COPY MADE ON BEHALF OF SINGAPORE NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE DATE OF DECLARATION 1 JUL 2012

Faculty of Arts & Social Sciences

network that ultimately includes onld probably tend to develop a ropriate kinship behavior, even lestic rituals.

ains to be mentioned. In the diof a gift is also a lexical sign, signiship, as in the Christmas contact relationship, as when a husband once learned the dialect cannot ignore messages they understand machinery, Middletown people nas gifts to their close relatives, of hostility. In this community, ses for emotional and social supding them a hostile message are ling to run the risk.

nts in this gift-giving system are plex rules, although they do so unce to a system. The dialect, once sity, and the enforcement of its med.

Introductory Readings", New York, London, Toronto and Sydney: Free Press, 2005.

The Presentation
of Self in Everyday Life

in J.M. Henslin (ed.) "Down to Earth Sociology:

of Self in Everyday Life erving goffman

All the world's a stage
And all the men and women merely players.
They have their exits and their entrances;
And one man in his time plays many parts....
William Shakespeare
As You Like It, Act 2, Scene 7

This quotation from Shakespeare could well serve as the keynote for the following selection. Taking Shakespeare's statement seriously, the following selection. Taking Shakespeare's statement seriously, the Goffman presents a dramaturgical model of human life and uses it as the conceptual framework for understanding life-in-society. In as the conceptual framework for understanding life-in-society. In as the receptual framework for understanding life-in-society. In as the receptual persons who observe what others are doing, the parts are the roles that people play (whether work, familial, friend-parts are the roles that people play (whether work, familial, friend-parts are the roles that people play (whether work, familial, friend-sational exchanges ("Hi. How ya doin'?", "How's it goin'?", "Slip me sational exchanges ("Hi. How ya doin'?", "How's it goin'?", "Slip me sational exchanges ("Hi. How ya doin'?", "How's it goin'?", "Nile the costuming consists of whatever clothing happens to be in style.

Goffman's insightful analysis provides a framework from which Goffman's insightful analysis provides a framework from which we can gain a remarkably different perspective of what we do in we can gain a remarkably different perspective of what we do in we can gain a remarkably different perspective on a date, or while life—at home, at school, with friends, while on a date, or while shopping. When understood properly, however, you may find this spproach to understanding human behavior disturbing. For example, approach to understanding human behavior disturbing, a masquerade real me"? Is all of life merely a "put-on," play acting, a masquerade of some sort? Does this framework for understanding human interaction constitute an essentially cynical and manipulative approach to life, a sort of everyday Machiavellianism?

WHEN AN INDIVIDUAL ENTERS the presence of others, they commonly seek to acquire information about him or to bring into play information about him already possessed. They will be interested in his general socio-economic status, his conception of self, his attitude toward them, his competence, his trustworthiness, etc. Although some of this information seems to be sought almost as an end in itself, there are usually quite practical

Marine Company

reasons for acquiring it. Information about the individual helps to define the situation, enabling others to know in advance what he will expect of them and what they may expect of him. Informed in these ways, the others will know how best to act in order to call forth a desired response from him.

For those present, many sources of information become accessible and For those present, many sources of information become accessible and many carriers (or "sign-vehicles") become available for conveying this information. If unacquainted with the individual, observers can glean clues from mation. If unacquainted with the individual, observers can glean clues from his conduct and appearance which allow them to apply their previous experience with individuals roughly similar to the one before them or, more imporence with individuals roughly similar to the can also assume from past tant, to apply untested stereotypes to him. They can also assume from past experience that only individuals of a particular kind are likely to be found in experience social setting. They can rely on what the individual says about himself a given social setting. They can rely on what the individual says about himself a corn documentary evidence he provides as to who and what he is. If they or on documentary evidence he provides as to who and what he is. If they action, they can rely on assumptions as to the persistence and generality of action, they can rely on assumptions as to the persistence and generality of action, they can rely on assumptions as to the persistence and generality of action, they can rely on assumptions as to the persistence and generality of action, they can rely on assumptions as to the persistence and generality of action, they can rely on assumptions as to the persistence and generality of action, they can rely on assumptions as to the inter-

However, during the person in the provide the presence of the others, few events may occur which directly provide the others with the conclusive information they will need if they are to direct others with the conclusive information they will need if they are to direct others with the conclusive information they will need if they are to direct others with the concealed within it. For example, the "true" or "real" at of interaction or lie concealed within it. For example, the "true" or "real" at of interaction or lie concealed within it. For example, the "true" or "real" at of interaction only indititudes, beliefs, and emotions of the individual can be ascertained only indititudes, beliefs, and emotions or through what appears to be involuntary rectly, through his avowals or through what appears the others a product expressive behavior. Similarly, if the individual offers the others a product expressive behavior. Similarly, if the individual offers the pudding that the proof time and place immediately available for eating the pudding that the proof or natural signs of something not directly available to the senses. In Icheriser's terms, the individual will have to act so that he intentionally or unintentionally expresses himself, and the others will in turn have to be

impressed in some way by nim.

The expressiveness of the individual (and therefore his capacity to give impressions) appears to involve two radically different kinds of sign activity: impressions appears to involve two radically different kinds of sign activity: impressions appears to involve two radically different kinds of sign activity: the expression that he gives off. The first the expression that he gives off. The first solely to convey the information that he and the others are known to attach solely to convey the information that he traditional and narrow sense to these symbols. This is communication in the traditional and narrow sense. The second involves a wide range of action that others can treat as symptomatic of the actor, the expectation being that the action was performed for reasons other than the information conveyed in this way. As we shall have to reasons other than the information conveyed in this way. As we shall have to reasons other than the information conveyed in this way.

intentionally convey misinformation by means of both of these types of communication, the first involving deceit, the second feigning.

Taking communication in both its narrow and broad sense, one finds that when the individual is in the immediate presence of others, his activity will have a promissory character. The others are likely to find that they must accept the individual on faith, offering him a just return while he is present before them in exchange for something whose true value will not be established until after he has left their presence. (Of course, the others also live by inference in their dealings with the physical world, but it is only in the world of social interaction that the objects about which they make inferences will purposely facilitate and hinder this inferential process.) The security that they justifiably feel in making inferences about the individual will vary, of course, depending on such factors as the amount of information they already possess about him, but no amount of such past evidence can entirely obviate the necessity of acting on the basis of inferences. As William I. Thomas suggested:

It is also highly important for us to realize that we do not as a matter of fact lead our lives, make our decisions, and reach our goals in everyday life either statistically or scientifically. We live by inference. I am, let us say, your guest. You do not know, you cannot determine scientifically, that I will not steal your money or your spoons. But inferentially I will not, and inferentially you have me as a guest.²

disher popularity from the calls she receives on the phone, we can suspect largely by influencing the definition of the situation which the others come in his interests to convey Since a girl's dormitory mates will glean evidence mobilize his activity so that it will convey an impression to others which it is such a way as to give them the kind of impression that will lead them to act to formulate, and he can influence this definition by expressing himself in especially their responsive treatment of him.3 This control is achieved rid of, confuse, mislead, antagonize, or insult them. Regardless of the particor to think that he thinks highly of them, or to perceive how in fact he feels who presents himself before them. He may wish them to think highly of him this objective, it will be in his interests to control the conduct of the others ular objective which the individual has in mind and of his motive for having toward them, or to obtain no clearcut impression; he may wish to ensure suf Pears in the presence of others, there will usually be some reason for him to voluntarily in accordance with his own plan. Thus, when an individual apficient harmony so that the interaction can be sustained, or to defraud, get Let us now turn from the others to the point of view of the individual

that some girls will arrange for calls to be made, and Willard Waller's finding can be anticipated.

It has been reported by many observers that a girl who is called to the telephone in the dormitories will often allow herself to be called several times, in order to give all the other girls ample opportunity to hear her paged.

Of the two kinds of communication—expressions given and expressions given off—this report will be primarily concerned with the latter, with the more theatrical and contextual kind, the non-verbal, presumably unintentional kind, whether this communication be purposely engineered or not. As an example of what we must try to examine, I would like to cite at length a novelistic incident in which Preedy, a vacationing Englishman, makes his first appearance on the beach of his summer hotel in Spain:

But in any case he took care to avoid catching anyone's eye. First of all, he had to make it clear to those potential companions of his holiday that they were of no concern to him whatsoever. He stared through them, round them, over them—eyes lost in space. The beach might have been empty. If by chance a ball was thrown his way, he looked surprised; Then let a smile of amusement lighten his face (Kindly Preedy), looked round dazed to see that there were people on the beach, tossed it back with a smile to himself and not a smile at the people, and then resumed carelessly his nonchalant survey of space.

But it was time to institute a little parade, the parade of the Ideal Preedy. By devious handlings he gave any one who wanted to look a chance to see the title of the book—a Spanish translation of Homer, classic thus, but not daring, cosmopolitan too—and then gathered together his beachwrap and bag into a neat sand-resistant pile (Methodical and Sensible Preedy), rose slowly to stretch at ease his huge frame (Big-Cat Preedy), and tossed aside his sandals (Carefree Preedy, after all).

The marriage of Preedy and the seal There were alternative rituals. The first involved the stroll that turns into a run and a dive straight into the water, thereafter smoothing into a strong splashless crawl towards the horizon. But of course not really to the horizon. Quite suddenly he would turn on to his back and thrash great white splashes with his legs, somehow thus showing that he could have swum further had he wanted to, and then would stand up a quarter out of water for all to see who it was.

The alternative course was simpler; it avoided the cold-water shock, and it avoided the risk of appearing too high-spirited. The point was to appear to be so used to the sea, the Mediterranean, and this particular beach, that one might as well be in the sea as out of it. It involved a slow stroll down and into this edge of the water—not even noticing his toes were wet, land and water all the same to him!—with his eyes up at the sky gravely surveying portents, invisible to others of the weather (Local Fisherman Freedy).

(基) (1000年)

The novelist means us to see that Preedy is improperly concerned with the extensive impressions he feels his sheer bodily action is giving off to those around him. We can malign Preedy further by assuming that he has acted merely in order to give a particular impression, that this is a false impression, and that the others present receive either no impression at all, or worse still, the impression that Preedy is affectedly trying to cause them to receive. But the important point for us here is that the kind of impression Preedy thinks he is making is in fact the kind of impression that others correctly and incorrectly glean from someone in their midst.

in their turn, may be suitably impressed by the individual's efforts to convey sciously nor unconsciously disposed to create such an impression. The others ditions of his group or social status require this kind of expression and no consciously express himself in a particular way, but chiefly because the tra tively unaware that this is the case. Sometimes he will intentionally and tain. Sometimes the individual will be calculating in his activity but be rela that is likely to evoke from them a specific response he is concerned to obhimself in a given way solely in order to give the kind of impression to others times the individual will act in a thoroughly calculating manner, expressing influence the definition of the situation which they come to have. Somein so far as the others act as if the individual had conveyed a particular imare warranted neither by the individual's intent nor by the facts. In any case, something, or may misunderstand the situation and come to conclusions that designed impression of a particular kind and yet he may be neither con-Sometimes the traditions of an individual's role will lead him to give a well proval) that is likely to be evoked from those impressed by the expression because of any particular response (other than vague acceptance or ap vidual has "effectively" projected a given definition of the situation and pression, we may take a functional or pragmatic view and say that the indi-"effectively" fostered the understanding that a given state of affairs obtains I have said that when an individual appears before others his actions wil

There is one aspect of the others' response that bears special comment here. Knowing that the individual is likely to present himself in a light that is favorable to him, the others may divide what they witness into two parts: a part that is relatively easy for the individual to manipulate at will, being chiefly his verbal assertions, and a part in regard to which he seems to have little concern or control, being chiefly derived from the expressions he gives off. The others may then use what are considered to be the ungovernable aspects of his expressive behavior as a check upon the validity of what is conveyed by the governable aspects. In this a fundamental asymmetry is demonstrated in the communication process, the individual presumably being aware of only one stream of his communication, the witness of this stream and one other. For example, in Shetland Isle one crofter's wife, in

122

a check on the stated feelings of the eater. The same woman, in order to dissame time she would take note of the rapidity with which the visitor lifted with a polite smile to his polite claims of liking what he was eating; at the serving native dishes to a visitor from the mainland of Britain, would listen his mouth, and the gusto expressed in chewing the food, using these signs as his fork or spoon to his mouth, the eagerness with which he passed food into (B), would wait until B was in the presence of A but engaged in conversation cover what one acquaintance (A) "actually" thought of another acquaintance sation with B, and not being directly observed by him, A would sometimes pressions of A as he regarded B in conversation with C. Not being in converwith still another person (C). She would then covertly examine the facial exrelax usual constraints and tactful deceptions, and freely express what he was observed observer. actually" feeling about B. This Shetlander, in short, would observe the un-

guiding the impression he makes through behavior felt to be reliably informtrollable aspects of behavior by means of the less controllable, one can expect that sometimes the individual will try to exploit this very possibility, place it with a sociable one just before reaching the door. However, some visunobserved as he approached the house, islanders sometimes took pleasure tage and lack of light within it usually made it possible to observe the visitor the door into the cottage. Since lack of physical obstructions outside the cotdinarily wear at least a hint of an expectant warm smile as he passed through Shetland Isle. When a neighbor dropped in to have a cup of tea, he would ordiscover where he actually stands. A specific illustration may be cited from formant talking to others; observers of the observer will then not as easily mant, but may also be careful to wear the same look when observing the inobserver may not only wear an accepting look while listening to an inforing. ⁶ For example, in gaining admission to a tight social circle, the participant itors, in appreciating that this examination was occurring, would blindly in watching the visitor drop whatever expression he was manifesting and readopt a social face a long distance from the house, thus ensuring the projec-Now given the fact that others are likely to check up on the more con-

tion of a constant image. mation game---a potentially infinite cycle of concealment, discovery, false metry of the communication process, and sets the stage for a kind of inforcourse may sense that the individual is manipulating the presumably spontaindividual's conduct, he can gain much by controlling it. The others of likely to be relatively unsuspicious of the presumably unguided aspect of the revelation, and rediscovery. It should be added that since the others are This kind of control upon the part of the individual reinstates the symand seek in this very act of manipulation some

The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life

123

provides a check upon the individual's behavior, this time his presumably shading of conduct that the individual has not managed to control. This again cation process. Here I would like only to add the suggestion that the arts of uncalculated behavior, thus re-establishing the asymmetry of the communicommunication process is likely to be retained. of how many steps have occurred in the information game, the witness is veloped than our capacity to manipulate our own behavior, so that regardless piercing an individual's effort at calculated unintentionality seem better delikely to have the advantage over the actor, and the initial asymmetry of the

nition of the situation by virtue of their response to the individual and by when he appears before others, we must also see that the others, however attuned to one another so that open contradiction will not occur. I do not virtue of any lines of action they initiate to him. Ordinarily the definitions of passive their role may seem to be, will themselves effectively project a defithe situation projected by the several different participants are sufficiently agreement, this veneer of consensus, is facilitated by each participant conto find at least temporarily acceptable. The maintenance of this surface of Rather, each participant is expected to suppress his immediate heartfelt feelual present candidly expresses what he really feels and honestly agrees with mean that there will be the kind of consensus that arises when each individmediately important to others, e.g., the rationalizations and justifications by tentative official ruling regarding matters which are vital to him but not imof division of definitional labor. Each participant is allowed to establish the one present feels obliged to give lip service. Further, there is usually a kind cealing his own wants behind statements which assert values to which everyings, conveying a view of the situation which he feels the others will be able mistic ideal and in any case not necessary for the smooth working of society. the expressed feelings of the others present. This kind of harmony is an optiuation which involves not so much a real agreement as to what exists but mains silent or noncommittal on matters important to others but not immediwhich he accounts for his past activity. In exchange for this courtesy he reately important to him. We have then a kind of interactional modus vivendi. ability of avoiding an open conflict of definitions of the situation.7 I will rather a real agreement as to whose claims concerning what issues will be Together, the participants contribute to a single over-all definition of the sitstood that the working consensus established in one interaction setting will refer to this level of agreement as a "working consensus." It is to be under temporarily honored. Real agreement will also exist concerning the desirterent type of setting. Thus, between two friends at lunch, a reciprocal show be quite different in content from the working consensus established in a dif-When we allow that the individual projects a definition of the situation

of affection, respect, and concern for the other is maintained. In service occialist. Regardless of such differences in content, however, the general form responds with a show of respect for the competence and integrity of the speinterested involvement in the problem of the client, while the client cupations, on the other hand, the specialist often maintains an image of dis-

of these working arrangements is the same. made by the others present, we can appreciate the crucial importance of the sive action. The individual's initial projection commits him to what he is dividual starts to define the situation and starts to build up lines of responfellow participants, for it is on the basis of this initial information that the ininformation that the individual initially possesses or acquires concerning his would seem that an individual can more easily make a choice as to what line even built up from, the initial positions taken by the several participants. It tial that these later developments be related without contradiction to, and cations in this initial informational state will of course occur, but it is essen-As the interaction among the participants progresses, additions and modifiproposing to be and requires him to drop all pretenses of being other things ning of an encounter than he can alter the line of treatment that is being purof treatment to demand from and extend to the others present at the beginsued once the interaction is under way In noting the tendency for a participant to accept the definitional claims

pations will often hinge upon a capacity to seize and hold the initiative in the pressions are important. Thus, the work adjustment of those in service occupart of the server when he is of lower socio-economic status than his client. W. F. Whyte suggests the waitress as an example: In everyday life, of course, there is a clear understanding that first imrelation, a capacity that will require subtle aggressiveness on the

get the jump on the waitress?" The skilled waitress realizes the crucial nature ship is, "Does the waitress get the jump on the customer, or does the customer their behavior. The first question to ask when we look at the customer relationdoes not simply respond to her customers. She acts with some skill to control The first point that stands out is that the waitress who bears up under pressure

ing on the table studying the menu. She greets him, says, "May I change the before she could clear off the dirty dishes and change the cloth. He is now leanhesitation. For example, she may find that a new customer has seated himself of this question... cover, please?" and, without waiting for an answer, takes his menu away from lationship is handled politely but firmly, and there is never any question as to him so that he moves back from the table, and she goes about her work. The re-The skilled waitress tackles the customer with confidence and without

> merely the initial interaction in an extended series of interactions involving following view: that it is crucial that we do so. Thus, one learns that some teachers take the the same participants, we speak of "getting off on the right foot" and feel When the interaction that is initiated by "first impressions" is itself

Similarly, attendants in mental institutions may feel that if the new patient is going, when you try to be tough, they'll just look at you and laugh.9 got to start off tough; then you can ease up as you go along. If you start out easytough. The first day I get a new class in, I let them know who's boss. . . . You've

You can't ever let them get the upper hand on you or you're through. So I start out

much future difficulty will be prevented.10 sharply put in his place the first day on the ward and made to see who is boss,

situation when he enters the presence of others, we can assume that events dicted become untenable, and the participants find themselves lodged in an assumptions upon which the responses of the participants had been preinteraction itself may come to a confused and embarrassed halt. Some of the throw doubt upon this projection. When these disruptive events occur, the may occur within the interaction which contradict, discredit, or otherwise nance, embarrassed, experiencing the kind of anomy that is generated when all the participants may come to feel ill at ease, nonplussed, out of countediscredited may feel ashamed while the others present may feel hostile, and longer defined. At such moments the individual whose presentation has been interaction for which the situation has been wrongly defined and is now no the minute social system of face-to-face interaction breaks down. Given the fact that the individual effectively projects a definition of the

pect that others will value and treat him in an appropriate way. Connected vidual who possesses certain social characteristics has a moral right to exmoral character. It is this moral character of projections that will chiefly cial fact that any projected definition of the situation also has a distinctive by an individual tends to provide a plan for the cooperative activity that folwith this principle is a second, namely that an individual who implicitly or concern us in this report. Society is organized on the principle that any indilows—in stressing this action point of view—we must not overlook the crube what he claims he is. In consequence, when an individual projects a defiexplicitly signifies that he has certain social characteristics ought in fact to others, obliging them to value and treat him in the manner that persons of person of a particular kind, he automatically exerts a moral demand upon the nition of the situation and thereby makes an implicit or explicit claim to be a In stressing the fact that the initial definition of the situation projected

his kind have a right to expect. He also implicitly forgoes all claims to be things he does not appear to be¹¹ and hence forgoes the treatment that would be appropriate for such individuals. The others find, then, that the individual be appropriate for such individuals. The others find, then, that the see as the "is." has informed them as to what is and as to what they ought to see as the "is."

One cannot judge the importance of definitional disruptions by the frequency with which they occur, for apparently they would occur more frequently were not constant precautions taken. We find that preventive practices are constantly employed to avoid these embarrassments and that precitive practices are constantly employed to compensate for discrediting occurrences that have not been successfully avoided. When the individual occurrences there is and tactics to protect his own projections, we may employs these strategies and tactics; when a participant employs them to refer to them as "defensive practices"; when a participant employs them to refer to them as "defensive practices"; when a participant employs them to refer to them as "defensive projected by another, we speak of "prosave the definition of the situation projected by another, we speak of "prosave the techniques employed to safeguard the impression fostered by comprise the techniques employed to safeguard the impression fostered by an individual during his presence before others. It should be added that an individual during his presence before others. It should be added that an individual during his presence before others are less ready perhaps to see that defensive practices were not employed, we are less ready perhaps to see that defensive practices were not employed, we are less ready perhaps to see that defensive practices were not employed, we are less ready perhaps to see that the techniques of the traction of the sum of t

of projected definitions, we may also note that an intense interest in these exert tact in their reception of it. disruptions comes to play a significant role in the social life of the group. embroidered, or fictitious—are told and retold, detailing disruptions which ated in which devastating exposures occur. Anecdotes from the past-real are to be taken unseriously are purposely engineered. 12 Fantasies are cre-Practical jokes and social games are played in which embarrassments which seems to be no grouping which does not have a ready supply of these games, occurred, almost occurred, or occurred and were admirably resolved. There tell himself through dreams of getting into impossible positions. Families claims and reasonable in their projected expectations. The individual may reveries, and cautionary tales, to be used as a source of humor, a catharsis for anxieties, and a sanction for inducing individuals to be modest in their tell of the time a guest got his dates mixed and arrived when neither the implied an unanticipated and bizarre definition of the situation. 13 Seamen, a client ridiculously misunderstood form instructions, giving answers which tivity or decorum was humorously discredited. Public servants tell of times all-too-meaningful misprint occurred, and the paper's assumption of objechouse nor anyone in it was ready for him. Journalists tell of times when an hark home and inadvertently asking mother to "pass the fucking butter." 14 whose home away from home is rigorously he-man, tell stories of coming In addition to the fact that precautions are taken to prevent disruption

Diplomats tell of the time a near-sighted queen asked a republican ambassador about the health of his king 15

To summarize, then, I assume that when an individual appears before others he will have many motives for trying to control the impression they receive of the situation.

totes

 Gustav Ichheiser, "Misunderstandings in Human Relations," Supplement to The American Journal of Sociology, 55 (September, 1949):6-7.

2. Quoted in E. H. Volkart, editor, Social Behavior and Personality, Contributions of W. I. Thomas to Theory and Social Research (New York: Social Science Research Council, 1951), p. 5.

3. Here I owe much to an unpublished paper by Tom Burns of the University of Edinburgh. He presents the argument that in all interaction a basic underlying theme is the desire of each participant to guide and control the responses made by theme is the great that argument has been advanced by Jay Haley in a recent the others present. A similar argument has been advanced by Jay Haley in a recent unpublished paper, but in regard to a special kind of control, that having to do with defining the nature of the relationship of those involved in the interaction.

4. Willard Waller, "The Rating and Dating Complex," American Sociological

Review, 2:730.

eview, 2:100.

5. William Sansom, A Contest of Ladies (London: Hogarth, 1956), pp. 230–32.

6. The widely read and rather sound writings of Stephen Potter are concerned in part with signs that can be engineered to give a shrewd observer the apparently incidental cues he needs to discover concealed virtues the gamesman does not in

7. An interaction can be purposely set up as a time and place for voicing differences in opinion, but in such cases participants must be careful to agree not to disagree on the proper tone of voice, vocabulary, and degree of seriousness in which all arguments are to be phrased, and upon the mutual respect which disagreeing participants must carefully continue to express toward one another. This debaters' or academic definition of the situation may also be invoked suddenly and judiciously as a way of translating a serious conflict of views into one that can be handled within a framework acceptable to all present.

8. W. F. Whyte, "When Workers and Customers Meet," Chap. VII, Industry

and Society, ed. W. F. Whyte (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1946), pp. 132-33.

9. Teacher interview quoted by Howard S. Becker, "Social Class Variations in the Teacher-Pupil Relationship," Journal of Educational Sociology, 25:459.

10. Harold Taxel, "Authority Structure in a Mental Hospital Ward" (unpublished Master Thesis, Départment of Sociology, University of Chicago, 1953).

Marie Marie Commence

11. This role of the witness in limiting what it is the individual can be has been stressed by Existentialists, who see it as a basic threat to individual freedom. See

Jean-Paul Sartre, Being and Nothingness, trans. by Hazel E. Barnes (New York: Philosophical Library, 1956), pp. 365 ff.

12. Erving Goffman, "Communication Conduct in an Island Community" (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Sociology, University of Chicago,

1953), pp. 319-27.
13. Peter Blau, Dynamics of Bureaucracy: A Study of Interpersonal Relation-13. Peter Blau, Dynamics of Bureaucracy: A Study of Interpersonal Relationships in Two Government Agencies, 2nd ed. (Chicago: University of Chicago ships in 1963)

Press, 1963).

14. Walter M. Beattie, Jr., "The Merchant Seaman" (unpublished M. A. Report, Department of Sociology, University of Chicago, 1950), p. 35.

15. Sir Frederick Ponsonby, Recollections of Three Reigns (New York: Dutton, 1952), p. 46.

Ty Socialization and Gender

In Part III, this learning involves such fundamental, taken-for-granted aspects of group life as ideas about health and morality, and the many nuances of nonverbal communication. We saw that socialization involves learning rules (what we should and should not do under different circumstances) and values (what is considered good or bad, desirable or undesirable), as well as expectations about how we should present the self in different social settings.

The agents of socialization include our parents, brothers and sisters and other relatives, friends and neighbors, as well as clergy and schoolteachers. They also include people we do not know and never will know, such as clerks and shoppers who, by their very presence—and the expectations we know they have of us—influence our behavior in public settings, and thereby shape it for similar situations in the future. Through this process of socialization each of us develops a personality, the tendency to behave in nuanced, stylized, or individualistic ways, which distinguishes us from others.

Essential to our forming an identity is socialization into gender—that is, learning how to be masculine or feminine. The term sex refers to biological characteristics, while the term gender refers to what is expected of people because of those characteristics. We inherit our sex, but we learn our gender.

Although we come into this world with the biological equipment of a male or a female, these physical organs do not determine what we shall be like as a male or a female. Whether or not we defer to members of the opposite sex, for example, is not an automatic result of our particular sexual

