CPE 372/641 Natural Language Processing

Text Normalization

Asst. Prof. Dr. Nuttanart M. Facundes

Most of the slides are from Prof. Jurafsky SLP 3rd edition draft

Text Normalization

- Every NLP task needs to do text normalization:
 - 1. Segmenting/tokenizing words in running text
 - 2. Normalizing word formats
 - 3. Segmenting sentences in running text

How many words?

- I do uh main- mainly business data processing
 - Fragments, filled pauses
- Seuss's cat in the hat is different from other cats!
 - Lemma: same stem, part of speech, rough word sense
 - cat and cats = same lemma
 - Wordform: the full inflected surface form
 - cat and cats = different wordforms

How many words?

they lay back on the San Francisco grass and looked at the stars and their

- Type: an element of the vocabulary.
- Token: an instance of that type in running text.
- How many?
 - 15 tokens (or 14)
 - 13 types (or 12) (or 11?)

How many words?

N = number of tokens

Church and Gale (1990): $|V| > O(N^{\frac{1}{2}})$

V = vocabulary = set of types|V| is the size of the vocabulary

	Tokens = N	Types = V
Switchboard phone conversations	2.4 million	20 thousand
Shakespeare	884,000	31 thousand
Google N-grams	1 trillion	13 million

Simple Tokenization in UNIX

- (Inspired by Ken Church's UNIX for Poets.)
- Given a text file, output the word tokens and their frequencies

```
1945 A 25 Aaron
72 AARON 6 Abate
19 ABBESS 5 Abbess
5 ABBOT 6 Abbey
6 ... 3 Abbot
... ...
```

The first step: tokenizing

```
tr -sc 'A-Za-z' '\n' < shakes.txt | head
THE
SONNETS
by
William
Shakespeare
From
fairest
creatures
We
```

The second step: sorting

```
tr -sc 'A-Za-z' '\n' < shakes.txt | sort | head
Α
Α
Α
```

More counting

Merging upper and lower case

```
tr 'A-Z' 'a-z' < shakes.txt | tr -sc 'A-Za-z' '\n' | sort | uniq -c
```

Sorting the counts

```
tr 'A-Z' 'a-z' < shakes.txt | tr -sc 'A-Za-z' '\n' | sort | uniq -c | sort -n -r
            23243 the
            22225 i
            18618 and
            16339 to
            15687 of
            12780 a
            12163 you
                                      What happened here?
            10839 my
            10005 in
            8954 d
```

Issues in Tokenization

Finland's capital → Finland Finlands Finland's ?
what're, I'm, isn't → What are, I am, is not
Hewlett-Packard → Hewlett Packard ?
state-of-the-art → state of the art ?
Lowercase → lower-case lowercase lower case ?
San Francisco → one token or two?
m.p.h., PhD. → ??


Tokenization: language issues

- French
 - *L'ensemble* → one token or two?
 - L?L'?Le?
 - Want l'ensemble to match with un ensemble

- German noun compounds are not segmented
 - Lebensversicherungsgesellschaftsangestellter
 - 'life insurance company employee'
 - German information retrieval needs compound splitter

Tokenization: language issues

- Chinese and Japanese no spaces between words:
 - 莎拉波娃现在居住在美国东南部的佛罗里达。
 - 莎拉波娃 现在 居住 在 美国 东南部 的 佛罗里达
 - Sharapova now lives in US southeastern Florida
- Further complicated in Japanese, with multiple alphabets intermingled
 - Dates/amounts in multiple formats



End-user can express query entirely in hiragana!

Word Tokenization in Chinese

- Also called Word Segmentation
- Chinese words are composed of characters
 - Characters are generally 1 syllable and 1 morpheme.
 - Average word is 2.4 characters long.
- Standard baseline segmentation algorithm:
 - Maximum Matching (also called Greedy)

Maximum Matching Word Segmentation Algorithm

- Given a wordlist of Chinese, and a string.
- 1) Start a pointer at the beginning of the string
- Find the longest word in dictionary that matches the string starting at pointer
- 3) Move the pointer over the word in string
- 4) Go to 2

Max-match segmentation illustration

Thecatinthehat the cat in the hat

• Thetabledownthere the table down there theta bled own there

Doesn't generally work in English!

- But works astonishingly well in Chinese
 - 莎拉波娃现在居住在美国东南部的佛罗里达。
 - 莎拉波娃 现在 居住 在 美国 东南部 的 佛罗里达
- Modern probabilistic segmentation algorithms even better

Basic Text Processing

Word tokenization

Basic Text Processing

Word Normalization and Stemming

Normalization

- Need to "normalize" terms
 - Information Retrieval: indexed text & query terms must have same form.
 - We want to match U.S.A. and USA
- We implicitly define equivalence classes of terms
 - e.g., deleting periods in a term
- Alternative: asymmetric expansion:
 - Enter: **window** Search: window, windows
 - Enter: **windows** Search: Windows, windows, window
 - Search: Windows Enter: **Windows**
- Potentially more powerful, but less efficient

Case folding

- Applications like IR: reduce all letters to lower case
 - Since users tend to use lower case
 - Possible exception: upper case in mid-sentence?
 - e.g., General Motors
 - Fed vs. fed
 - SAIL vs. sail
- For sentiment analysis, MT, Information extraction
 - Case is helpful (*US* versus *us* is important)

Lemmatization

- Reduce inflections or variant forms to base form
 - am, are, is \rightarrow be
 - car, cars, car's, cars' \rightarrow car
- the boy's cars are different colors → the boy car be different color
- Lemmatization: have to find correct dictionary headword form
- Machine translation
 - Spanish quiero ('I want'), quieres ('you want') same lemma as querer 'want'

Morphology

- Morphemes:
 - The small meaningful units that make up words
 - Stems: The core meaning-bearing units
 - Affixes: Bits and pieces that adhere to stems
 - Often with grammatical functions

Stemming

- Reduce terms to their stems in information retrieval
- Stemming is crude chopping of affixes
 - language dependent
 - e.g., *automate(s)*, *automatic*, *automation* all reduced to *automat*.

for example compressed and compression are both accepted as equivalent to compress.



for exampl compress and compress ar both accept as equival to compress

Porter's algorithm The most common English stemmer

```
Step 1a
                                                    Step 2 (for long stems)
    sses \rightarrow ss caresses \rightarrow caress
                                                        ational → ate relational → relate
    ies \rightarrow i ponies \rightarrow poni
                                                        izer→ ize digitizer → digitize
    ss \rightarrow ss
                     caress \rightarrow caress
                                                        ator\rightarrow ate operator \rightarrow operate
      \rightarrow Ø
                  cats \rightarrow cat
Step 1b
                                                     Step 3 (for longer stems)
    (*v*)ing \rightarrow \emptyset walking \rightarrow walk
                                                        al
                                                                \rightarrow \emptyset revival \rightarrow reviv
                        sing \rightarrow sing
                                                        able \rightarrow \emptyset adjustable \rightarrow adjust
    (*v*)ed \rightarrow \emptyset plastered \rightarrow plaster
                                                        ate \rightarrow \emptyset activate \rightarrow activ
```

Viewing morphology in a corpus Why only strip –ing if there is a vowel?

$$(*v*)ing \rightarrow \emptyset$$
 walking \rightarrow walk sing \rightarrow sing

Viewing morphology in a corpus Why only strip –ing if there is a vowel?

```
(*v*)ing \rightarrow \emptyset walking \rightarrow walk
                              sing \rightarrow sing
tr -sc 'A-Za-z' '\n' < shakes.txt | grep 'ing$' | sort | uniq -c | sort -nr
                 1312 King548 being548 being541 nothing541 nothing152 something
                   388 king 145 coming
                  375 bring 130 morning
                  358 thing 122 having
                  307 ring 120 living
152 something 117 loving
                  145 coming 116 Being
                  130 morning 102 going
tr -sc 'A-Za-z' '\n' < shakes.txt | grep '[aeiou].*ing$' | sort | uniq -c | sort -nr
```

Dealing with complex morphology is sometimes necessary

- Some languages requires complex morpheme segmentation
 - Turkish
 - Uygarlastiramadiklarimizdanmissinizcasina
 - `(behaving) as if you are among those whom we could not civilize'
 - Uygar `civilized' + las `become'
 - + tir `cause' + ama `not able'
 - + dik `past' + lar 'plural'
 - + imiz 'p1pl' + dan 'abl'
 - + mis 'past' + siniz '2pl' + casina 'as if'

Basic Text Processing

Word Normalization and Stemming


Basic Text Processing

Sentence Segmentation and Decision Trees

Sentence Segmentation

- !, ? are relatively unambiguous
- Period "." is quite ambiguous
 - Sentence boundary
 - Abbreviations like Inc. or Dr.
 - Numbers like .02% or 4.3
- Build a binary classifier
 - Looks at a "."
 - Decides EndOfSentence/NotEndOfSentence
 - Classifiers: hand-written rules, regular expressions, or machine-learning

Determining if a word is end-of-sentence: a Decision Tree



More sophisticated decision tree features

- Case of word with ".": Upper, Lower, Cap, Number
- Case of word after ".": Upper, Lower, Cap, Number

- Numeric features
 - Length of word with "."
 - Probability(word with "." occurs at end-of-s)
 - Probability(word after "." occurs at beginning-of-s)

Implementing Decision Trees

- A decision tree is just an if-then-else statement
- The interesting research is choosing the features
- Setting up the structure is often too hard to do by hand
 - Hand-building only possible for very simple features, domains
 - For numeric features, it's too hard to pick each threshold
 - Instead, structure usually learned by machine learning from a training corpus

Decision Trees and other classifiers

- We can think of the questions in a decision tree
- As features that could be exploited by any kind of classifier
 - Logistic regression
 - SVM
 - Neural Nets
 - etc.

Basic Text Processing

Sentence Segmentation and Decision Trees


Minimum Edit Distance (easily explained)

- The Levenshtein distance is a string metric for measuring the difference between two sequences.
- Informally, the Levenshtein distance between two words is the minimum number of single-character edits (insertions, deletions or substitutions) required to change one word into the other.


• For example, the Levenshtein distance between *kitten* and *sitting* is 3, since the following three edits change one into the other, and there is no way to do it with fewer than three edits:

- kitten → sitten (substitution of "s" for "k")
- sitten → sittin (substitution of "i" for "e")
- sittin \rightarrow sitting (insertion of "g" at the end).

Dynamic Programming Approach



- According to the formula you only need three adjacent cells (i-1:j), (i-1:j-1), and (i:j-1) to calculate the number for current cell (i:j).
- All we need to do is to find the minimum of those three cells and then add 1 in case if we have different letters in i's row and j's column.



- DEPENDENCY