

A formalization of Dedekind Domains and Class groups of Global fields

Anne Baanen

Sander R. Dahmen

Ashvni Narayanan

Filippo A. E. Nuccio

Our project is the first formalization of several essential notions of [algebraic number theory](#), in the Lean 3 prover as part of mathlib.

Goal: lay a useful foundation for theory-building.

Developing with mathlib means updating your code regularly in exchange for frequent new results and improvements.

Background: global fields

A **number field** is a finite extension of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some algebraic α .

Background: global fields

A **number field** is a finite extension of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some algebraic α .

Each number field K contains a **ring of integers** \mathcal{O}_K mirroring the way \mathbb{Q} contains \mathbb{Z} .

Background: global fields

A **number field** is a finite extension of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some algebraic α .

Each number field K contains a **ring of integers** \mathcal{O}_K mirroring the way \mathbb{Q} contains \mathbb{Z} .

A **global field** is either a **number field** or a **function field**: finite extension of polynomial field $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.

Function fields have an analogous ring of integers.

Background: global fields

A **number field** is a finite extension of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} , of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ for some algebraic α .

Each number field K contains a **ring of integers** \mathcal{O}_K mirroring the way \mathbb{Q} contains \mathbb{Z} .

A **global field** is either a **number field** or a **function field**: finite extension of polynomial field $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$.

Function fields have an analogous ring of integers.

Theorem: rings of integers are **Dedekind domains**.

Background: class number

Fractional ideals extend (integral) ideals with division by scalars: a fractional ideal is of the form $\frac{1}{b}I$ (with $b \neq 0$).

Theorem: Dedekind domain \iff fractional ideals are invertible.

Background: class number

Fractional ideals extend (integral) ideals with division by scalars: a fractional ideal is of the form $\frac{1}{b}I$ (with $b \neq 0$).

Theorem: Dedekind domain \iff fractional ideals are invertible.

Principal fractional ideals $\langle \frac{a}{b} \rangle = \frac{a}{b}\mathcal{O}_K$ for $\frac{a}{b} \in K$ form a subgroup of the fractional ideals; the quotient is the **class group** $Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$.

Theorem: if \mathcal{O}_K is a ring of integers, $Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is finite.
The **class number** of K is the cardinality of $Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$.

Background: class number

Fractional ideals extend (integral) ideals with division by scalars: a fractional ideal is of the form $\frac{1}{b}I$ (with $b \neq 0$).

Theorem: Dedekind domain \iff fractional ideals are invertible.

Principal fractional ideals $\langle \frac{a}{b} \rangle = \frac{a}{b}\mathcal{O}_K$ for $\frac{a}{b} \in K$ form a subgroup of the fractional ideals; the quotient is the class group $Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$.

Theorem: if \mathcal{O}_K is a ring of integers, $Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is finite.

The class number of K is the cardinality of $Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$.

Theorem: A Dedekind domain is a UFD \iff it is a PID
 $\iff Cl_{\mathcal{O}_K}$ is trivial \iff class number of $K = 1$.

Number fields; field extensions

mathlib typically uses typeclasses for algebraic structures, e.g.

```
class is_number_field (K : Type*) [field K] : Prop :=  
[cz : char_zero K] [fd : finite_dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$  K]
```

Typeclass inference automates the implications $\text{char_zero } K \rightarrow \text{algebra } \mathbb{Q} K \rightarrow \text{module } \mathbb{Q} K$ required for $\text{finite_dimensional } \mathbb{Q} K$.

Number fields; field extensions

mathlib typically uses typeclasses for algebraic structures, e.g.

```
class is_number_field (K : Type*) [field K] : Prop :=  
[cz : char_zero K] [fd : finite_dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$  K]
```

Typeclass inference automates the implications $\text{char_zero } K \rightarrow \text{algebra } \mathbb{Q} K \rightarrow \text{module } \mathbb{Q} K$ required for $\text{finite_dimensional } \mathbb{Q} K$.

A **field extension** L/K is represented in mathlib by an instance $[\text{algebra } K L]$ giving the canonical inclusion map $\text{algebra_map } K L$.

Number fields; field extensions

mathlib typically uses typeclasses for algebraic structures, e.g.

```
class is_number_field (K : Type*) [field K] : Prop :=  
[cz : char_zero K] [fd : finite_dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$  K]
```

Typeclass inference automates the implications $\text{char_zero } K \rightarrow \text{algebra } \mathbb{Q} K \rightarrow \text{module } \mathbb{Q} K$ required for $\text{finite_dimensional } \mathbb{Q} K$.

A **field extension** L/K is represented in mathlib by an instance $[\text{algebra } K L]$ giving the canonical inclusion map $\text{algebra_map } K L$.

A tower $L/K/F$ is given by inclusions $[\text{algebra } F K] [\text{algebra } K L] [\text{algebra } F L]$ and an instance $[\text{is_scalar_tower } F K L]$ stating the maps commute.

Coherence proof obligations are automated through typeclass search.

Monogenic extensions

A number field K has the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where α algebraic:
let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the minimal polynomial (irreducible and $P(\alpha) = 0$).

Several constructions of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$: subtype of \mathbb{C} , quotient type $\mathbb{Q}[x]/P$, ...
These are isomorphic but not equal: how do we reason uniformly?

Monogenic extensions

A number field K has the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where α algebraic:
let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the minimal polynomial (irreducible and $P(\alpha) = 0$).

Several constructions of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$: subtype of \mathbb{C} , quotient type $\mathbb{Q}[x]/P$, ...
These are isomorphic but not equal: how do we reason uniformly?

We used the **power basis**: $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ has a \mathbb{Q} -basis $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$.

Theorem: a power basis exists iff K is isomorphic to each construction of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

Dedekind domains

We defined Dedekind domains as integral domains D with an `is_dedekind_domain D` instance:

```
class is_dedekind_domain (D : Type*) [integral_domain D] :  
  Prop :=  
(to_is_noetherian_ring : is_noetherian_ring D)  
(dimension_le_one : ∀ (P : ideal D), P ≠ ⊥ →  
  is_prime P → is_maximal P)  
(is_integrally_closed :  
  integral_closure D (fraction_ring D) = ⊥)
```

Fractional ideals

We defined fractional ideals of R as

R -submodules I of $\text{Frac}(K)$ such that $\exists a : R, aI \subseteq R$.

Fractional ideals have a semiring structure (like submodules):

- $0 = \{0\}$
- $1 = \{x \mid x \in R\}$
- $I + J = \{x + y \mid x \in I, y \in J\}$
- $I * J$ is generated by $x * y, x \in I, y \in J$
- $x \in I/J \iff \forall y \in J, x * y \in I$

Fractional ideals

We defined fractional ideals of R as

R -submodules I of $\text{Frac}(K)$ such that $\exists a : R, aI \subseteq R$.

Fractional ideals have a semiring structure (like submodules):

- $0 = \{0\}$
- $1 = \{x \mid x \in R\}$
- $I + J = \{x + y \mid x \in I, y \in J\}$
- $I * J$ is generated by $x * y, x \in I, y \in J$
- $x \in I/J \iff \forall y \in J, x * y \in I$

Theorem: $I * (1/I) = 1$ for all $I \neq 0$ iff R is a Dedekind domain

Re-defining division

The `group_with_zero` typeclass used to define $x/y := x * y^{-1}$.
For fractional ideals we want to define $I^{-1} := 1/I$. How to deal with this circularity?

Re-defining division

The `group_with_zero` typeclass used to define $x/y := x * y^{-1}$.
For fractional ideals we want to define $I^{-1} := 1/I$. How to deal with this circularity?

Solution: turn `defeq` into propositional equality by adding a new operation `(/)` to `group (_with_zero)` and an axiom $x/y = x * y^{-1}$.

This required about 500 changes in `mathlib`.

Theorem: $I * (1/I) = 1$ for all $I \neq 0$ iff R is a Dedekind domain

Difficulties:

- Showing $x \in I * J$ implies $x = \sum_k y_k z_k$ for $y_k \in I, z_k \in J$.
- Coercions: I can be an integral ideal or set $\subseteq R$ or a fractional ideal or submodule or set $\subseteq \text{Frac}(R)$.

Dedekind domain theorems

Theorem: $I * (1/I) = 1$ for all $I \neq 0$ iff R is a Dedekind domain

Difficulties:

- Showing $x \in I * J$ implies $x = \sum_k y_k z_k$ for $y_k \in I, z_k \in J$.
- Coercions: I can be an integral ideal or set $\subseteq R$ or a fractional ideal or submodule or set $\subseteq \text{Frac}(R)$.

Theorem: Principal ideal domains are Dedekind domains.

Corollary: \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ are Dedekind domains.

Rings of integers are Dedekind domains

Theorem: The integral closure of a Dedekind domain D in a finite separable extension $K/\text{Frac}(D)$ is a Dedekind domain.

Corollary: Rings of integers, closures of PIDs in finite (separable) extensions, are Dedekind domains.

Rings of integers are Dedekind domains

Theorem: The integral closure of a Dedekind domain D in a finite separable extension $K/\text{Frac}(D)$ is a Dedekind domain.

Corollary: Rings of integers, closures of PIDs in finite (separable) extensions, are Dedekind domains.

“Accidental” collaboration with the Berkeley Galois theory group:

- We define `intermediate_field`
- They use it to formalize primitive element theorem
- We use that to show finite separable field extensions have a power basis
- They use that to show conjugate roots of α correspond to images $\sigma(\alpha)$ for $\sigma : F(\alpha) \rightarrow K$ fixing F
- We use that to show the **trace form** is nondegenerate

Finiteness of the class group

Theorem: If K is a global field, the class group of \mathcal{O}_K is finite.

Typical proofs use Minkowski's lattice point theorem for number fields, extending this to function fields is complicated

Finiteness of the class group

Theorem: If K is a global field, the class group of \mathcal{O}_K is finite.

Typical proofs use Minkowski's lattice point theorem for number fields, extending this to function fields is complicated

We introduced a new notion of **admissible absolute value**, and proved if $\text{abs} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is admissible, this intermediate step in the classical proof holds:

```
theorem exists_mem_finset_approx'
  (a b : integral_closure D L) :=
  ∃ (q : integral_closure D L) (r ∈ finset_approx L f abs),
  abs_norm f abs (r • a - q * b) < abs_norm f abs b
```

Finiteness of the class group

After formalizing the remainder of the classical proof, it remained to find admissible absolute values.

For \mathbb{Z} , the usual absolute value is admissible.

For $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, $|f|_{\deg} := q^{\deg f}$ is admissible.

Finiteness of the class group

After formalizing the remainder of the classical proof, it remained to find admissible absolute values.

For \mathbb{Z} , the usual absolute value is admissible.

For $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$, $|f|_{\deg} := q^{\deg f}$ is admissible.

```
def class_group (f : fraction_map R K) :=  
  quotient_group.quotient (to_principal_ideal f).range  
  
noncomputable def number_field.class_number (K : Type*)  
  [field K] [is_number_field K] : ℕ :=  
  card (class_group (ring_of_integers.fraction_map K))
```

Conclusions

Total contribution: ± 5000 lines of project-specific code, ± 2500 lines background work.

(Difficult to quantify exactly due to tight integration with mathlib.)

Conclusions

Total contribution: ± 5000 lines of project-specific code, ± 2500 lines background work.

(Difficult to quantify exactly due to tight integration with mathlib.)

Rules of thumb for our work:

- Parametrize (`is_scalar_tower`, `power_basis`, ...) instead of choosing a canonical construction.
- Refactoring allows deep integration between different viewpoints.
- Contribute quickly and often, so others do your work for you.