Kalman filter framework for estimating parameters



- You know that xKF can estimate state of a dynamic system given known parameters and noisy measurements
- It is also possible to use (nonlinear) KF to estimate parameters given a known state and noisy measurements
- This week, we first consider how to estimate system parameters if state is known
- Then, we will consider how to estimate both state and parameters of a system simultaneously using two different approaches

Dr. Gregory L. Plett | University of Colorado Colorado Spring

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | A Kalman-filter approach to total capacity estimation

Parameter estimation: State equation



- lacktriangle We denote true parameters of a particular model by heta
- We'll use xKF to estimate $\hat{\theta}_k$ much like we estimated \hat{x}_k
 - □ Therefore, we require a model of the dynamics of the parameters
- By assumption, parameters change slowly, so we model them as constant with some small perturbation:

$$\theta_k = \theta_{k-1} + r_{k-1}$$

■ The small white noise input r_k is fictitious, but models the slow drift in system parameter values plus infidelity of model structure

Dr. Gregory L. Plett University of Colorado Colorado Spring

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | A Kalman-filter approach to total capacity estimation

Parameter estimation: Output equation



 Output equation required by xKF must be a measurable function of parameter values: we use

$$d_k = h(x_k, u_k, \theta, e_k),$$

where $h(\cdot)$ is output equation of the system model being identified, and e_k models the sensor noise and modeling error

- Note that d_k is usually the same measurement as y_k , but we maintain a distinction in case separate outputs are used
 - \square Then, $\mathbb{D}_k = \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k\}$
 - \Box Also, e_k and v_k often play the same role, but are also considered distinct here

Dr. Gregory L. Plett | University of Colorado Colorado Springs

Overall model equations



Slightly revise the mathematical model of system dynamics

$$x_k = f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1}, \theta, w_{k-1})$$

 $y_k = h(x_k, u_k, \theta, v_k),$

to include the parameters θ in the model explicitly

- Non-time-varying numeric values required by model may be embedded within $f(\cdot)$ and $h(\cdot)$, and are not included in θ
- Using this nonlinear state-space model, can implement parameter estimation using any nonlinear KF, such as EKF or SPKF

SPKF for parameter estimation: 1a



- Parameter estimation with SPKF is relatively straightforward, so we discuss it before we discuss EKF
- Define augmented θ^a that combines randomness of parameters, sensor noise
- This augmented vector is used in the estimation process as described below
- As always, we proceed by deriving the six steps of sequential probabilistic inference

SPKF step 1a: Parameter prediction time update

The parameter prediction step is approximated as

$$\hat{\theta}_k^{a,-} = \mathbb{E}[\theta_{k-1}^a + r_{k-1} \mid \mathbb{D}_{k-1}] = \hat{\theta}_{k-1}^{a,+}$$

This makes sense, since the parameters are assumed constant

Dr. Gregory L. Plett University of Colorado Colorado Sp

4.5.1: Deriving SPKF method for parameter estimation

SPKF for parameter estimation: 1b



SPKF step 1b: Error covariance time update

Covariance prediction step is accomplished by first computing

$$\tilde{\theta}_{k}^{a,-} = \theta_{k}^{a} - \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-} = \theta_{k-1}^{a} + r_{k} - \hat{\theta}_{k-1}^{a,+}$$

$$= \tilde{\theta}_{k-1}^{a,+} + r_{k}$$

■ Then directly compute desired covariance

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,-} = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}_k^{a,-}(\tilde{\theta}_k^{a,-})^T] = \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{\theta}_{k-1}^{a,+} + r_k)(\tilde{\theta}_{k-1}^{a,+} + r_k)^T]$$
$$= \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k-1}^{a,+} + \Sigma_{\tilde{r}}$$

■ Time-updated covariance has additional uncertainty due to the fictitious noise "driving" the parameter values

SPKF for parameter estimation: 1c



SPKF step 1c: Predict system output d_k

- To predict system output, need sigma points describing output
- This, in turn, requires a set of p+1 sigma points describing $\theta_k^{a,-}$, which we will denote as $\mathcal{W}_k^{a,-}$

$$\mathcal{W}_{k}^{a,-} = \left\{ \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-}, \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-} + \gamma \sqrt{\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,-}}, \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-} - \gamma \sqrt{\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,-}} \right\}$$

■ From the augmented sigma points, the p+1 vectors comprising the parameters $\mathcal{W}_k^{\theta,-}$ and the p+1 vectors comprising the modeling error $\mathcal{W}_k^{e,-}$ are extracted

Dr. Gregory L. Plett

Iniversity of Colorado Colorado Springs

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | A Kalman-filter approach to total capacity estimation

of 14

I.5.1: Deriving SPKF method for parameter estimation

SPKF for parameter estimation: 1c (cont.)



• Output equation evaluated using all pairs of $\mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{\theta,-}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{e,-}$ (subscript i denotes that i th vector is being extracted from original set), yielding sigma points $\mathcal{D}_{k,i}$ for time step k

$$\mathcal{D}_{k,i} = h(x_k, u_k, \mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{\theta,-}, \mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{e,-})$$

Finally, output prediction is computed as

$$\hat{d}_{k}^{-} = \mathbb{E}[h(x_{k}, u_{k}, \theta, e_{k}) \mid \mathbb{D}_{k-1}]$$

$$\approx \sum_{i=0}^{p} \alpha_{i}^{(m)} h(x_{k}, u_{k}, \mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{\theta,-}, \mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{e,-}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \alpha_{i}^{(m)} \mathcal{D}_{k,i}$$

Dr. Gregory L. Plet

Iniversity of Colorado Colorado Springs

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | A Kalman-filter approach to total capacity estimation

8 of 1

4.5.1: Deriving SPKF method for parameter estimation

SPKF for parameter estimation: 2a



SPKF step 2a: Estimator gain matrix L_k^{θ}

 To compute estimator gain matrix, must first compute required covariance matrices

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{d},k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \alpha_i^{(c)} (\mathcal{D}_{k,i} - \hat{d}_k) (\mathcal{D}_{k,i} - \hat{d}_k)^T$$

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{d},k}^{-} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \alpha_i^{(c)} (\mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{\theta,-} - \hat{\theta}_k^{a,-}) (\mathcal{D}_{k,i} - \hat{d}_k)^T$$

■ Then, we simply compute $L_k^{\theta} = \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{d}}^{-} {}_k \Sigma_{\tilde{d}}^{-1}$

SPKF for parameter estimation: 2b–2c



SPKF step 2b: Parameter estimate measurement update

■ Now, compute a posteriori parameter estimate by updating *a priori* prediction using estimator gain and the output innovation $d_k - \hat{d}_k$

$$\hat{\theta}_k^{a,+} = \hat{\theta}_k^{a,-} + L_k^{\theta} (d_k - \hat{d}_k)$$

SPKF step 2c: Error covariance measurement update

■ The final step is calculated directly from the optimal formulation:

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,+} = \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,-} - L_k^{\theta} \Sigma_{\tilde{d},k} (L_k^{\theta})^T$$

Dr. Gregory L. Plett University of Colorado Colorado Springs

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | A Kalman-filter approach to total capacity estimation | 10 of 14

Summary



- To use xKF for parameter identification, must first define a relevant nonlinear state-space model
- You have now learned one method that can be used to do so
- You have also learned how to derive the SPKF for parameter estimation
 - Prediction steps similar to, but simpler than SPKF for state estimation
 - Update steps nearly identical to SPKF for state estimation
 - Appendix to this lesson lists all the steps
- Next step is to derive EKF for parameter estimation

Dr. Gregory L. Plett University of Colorado Colorado Spring

4.5.1: Deriving SPKF method for parameter estimation

Nonlinear SPKF for parameter estimation (1)



State-space model:

$$\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k + r_k, d_k = h(x_k, u_k, \theta_k, e_k)$$

where r_k and e_k are independent Gaussian noise processes with means zero and \bar{e} , respectively, and having covariance matrices $\Sigma_{\tilde{r}}$ and $\Sigma_{\tilde{e}}$, respectively

Definitions:

$$\theta_k^a = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_k^T, e_k^T \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \mathcal{W}_k^a = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathcal{W}_k^\theta)^T, (\mathcal{W}_k^e)^T \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad p = 2 \times \dim(\theta_k^a)$$

Nonlinear SPKF for parameter estimation (2)



Initialization: For k = 0, set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_0^+ &= \mathbb{E}[\theta_0] \\ \hat{\theta}_0^{a,+} &= \mathbb{E}[\theta_0^a] = \left[(\hat{\theta}_0^+)^T, \ \bar{e} \right]^T \\ \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},0}^+ &= \mathbb{E}[(\theta_0^a - \hat{\theta}_0^{a,+})(\theta_0^a - \hat{\theta}_0^{a,+})^T] \\ \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},0}^{a,+} &= \mathbb{E}[(\theta_0^a - \hat{\theta}_0^{a,+})(\theta_0^a - \hat{\theta}_0^{a,+})^T] \\ &= \operatorname{diag}(\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},0}^+, \Sigma_{\tilde{e}}) \end{aligned}$$

Computation: For k = 1, 2, ... compute:

State estimate time update: $\hat{\theta}_k^- = \hat{\theta}_{k-1}^+$ Error covariance time update: $\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^- = \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k-1}^+ + \Sigma_{\tilde{r}}$ $\mathcal{W}_{k}^{a,-} = \left\{ \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-}, \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-} + \gamma \sqrt{\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,-}}, \hat{\theta}_{k}^{a,-} - \gamma \sqrt{\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^{a,-}} \right\}$ Output estimate:

 $\mathcal{D}_{k,i} = h(x_k, u_k, \mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{\theta,-}, \mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{e,-})$ $\hat{d}_k = \sum_{i=0}^p \alpha_i^{(m)} \mathcal{D}_{k,i}$

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | A Kalman-filter approach to total capacity estimation | 13 of 14

Nonlinear SPKF for parameter estimation (3)



Computation: For k = 1, 2, ... compute:

 $\Sigma_{\tilde{d},k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \alpha_i^{(c)} (\mathcal{D}_{k,i} - \hat{d}_k) (\mathcal{D}_{k,i} - \hat{d}_k)^T$ $\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{d},k}^- = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \alpha_i^{(c)} (\mathcal{W}_{k,i}^{\theta,-} - \hat{\theta}_k^-) (\mathcal{D}_{k,i} - \hat{d}_k)^T$ $L_k^{\theta} = \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta}\tilde{d},k}^- \Sigma_{\tilde{d},k}^{-1}$ Estimator gain matrix:

State estimate meas. update: $\hat{\theta}_k^+ = \hat{\theta}_k^- + L_k^\theta (d_k - \hat{d}_k)$ Error covariance meas. update: $\Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^+ = \Sigma_{\tilde{\theta},k}^- - L_k^\theta \Sigma_{\tilde{d},k} (L_k^\theta)^T$