

# C++ course project report

Janne Ronkonen

07 December 2012

## 1 The problem

The problem was to design, implement and test a String-class with all the normal functionality expected from it, include iterators, indexing, adding and deleting characters and substrings etc.

## 2 Design decisions

### 2.1 The class itself

The class members consists of char pointer to the beginning of the buffer (`m_buf`) that holds the actual contents of the string, and two `size_t`s that are used to keep track of the size of the buffer (`m_bufsize`), and the logical size of the string (`m_used`). The `m_used` may be null if the string has no characters. In hindsight it would've probably been more consistent to never allow `m_buf` to be null.

### 2.2 The testdriver

The testdriver consists of a bunch of functions that take no arguments and return no value. A test is succesful if the function returns normally, and test fails if the function throws an exception. The driver's `main()` -function calls each of the test-functions and records how many of them failed and succeeded, and also records the names of the functions that failed, and prints this info into `std::cout`.

## **3 Challenges during the project**

### **3.1 Getting it to compile**

One problem was of course getting the whole thing to compile in the first place. Error messages from the g++ compiler aren't always very helpful, and finding out the "true" cause of an error that prevents compilation isn't always as trivial as one might think it is.

### **3.2 Keeping track of dynamically allocated memory correctly**

One of the more difficult things was keeping track of the dynamically allocated buffers of memory and not leaking any memory. Thankfully C++ idioms such as RAII and using constructors, destructors and assignment-operator make this task somewhat simpler. One particular problem regarding memory was the resizing of the buffer found in `push_back()` and `pop_back()` -methods.

### **3.3 Implementation of the IO-operators**

Because of the statefulness of IO-operations, I found them to be the most challenging to implement.

### **3.4 Maintaining separate header and implementation files by hand**

Because the project-specification required separate header and implementation files, it became something of a problem to keep the header and implementation in synch by hand. Since this project is of trivial size, I figure there must be some better method of doing this sort of mundane task in bigger projects that may have orders of magnitude more files.

### **3.5 Testing**

Since I was both the tester and the implementor of the class, I found it somewhat difficult to write good tests since I already was thinking how I would implement the tested functionality, and this in turn influenced how and what I would test. I feel that one cannot truly write comprehensive and exacting tests for his/her own code because of this phenomenon, and it would be better to separate these responsibilities in bigger and/or more important projects.

## 4 Errors, limitations and shortcomings

- the input-operator doesn't work correctly when used with `std::stringstreams`, but seems to work with other streams
- some of the functionality and/or code paths may not be tested
- the formatting of the code could be better
- the code could be commented better