



Approximate full solution: Derivation

- Fading memory can be added with modified cost function

$$\chi_{\text{FMAWTLs}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma^{N-i} \frac{(y_i - \hat{Q}x_i)^2}{(1 + \hat{Q}^2)^2} \left(\frac{\hat{Q}^2}{\sigma_{x_i}^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} \right)$$

- The Jacobian is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \chi_{\text{FMAWTLs}}^2}{\partial \hat{Q}} = & \frac{2}{(\hat{Q}^2 + 1)^3} \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma^{N-i} \left[\hat{Q}^4 \left(\frac{x_i y_i}{\sigma_{x_i}^2} \right) + \hat{Q}^3 \left(\frac{2x_i^2}{\sigma_{x_i}^2} - \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} - \frac{y_i^2}{\sigma_{x_i}^2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \hat{Q}^2 \left(\frac{3x_i y_i}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} - \frac{3x_i y_i}{\sigma_{x_i}^2} \right) + \hat{Q} \left(\frac{x_i^2 - 2y_i^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} + \frac{y_i^2}{\sigma_{x_i}^2} \right) + \left(\frac{-x_i y_i}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$



Recursive solution

- Jacobian can be rewritten in terms of recursive sums

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \chi_{\text{FMAWTLs}}^2}{\partial \hat{Q}} = & \frac{2}{(\hat{Q}^2 + 1)^3} \left(\tilde{c}_5 \hat{Q}^4 + (-\tilde{c}_1 + 2\tilde{c}_4 - \tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q}^3 \right. \\ & \left. + (3\tilde{c}_2 - 3\tilde{c}_5) \hat{Q}^2 + (\tilde{c}_1 - 2\tilde{c}_3 + \tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q} - \tilde{c}_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{1,n} &= \gamma \tilde{c}_{1,n-1} + x_n^2 / \sigma_{y_n}^2; & \tilde{c}_{3,n} &= \gamma \tilde{c}_{3,n-1} + y_n^2 / \sigma_{y_n}^2; & \tilde{c}_{5,n} &= \gamma \tilde{c}_{5,n-1} + x_n y_n / \sigma_{x_n}^2 \\ \tilde{c}_{2,n} &= \gamma \tilde{c}_{2,n-1} + x_n y_n / \sigma_{y_n}^2; & \tilde{c}_{4,n} &= \gamma \tilde{c}_{4,n-1} + x_n^2 / \sigma_{x_n}^2; & \tilde{c}_{6,n} &= \gamma \tilde{c}_{6,n-1} + y_n^2 / \sigma_{x_n}^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Roots of the quartic equation, below, are candidate solutions for \hat{Q}

$$\tilde{c}_5 \hat{Q}^4 + (2\tilde{c}_4 - \tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q}^3 + (3\tilde{c}_2 - 3\tilde{c}_5) \hat{Q}^2 + (\tilde{c}_1 - 2\tilde{c}_3 + \tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q} - \tilde{c}_2 = 0$$



Initializing recursive solution

- Initialized by setting

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= 1 \text{ and } y_0 = Q_{\text{nom}} \\ \sigma_{y_0}^2 &= \text{uncertainty in } Q_{\text{nom}} \text{ versus } Q \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_{x_0}^2 = \sigma_{y_0}^2$

- Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{1,0} &= 1 / \sigma_{y_0}^2; & \tilde{c}_{3,0} &= Q_{\text{nom}}^2 / \sigma_{y_0}^2; & \tilde{c}_{5,0} &= Q_{\text{nom}} / \sigma_{y_0}^2 \\ \tilde{c}_{2,0} &= Q_{\text{nom}} / \sigma_{y_0}^2; & \tilde{c}_{4,0} &= 1 / \sigma_{y_0}^2; & \tilde{c}_{6,0} &= Q_{\text{nom}}^2 / \sigma_{y_0}^2 \end{aligned}$$



Determining which solution to choose

- Again, can be solved using Ferrari method, for example; the four candidate solutions must be checked against the cost function to determine which is optimal
- The cost function in terms of these variables is

$$\chi_{\text{FMAWTLS}}^2 = \frac{1}{(\hat{Q}^2 + 1)^2} \left(\tilde{c}_4 \hat{Q}^4 - 2\tilde{c}_5 \hat{Q}^3 + (\tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q}^2 - 2\tilde{c}_2 \hat{Q} + \tilde{c}_3 \right)$$

- The Hessian is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \chi_{\text{FMAWTLS}}^2}{\partial \hat{Q}^2} = \frac{2}{(\hat{Q}^2 + 1)^4} \left(-2\tilde{c}_5 \hat{Q}^5 + (3\tilde{c}_1 - 6\tilde{c}_4 + 3\tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q}^4 + (-12\tilde{c}_2 + 16\tilde{c}_5) \hat{Q}^3 \right. \\ \left. + (-8\tilde{c}_1 + 10\tilde{c}_3 + 6\tilde{c}_4 - 8\tilde{c}_6) \hat{Q}^2 + (12\tilde{c}_2 - 6\tilde{c}_5) \hat{Q} + (\tilde{c}_1 - 2\tilde{c}_3 + \tilde{c}_6) \right)$$



Scaling for better approximation

- AWTLS and WTLS cost functions not equal when $\sigma_{x_i} = k\sigma_{y_i}$
- This can be remedied easily: define $\tilde{y}_i = ky_i$; then $\sigma_{\tilde{y}_i} = \sigma_{x_i}$
- Invoke the AWTLS or FMAWTLS methods to find total capacity estimate \hat{Q} and Hessian H using input sequences comprised of the original x vector and the scaled \tilde{y} vector (i.e., (x_i, \tilde{y}_i) with corresponding variances $(\sigma_{x_i}^2, k^2\sigma_{y_i}^2)$)
- True slope estimate found as $\hat{Q}_{\text{corrected}} = \hat{Q}/k$, and Hessian as $H_{\text{corrected}} = H/k^2$
- This is the method used next week, where k is estimated as $k = \sigma_{x_1}/\sigma_{y_1}$
- This scaling improves results even when σ_{y_i} and σ_{x_i} not proportionally related, if k chosen to give “order of magnitude” ratio between uncertainties of x_i and y_i



Summary

- AWTLS solutions share the nice properties of WLS solution:
 1. Closed-form solution for \hat{Q} : No iteration or advanced algorithms are required—only simple mathematical operations.
 2. Solution can be computed very easily in a recursive manner: keep track of six running sums $c_{1,n}$ through $c_{6,n}$; when additional data become available, update sums and compute updated total-capacity estimate
 3. Fading memory can be added easily to allow \hat{Q} to place greater emphasis on more recent measurements than on earlier measurements, allowing adaptation of \hat{Q} to adjust for true cell total-capacity changes
 4. Furthermore, this method is superior to the TLS solution since it allows individual weighting on x_i and y_i data points