



Algebraic Topology

An exercise-oriented notes

Vector_Cat

Copyright © 2013 John Smith

PUBLISHED BY PUBLISHER

BOOK-WEBSITE.COM

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License (the “License”). You may not use this file except in compliance with the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>. Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an “AS IS” BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

First printing, March 2013

Contents

1	Topological spaces	5
2	Fundamental groups	7
3	Covering spaces	9
4	Elementary homotopy theory	11
4.1	The mapping cylinder	11
5	Cofibrations and fibrations	13
6	Homotopy groups	15
7	Stable homotopy. Duality	17
8	Cell complexes	19
9	Singular homology	21
9.1	Singular Homology Groups	21
9.2	The Fundamental Group	21
9.3	Homotopy	21
9.4	Barycentric Subdivision. Excision	21
9.5	Weak Equivalences and Homology	21
9.6	Homology with Coefficients	21

9.7	The Theorem of Eilenberg and Zilber	21
9.8	The Homology Product	21
10	Homology	23
10.1	The Axioms of Eilenberg and Steenrod	23
11	Homological algebra	25
11.1	Diagrams	25
11.2	Exact sequences	25
11.3	Chain complex	25
11.4	Cochain complex	25
11.5	Natural chain maps and homotopies	25
11.6	Linear algebra of chain complexes	25
11.7	Tor and Ext	28
11.8	Universal coefficients	28
11.9	Algebraic Künneth formula	28
11.10	Eilenberg-Zilber theorem and Künneth formula	29
12	Cellular homology	33
13	Partition of unity in homotopy	35
A	Acyclic models and model categories	37
A.1	Acyclic models theorem	37
A.2	Model categories	41
	Bibliography	43
	Articles	43
	Books	43

The top portion of the slide features a complex, abstract background composed of numerous overlapping triangles in various shades of blue, ranging from deep navy to light sky blue. This pattern creates a sense of depth and geometric structure.

1. Topological spaces



2. Fundamental groups

The top portion of the slide features a complex, abstract background composed of numerous overlapping triangles in various shades of blue, ranging from deep navy to light sky blue. This pattern creates a sense of depth and geometric structure.

3. Covering spaces

4. Elementary homotopy theory

4.1 The mapping cylinder

Definition 4.1.1 Given a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces, one can define its **mapping cylinder** as a pushout (fibered coproduct)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & B & & \\
 & \swarrow \exists! & \uparrow & \swarrow & \\
 & Z(f) & \leftarrow & X \times I & \\
 & \uparrow r & \searrow f \times id & \uparrow i_0 & \\
 & Y \times I & & X & \\
 & \uparrow i_0 & \searrow f & & \\
 Y & & X & &
 \end{array}$$

Set-theoretically, the mapping cylinder is usually represented as the quotient space $(X \times I \amalg Y) / \sim$, where $f(x) \sim (x, 0)$. We use Mf to denote it. (other notations are used including Mf , M_f and $\text{Cyl}(f)$.)

Notice that it is Mf rather than $Y \times I$ that plays the role of pushout because the map r is not unique. Our only restriction on r is $r \circ j = id$, where $j : Mf \rightarrow Y \times I$ is the map that restricts to $f \times id$ on $X \times I$ and restricts to i_0 on Y .

R Another equivalent definition is used in tom Dieck.

In the following, we consider $X \amalg Y$ as subspace of $Z(f)$ via the map $J : J(x) = [(x, 0)]$ and $J(y) = [y]$. Then we consider a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y',
 \end{array}$$

where the diagram commutes up to a homotopy $\Psi : f' \circ \alpha \simeq \beta \circ f$.



5. Cofibrations and fibrations

6. Homotopy groups

7. Stable homotopy. Daulity

The top portion of the slide features a complex, abstract background composed of numerous overlapping triangles in various shades of blue, ranging from deep navy to light sky blue. This pattern creates a sense of depth and movement.

8. Cell complexes

9. Singular homology

- 9.1 Singular Homology Groups
- 9.2 The Fundamental Group
- 9.3 Homotopy
- 9.4 Barycentric Subdivision. Excision
- 9.5 Weak Equivalences and Homology
- 9.6 Homology with Coefficients
- 9.7 The Theorem of Eilenberg and Zilber
- 9.8 The Homology Product

10. Homology

10.1 The Axioms of Eilenberg and Steenrod

11. Homological algebra

11.1 Diagrams

11.2 Exact sequences

11.3 Chain complex

11.4 Cochain complex

11.5 Natural chain maps and homotopies

11.6 Linear algebra of chain complexes

Definition 11.6.1 Suppose (C_\bullet, ∂) and (C'_\bullet, ∂') are two non-negative chain complexes. We define the **tensor complex** $(C_\bullet \otimes C'_\bullet, \Delta)$, where

$$(C_\bullet \otimes C'_\bullet)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C_i \otimes C'_j$$

and the differential Δ is defined by

$$\Delta(c_i \otimes c'_j) = \partial c_i \otimes c'_j + (-1)^i c_i \otimes \partial' c'_j$$

Definition 11.6.2 Suppose $f_\bullet : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ and $g_\bullet : C'_\bullet \rightarrow D'_\bullet$ are two morphism of chain complexes. Then we can define a chain map

$$f \otimes g : C_\bullet \otimes C'_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet \otimes D'_\bullet$$

by

$$(f \otimes g)_n = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i \otimes g_j$$

It is easy to check this is indeed a chain map.

Exercise?? 11.6.A Tensor product is compatible with chain homotopy. Let $s : f \simeq g : C_\bullet \rightarrow C'_\bullet$ be a chain homotopy. Then $s \otimes id : f \otimes id \simeq g \otimes id : C_\bullet \otimes D_\bullet \rightarrow C'_\bullet \otimes D_\bullet$ is a chain homotopy.

Proof. Know: $s\partial_C + \partial_{C'}s = f - g$

Want: $(s \otimes id_D)\partial_{C \otimes D} + \partial_{C' \otimes D}(s \otimes id_D) = f \otimes id_D - g \otimes id_D$.

$C \otimes D$ is generated by pure tensors like $c'_n \otimes d_m$, therefore we can check the formula on element $c_n \otimes d_m \in C_n \otimes D_m$

$$\begin{aligned} & (s \otimes id_D)\partial_{C \otimes D}(c_n \otimes d_m) \\ &= (s \otimes id_D)(\partial_C c_n \otimes d_m + (-1)^n c_n \otimes \partial_D d_m) \\ &= s \circ \partial_C c_n \otimes d_m + (-1)^n s c_n \otimes \partial_D d_m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{C' \otimes D}(s \otimes id_D)(c_n \otimes d_m) \\ &= \partial_{C' \otimes D}(s c_n \otimes d_m) \\ &= \partial_{C'} s c_n \otimes d_m + (-1)^{\deg(sc_n)} s c_n \otimes \partial_D d_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $\deg(sc_n) = n - 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_{C' \otimes D}(s \otimes id_D) + (s \otimes id_D)\partial_{C \otimes D})(c_n \otimes d_m) \\ &= (s\partial_C + \partial_{C'}s)c_n \otimes d_m + 0 \\ &= (f \otimes id_D - g \otimes id_D)(c_n \otimes d_m) \end{aligned}$$

We are done. Also we can generalize this statement to

Let $s : f \simeq g : C \rightarrow C'$ and $t : p \simeq q : D \rightarrow D'$ be chain homotopies. Then $s \otimes t : f \otimes p \simeq g \otimes q : C \otimes D \rightarrow C' \otimes D'$ is a chain homotopy. We easily conclude by $s \otimes id$ and $id \otimes t$ are chain homotopy and composition of chain homotopies is a chain homotopy. ■

Exercise?? 11.6.B Let (C_\bullet, ∂) be a free chain complex. Then C_\bullet is acyclic iff it has contracting chain homotopy

Proof. A contracting homotopy means $Q : C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ s.t. $Q\partial + \partial Q = id$.

If such Q exists then $H_n(C_\bullet) = 0 \forall n$. That direction doesn't require C_\bullet to be free.

As for the reverse direction, consider

$$B_n \subseteq Z_n \subseteq C_n$$

If we assume C_\bullet is acyclic then

$$\begin{aligned} B_n &= Z_n, \forall n \\ 0 &\rightarrow Z_n \xrightarrow{i} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} Z_{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since Z_{n-1} is free abelian the sequence splits $\exists r_n : Z_{n-1} \rightarrow C_n$ s.t. $\partial \circ r_n = id$. Note that $id - r_{n-1} \circ \partial$ has image in Z_{n-1} , $c \in C_n$. $\partial(c - r_n \partial c) = \partial c - \partial c = 0$

Now define $Q_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n+1}$ by $Q_n = r_n(id - r_{n-1} \circ \partial)$. This works.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial Q_n + Q_{n-1} \partial &= \partial r_n(id - r_{n-1} \partial) + r_{n-1}(id - r_{n-2} \partial) \partial \\ &= id - r_{n-1} \partial + r_{n-1} \partial - r_{n-1} r_{n-2} \partial^2 \\ &= id \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 11.6.3 Suppose $f : (C_\bullet, \partial) \rightarrow (D_\bullet, \partial')$. The **mapping cone** of f is the chain complex $\text{Cone}_\bullet(f), \partial^f$, where $\text{Cone}_n(f) = C_{n-1} \oplus D_n$ and $\partial^f : \text{Cone}_n(f) \rightarrow \text{Cone}_{n-1}(f)$

$$\partial^f(c, d) = (-\partial c, fc + \partial' d)$$

$$\partial^f = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial & 0 \\ f & \partial' \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise?? 11.6.C If $f : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ is a chain map between two free chain complexes and $\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)$ is acyclic then prove f is a chain equivalence. ■

Proof. Note that the definition of mapping cone implies $\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)$ to be a free chain complex. Then we can apply Exercise 11.6.B and there is a contracting chain homotopy Q such that

$$Q\partial^f + \partial^f Q = id$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} p & g \\ r & -p' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial & 0 \\ f & -\partial' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & g \\ r & -p' \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p & g \\ r & -p' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial & 0 \\ f & -\partial' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} id & 0 \\ 0 & id \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial p - p\partial + gf & -\partial g + g\partial' \\ * & fg - \partial' p' - p'\partial' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} id & 0 \\ 0 & id \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we know $g : D_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ is a chain map

$$p\partial + \partial p = gf - id$$

$$p'\partial' + \partial' p' = fg - id. \text{ Thus } f \text{ is a chain equivalence with inverse } g. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 11.6.1 Let $f : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$. Then there is a LES

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) \rightarrow H_n(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{H_n(f)} H_n(D_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. Denote by C_\bullet^+ the chain complex $C_n^+ = C_{n-1}$. There is a SES

$$0 \rightarrow D_\bullet \xrightarrow{i} \text{Cone}_\bullet(f) \xrightarrow{p} C_\bullet^+ \rightarrow 0$$

with $i(d) = (0, d)$ and $p(c, d) = c$

Pass to the LES in homology

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(C_\bullet^+) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_n(D_\bullet) \longrightarrow H_n(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & & & H_n(C_\bullet) & & \end{array}$$

It remains to check $\delta = H_n(f)$.

Note if c is a cycle in C_n . Then

$$\partial^f \circ p^{-1}(c) = (-\partial c, fc) = (0, fc) = i(fc)$$

$$\delta : \langle c \rangle \mapsto \langle i^{-1} \partial^f p^{-1} c \rangle = \langle fc \rangle = H_n(f) \langle c \rangle \quad \blacksquare$$

Exercise?? 11.6.D Suppose $f : C_\bullet \rightarrow D_\bullet$ is a chain map between the two free chain complexes. Then f is a chain equivalence iff

$$H_n(f) : H_n(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(D_\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism for all n , ■

Proof. If f is a chain equivalence then $H_n(f)$ is always a isomorphism. This does not require any freeness assumptions and we proved in last semester.

For the converse, if $H_n(f)$ is always an isomorphism, then the LES

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) \rightarrow H_n(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(D_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

This implies $H_n(\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)) = 0, \forall n$. Then $\text{Cone}_\bullet(f)$ is acyclic, and we can conclude by Exercise 11.6.C. ■

11.7 Tor and Ext

Definition 11.7.1 Suppose A is an abelian group, A **Free resolution** is an exact sequence of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A \rightarrow 0,$$

where each F_i is a free abelian group. If moreover $F_i = 0, \forall i \geq 2$, we call it **Short free resolution**

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

(We can easily generalize this definition to R -modules)

Proposition 11.7.1 Let A be an abelian group. Then there exists a short free resolution of A .

Proof. Let F be the free abelian group generated by all elements in A . There is a surjection from F to A by linearly extending the map sending basis element to itself. Let K denote the kernel of this map. K is an abelian subgroup of a free abelian group (\mathbb{Z} -module). A subgroup of a free abelian group is torsion free as a module. \mathbb{Z} is a *PID*. If R is a *PID*, then an R -module is free iff it is torsion free (See Bosch section 4.2). Then we know in particular, K is a free abelian group. ■

With this construction, we can define the Tor functor now:

Definition 11.7.2 Let A be an abelian group. Let $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{f} F \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ be a short free resolution of A . Given any other abelian group B , we define

$$\text{Tor}(A, B) := \ker(f \otimes id_B)$$

$\text{Tor}(A, B)$ can be more generally defined in the category of R -modules, where R is a principal ideal ring, where short free resolution does exist.

11.8 Universal coefficients

11.9 Algebraic Künneth formula

In this section we would prove an algebraic version of Künneth formula for free chain complexes. In the next section we would prove Eilenber-Zilber theorem and then derive the general Künneth formula as a corollary of the algebraic one.

Theorem 11.9.1 (Algebraic Künneth Theorem) Let (C, ∂) and (D, ∂') be two non-negative free complex. Then for every $n \geq 0$, there is a split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_i(C_\bullet) \otimes H_j(D_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\omega} H_n(C_\bullet \otimes D_\bullet) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k+\ell=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_k(C_\bullet), H_\ell(D_\bullet)) \longrightarrow 0$$

where ω is the map $\langle c_i \rangle \otimes \langle d_j \rangle \mapsto \langle c_i \otimes d_j \rangle$. Thus there also exists a (non-natural) isomorphism

$$H_n(C_\bullet \otimes D_\bullet) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_i(C_\bullet) \otimes H_j(D_\bullet) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k+\ell=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_k(C_\bullet), H_\ell(D_\bullet)) \right)$$

11.10 Eilenberg-Zilber theorem and Künneth formula

Theorem 11.10.1 (Eilenberg-Zilber) if X and Y are two topological spaces. There is a nontrivial chain equivalence

$$\Omega_\bullet : C_\bullet(X \times Y) \longrightarrow C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y)$$

which is unique up to chain homotopy.

Proof. $Top \times Top$ is the category of pairs (X, Y) of topological spaces.

We will define two functor from $Top \times Top \longrightarrow Comp$

$$S_\bullet(X, Y) = C_\bullet(X, Y), \quad T_\bullet(X, Y) = C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y)$$

For models

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(\Delta^i, \Delta^j), i, j \geq 0\}$$

Claim: S_\bullet and T_\bullet are both acyclic in positive degree on \mathcal{M} and free with basis contained in \mathcal{M}

$$S_\bullet, H_n(S_\bullet(\Delta^i, \Delta^j)) = H_n(\Delta^i \times \Delta^j) = 0, \forall n > 0, \forall i, j \text{ (Acyclic in positive degrees)}$$

$$S_i : Top \times Top \longrightarrow Ab$$

$$S_i(X, Y) = C_i(X \times Y)$$

subclaim: $\{(\Delta^i, \Delta^j)\}$ is a S_i -model set and the diagonal map $d_i : \Delta^i \longrightarrow \Delta^i \otimes \Delta^i, x \mapsto (x, x)$ gives a model basis.

Indeed, if (X, Y) is any object in $Top \times Top$ and if $\sigma : \Delta^i \longrightarrow X \times Y$ is any singular simplex in $S_i(X \times Y) = C_i(X \times Y)$, then we can write $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y) \circ d_i$, where $\sigma_x = p_X \circ \sigma$ be the composition of σ with $p_X : X \times Y \longrightarrow X$. $S_i(\tau)(d_i), \tau \in \text{Hom}(\Delta^i \times \Delta^i, X \times Y)$ forms a basis of the free abelian group $S_i(X \times Y) = C_i(X \times Y)$.

As for T_i , we quote the exercise, for any $(X, Y) \in Top \times Top$, $C_i(X) \otimes C_j(Y)$ is free abelian with ba

$T_i(X \times Y) = (C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y))$. $T_i(X, Y)$ is the tensor product of the free groups and thus is free. $\{(\ell_i, \ell_j) | i + j = n\}$ is a T_n -model basis.

The last thing to check is that $T_\bullet(\Delta^i, \Delta^j)$ is acyclic in positive degrees

$$H_n(C_\bullet(\Delta^i) \otimes C_\bullet(\Delta^j)) = 0, \forall n > 0.$$

We can not compute this! However we can cheat

$$H_n(C_\bullet(\Delta^i)) = H_n(\Delta^i) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & n = 0 \\ 0 & n \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Consider the chain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \cdots$$

$C_\bullet(\Delta^i)$ has the same homology as this complex. Thus $C_\bullet(\Delta^i)$ is equivalent to the complex and $C_\bullet(\Delta^j)$ is also chain equivalent to it (By Exercise 11.6.D). $C_\bullet(\Delta^i) \otimes C_\bullet(\Delta^j)$ is chain equivalent to

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \cdots$$

Thus $H_n(C_\bullet(\Delta^i) \otimes C_\bullet(\Delta^j)) = H_n(\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \cdots)$. We then know $T_\bullet(\Delta^i, \Delta^j)$ is indeed acyclic in positive degrees.

We have now verified that the hypotheses of the Acyclic Models Theorem and its corollary are satisfied. Define $\Theta : H_0 \circ S_\bullet \longrightarrow H_0 \circ T_\bullet$ is a natural equivalence.

$$\Theta(X \times Y) : H_0(C_\bullet(X \times Y)) \longrightarrow H_0(C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y))$$

$$\langle c_{(x,y)} \rangle \mapsto \langle c_x \rangle \otimes \langle c_y \rangle$$

where $c_{(x,y)} : \Delta^0 \longrightarrow (x,y)$ is the constant map to point (x,y) . It is indeed a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(S_\bullet(X \times Y)) & \xrightarrow{\Theta(X \times Y)} & H_0(T_\bullet(X \times Y)) \\ H_0(S_\bullet(f,g)) \downarrow & & \downarrow H_0(T_\bullet(f,g)) \\ H_0(S_\bullet(W \times Z)) & \xrightarrow{\Theta(W \times Z)} & H_0(T_\bullet(W \times Z)) \end{array}$$

By algebraic Künneth formula 11.9.1, we know $H_0(C_\bullet(X \times Y)) \cong H_0(C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y))$ and $\Theta(X, Y)$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups. The map $\langle c_x \rangle \otimes \langle c_y \rangle \mapsto \langle c_{(x,y)} \rangle$ gives the inverse of $\Theta(X, Y)$, therefore we know Θ is a natural equivalence.

By the acyclic models theorem A.1.1

$$\Omega_\bullet : S_\bullet \longrightarrow T_\bullet$$

is a natural chain equivalence such that $H_0(\Omega_\bullet) = \Theta$

We therefore find a chain equivalence when apply it to $X \times Y$

$$\Omega_\bullet(X, Y) : C_\bullet(X \times Y) \longrightarrow C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y)$$

These two chain complex have isomorphic homologies. ■

Corollary 11.10.2 (Künneth formula) As a result, we can apply the algebraic Künneth formula here and derive the Künneth formula for product of topological spaces.

Then for every $n \geq 0$, there is a split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_i(C_\bullet(X)) \otimes H_j(C_\bullet(Y)) \xrightarrow{\omega} H_n(C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k+\ell=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_k(C_\bullet(X)), H_\ell(C_\bullet(Y))) \longrightarrow 0$$

where ω is the map $\langle c_x \rangle \otimes \langle c_y \rangle \mapsto \langle c_x \otimes c_y \rangle$. Thus there also exists a (non-natural) isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(X \times Y) &= H_n(C_\bullet(X \times Y)) \\ &\cong H_n(C_\bullet(X) \otimes C_\bullet(Y)) \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_i(C_\bullet(X)) \otimes H_j(C_\bullet(Y)) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k+\ell=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_k(C_\bullet(X)), H_\ell(C_\bullet(Y))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

The top portion of the slide features a complex, abstract background composed of numerous overlapping triangles in various shades of blue, ranging from deep navy to light sky blue. This pattern creates a sense of depth and movement.

12. Cellular homology

13. Partition of unity in homotopy

A. Acyclic models and model categories

A.1 Acyclic models theorem

In algebraic topology, the acyclic models theorem can be used to show that two homology theories are isomorphic and usually applying it would greatly simplify the proof. It can be thought of as a “universal pattern” of homology theories.

Definition A.1.1 Let \mathcal{C} be a category. A family of **models** in \mathcal{C} is simply an indexed subset $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of $\text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition A.1.2 Let \mathcal{C} be a category with family of models $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Suppose $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor. A **T -model set** χ is a choice of elements $x_\lambda \in T(M_\lambda)$ for each λ :

$$\chi = \{x_\lambda \in T(M_\lambda) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

Definition A.1.3 Let \mathcal{C} be a category with family of models $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Suppose $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ is a functor. We say that T is **free with basis in \mathcal{M}** if the following condition holds:

1. $T(C)$ is a free abelian group $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}$
2. There is a T -model set $\chi = \{x_\lambda \in T(M_\lambda) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ s.t.

$$\{T(f)(x_\lambda) | f \in \text{Hom}(M_\lambda, C), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

is a basis for the free abelian group $T(C)$.

We call χ a **model basis** for T .

We say $T_\bullet : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ is free with basis in \mathcal{M} if each T_n is free with basis in \mathcal{M} .

Definition A.1.4 $T_\bullet : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$, we say T_\bullet is **non-negative** if $T_n(C) = 0$ for all $n < 0$ and $\forall C$. T_\bullet is **acyclic in the positive degrees on C** or C is **T_\bullet -acyclic** if $H_n(T_\bullet(C)) = 0, \forall n > 0$.

■ **Example A.1.1** Take $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}$, $\mathcal{M} = \{\Delta^n | n \geq 0\}$. T_\bullet is the singular chain functor.

$$\mathcal{C}_\bullet : \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$$

$$X \mapsto C_\bullet(X)$$

By definition, T_\bullet is free with basis in \mathcal{M} . Then T_\bullet is non-negative because C_\bullet is non-negative, \checkmark . Also, Δ^n is T_\bullet -acyclic $H_n(C_\bullet(\Delta^i)) = H_n(\Delta^i) = 0, \forall n > 0 \checkmark$. (We say \mathcal{M} is T_\bullet -acyclic) ■

Theorem A.1.1 Suppose \mathcal{C} is a category with models \mathcal{M} . Suppose $T_\bullet, S_\bullet : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ are two functors such that both T_\bullet and S_\bullet are non-negative. Assume further T_\bullet is free with basis in \mathcal{M} and S_\bullet is acyclic in the positive degree on each element $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Suppose

$$\Theta : H_0 \circ T_\bullet \rightarrow H_0 \circ S_\bullet$$

is a natural transformation. \exists a natural chain morphism $\Psi_\bullet : T_\bullet \rightarrow S_\bullet$ which is unique up to natural chain homotopy and has $H_0(\Psi_\bullet) = \Theta$.

Corollary A.1.2 We will be mostly interested in the case where both S_\bullet and T_\bullet are free with basis \mathcal{M} and that each model $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is both S_\bullet -acyclic and T_\bullet -acyclic. In this case if $\Theta : H_0 \circ T_\bullet \rightarrow H_0 \circ S_\bullet$ is a natural equivalence then every natural chain map Φ_\bullet inducing Θ is natural chain equivalence.

To prove Theorem A.1.1, we need to first quote some two lemmas

Lemma A.1.3 Let \mathcal{C} be a category with family of models $\mathcal{M} = \{M_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Assume $S, T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ are functors and assume T is free with basis in \mathcal{M} . Let $\mathcal{X} := \{x_\lambda \in T(M_\lambda) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ denote the model basis for T . Choose element $y_\lambda \in S(M_\lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and set $\mathcal{Y} := \{y_\lambda \in S(M_\lambda) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then there exists a unique natural transformation $\Phi : T \rightarrow S$ such that

$$\Phi(M_\lambda)(x_\lambda) = y_\lambda, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$$

Proof. Because T is free with basis \mathcal{M} , we know for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $T(C)$ is free abelian group and

$$\{T(f)(x_\lambda) | f \in \text{Hom}(M_\lambda, C), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

is a basis for the free abelian group $T(C)$. For fixed $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and fixed object $C \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$, we have a commutative diagram for every morphism $f : M_\lambda \rightarrow C$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{T(f)} & T(C) \\ \Phi(M_\lambda) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi(C) \\ S(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{S(f)} & S(C) \end{array}$$

We have $\Phi(C) \circ T(f)(x_\lambda) = S(f)(y_\lambda)$. Since $T(f)(x_\lambda)$ forms a basis of $T(C)$, we know $\Phi(C)$ is uniquely determined, therefore Φ is unique if it exists.

It indeed exists. Fix any object $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then by assumption $\{T(f)(x_\lambda)\}$ form a basis of $T(C)$ and $\Phi(C)(T(f)(x_\lambda)) = S(f)(x_\lambda)$ by the universal property of free abelian group, there exists a unique homomorphism $\Phi(C) : T(C) \longrightarrow S(C)$ that restricts to it on basis.

We have proved each individual $\Phi(C)$ exists and is unique. It only lefts to check that such specified Φ is indeed a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(A) & \xrightarrow{T(g)} & T(B) \\ \Phi(A) \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi(B) \\ S(A) & \xrightarrow{S(g)} & S(B) \end{array}$$

Given a typical basis element $T(f)(x_\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(M_\lambda, A)$. Then

$$S(g) \circ \Phi(A)(T(f)(x_\lambda)) = S(g)S(f)y_\lambda = S(g \circ f)y_\lambda.$$

But also going the other way round:

$$\Phi(B) \circ T(g)(T(f)(x_\lambda)) = \Phi(B)(Tg \circ f)(x_\lambda) = S(g \circ f)(x_\lambda).$$

Thus Φ is indeed a natural transformation. ■

Lemma A.1.4 Let \mathcal{C} be category with family of models \mathcal{M} . Suppose given six functors $T_i, S_i : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow Ab, i = 0, 1, 2$. together with six natural transformations as pictured below

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_2 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & T_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & T_0 \\ & & \downarrow \Theta_1 & & \downarrow \Theta_0 \\ S_2 & \xrightarrow{\Psi_2} & S_1 & \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} & S_0 \end{array}$$

Assume that

1. For every object $C \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the composition $\Phi_1(C) \circ \Phi_2(C) : T_2(C) \longrightarrow T_0(C)$ is the zero homomorphism.
2. The bottom row is exact on \mathcal{M} , in the sense that for every model $M \in \mathcal{M}$, one has $\text{im } \Psi_2(M) = \ker \Psi_1(M)$.
3. The diagram commutes for every object $C \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.
4. T_2 is free with basis in M .

Then there exists a natural transformation $\Gamma : T_2 \longrightarrow S_2$ such the the first square commutes for every object of \mathcal{C} .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_2 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} & T_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi_1} & T_0 \\ \downarrow \Gamma & & \downarrow \Theta_1 & & \downarrow \Theta_0 \\ S_2 & \xrightarrow{\Psi_2} & S_1 & \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} & S_0 \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{x_\lambda \in T_2(M_\lambda) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ denote a model basis for T_2 . Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have a commutative diagram in Ab such that both top row and bottom row are chain complex and the bottom row is exact. Also $T_2(M_\lambda)$ is free

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_2(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{f_2} & T_1(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{f_1} & T_0(M_\lambda) \\ \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow t_1 & & \downarrow t_0 \\ S_2(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{g_2} & S_1(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{g_1} & S_0(M_\lambda) \end{array}$$

$\text{im } t_1 \circ f_2 \subset \text{im } g_2$ because $g_1 \circ t_1 \circ f_2 = h \circ f_1 \circ f_2 = 0$, hence $\text{im } t_1 \circ f_2 \subset \ker g_1 = \text{im } g_2$. For each x_λ , there is a $y_\lambda \in S_2(M_\lambda)$ such that $g_2(y_\lambda) = t_1 \circ f_2(x_\lambda)$ because g_2 is surjective. By universal property of free module, we get a unique morphism $\gamma : T_2(M_\lambda) \rightarrow S_2(M_\lambda)$ such that $\gamma(x_\lambda) = y_\lambda$. (But because y_λ are not unique, γ is not the unique morphism that makes the triangle commute)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T_2(M_\lambda) & \\ \swarrow \exists \gamma & \downarrow t_1 \circ f_2 & \\ S_2(M_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{g_2} \text{im } g_2 & \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

(It also makes the first square of the previous diagram commutes). We then know by A.1.3 there exists a unique natural transformation $\Gamma : T_2 \rightarrow S_2$ such that

$$\Gamma(M_\lambda)(x_\lambda) = y_\lambda, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

It remains to check thus constructed Γ makes the functor diagram commutes. If we define $z_\lambda := \Psi_2(M_\lambda)(y_\lambda) = \Theta_1(M_\lambda)(\Phi(M_\lambda)(x_\lambda))$, $\Theta_1 \circ \Phi_2$ and $\Psi \circ \Gamma$ are two natural transformations that sends x_λ to z_λ . Then we know the first square of functor diagram commutes by the uniqueness in A.1.3. ■

Finally we come back to the proof of Theorem A.1.1.

Proof. of theorem A.1.1

1. Such Φ_\bullet exists: We need to construct natural transformations $\Phi_n : S_n \rightarrow T_n$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & T_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & T_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & T_0 & \longrightarrow & H_0(T_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_2 & & \downarrow \Phi_1 & & \downarrow \Phi_0 & & \downarrow \Theta & & \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & S_2 & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & S_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & S_0 & \longrightarrow & H_0(S_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

With Lemma A.1.4 in hand, we can induct on n to concatenate the “ladders”.

For $n = 0$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_0 & \longrightarrow & H_0(T_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \exists \Phi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_0 & \longrightarrow & H_0(S_\bullet) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

■

If we find $\Phi_i, 0 \leq i \leq n$ such that makes the first n ladder commute, we can find a Φ_{n+1} that makes the diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & T_n & \longrightarrow & T_{n-1} \\ \exists \Phi_{n+1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_n & & \downarrow \Phi_{n-1} \\ S_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & S_n & \longrightarrow & S_{n-1} \end{array}$$

2. $H_0(\Phi_\bullet) = \Theta$: This is a direct result of the corresponding diagram of chain complexes. On each object C , $H_0(\Phi_\bullet)(C) : H_0(T_\bullet(C)) \rightarrow H_0(S_\bullet(C)) : \langle c \rangle \mapsto \langle \Phi_0(c) \rangle$ Then we have $H_0(\Phi_\bullet)(C) = \Theta(C)$ because the right most square commutes when applied to object C .

3. Φ_\bullet is unique up to natural chain homotopy Suppose now we have two such maps $\Psi_\bullet, \Phi_\bullet : T_\bullet \rightarrow S_\bullet$. We need to find natural transformation $\Upsilon_n : T_n \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ for $n \geq -1$ such that

$$\partial' \Upsilon_n + \Upsilon_{n-1} \partial = \Phi_n - \Psi_n.$$

Denote the difference $\Phi_n - \Psi_n =: \Xi_n$. We define $\Upsilon_{-1} = 0$ and proceed inductively. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_0 & \longrightarrow & T_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \exists \Upsilon_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_0 & & \downarrow 0 \\ S_1 & \longrightarrow & S_0 & \longrightarrow & H_0(S_\bullet), \end{array}$$

where we have used A.1.4 again. Inductively, If we have constructed Υ_{n-1} , we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_n & \xrightarrow{id} & T_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \exists \Upsilon_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi_n - \Upsilon_{n-1} \partial & & \downarrow 0 \\ S_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & S_n & \longrightarrow & S_{n-1} \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, the above diagram commutes because

$$\begin{aligned} \partial'(\Xi_n - \Upsilon_{n-1} \partial) &= \partial' \Xi_n - \partial' \Upsilon_{n-1} \partial \\ &= \partial' \Xi_n - (\Xi_{n-1} - \Upsilon_{n-1} \partial) \partial \\ &= \partial' \Xi_n - \Xi_{n-1} \partial \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can construct Υ_n by A.1.4.

A.2 Model categories

Model categories catch the essence of homotopy. In order to study homotopic invariant, we need machinery to construct (weak) homotopy invariant functors.

Definition A.2.1 A **model category** on a category \mathcal{C} consists of three distinguished classes of morphisms: **weak equivalences**, **fibrations** and **cofibrations** and two functorial factorizations (α, β) and (γ, δ) subject to the following axioms. Each classes of morphisms contain all the identities and are closed under composition of morphisms.

MC1: Finite limits and colimits exists in \mathcal{C}

MC2: If f, g are maps in \mathcal{C} such that $g \circ f$ is defined and if two of the three maps $f, g, g \circ f$ are weak equivalences, then so is the third.

MC3: If f is a retract of g and g is a fibration, cofibration or weak equivalence, then so if f .

MC4: Given a commutative diagram of the

Similar with the localization of rings, we can inverse morphisms for some collection of morphisms.

Definition A.2.2 Localization of a category: Given a category \mathcal{C} and some class W of morphisms in \mathcal{C} . (similar to localization of rings, we don't need W to be multiplicatively closed, because the construction will naturally lead to multiplicative closure of W). We can define it by universal property: there is a natural localization functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow W^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ and given any other

category \mathcal{D} , a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ factors uniquely through $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow W^{-1}\mathcal{C}$ iff F sends every morphism in W to an isomorphism in \mathcal{D}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow i_W & \nearrow \exists! & \\ W^{-1}\mathcal{C} & & \end{array}$$



Bibliography

Articles

Books

