

Lab 12

Lorena Cuellar

In today's lab we are working with published RNA-Sep

```
counts <- read.csv("airway_scaledcounts.csv", row.names=1)
metadata <- read.csv("airway_metadata.csv")
```

And the `counts` data

```
head(counts)
```

	SRR1039508	SRR1039509	SRR1039512	SRR1039513	SRR1039516
ENSG000000000003	723	486	904	445	1170
ENSG000000000005	0	0	0	0	0
ENSG000000000419	467	523	616	371	582
ENSG000000000457	347	258	364	237	318
ENSG000000000460	96	81	73	66	118
ENSG000000000938	0	0	1	0	2
	SRR1039517	SRR1039520	SRR1039521		
ENSG000000000003	1097	806	604		
ENSG000000000005	0	0	0		
ENSG000000000419	781	417	509		
ENSG000000000457	447	330	324		
ENSG000000000460	94	102	74		
ENSG000000000938	0	0	0		

```
head(metadata)
```

	id	dex	celltype	geo_id
1	SRR1039508	control	N61311	GSM1275862
2	SRR1039509	treated	N61311	GSM1275863
3	SRR1039512	control	N052611	GSM1275866

```
4 SRR1039513 treated N052611 GSM1275867
5 SRR1039516 control N080611 GSM1275870
6 SRR1039517 treated N080611 GSM1275871
```

Q1 How many genes?

```
nrow(counts)
```

```
[1] 38694
```

Q2 How many ‘control’ cell lines do we have?

```
ncol(metadata)
```

```
[1] 4
```

First we check the correspondence of the metadata and count data

```
metadata$id
```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039509" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039513" "SRR1039516"
[6] "SRR1039517" "SRR1039520" "SRR1039521"
```

```
colnames(counts)
```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039509" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039513" "SRR1039516"
[6] "SRR1039517" "SRR1039520" "SRR1039521"
```

To check these are all in the same order we can sue == test of equality.

```
all( metadata$id==colnames(counts) )
```

```
[1] TRUE
```

Analysis via comparison of Control vs. Treated

```

control <- metadata[metadata[, "dex"] == "control",]
control.counts <- counts[, control$id]
control.mean <- rowSums(control.counts) / 4
head(control.mean)

```

	ENSG000000000003	ENSG000000000005	ENSG000000000419	ENSG000000000457	ENSG000000000460
	900.75	0.00	520.50	339.75	97.25
ENSG000000000938					
	0.75				

The “treated” have the dex drug and the ” control” do not. First I need to be able to extract just the “control” columns in the `counts` data set.

```

control inds <- metadata$dex == "control"
control <- metadata[control inds,]
control$id

```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039516" "SRR1039520"
```

Now I can use rthis to accesss the ” control” columns if my `counts` data

```

control.counts <- counts[, control$id]
head(control.counts)

```

	SRR1039508	SRR1039512	SRR1039516	SRR1039520
ENSG000000000003	723	904	1170	806
ENSG000000000005	0	0	0	0
ENSG000000000419	467	616	582	417
ENSG000000000457	347	364	318	330
ENSG000000000460	96	73	118	102
ENSG000000000938	0	1	2	0

Find the mean count values for each transcript by finding the `rowmeans()`

```

control.mean <- rowMeans((control.counts))
head(control.mean)

```

```

ENSG000000000003 ENSG000000000005 ENSG000000000419 ENSG000000000457 ENSG000000000460
      900.75          0.00        520.50       339.75        97.25
ENSG000000000938
      0.75

```

Q3. How would you make the above code in either approach more robust?

The “treated” have the dex drug and the ” control” do not. First I need to be able to extract just the “control” columns in the `counts` data set.

```

control inds <- metadata$dex == "control"
control <- metadata[control inds,]
control$id

```

```
[1] "SRR1039508" "SRR1039512" "SRR1039516" "SRR1039520"
```

Now I can use this to accesss the ” control” columns if my `counts` data

```

control counts <- counts[, control$id]
head(control counts)

```

	SRR1039508	SRR1039512	SRR1039516	SRR1039520
ENSG000000000003	723	904	1170	806
ENSG000000000005	0	0	0	0
ENSG000000000419	467	616	582	417
ENSG000000000457	347	364	318	330
ENSG000000000460	96	73	118	102
ENSG000000000938	0	1	2	0

```

control mean <- rowMeans((control counts))
head(control mean)

```

```

ENSG000000000003 ENSG000000000005 ENSG000000000419 ENSG000000000457 ENSG000000000460
      900.75          0.00        520.50       339.75        97.25
ENSG000000000938
      0.75

```

Q4. Follow the same procedure for the treated samples (i.e. calculate the mean per gene across drug treated samples and assign to a labeled vector called `treated.mean`)

Now I can find a mean for the “treated values

```

treated.id <- metadata[metadata$dex == "treated", "id"]
treated.mean <- rowMeans <-rowMeans(counts[, treated.id])
head(treated.mean)

ENSG00000000003 ENSG00000000005 ENSG00000000419 ENSG00000000457 ENSG00000000460
    658.00          0.00      546.00      316.50      78.75
ENSG00000000938
    0.00

```

Now I have control.mean and treated.mean

```

meancounts <- data.frame(control.mean, treated.mean)
head(meancounts)


```

	control.mean	treated.mean
ENSG00000000003	900.75	658.00
ENSG00000000005	0.00	0.00
ENSG00000000419	520.50	546.00
ENSG00000000457	339.75	316.50
ENSG00000000460	97.25	78.75
ENSG00000000938	0.75	0.00

Let's do a quick plot

Q5 (a). Create a scatter plot showing the mean of the treated samples against the mean of the control samples. Your plot should look something like the following.

```
plot(meancounts)
```




This is very heavily skewed and over a wide range- calling out for a log transformation

Q6. Try plotting both axes on a log scale.

```
plot(meancounts, log="xy")
```

Warning in xy.coords(x, y, xlabel, ylabel, log): 15032 x values <= 0 omitted from logarithmic plot

Warning in xy.coords(x, y, xlabel, ylabel, log): 15281 y values <= 0 omitted from logarithmic plot



We like working with log transformed data as it can help make things more straightforward to interpret

if we have no change:

```
log2(20/20)
```

```
[1] 0
```

What about doubling it

```
log2(40/20)
```

```
[1] 1
```

We like working with log2 fold-change values. Let's calculate them for our data

```
meancounts$log2fc <- log2(meancounts$treated.mean/ meancounts$control.mean)
meancounts$log2fc <- log2(meancounts[, "treated.mean"]/meancounts[, "control.mean"])
head(meancounts)
```

	control.mean	treated.mean	log2fc
ENSG000000000003	900.75	658.00	-0.45303916
ENSG000000000005	0.00	0.00	NaN
ENSG000000000419	520.50	546.00	0.06900279
ENSG000000000457	339.75	316.50	-0.10226805
ENSG000000000460	97.25	78.75	-0.30441833
ENSG000000000938	0.75	0.00	-Inf

We want to filter out any genes (that is the rows) where we have ZERO count data

```
to.keep inds <- rowSums(meancounts[,1:2] == 0) == 0
head(to.keep inds)
```

```
ENSG000000000003 ENSG000000000005 ENSG000000000419 ENSG000000000457 ENSG000000000460
      TRUE        FALSE        TRUE        TRUE        TRUE
ENSG000000000938
      FALSE
```

```
mycounts <- meancounts[to.keep inds,]
nrow(mycounts)
```

```
[1] 21817
```

A common threshold for calling genes as differentially expressed is a log2 fold-change of +2 or -2.

```
sum(mycounts$log2fc >= +2)
```

```
[1] 314
```

What percent is this?

```
(sum(mycounts$log2fc >= +2) / nrow(mycounts))*100
```

```
[1] 1.439245
```

down regulated

```
sum(mycounts$log2fc <= -2)  
  
[1] 485  
  
(sum(mycounts$log2fc <= -2) / nrow(mycounts))*100  
  
[1] 2.223037
```

Q7. What is the purpose of the arr.ind argument in the which() function call above? Why would we then take the first column of the output and need to call the unique() function?

The arr.ind argument will change logical values to numerical ones.

Q8. Using the up.ind vector above can you determine how many up regulated genes we have at the greater than 2 fc level?

```
up.ind <- mycounts$log2fc > 2  
sum(up.ind)
```

```
[1] 250
```

Q9. Using the down.ind vector above can you determine how many down regulated genes we have at the greater than 2 fc level?

```
down.ind <- mycounts$log2fc < (-2)  
sum(down.ind)
```

```
[1] 367
```

Q10. Do you trust these results? Why or why not?

No, because we don't know if it is significant change. We need to do some statistical test to determine if we can trust these results.

DESeq2 analysis

Let's turn to going this the correct way with

```
library(DESeq2)
```

The main function in the DESeq2 packages is called `deseq()` it want our count data and our colData (metadata) as input in a specific way.

```
dds <- DESeqDataSetFromMatrix(countData=counts,
                               colData=metadata,
                               design=~dex)
```

converting counts to integer mode

```
Warning in DESeqDataSet(se, design = design, ignoreRank): some variables in
design formula are characters, converting to factors
```

```
dds
```

```
class: DESeqDataSet
dim: 38694 8
metadata(1): version
assays(1): counts
rownames(38694): ENSG00000000003 ENSG00000000005 ... ENSG00000283120
ENSG00000283123
rowData names(0):
colnames(8): SRR1039508 SRR1039509 ... SRR1039520 SRR1039521
colData names(4): id dex celltype geo_id
```

```
dds <- DESeq(dds)
```

estimating size factors

estimating dispersions

gene-wise dispersion estimates

mean-dispersion relationship

final dispersion estimates

fitting model and testing

```
results(dds)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 38694 rows and 6 columns
  baseMean log2FoldChange    lfcSE      stat     pvalue
  <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG000000000003  747.1942   -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG000000000005   0.0000      NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG000000000419  520.1342   0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG000000000457  322.6648   0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG000000000460   87.6826   -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
...
  ...
ENSG00000283115  0.000000      NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000283116  0.000000      NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000283119  0.000000      NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG00000283120  0.974916   -0.668258  1.69456  -0.394354 0.693319
ENSG00000283123  0.000000      NA        NA        NA        NA
  padj
  <numeric>
ENSG000000000003  0.163035
ENSG000000000005   NA
ENSG000000000419  0.176032
ENSG000000000457  0.961694
ENSG000000000460  0.815849
...
  ...
ENSG00000283115   NA
ENSG00000283116   NA
ENSG00000283119   NA
ENSG00000283120   NA
ENSG00000283123   NA
```

Now what we got so far is the log2 fold chain and the adjusted p-value for the significance

```
res <- results(dds)


head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 6 columns
```

	baseMean	log2FoldChange	lfcSE	stat	pvalue
	<numeric>	<numeric>	<numeric>	<numeric>	<numeric>
ENSG000000000003	747.194195	-0.3507030	0.168246	-2.084470	0.0371175
ENSG000000000005	0.000000	NA	NA	NA	NA
ENSG000000000419	520.134160	0.2061078	0.101059	2.039475	0.0414026
ENSG000000000457	322.664844	0.0245269	0.145145	0.168982	0.8658106
ENSG000000000460	87.682625	-0.1471420	0.257007	-0.572521	0.5669691
ENSG000000000938	0.319167	-1.7322890	3.493601	-0.495846	0.6200029
	padj				
	<numeric>				
ENSG000000000003	0.163035				
ENSG000000000005	NA				
ENSG000000000419	0.176032				
ENSG000000000457	0.961694				
ENSG000000000460	0.815849				
ENSG000000000938	NA				

First plot


```
plot(res$log2FoldChange, res$padj)
```



That plot was bad all the interesting p-values are down below zero. So i will take the log of


the p-value

```
plot(res$log2FoldChange, log(res$padj))
```



we can flip the plot

```
plot(res$log2FoldChange, -log(res$padj))
abline(v=c(-2, +2), col= "red")
abline(h=-log(0.05), col="red")
```




Clean up plot

```
# Setup our custom point color vector
mycols <- rep("gray", nrow(res))
mycols[ abs(res$log2FoldChange) > 2 ] <- "red"

inds <- (res$padj < 0.01) & (abs(res$log2FoldChange) > 2 )
mycols[ inds ] <- "blue"

# Volcano plot with custom colors
plot( res$log2FoldChange, -log(res$padj),
      col=mycols, ylab="-Log(P-value)", xlab="Log2(FoldChange)" )

# Cut-off lines
abline(v=c(-2,2), col="purple", lty=2)
abline(h=-log(0.1), col="purple", lty=2)
```



Annotation of our gene results

I will start by loading two annotation packages from bioconductor

```
library("AnnotationDbi")
library("org.Hs.eg.db")
```

The `mapIDs()` function “maps” database identifiers between different databases. In other words it translates the identifiers used by one database to that used by another database.

Let’s see what databases are available for Human data

```
columns(org.Hs.eg.db)
```

```
[1] "ACNUM"          "ALIAS"           "ENSEMBL"         "ENSEMLPROT"      "ENSEMLTRANS"
[6] "ENTREZID"       "ENZYME"          "EVIDENCE"        "EVIDENCEALL"    "GENENAME"
[11] "GENETYPE"       "GO"              "GOALL"          "IPI"            "MAP"
[16] "OMIM"           "ONTOLOGY"        "ONTOLOGYALL"    "PATH"           "PFAM"
[21] "PMID"           "PROSITE"         "REFSEQ"         "SYMBOL"         "UCSCKG"
[26] "UNIPROT"
```

My results are in the onjectres

```
head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 6 columns
  baseMean log2FoldChange    lfcSE      stat     pvalue
  <numeric>    <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG000000000003 747.194195 -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG000000000005  0.000000      NA        NA       NA       NA
ENSG000000000419 520.134160  0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG000000000457 322.664844  0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG000000000460 87.682625  -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
ENSG000000000938 0.319167   -1.7322890  3.493601 -0.495846 0.6200029
  padj
  <numeric>
ENSG000000000003 0.163035
ENSG000000000005  NA
ENSG000000000419 0.176032
ENSG000000000457 0.961694
ENSG000000000460 0.815849
ENSG000000000938  NA
```

```
res$symbol <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
  keys=row.names(res), # Our genenames
  keytype="ENSEMBL",      # The format of our genenames
  column="SYMBOL",        # The new format we want to add
  multiVals="first")
```

'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns

```
head(res)
```

```
log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 7 columns
  baseMean log2FoldChange    lfcSE      stat     pvalue
  <numeric>    <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
```

ENSG000000000003	747.194195	-0.3507030	0.168246	-2.084470	0.0371175
ENSG000000000005	0.000000	NA	NA	NA	NA
ENSG000000000419	520.134160	0.2061078	0.101059	2.039475	0.0414026
ENSG000000000457	322.664844	0.0245269	0.145145	0.168982	0.8658106
ENSG000000000460	87.682625	-0.1471420	0.257007	-0.572521	0.5669691
ENSG000000000938	0.319167	-1.7322890	3.493601	-0.495846	0.6200029
	padj	symbol			
	<numeric>	<character>			
ENSG000000000003	0.163035	TSPAN6			
ENSG000000000005	NA	TNMD			
ENSG000000000419	0.176032	DPM1			
ENSG000000000457	0.961694	SCYL3			
ENSG000000000460	0.815849	C1orf112			
ENSG000000000938	NA	FGR			

Q11. Run the mapIds() function two more times to add the Entrez ID and UniProt accession and GENENAME as new columns called res\$entrez, res\$uniprot and res\$genename.

Add other ids:

```
res$entrez <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                      keys=row.names(res),
                      column="ENTREZID",
                      keytype="ENSEMBL",
                      multiVals="first")

'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns

res$uniprot <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                      keys=row.names(res),
                      column="UNIPROT",
                      keytype="ENSEMBL",
                      multiVals="first")

'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns

res$genename <- mapIds(org.Hs.eg.db,
                      keys=row.names(res),
                      column="GENENAME",
```

```

keytype="ENSEMBL",
multiVals="first")

'select()' returned 1:many mapping between keys and columns

head(res)

log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 10 columns
  baseMean log2FoldChange      lfcSE      stat     pvalue
  <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>
ENSG00000000003 747.194195     -0.3507030  0.168246 -2.084470 0.0371175
ENSG00000000005  0.000000          NA        NA        NA        NA
ENSG000000000419 520.134160      0.2061078  0.101059  2.039475 0.0414026
ENSG000000000457 322.664844      0.0245269  0.145145  0.168982 0.8658106
ENSG000000000460 87.682625      -0.1471420  0.257007 -0.572521 0.5669691
ENSG000000000938 0.319167      -1.7322890  3.493601 -0.495846 0.6200029
  padj      symbol      entrez      uniprot
  <numeric> <character> <character> <character>
ENSG00000000003 0.163035      TSPAN6       7105 AOA024RCI0
ENSG00000000005   NA          TNMD       64102 Q9H2S6
ENSG000000000419 0.176032      DPM1       8813 D60762
ENSG000000000457 0.961694      SCYL3      57147 Q8IZE3
ENSG000000000460 0.815849      C1orf112    55732 AOA024R922
ENSG000000000938  NA          FGR        2268 P09769
  genename
  <character>
ENSG00000000003      tetraspanin 6
ENSG00000000005      tenomodulin
ENSG000000000419 dolichyl-phosphate m..
ENSG000000000457 SCY1 like pseudokina..
ENSG000000000460 chromosome 1 open re..
ENSG000000000938 FGR proto-oncogene, ..

```

```

ord <- order( res$padj )
#View(res[ord,])
head(res[ord,])

```

```

log2 fold change (MLE): dex treated vs control
Wald test p-value: dex treated vs control
DataFrame with 6 rows and 10 columns
      baseMean log2FoldChange     lfcSE      stat      pvalue
      <numeric>      <numeric> <numeric> <numeric>    <numeric>
ENSG00000152583   954.771       4.36836  0.2371268   18.4220 8.74490e-76
ENSG00000179094   743.253       2.86389  0.1755693   16.3120 8.10784e-60
ENSG00000116584   2277.913      -1.03470 0.0650984  -15.8944 6.92855e-57
ENSG00000189221   2383.754       3.34154  0.2124058   15.7319 9.14433e-56
ENSG00000120129   3440.704       2.96521  0.2036951   14.5571 5.26424e-48
ENSG00000148175   13493.920      1.42717  0.1003890   14.2164 7.25128e-46
      padj      symbol     entrez      uniprot
      <numeric> <character> <character> <character>
ENSG00000152583 1.32441e-71      SPARCL1      8404  AOA024RDE1
ENSG00000179094 6.13966e-56      PER1        5187  Q15534
ENSG00000116584 3.49776e-53      ARHGEF2      9181  Q92974
ENSG00000189221 3.46227e-52      MAOA        4128  P21397
ENSG00000120129 1.59454e-44      DUSP1        1843  B4DU40
ENSG00000148175 1.83034e-42      STOM        2040  F8VSL7
      genename
      <character>
ENSG00000152583           SPARC like 1
ENSG00000179094           period circadian reg..
ENSG00000116584           Rho/Rac guanine nucl..
ENSG00000189221           monoamine oxidase A
ENSG00000120129           dual specificity pho..
ENSG00000148175           stomatin

```

```
write.csv(res[ord,], "deseq_results.csv")
```

Pathway analysis

Pathway analysis (also known as gene set analysis or over-representation analysis), aims to reduce the complexity of interpreting gene lists via mapping the listed genes to known (i.e. annotated) biological pathways, processes and functions.

Some major genesets include KEGG, GO, etc We will use the **gage** package for our first pathway analysis

```
library(pathview)
```

```
#####
# Pathview is an open source software package distributed under GNU General
# Public License version 3 (GPLv3). Details of GPLv3 is available at
# http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.html. Particullary, users are required to
# formally cite the original Pathview paper (not just mention it) in publications
# or products. For details, do citation("pathview") within R.
```

The pathview downloads and uses KEGG data. Non-academic uses may require a KEGG license agreement (details at <http://www.kegg.jp/kegg/legal.html>).

```
#####
```

```
library(gage)
```

```
library(gageData)
```

```
data(kegg.sets.hs)
```

We can have a look at the first few pathways in the kegg human set

```
# Examine the first 2 pathways in this kegg set for humans
head(kegg.sets.hs, 2)
```

```
$`hsa00232 Caffeine metabolism`
[1] "10"    "1544"  "1548"  "1549"  "1553"  "7498"  "9"

$`hsa00983 Drug metabolism - other enzymes`
[1] "10"     "1066"   "10720"  "10941"  "151531" "1548"   "1549"   "1551"
[9] "1553"   "1576"   "1577"   "1806"   "1807"   "1890"   "221223" "2990"
[17] "3251"   "3614"   "3615"   "3704"   "51733"  "54490"  "54575"  "54576"
[25] "54577"  "54578"  "54579"  "54600"  "54657"  "54658"  "54659"  "54963"
[33] "574537" "64816"  "7083"   "7084"   "7172"   "7363"   "7364"   "7365"
[41] "7366"   "7367"   "7371"   "7372"   "7378"   "7498"   "79799" "83549"
[49] "8824"   "8833"   "9"      "978"
```

The main `gage()` function wants a vector as an input that contaibs our measure of importance-in our case that is fold-change. The vecotr needs to be ENTREZ ids as the names of the vector

Recall that vecotrs can have names

```

foldchanges = res$log2FoldChange
names(foldchanges) = res$entrez
head(foldchanges)

```

	7105	64102	8813	57147	55732	2268
	-0.35070302	NA	0.20610777	0.02452695	-0.14714205	-1.73228897

Now we can run the analysis

```

# Get the results
keggres = gage(foldchanges, gsets=kegg.sets.hs)

```

What is in this results

```
attributes(keggres)
```

```

$names
[1] "greater" "less"    "stats"

```

By default gage splits results into “greater” and “less” objects that you can examine. First we will look at the “less” (i.e) down regulated pathway results

```

# Look at the first three down (less) pathways
head(keggres$less, 3)

```

	p.geomean	stat.mean	p.val
hsa05332 Graft-versus-host disease	0.0004250461	-3.473346	0.0004250461
hsa04940 Type I diabetes mellitus	0.0017820293	-3.002352	0.0017820293
hsa05310 Asthma	0.0020045888	-3.009050	0.0020045888

	q.val	set.size	exp1
hsa05332 Graft-versus-host disease	0.09053483	40	0.0004250461
hsa04940 Type I diabetes mellitus	0.14232581	42	0.0017820293
hsa05310 Asthma	0.14232581	29	0.0020045888

We can look in more detail at these pathways. `pathview()` function will take the KEGG pathways ID (printed first above) and our vector of importance and annotate the pathway with our genes

First I will look at hsa05310 Asthma

```
pathview(gene.data=foldchanges, pathway.id="hsa05310")
```

'select()' returned 1:1 mapping between keys and columns

Info: Working in directory /Users/lorenacuellar/Desktop/BIMM 143/Class 12

Info: Writing image file hsa05310.pathview.png




Figure 1: The Asthma pathway with our genes colored