cautious person, she plans to leave a residual amount of money R at duration N-1. Solve numerically the modified optimization problem when R=10 millions.

## 3 Analytic solution

- 1. Propose a Lagrange formulation of the latter problem.
- 2. Deduce the closed form expression of the solution.
- 3. Compare it with the numerical solution.