## Object Oriented Programming – 2018/2019 – 2nd Semester Self-evaluation form

Group: 20	Oral discussion date:	Penalization (days):
Number: 84005	Name: André Meneses	Expected mark: 20
Number: 84062	Name: Gonçalo Cunha	Expected mark: 20
Number: 84112	Name: Leandro Pereira	Expected mark: 20
Number:	Name:	Expected mark:
rumber.	name.	
Please fill the following f	form relative to the <b>implementation</b> of the project:	
	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY	
	UML tool used (identify it)? Visual Paradigm	X Good
	se any external library, besides that provided within JI	DK?
No		X  ≥ 3:_5
	es your application have?	x > 3:_6
F-12 (5-12)	nsible to further developments?   Yes  □ No	\$10,000 Dec. 110,000
P. (1988) 1	lave at least one polymorphic invocation?	, attaty
□ No ▼ Yes (methods	s?): _Todos os métodos de classes abstratas, por ex	emplo simulateEvent().
7000 CARCONIC POLICE UP	stanceof operator is used in your application (really	
In which methods?	sources operate a see in your apparation (comp	
	sed to parse the input file? _SAX Parser	
	been required? X No Yes (which ones?):	
	? ▼ Yes □ No When parsing, is XML validated :	against it? X Yes No
Concerning visibility of	the fields, check visibilities that are used in the code:	
☐ Public	☑ Private  ☑ Package  ☐ Dackage  ☐ Dac	x Protected
Concerning visibility of	the methods, check visibilities that are used in the coo	de:
X Public		▼ Protected
The state of the s	the classes, check visibilities that are used in the code	Succession for the succession of the succession
	ontain any static field? X Yes (how many?): 6	_ No
	ontain any static method? X Yes (how many?): 3	No
Does your application o	ontain any user defined exceptions?   Yes (how many	y?):_6
Simulation problem:		
	ents (PEC): PriorityQueue Fro	om java.util? No X Yes
Is it ordered?  No	X Yes, with a:	
	nted as described in the project description and the FAG	
Ant Move: X Y	[2018] [1]	t implemented
Edge evaporation: X Y		t implemented
Are observations impler	mented as events? X Yes \( \subseteq No \) All 20 at once in	the PEC? X Yes \( \subseteq No
Data structure of the col	lony: Ant[] From j	ava.util? No XYes
Is it ordered? ☒ No	☐ Yes, with a: ☐ Comparable ☐ Comparate	or Other
Data structure of the gra	ph: Edge[][] (matriz de adjacências) Fro	om java.util? ☐ No ☒ Yes
Is the best path stored in	n memory?   Yes □ No, it is calculated only wh	en needed   Other

Is the best path always found when you run the xml file provided in the Project webpage? X Yes \( \subseteq No

Global evaluation:					
What was the degree of participation of each element in the g			0%)?		
Num_84005 : 100/3 % Num_84062 : 100/3 % Num_	84112 :10	0/3 % Num			%
the extent of your perception of the developed work, fill the	following tal	oles:			
Project documentation					No
Is the project correctly documented through comments in the source code?					
Was the javadoc tool used to build the documentation of the developed packages?					
Is it complete, with:					
<ul><li>overview of packages?</li></ul>				X	
- summary of classes, interfaces and exceptions?				X	
<ul> <li>brief description of classes, interfaces and exceptions?</li> </ul>				X	
- summary of fields, constructors and methods?				X	
- detail of fields, constructors and methods?				X	
Project compilation				Yes	No
Does the project compile without errors?				X	
Does the project compile without warnings?				X	
If the answer is no, are all these warnings unchecked warning	18?			П	
				7000	-
Running		Yes	No	With	faults
Is the jar file runnable from the shell?		X			]
Does the project read correctly the parameters?		x			]
Does the project run with the input given in the project webpa	age?	X			1
Does the project generate any supplementary information (sta	atus, debug, e	tc)?	X		l,
Development environment used? Linux Windawa version used: 11.0.2  Was the final program tested in the laboratory workstations?		□ Unix	∏No		Mac/
The following table is to be filled by the <b>professor</b> :	V (C 1	N / / / /	•	1 . //	
Report	Yes/Good	No/Bad	Incon	iplete/l	air
Cover identifies the course, authors and group number		_			_
Goals of the work are very succinct but clearly stated				Ц	
Intelligibility of the document					_
Structure of the document				Ш	
Clear/concise justification of main data structures used				Ц	
OO solution (extensibility, polymorphism, etc.)				Ш	
Critical evaluation of the application performance					
Description of functionalities beyond requested ones					
Conclusions					38