

## HW 4

### 1

#### 1.7.18

Reflexive: for all  $a \in A$  we have  $a \sim a$  since  $a = ea$ .

Symmetric: let  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \sim b$ , that is,  $a = hb$ . Then  $b = h^{-1}a$ . Since  $h^{-1} \in H$  this means  $b \sim a$ .

Transitive: let  $a, b, c \in A$  such that  $a \sim b, b \sim c$ . This means  $a = h_1b, b = h_2c$  for some  $h_1, h_2 \in H$ . Then  $a = h_1 \cdot (h_2 \cdot c) = (h_1h_2) \cdot c$  hence  $a \sim c$  because  $h_1h_2 \in H$ .

#### 1.7.19

Let  $\phi$  be the map.

Injective: suppose  $\phi(h_1) = \phi(h_2)$ , that is  $h_1x = h_2x$ . By multiplying by  $x^{-1}$  on the right, we have  $h_1 = h_2$ .

Surjective: let  $y \in O$  be some element in the codomain of  $\phi$ . This means  $x \sim y$ , that is there exists some  $h$  with  $x = hy$ . Then  $\phi(h^{-1}) = hh^{-1}y = y$ . Here  $\phi(h^{-1})$  is well-defined because  $h^{-1} \in H$ .

Let  $O_g$  be the orbit of  $g$ . The bijection given by  $\phi$  tells us that  $|O_g| = |H|$ . Since the orbits partition  $G$  we can write  $G = O_{g_1} \sqcup O_{g_2} \dots \sqcup O_{g_k}$  for some subset  $\{g_1, g_2 \dots g_k\} \subseteq G$  which means  $|G| = \sum_k |O_{g_k}| = k|H|$ .

### 2

#### a

Let  $D_{14}$  act faithfully on  $A$  where  $n = |A| < 7$ . The group action is equivalent to a group homomorphism  $D_{14} \rightarrow S_A$ . Since the action is faithful, this is an injective homomorphism (since distinct elements of  $D_{14}$  are mapped to distinct permutations). By Cayley's theorem we have  $S_A$  is a subgroup of  $S_6$ ; hence there is an injective homomorphism  $D_{14} \rightarrow S_6$ ; the range of this homomorphism is a subgroup of  $S_6$  isomorphic to  $D_{14}$ . By Lagrange's theorem the order of this subgroup divides  $|S_6| = 6!$ , that is  $14|6!$ , a contradiction.

#### b

We wish to construct an isomorphic copy of  $D_{12}$  in  $S_5$ , say with generating permutations  $r, s$  satisfying the usual relations. We have  $\text{ord}(r) = 6$  hence  $r$  must decompose into a 3-cycle multiplied by a 2-cycle;

WLOG  $r = (1, 2, 3)(4, 5)$ . If we take  $s = (1, 2)$  we have  $rsrs = (1, 2, 3)(4, 5)(1, 2)(1, 2, 3)(4, 5)(1, 2) = (1, 2, 3)(1, 2)(1, 2, 3)(1, 2) = (1, 2, 3)(2, 1, 3) = e$  and  $s^2 = e$ .

Concretely, the action can be defined as follows:  $r^i s^j \cdot x = (1, 2, 3)^i (4, 5)^{i+j} x$  for  $i \in [0, 6), j \in [0, 1)$ .

**c**

By an argument similar to 2a, for  $n > 2$  if  $D_{2n}$  acts faithfully on a set with  $k$  elements then there is an injective homomorphism  $D_{2n} \rightarrow S_k$ , in particular  $n|k!$ . For  $(n, k) = (7, 6)$  this is a contradiction; the smallest factorial which is a multiple of  $2 \cdot 7$  is  $7!$ . In general for  $(n, k) = (p, p-1)$  for  $p$  prime this leads to a contradiction. However for  $(n, k) = (6, 5)$  there is no problem, since  $6|5!$ .

### 3

Lemma: let  $H \leq G, h \in G$  and let  $\phi : H \rightarrow G$  be conjugation by  $h$ . Claim:  $\phi$  is an injective group homomorphism. Proof: for  $h_1, h_2 \in H, \phi(h_1)\phi(h_2) = hh_1h^{-1}hh_2h^{-1} = hh_1h_2h^{-1} = \phi(h_1h_2)$ . Furthermore  $\phi(h_1) = \phi(h_2) \iff hh_1h^{-1} = hh_2h^{-1} \iff h_1 = h_2$ .

**a**

Let  $G_x, G_y$  be two stabilizers.

For every  $h \in G, a, b \in A$  such that  $h \cdot a = b$  let  $\phi : G_a \rightarrow G$  be conjugation by  $h$ , which is a group homomorphism.

Claim:  $\text{im } \phi = G_b$ . Proof:  $g \in G_a \iff g \cdot a = a \iff hgh^{-1} \cdot b = b \iff hgh^{-1} \in G_b$  where the second biimplication follows because  $hgh^{-1} \cdot b = hg \cdot a = h \cdot a = b$ .

Diagrammatically, the stable action on  $b$  corresponds to travelling to  $a$ , performing a stable action, and then traveling back to  $b$ .

Hence  $G_a$  and  $G_b$  are isomorphic as long as  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same orbit; hence if  $G$  acts transitively on  $A$ , all the stabilizers are isomorphic.

**b**

The coin example in MacLauley's notes;  $\text{Stab}(0, 0, 0, 0) = D_8$  but  $r \notin \text{Stab}(0, 1, 1, 0)$ .

### 4

**a**

WLOG we can prove this for transitive actions, since the stabilizers  $G_o$  of  $o \in O$  in the action of  $G$  on  $O$  are exactly the same as the stabilizers  $G'_o$  of  $o \in S$  in the action of  $G$  on  $S$ .

Fix  $s$  and consider the set-function  $\phi : G \rightarrow O$  defined by  $\phi(g) = g \cdot s$ . This function is surjective since  $O$  is transitive. For each  $o \in O$  consider the preimage  $\phi^{-1}(o) = \{g \in G | g \cdot s = o\}$ . There exists  $g_{o \rightarrow s} \in G$  such that  $g_{o \rightarrow s} \cdot o = s$ . Define  $g_{s \rightarrow o} = g_{o \rightarrow s}^{-1}$ ; it is easy to check that  $g_{s \rightarrow o} \cdot s = o$ .

The set  $g_{o \rightarrow s} \phi^{-1}(o) = \{g_{o \rightarrow s} x \mid x \in \phi^{-1}(o)\}$  has the same cardinality as  $\phi^{-1}(o)$  (since left-multiplication in  $G$  is invertible) and each element satisfies  $g_{o \rightarrow s} x \cdot s = g_{o \rightarrow s} \cdot o = s$ , hence  $g_{o \rightarrow s} \phi^{-1}(o) \subseteq G_s$ . Also every  $g \in G_s$  can be written as  $g_{o \rightarrow s} g_{s \rightarrow o} g$  where  $g_{s \rightarrow o} g \in \phi^{-1}(o)$ .

Hence  $g_{o \rightarrow s} \phi^{-1}(o) = G_s$  and  $\phi$  is a surjection onto  $O$  where every preimage has size  $G_s$ , hence  $|G| = |G_s| |O|$ .