

## HW 2

### 1.2

### 5.

Let  $x = s^k r^i$  be an element which commutes with every element of  $D_{2n}$  with  $k \leq 1, k < n$ . We have  $s^k s = s s^k = s^{1-k}$  since  $s$  has order 2. Since  $x$  commutes with  $s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s s^k r^i &= s^k r^i s \\ s^{1-k} r^i &= s^k s r^{-i} \\ &= s^{k+1} r^{-i} \end{aligned}$$

By equating exponents of  $s$  (which we can do because the representation is unique),  $1 - k = k + 1$  hence  $k = 1$ . By equating exponents of  $r$ , we have  $i = -i \pmod{n}$  hence  $2i = 0 \pmod{n}$  hence  $i = 0 \pmod{n}$  because  $n$  is odd. Hence  $x$  is the identity.

### 7.

$$s^2 = a^2 = 1$$

$$r^n = (s^2 r)^n = (ab)^n = 1$$

$$r s r = s(s r)(s r) = a b^2 = a = s. \text{ Hence } r s = s r^{-1}$$

Conversely,

$$a^2 = s^2 = 1$$

$$b^2 = (s r)^2 = s r s r = s s = 1$$

$$(ab)^n = (s r s r)^n = r^n = 1$$

### 1.3

### 10.

This problem needs the convention  $a_0 = a_m$  to be true.

Consider the list  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, a_{m+1} = a_1, \dots]$  formed by repeating copies of  $[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$ ; label the elements  $b_1, b_2, \dots$ . For all natural numbers  $j$  we have  $\sigma(b_j) = b_{j+1}$ . Hence  $\sigma^i(b_k) = b_{i+k}$ . In particular

$\sigma^i(a_k) = b_{i+k}$ . Then  $b_{i+k} = a_{j'}$  where  $j'$  is  $i+k$  replaced by its least residue mod  $m$  where  $k+i > m$  by construction of the  $b$ 's.

We have  $\sigma^m(a_k) = b_{m+k} = a_k$  hence  $\sigma^m$  is the identity permutation. If  $p = \text{ord}(\sigma) < m$ , then  $a_1 = \sigma^p(a_1) = a_{p+1}$  where  $p+1$  cannot be reduced further, contradicting the fact that the  $a$ 's are distinct.

## 11.

By relabelling, this is equivalent to proving it for the  $m$ -cycle  $\sigma = (0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1)$ . Consider the sequence  $[0, i, 2i, \dots, (m-1)i]$  where each element is reduced mod  $m$ . Each element of this sequence is generated by applying  $\sigma^i$  to the previous.

First we show that if  $m$  and  $i$  are coprime, the sequence consists of distinct elements; then the sequence is the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma^i$ . Supposing otherwise, let  $ai = bi$  be two distinct elements of the sequence with  $0 \leq a < b < m$ . Then  $ai = bi \pmod{m}$  hence  $a = b \pmod{m}$  which is a contradiction.

Conversely, if  $\gcd(m, i) = g > 1$ , then let  $k = \frac{m}{g} < m$ . We have  $ki = \frac{mi}{g} = \frac{\text{lcm}(m, i) \gcd(m, i)}{g} = \text{lcm}(m, i)$ . In particular  $m | ki$  hence  $ki = 0 \pmod{m}$ . Then the  $k$ -th element of the sequence is 0 and the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma^i$  contains a  $k$ -cycle.

## 15.

We first prove exercise 24: if  $a, b$  commute then  $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$ . First we consider  $n \geq 0$ .

Lemma:  $b^n$  and  $a$  commute. We prove this by induction on  $n$ . The base case  $n = 0$  is trivial. Suppose the result holds for  $n$ . Then  $b^{n+1}a = bb^n a = bab^n = abb^n = ab^{n+1}$ .

Next we do induction on  $n$ . The base case  $n = 0$  is trivial. Suppose the result holds for  $n$ . Then  $(ab)^{n+1} = (ab)^n(ab) = a^n b^n ab = a^n ab^n b = a^{n+1} b^{n+1}$ .

For negative  $n$ , let  $p = -n$ , we need to prove  $(ab)^{-p} = a^{-p} b^{-p}$ , equivalently  $e = a^{-p} b^{-p} (ab)^p$ , equivalently  $(ab)^p = a^p b^p$  which is true by the positive  $n$  case.

Main theorem: Let  $x \in S_n$  be a permutation with (disjoint) cycle decomposition  $x = c_1 c_2 \dots c_k$ . Let  $n \geq 0$ . Since disjoint cycles commute,  $x^n = c_1^n c_2^n \dots c_k^n$  and these cycles are still disjoint. For  $n = \text{ord}(x)$ , each  $c_i^n$  must be the identity (if  $c_j^n$  is not the identity, let  $q$  be some element that  $c_j^n$  does not fix, then  $x^n$  will not fix  $q$  either), hence  $\text{ord}(c_i) | \text{ord}(x)$ . For  $n = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}(c_1), \dots, \text{ord}(c_k))$ , we have each  $c_i^n = 1$  hence  $x^n = 1$ .

## 17.

Each such permutation can be written as  $(a, b)(c, d)$  where  $a, b, c, d$  are distinct and  $a < c$ . This is in a 3-1 bijection with unordered 4-tuples of  $[1, n]$  since the tuple  $p < q < r < s$  corresponds to the permutations  $(p, q)(r, s)$ ,  $(p, r)(q, s)$  and  $(p, s)(q, r)$ . Hence there are  $3 * \binom{n}{4}$  such permutations.

## 6a.

It suffices to show that each cycle  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  is generated by 2-cycles. This is true because  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = (a_1, a_2)(a_2, a_3) \dots (a_{k-1}, a_k)$ .