



Vector notation

- This week, we derive the Kalman filter algorithm, which is a special case of sequential probabilistic inference
- We begin by defining some math notation that we will use from now on
 - Superscript “−” indicates a predicted quantity based only on past measurements
 - Superscript “+” indicates an estimated quantity based on both past and present measurements
 - Symbol “^” indicates a predicted or estimated quantity: \hat{x}^+ or \hat{x}^-
 - Symbol “~” indicates an error: the difference between a true and predicted or estimated quantity: $\tilde{x} = x - \hat{x}$



Matrix notation

- Symbol “ Σ ” is used to denote correlation between the two arguments in its subscript (autocorrelation if only one is given)

$$\Sigma_{xy} = \mathbb{E}[xy^T] \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_x = \mathbb{E}[xx^T]$$
- Furthermore, if the arguments are zero mean (as they often are in the quantities we talk about), then this represents covariance

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{x}\tilde{y}} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^T] = \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{x} - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}])(\tilde{y} - \mathbb{E}[\tilde{y}])^T]$$

for zero-mean \tilde{x} and \tilde{y}



Cost function to minimize (optimize)

- Desire state estimate that minimizes “mean-squared error”

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_k^{\text{MMSE}}(\mathbb{Y}_k) &= \arg \min_{\hat{x}_k} \left(\mathbb{E}[\|x_k - \hat{x}_k^+\|_2^2 \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] \right) \\ &= \arg \min_{\hat{x}_k} \left(\mathbb{E}[(x_k - \hat{x}_k^+)^T (x_k - \hat{x}_k^+) \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] \right) \\ &= \arg \min_{\hat{x}_k} \left(\mathbb{E}[x_k^T x_k - 2x_k^T \hat{x}_k^+ + (\hat{x}_k^+)^T \hat{x}_k^+ \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Solve for \hat{x}_k^+ by differentiating cost function and setting result to zero

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\hat{x}_k^+} \mathbb{E}[x_k^T x_k - 2x_k^T \hat{x}_k^+ + (\hat{x}_k^+)^T \hat{x}_k^+ \mid \mathbb{Y}_k]$$



Preliminary solution to state estimate

- To do so, note the following identities from vector calculus,

$$\frac{d}{dX} Y^T X = Y, \quad \frac{d}{dX} X^T Y = Y, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dX} X^T A X = (A + A^T) X$$

- Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\hat{x}_k^+} \mathbb{E}[x_k^T x_k - 2x_k^T \hat{x}_k^+ + (\hat{x}_k^+)^T \hat{x}_k^+ \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] \\ 0 &= \mathbb{E}[-2(x_k - \hat{x}_k^+) \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] = 2\hat{x}_k^+ - 2\mathbb{E}[x_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] \\ \hat{x}_k^+ &= \mathbb{E}[x_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_k] \end{aligned}$$

- Desire to find algorithm for computing for $\mathbb{E}[x_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_k]$



Prediction error and innovation

- Define prediction error $\tilde{x}_k^- = x_k - \hat{x}_k^-$ where $\hat{x}_k^- = \mathbb{E}[x_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}]$
 - Error is always “truth minus prediction” or “truth minus estimate”
 - We can’t compute error in practice, since truth value is not known
 - But, we can prove statistical results using this definition that give an algorithm for estimating the truth using measurable values
- Also, define the measurement innovation (what is new or unexpected in the measurement) as $\tilde{y}_k = y_k - \hat{y}_k$ where $\hat{y}_k = \mathbb{E}[y_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}]$



Prediction error and innovation are zero mean

- Both \tilde{x}_k^- and \tilde{y}_k can be shown to be zero mean using method of iterated expectation: $\mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_{X|Y}[X \mid Y]] = \mathbb{E}_X[X]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^-] &= \mathbb{E}[x_k] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}]] = \mathbb{E}[x_k] - \mathbb{E}[x_k] = 0 \\ \mathbb{E}[\tilde{y}_k] &= \mathbb{E}[y_k] - \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[y_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}]] = \mathbb{E}[y_k] - \mathbb{E}[y_k] = 0 \end{aligned}$$
- Note also that \tilde{x}_k^- is uncorrelated with past measurements as they have already been incorporated into \hat{x}_k^-

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}] = \mathbb{E}[x_k - \mathbb{E}[x_k \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}] \mid \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}] = 0 = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^-]$$



Predict/correct solution

- Consider now expanding the relationship $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | \mathbb{Y}_k]$

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | \mathbb{Y}_k] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[x_k | \mathbb{Y}_k]}_{\hat{x}_k^+} - \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\hat{x}_k^- | \mathbb{Y}_k]}_{\hat{x}_k^-}$$

- This is true because $\hat{x}_k^- = \mathbb{E}[x_k | \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}]$ is a constant vector, and further conditioning on \mathbb{Y}_k has no additional effect

- We can also expand this relationship a different way

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | \mathbb{Y}_k] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | \mathbb{Y}_{k-1}, y_k] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | y_k]$$

- Combining both expansions, we have $\hat{x}_k^+ = \hat{x}_k^- + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | y_k]$, which is a predict/correct sequence of steps, as promised



Summary

- You learned meaning of notation we use, including superscripts “-” and “+”, symbols “^” and “~”, and symbol “Σ”
- We are solving a minimum mean-squared-error problem to find a state estimate
 - Initial solution was $\hat{x}_k^+ = \mathbb{E}[x_k | \mathbb{Y}_k]$
 - Later refined this to be $\hat{x}_k^+ = \hat{x}_k^- + \mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | y_k]$
 - A predict/correct structure
- But, what is $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{x}_k^- | y_k]$? That's what we look at next