A path to solution



- General WTLS solution provides excellent results, but is impractical to implement in an embedded system
- Therefore, we search for cases that lead to simpler implementations
- Here, we look at an exact solution when the uncertainties on the x_i and y_i data points are proportional to each other for all i, which leads to a simple solution that can easily be implemented in an embedded system
- With insights from this solution we will next look at an approximate WTLS solution that also has nice implementation properties

Dr. Gregory I. Plett

University of Colorado Colorado Springs

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | Simplified total-least-squares battery-cell capacity estimates

1 of 6

4.3.1: Simplifying the total-least-squares solution for cases having proportional uncertainties

Proportional confidence on x_i and y_i



- If $\sigma_{x_i} = k\sigma_{y_i}$, then WTLS cost function reduces to generalization of standard TLS cost function
 - \square Substitute $\sigma_{x_i} = k \sigma_{y_i}$ into χ^2_{WTLS} and associated results to get:

$$\chi_{\text{TLS}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i - X_i)^2}{k^2 \sigma_{y_i}^2} + \frac{(y_i - Y_i)^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(y_i - \widehat{Q}x_i)^2}{(\widehat{Q}^2 k^2 + 1)\sigma_{y_i}^2}$$

■ Furthermore, Jacobian of WTLS cost function reduces to (again, via $\sigma_{x_i} = k\sigma_{y_i}$)

$$\frac{\partial \chi_{\text{TLS}}^2}{\partial \widehat{Q}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\widehat{Q} x_i - y_i)(\widehat{Q} k^2 y_i + x_i)}{(\widehat{Q}^2 k^2 + 1)^2 \sigma_{y_i}^2}$$

lacksquare This may be solved for an exact solution to \widehat{Q} , without requiring iteration to do so

Dr. Gregory L. Plett

University of Colorado Colorado Spring

Battery State-of-Health (SOH) Estimation | Simplified total-least-squares battery-cell capacity estimates

2 of (

4.3.1: Simplifying the total-least-squares solution for cases having proportional uncertainties

Finding quadratic form



■ We first collect terms

$$\frac{\partial \chi_{\text{TLS}}^2}{\partial \widehat{Q}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\widehat{Q} x_i - y_i)(\widehat{Q} k^2 y_i + x_i)}{(\widehat{Q}^2 k^2 + 1)^2 \sigma_{y_i}^2} = 0$$

$$= \widehat{Q}^2 \sum_{i=1}^N k^2 \frac{x_i y_i}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} + \widehat{Q} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i^2 - k^2 y_i^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{-x_i y_i}{\sigma_{y_i}^2} = 0$$

where we define $c_{3,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2 / \sigma_{y_i}^2$

 \blacksquare Then, we can solve for \widehat{Q} using the familiar quadratic equation solution

$$\widehat{Q} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

Routh test to choose root of solution



■ In terms of familiar recursive quantities, specific solution is

$$\widehat{Q}_n = \frac{-(c_{1,n} - k^2 c_{3,n}) \pm \sqrt{(c_{1,n} - k^2 c_{3,n})^2 + 4k^2 c_{2,n}^2}}{2k^2 c_{2,n}}$$

Which of the two roots to choose? Can show via Routh array and test that this quadratic always has one positive root and one negative root

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \widehat{Q}^{2} & k^{2}c_{2,n} & -c_{2,n} \\ \widehat{Q}^{1} & c_{1,n} - k^{2}c_{3,n} & 0 \\ -c_{2,n} & 0 \end{array}$$

■ First column of the array always has exactly one sign change, so there is one root of the polynomial in the right-half plane (and other in left-half plane or on axis)

Total capacity must be nonnegative!



- So there is one root of the polynomial in the right-half plane (and other in left-half plane or on axis)
- By the fundamental theorem of algebra, because the coefficients $c_{1,n}$, $c_{2,n}$, and $c_{3,n}$ are real, the polynomial roots must either both be real or be complex conjugates
- The fact that they are in different halves of the complex plane shows that they cannot be complex conjugates, and therefore must both be real
- We choose larger root of the quadratic equation, which corresponds to positive root

$$\widehat{Q}_n = \frac{-(c_{1,n} - k^2 c_{3,n}) + \sqrt{(c_{1,n} - k^2 c_{3,n})^2 + 4k^2 c_{2,n}^2}}{2k^2 c_{2,n}}$$

Dr. Gregory L. Plett University of Colorado Colorado Sp

4.3.1: Simplifying the total-least-squares solution for cases having proportional uncertaint

Summary



- You have already learned that WLS is biased but general WTLS is computationally inefficient
- But, if uncertainties on x_i and y_i are proportional for all i, then WTLS has simple quadratic form that can be written in terms of recursively computed quantities
 - □ Notice that we refer to this specific condition as the TLS problem, as distinct from the earlier more-general WTLS problem
- Next lesson will summarize the TLS solution in more specific detail

Dr. Gregory L. Plett University of Colorado Colorado Springs