

# My formalization project

Howard Straubing, Soleil Repple, Ayden Lamparski, Nathan Hartdog

September 3, 2025

# Chapter 1

## Green's Relations

**Definition 1** (Green's R-preorder). Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $x, y \in M$ . We define  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  if there exists  $z \in M$  such that  $x = y \cdot z$ . Equivalently,  $x$  lies in the principal right ideal generated by  $y$ .

**Definition 2** (Green's L-preorder). Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $x, y \in M$ . We define  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  if there exists  $z \in M$  such that  $x = z \cdot y$ . In other words,  $x$  lies in the principal left ideal generated by  $y$ .

**Definition 3** (Green's J-preorder). Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $x, y \in M$ . We define  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$  if there exist  $u, v \in M$  such that  $x = u \cdot y \cdot v$ . Equivalently,  $x$  lies in the two-sided ideal generated by  $y$ .

**Definition 4** (Green's H-preorder). Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $x, y \in M$ . We define  $x \leq_{\mathcal{H}} y$  if both  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  and  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  hold, that is,  $x$  is simultaneously in the right and left ideals generated by  $y$ . Unwinding the definition, this means there exist elements  $z_1, z_2 \in M$  with  $x = y \cdot z_1$  and  $x = z_2 \cdot y$ .

**Lemma 5** ( $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$  is a preorder (reflexive & transitive)). *The relation  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$  on  $M$  is a preorder; in particular:*

- **Reflexivity.** For every  $x \in M$ ,  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x$  (witness  $z = 1$  since  $x = x \cdot 1$ ).
- **Transitivity.** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$ , pick witnesses  $v, u \in M$  with  $x = yv$  and  $y = zu$ ; then  $x = z(uv)$ , so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$ .

**Lemma 6** ( $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$  is a preorder (reflexive & transitive)). *The relation  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $M$  is a preorder; in particular:*

- **Reflexivity.** For every  $x \in M$ ,  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$  (witness  $z = 1$  since  $1 \cdot x = x$ ).
- **Transitivity.** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ , pick witnesses  $u, v \in M$  with  $x = uy$  and  $y = vz$ ; then  $x = (uv)z$ , so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ .

**Lemma 7** ( $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$  is a preorder (reflexive & transitive)). *The relation  $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$  on  $M$  is a preorder; in particular:*

- **Reflexivity.** For every  $x \in M$ ,  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} x$  (witness  $u = v = 1$  so  $x = 1 \cdot x \cdot 1$ ).
- **Transitivity.** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{J}} z$ , pick  $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 \in M$  with  $x = u_1 y v_1$  and  $y = u_2 z v_2$ ; then  $x = u_1 (u_2 z v_2) v_1 = (u_1 u_2) z (v_2 v_1)$ , so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} z$ .

**Lemma 8** ( $\leq_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a preorder (reflexive & transitive)). *The relation  $\leq_{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $M$  is a preorder; in particular:*

- **Reflexivity.** For every  $x \in M$ ,  $x \leq_{\mathcal{H}} x$  since  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x$  and  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$ .
- **Transitivity.** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{H}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{H}} z$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$  and  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ ; hence  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$  and  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ , so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{H}} z$ .

## 1.1 Equivalences from Preorders

To obtain equivalence relations from a preorder we take its symmetric closure. Given any preorder  $p$ , we define an equivalence relation by declaring two elements equivalent exactly when both  $p x y$  and  $p y x$  hold. This construction works uniformly for all preorders and, in particular, produces Green's equivalence relations from Green's preorders.

**Definition 9** (Equivalence of a preorder). Let  $\alpha$  be a type and let  $p : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \text{Prop}$  be a preorder. For  $x, y : \alpha$  we define  $\text{EquivOfLE } p x y$  to hold when both  $p x y$  and  $p y x$  hold. In other words,  $\text{EquivOfLE } p$  is the symmetric closure of the relation  $p$ .

**Lemma 10** ( $\text{EquivOfLE}$  is an equivalence relation). *If  $p$  is a preorder on  $\alpha$  then  $\text{EquivOfLE } p$  is an equivalence relation.*

*Proof.* We verify the three properties of an equivalence relation. For reflexivity, if  $p$  is a preorder then it is reflexive, so for every  $x$  we have both  $p x x$  and  $p x x$ ; hence  $\text{EquivOfLE } p x x$  holds. Symmetry is immediate: if  $\text{EquivOfLE } p x y$  holds, meaning  $p x y$  and  $p y x$ , then the pair  $p y x$  and  $p x y$  shows  $\text{EquivOfLE } p y x$ . For transitivity, suppose  $\text{EquivOfLE } p x y$  and  $\text{EquivOfLE } p y z$ . Then we have  $p x y$  and  $p y z$ ; by transitivity of the preorder  $p$  we obtain  $p x z$ . Similarly from  $p z y$  and  $p y x$  we deduce  $p z x$ . Thus  $\text{EquivOfLE } p x z$  holds, completing the proof.  $\square$

## 1.2 Green's Equivalences ( $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{H}$ )

**Definition 11** (Right equivalence). Let  $M$  be a monoid. For  $x, y \in M$  we define  $x \mathcal{R} y$  to hold if both  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x$  hold. In other words, two elements are right equivalent when they lie in the principal right ideals generated by each other.

**Lemma 12** (Right equivalence is an equivalence relation). *The relation  $\mathcal{R}$  defined in Definition 11 is an equivalence relation on  $M$ .*

**Definition 13** (Left equivalence). For  $x, y \in M$  we define  $x \mathcal{L} y$  to hold when both  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$  hold. This expresses that  $x$  and  $y$  generate the same principal left ideals.

**Lemma 14** (Left equivalence is an equivalence relation). *The relation  $\mathcal{L}$  defined in Definition 13 is an equivalence relation on  $M$ .*

**Definition 15** (J equivalence). For  $x, y \in M$  we define  $x \mathcal{J} y$  to hold when both  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{J}} x$  hold, i.e., each lies in the two-sided ideal generated by the other.

**Lemma 16** (J equivalence is an equivalence relation). *The relation  $\mathcal{J}$  defined in Definition 15 is an equivalence relation on  $M$ .*

**Definition 17** (H equivalence). For  $x, y \in M$  we define  $x \mathcal{H} y$  to hold when both  $x \leq_{\mathcal{H}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{H}} x$  hold. This captures when two elements are simultaneously right and left equivalent.

**Lemma 18** (H equivalence is an equivalence relation). *The relation  $\mathcal{H}$  defined in Definition 17 is an equivalence relation on  $M$ .*

### 1.3 Basic Preorder Properties

**Lemma 19** (Multiplication decreases for  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}, \leq_{\mathcal{L}}, \leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ ). *For all  $M$  a monoid and  $x, y, u, v \in M$ , the following hold:*

- **(R)**  $x \cdot y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x$ .
- **(L)**  $y \cdot x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$ .
- **(J)**  $u \cdot x \cdot v \leq_{\mathcal{J}} x$ .

*Proof.* • **(R)** By definition of  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ , we need  $u$  with  $x \cdot u = x \cdot y$ . Take  $u := y$ .

• **(L)** By definition of  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ , we need  $u$  with  $u \cdot x = y \cdot x$ . Take  $u := y$ .

• **(J)** By definition of  $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ , we need  $s, t$  with  $s \cdot x \cdot t = u \cdot x \cdot v$ . Take  $s := u, t := v$ . □

**Lemma 20** (Cancellation for  $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}, \leq_{\mathcal{R}}, \leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ ). *Let  $M$  be a monoid and  $x, y, z \in M$ .*

- **(J-L)** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y \cdot z$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} z$ .
- **(J-R)** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y \cdot z$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$ .
- **(R-R)** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y \cdot z$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$ .
- **(L-L)** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \cdot z$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ .

*Proof.* • **(J-L)** From  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} yz$ , pick  $u, v$  with  $u \cdot (yz) \cdot v = x$ . By associativity,  $(uy) \cdot z \cdot v = x$ . Set  $u' := uy, v' := v$ . Then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} z$ .

• **(J-R)** From  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} yz$ , pick  $u, v$  with  $u \cdot (yz) \cdot v = x$ . By associativity,  $u \cdot y \cdot (zv) = x$ . Set  $u' := u, v' := zv$ . Then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$ .

• **(R-R)** From  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} yz$ , pick  $u$  with  $(yz) \cdot u = x$ . By associativity,  $y \cdot (zu) = x$ . Set  $u' := zu$ . Then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$ .

• **(L-L)** From  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} yz$ , pick  $u$  with  $u \cdot (yz) = x$ . By associativity,  $(uy) \cdot z = x$ . Set  $u' := uy$ . Then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ . □

**Lemma 21** (Idempotent characterizations for  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$ ). *Let  $M$  be a monoid and let  $e \in M$  be idempotent ( $e \cdot e = e$ ). For any  $x \in M$ ,*

$$\textbf{(R)} \quad x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e \iff e \cdot x = x, \quad \textbf{(L)} \quad x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} e \iff x \cdot e = x.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $e^2 = e$ .

- **(R)** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$ , pick  $t$  with  $e \cdot t = x$ . Then  $e \cdot x = e \cdot (e \cdot t) = (e \cdot e) \cdot t = e \cdot t = x$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $e \cdot x = x$ , take  $t := x$ ; then  $e \cdot t = x$ , so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$ .
- **(L)** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} e$ , pick  $t$  with  $t \cdot e = x$ . Then  $x \cdot e = (t \cdot e) \cdot e = t \cdot (e \cdot e) = t \cdot e = x$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $x \cdot e = x$ , take  $t := x$ ; then  $t \cdot e = x$ , so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} e$ .

□

## 1.4 R–L multiplicative compatibility

**Lemma 22** (Left multiplication: compatibility with  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalence). *For all  $x, y, z \in M$ :*

- **(Preorder)** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$ , then  $z \cdot x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z \cdot y$ .
- **(Equivalence)** If  $x \mathcal{R} y$ , then  $z \cdot x \mathcal{R} z \cdot y$ .

*Proof.* • **(Preorder)** From  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  pick  $u$  with  $y \cdot u = x$ . Then  $(z \cdot y) \cdot u = z \cdot (y \cdot u) = z \cdot x$  by associativity, hence  $z \cdot x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z \cdot y$ .

- **(Equivalence)** If  $x \mathcal{R} y$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x$ . Apply the preorder case to both inclusions to get  $z \cdot x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z \cdot y$  and  $z \cdot y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z \cdot x$ , whence  $z \cdot x \mathcal{R} z \cdot y$ .

□

**Lemma 23** (Right multiplication: compatibility with  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalence). *For all  $x, y, z \in M$ :*

- **(Preorder)** If  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$ , then  $x \cdot z \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \cdot z$ .
- **(Equivalence)** If  $x \mathcal{L} y$ , then  $x \cdot z \mathcal{L} y \cdot z$ .

*Proof.* • **(Preorder)** From  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  pick  $u$  with  $u \cdot y = x$ . Then  $u \cdot (y \cdot z) = (u \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot z$  by associativity, hence  $x \cdot z \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \cdot z$ .

- **(Equivalence)** If  $x \mathcal{L} y$ , then  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$ . Apply the preorder case to both inclusions to get  $x \cdot z \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \cdot z$  and  $y \cdot z \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x \cdot z$ , hence  $x \cdot z \mathcal{L} y \cdot z$ .

□

## 1.5 R–L commutativity

**Lemma 24** (Commutativity of  $\leq_{\mathcal{L}}$  and  $\leq_{\mathcal{R}}$ ). *For any  $x, y \in M$ , there exists an element  $z$  with  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$  and  $z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  if and only if there exists an element  $w$  with  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w$  and  $w \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose there exists  $z \in M$  such that  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$  and  $z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$ . Unwinding the definitions, there are witnesses  $u$  and  $v$  with  $u \cdot z = x$  and  $y \cdot v = z$ . Taking  $w = u \cdot y$  we have

$$w \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \quad \text{since} \quad w = u \cdot y$$

and

$$x = u \cdot z = u \cdot (y \cdot v) = (u \cdot y) \cdot v = w \cdot v,$$

so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w$ . Conversely, suppose there exists  $w \in M$  with  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w$  and  $w \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$ . Then there are witnesses  $v$  and  $u$  with  $w \cdot v = x$  and  $u \cdot y = w$ . Let  $z = y \cdot v$ . We compute

$$z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y \quad \text{because} \quad y \cdot v = z,$$

and

$$x = w \cdot v = (u \cdot y) \cdot v = u \cdot (y \cdot v) = u \cdot z,$$

showing  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$ . This establishes the equivalence.  $\square$

**Lemma 25** (Commutativity of right and left equivalence). *For any  $x, y \in M$ , there exists  $z \in M$  such that  $x \mathcal{L} z$  and  $z \mathcal{R} y$  if and only if there exists  $w \in M$  such that  $x \mathcal{R} w$  and  $w \mathcal{L} y$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there exists  $z$  with  $x \mathcal{L} z$  and  $z \mathcal{R} y$ . Write this as  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z \wedge z \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$  and  $z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y \wedge y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$ . From the left and right preorder conditions we have witnesses  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  satisfying

$$u_1 \cdot z = x, \quad z \cdot u_2 = x, \quad y \cdot v_1 = z, \quad z \cdot v_2 = y.$$

Set  $w = u_1 \cdot y$ . Then using the first pair of equations we have

$$w \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y \quad \text{since} \quad w = u_1 \cdot y,$$

and from the remaining equations we compute

$$x = u_1 \cdot z = u_1 \cdot (y \cdot v_1) = (u_1 \cdot y) \cdot v_1 = w \cdot v_1,$$

so  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w$ . Moreover, by applying Lemma ?? to the equivalence  $z \mathcal{R} y$  we deduce  $u_1 \cdot z$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to  $u_1 \cdot y$ . Since  $u_1 \cdot z = x$ , this shows  $x \mathcal{R} w$ . Combined with the previous observation that  $w$  and  $y$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalent, we obtain the right-to-left implication.

Conversely, assume there exists  $w$  such that  $x \mathcal{R} w$  and  $w \mathcal{L} y$ . This means  $x \leq_{\mathcal{R}} w$  and  $w \leq_{\mathcal{R}} x$ , and  $w \leq_{\mathcal{L}} y$  and  $y \leq_{\mathcal{L}} w$ . Pick witnesses  $v_1, v_2, u_1, u_2$  with

$$w \cdot v_1 = x, \quad x \cdot v_2 = w, \quad u_1 \cdot y = w, \quad y \cdot u_2 = w.$$

Let  $z = y \cdot v_1$ . Then  $z$  and  $y$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent because both  $y \leq_{\mathcal{R}} z$  and  $z \leq_{\mathcal{R}} y$  hold via the witnesses above. Using Lemma ?? applied to the  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalence  $w \mathcal{L} y$ , we have  $x \cdot u_1$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalent to  $w \cdot u_1 = u_1 \cdot y$ . Since  $x \cdot u_1 = (w \cdot v_1) \cdot u_1$  and  $z = y \cdot v_1$ , a straightforward computation shows  $x \leq_{\mathcal{L}} z$  and  $z \leq_{\mathcal{L}} x$ . Hence  $x \mathcal{L} z$  and  $z \mathcal{R} y$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

## 1.6 The D-equivalence

**Definition 26** (D-equivalence). Let  $M$  be a monoid. For  $x, y \in M$  we say  $x \mathcal{D} y$  holds if there exists  $z \in M$  such that  $x \mathcal{R} z$  and  $z \mathcal{L} y$ . Equivalently, there is a chain  $x \mathcal{R} z$  and  $z \mathcal{L} y$ .

**Lemma 27** (D-equivalence symmetry). For all  $x, y \in M$ ,

$$x \mathcal{D} y \iff (\exists z, x \mathcal{R} z \wedge z \mathcal{L} y) \iff (\exists w, y \mathcal{R} w \wedge w \mathcal{L} x),$$

and in particular  $x \mathcal{D} y \Rightarrow y \mathcal{D} x$ .

*Proof.* • The first equivalence is just Definition 26 unfolded.

- The second equivalence uses the commutation of  $\mathcal{R}$ - and  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalences: any chain  $x \mathcal{R} z \mathcal{L} y$  can be rotated to a chain  $y \mathcal{R} w \mathcal{L} x$  (Lemma `rEquiv_lEquiv_comm`).
- Taking  $x, y$  swapped in the second characterization yields the symmetry  $x \mathcal{D} y \Rightarrow y \mathcal{D} x$ . □

**Lemma 28** (Closure under  $\mathcal{L}$ - and  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalence). Let  $x, y, z \in M$ .

- If  $x \mathcal{D} y$  and  $y \mathcal{L} z$ , then  $x \mathcal{D} z$ .
- If  $x \mathcal{D} y$  and  $y \mathcal{R} z$ , then  $x \mathcal{D} z$ . (by duality from the previous bullet, using symmetry)

*Proof.* • Write  $x \mathcal{D} y$  as  $x \mathcal{R} u$  and  $u \mathcal{L} y$  for some  $u$ . If  $y \mathcal{L} z$ , then by transitivity of  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalence,  $u \mathcal{L} z$ . Hence  $x \mathcal{R} u \mathcal{L} z$ , i.e.  $x \mathcal{D} z$ .

- For the  $\mathcal{R}$ -closure: from  $x \mathcal{D} y$  get  $y \mathcal{D} x$  by symmetry (Lemma 27); combine with  $y \mathcal{R} z$  and apply the previous bullet in the dual form to obtain  $y \mathcal{D} z$ , then symmetrize back to  $x \mathcal{D} z$ . □

**Lemma 29** (Transitivity of  $\mathcal{D}$ ). If  $x \mathcal{D} y$  and  $y \mathcal{D} z$  then  $x \mathcal{D} z$ .

*Proof.* Choose witnesses  $x \mathcal{R} u \mathcal{L} y$  and  $y \mathcal{R} v \mathcal{L} z$ . Apply the  $\mathcal{R}$ -closure to get  $x \mathcal{D} v$ , then the  $\mathcal{L}$ -closure to conclude  $x \mathcal{D} z$ . □

**Lemma 30** ( $\mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence relation). The relation  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $M$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

*Proof.* • **Reflexive:** take  $z := x$  and use reflexivity of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$ .

- **Symmetric:** Lemma 27.
- **Transitive:** Lemma 29. □

## 1.7 Equivalence classes

Green's equivalence relations partition a monoid into subsets called equivalence classes. Concretely, given an element  $a \in M$ , the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $a$  is the set of all  $x$  such that  $x \mathcal{R} a$ . Similarly for  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition 31** (Right class). For  $a \in M$  we define  $\text{RClass}(a)$  to be the set  $\{x \in M \mid x \mathcal{R} a\}$ .

**Definition 32** (Left class). For  $a \in M$  we define  $\text{LClass}(a)$  to be the set  $\{x \in M \mid x \mathcal{L} a\}$ .

**Definition 33** (J class). For  $a \in M$  we define  $\text{JClass}(a)$  to be the set  $\{x \in M \mid x \mathcal{J} a\}$ .

**Definition 34** (H class). For  $a \in M$  we define  $\text{HClass}(a)$  to be the set  $\{x \in M \mid x \mathcal{H} a\}$ .

**Definition 35** (D class). For  $a \in M$  we define  $\text{DClass}(a)$  to be the set  $\{x \in M \mid x \mathcal{D} a\}$ .



# Chapter 2

## Location Theorem

### 2.1 Location Theorem (Proposition 1.6)

Throughout this section, let  $M$  be a monoid and write multiplication multiplicatively.

**Proposition 36** (Location Theorem). *For any  $x, y \in M$ , the following are equivalent:*

$$(\exists e \in M, e^2 = e, e \mathcal{L} x, e \mathcal{R} y) \iff (xy) \mathcal{R} x \text{ and } (xy) \mathcal{L} y.$$

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$ . Suppose there is an idempotent  $e$  with  $e \mathcal{L} x$  and  $e \mathcal{R} y$ . For idempotent  $e$ , we have the characterizations

$$e \mathcal{L} x \iff xe = x, \quad e \mathcal{R} y \iff ey = y.$$

Thus  $xe = x$  and  $ey = y$ . Green-equivalence is compatible with multiplication: from  $y \mathcal{R} e$  we get  $xy \mathcal{R} xe$ , hence  $xy \mathcal{R} x$ . Similarly, from  $x \mathcal{L} e$  we get  $xy \mathcal{L} ey$ , hence  $xy \mathcal{L} y$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$ . Assume  $(xy) \mathcal{R} x$  and  $(xy) \mathcal{L} y$ . Consider the right-translation map  $\rho_y: M \rightarrow M, z \mapsto zy$ . Under  $(xy) \mathcal{R} x$ , the restriction of  $\rho_y$  to the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $x$  is a bijection onto the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $xy$ . Since also  $(xy) \mathcal{L} y$ , the element  $xy$  (hence  $y$ ) lies in the  $\rho_y$ -image of that class. Thus there exists  $t \in M$  such that

$$ty \mathcal{R} xy \text{ and } ty \mathcal{L} y.$$

From these, one extracts a witness  $u \in M$  with

$$tyu = t \quad (\text{a “right-stabilizer” identity}).$$

Set  $e := t$ . Then

$$e^2 = e(t) = e(tyu) = (ey)u = (ty)u = t = e,$$

using associativity and the stabilizer identity. Hence  $e$  is idempotent. Moreover, from  $ty \mathcal{L} y$  we obtain  $e \mathcal{R} y$  (take the standard witnesses from the  $\mathcal{L}$ -equivalence), and from  $ty \mathcal{R} xy$  we obtain  $e \mathcal{L} x$ . Thus there exists an idempotent  $e$  with  $e \mathcal{L} x$  and  $e \mathcal{R} y$ .  $\square$

**Notes / Dependencies to establish (working backwards).**

- **Idempotent  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}$  characterizations.**

- $(e^2 = e \ \& \ e \mathcal{L} x) \Rightarrow xe = x$ ; equivalently  $e \mathcal{L} x \iff xe = x$  when  $e$  is idempotent.
- $(e^2 = e \ \& \ e \mathcal{R} y) \Rightarrow ey = y$ ; equivalently  $e \mathcal{R} y \iff ey = y$  when  $e$  is idempotent.

(Labels to prove: `lem:L-idem-char`, `lem:R-idem-char`.)

- **Compatibility of Green's equivalences with multiplication.**

- If  $a \mathcal{R} b$  then  $ca \mathcal{R} cb$  for all  $c$ .
- If  $a \mathcal{L} b$  then  $ac \mathcal{L} bc$  for all  $c$ .

(Labels: `lem:REquiv-mul-left`, `lem:LEquiv-mul-right`.)

- **Right-translation bijection on  $\mathcal{R}$ -classes.** If  $(xy) \mathcal{R} x$ , then  $\rho_y : z \mapsto zy$  restricts to a bijection from the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $x$  onto the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of  $xy$ . (Label: `lem:right-translation-bijection`.)
- **Surjectivity toward the  $\mathcal{L}$ -class of  $y$ .** Using  $(xy) \mathcal{L} y$  and the previous item, find  $t$  with  $ty \mathcal{L} y$  and  $ty \mathcal{R} xy$ . (Label: `lem:translation-hits-Lclass`.)
- **Right-stabilizer identity.** From  $ty \mathcal{R} xy$  and  $ty \leq_{\mathcal{L}} t$  (the latter follows from  $ty \mathcal{L} y$ ), obtain  $u$  with  $tyu = t$ . (Label: `lem:right-id-from-REquiv-and-LLE`.)
- **Idempotence criterion.** If  $tyu = t$  and  $ty$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to  $xy$ , then  $t^2 = t$ . (Label: `lem:idempotence-from-stabilizer`.)
- **Recovering  $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{R}$  for  $e = t$ .** From  $ty \mathcal{L} y$  build witnesses for  $t \mathcal{R} y$ ; from  $ty \mathcal{R} xy$  build witnesses for  $t \mathcal{L} x$ . (Labels: `lem:from-tyL-to-eRy`, `lem:from-tyR-to-eLx`.)

**Remark (Dual version).** The left-right dual statement also holds: exchanging  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$ , the roles of left and right multiplications, and using the analogous left-translation bijection yields the dual location theorem. (*TODO: record as a separate proposition.*)