Homework Notes: I did not work with anyone else on this homework or refer to resources other than the course notes, textbook, and course Piazza page.

Problem 1

A Using the normal equation $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} (X^T Y)$, we can calculate $\hat{\beta}$ in \mathcal{R} with the following program (after loading the data as lindata):

```
Listing 1: R Code for 1A
```

```
1 X = as.matrix(lindata[1:1000 , 1:2])

2 Y = as.matrix(lindata[1:1000 , 3])

3 X.prime.X = t(X) %*% X

4 X.prime.X.inverse = solve(X.prime.X)

5 X.prime.Y = t(X) %*% Y

6 beta.hat = X.prime.X.inverse %*% X.prime.Y

7 beta.hat #>> 3.0117879, 0.7832938

Letting \beta_i correspond to X_i, \hat{\beta}_1 = 3.01, \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.78.
```

B We can also estimate β using online stochastic gradient descent using \mathcal{R} 's optim function for minimization:

Listing 2: R Code for 1B

```
8 \text{ linreg } = \text{function}(X, Y) 
     RSS = function(b, x, y){
 9
       residuals = y - (x \% * b)
10
11
       sq.resid = residuals<sup>2</sup>
12
       rss = sum(sq.resid)
13
       return (rss)
     }
14
     results = optim(rep(0, ncol(X)), RSS,
15
       hessian=TRUE, method="BFGS", x=X, y=Y)
16
17
     list (beta=results $par,
18
       vcov=solve (results $ hessian),
       converged=results $convergence==0)
19
20 }
21 \text{ model} = linreg(X, Y)
22 model$beta #> 3.0117879, 0.7832938
```

Using this method we reach the same results as above: $\hat{\beta}_1 = 3.01, \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.78$.

C A third method we can use to estimate β is ridge regression, using the following \mathcal{R} code:

Listing 3: R Code for 1B

```
23 ridgereg = function(X, Y, sigma.sq=1, tau.sq=1){
24
    lambda = sigma.sq / tau.sq
    D = ncol(X)
25
26
    lambda.id = lambda * diag(D)
27
    print(lambda.id)
28
    X. prime.X = t(X) \% X
    lambda.X. prime.X. inverse = solve(lambda.id + X. prime.X)
29
30
    X. prime.Y = t(X) \% Y
    beta.hat = lambda.X.prime.X.inverse %*% X.prime.Y
31
32
    return (beta.hat)
33 }
34 \text{ beta.ridge} = \text{ridgereg}(X, Y)
35 beta.ridge #> 2.9972982, 0.7833412
```

From this approach we get the estimates $\hat{\beta}_1 = 3.00, \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.78$.

D Table 1 presents the residual sum of squares (RSS) for the training data using each of the methods above.

Table 1: RSS for linear regression methods

Method	RSS
Normal equations	181767.9
Online stochastic gradient descent	181767.9
Ridge regression	181768

E Table 2 presents the residual sum of squares (RSS) for the training data using each of the methods above.

Table 2: RSS for linear regression methods

Method	RSS
Normal equations	16963.35
Online stochastic gradient descent	16963.35
Ridge regression	16963.59

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm Matt~Dickenson~mcd31} \\ {\rm STA}561/{\rm CS}571 - {\rm Fall~2013} \end{array}$

Homework 2

Due: 16 September, 2013

Problem 2