	1 points earned (90%)
Exc	ellent
	Retake
-	ourse Horne
~	1/1
1.	points
Quest	on 1
	usignment is about Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs). We'll first make a few basic functions for dealing with RBMs, and then ain an RBM. We'll use it as the visible-to-hidden layer in a network exactly like the one we made in programming assignment 3
figure have b	3, there was (and still is) a great deal of very good discussion going on, on the forum, Students learned a lot, helped others a lot, things out together, and provided valuable feedback. I want to thank everybody who participated in that discussion. I would neve een able to respond to every question, comment, and request for clarification on my own, but because of the community of this I wasn't alone. This looking floward to the community discussion about this assignment.
contra	signment is designed to be easier than PA3: for this assignment, you get more feedback and partial marks along the way, in st to PA3, where you were only told (by the gradient checker) whether you did everyfring right or not. However, for those of you ell like taking on a next challenge, you'll find that challenge in the last question of this assignment on
Rando	mness
rando any co the fu sampl	ntly, then it gets difficult for us to tell whether the result of your simulations is right or wrong. To remedy that situation, I made the number generator as predictable as possible, hopefully without significantly diminishing its effectiveneess. You should not write de that uses randomness explicitly. If you need to sample the state of binary units, given their probabilities of turning on, then us riction sample; bernoull that it wrote. That's the only randomness that you'll need. Added on November 14. Whenever be pernoull its called (except when a 4 _m main is running), I prints out the size of the matrix that I received. That output provides nall information, making it easier for you to verify that your program is doing the same as the reference solution.
	expect that many of you will come up with correct implementations that have different results from my implementation. Howeve does happen, mention it on the discussion board, and we'll discuss it and find a good way forward.
Part	1: Setting up
	oad a4.zip, unzip it in some directory, start Octave, cd to that directory, and issue the command "a4_init" (without the quote signs add s the data. You always have to run a4_init after starting Octave, before you can use any of the code.
Ready	to begin? (This question is reflective and will not count against your grade).
0	Yes
0	No
	1/1
~	points
	fy that everything is properly set up, run a4_main(300, 0, 0, 0). What is the validation data classification cross-entropy loss that it 5! Write the arrower with at least five digits after the decimal point.
	160736
2.:	

Part 2: Programming the basics

First, we must establish some conventions for programming RBMs.

To keep things as simple as possible, we don't use biases. Blases do serve a purpose, but they're not entirely necessary. Because we don't

017	Programming Assigr
use plases, all or our model parameter simplifies some parts of the program	uers are in the weights on the connections between the visible units and the modern units. That nice n. Here's what our RBM looks like:
Hidden units	
Visible units	

Units in an RBM have binary states: they're either on or off. We'll represent those states with the number 1 for the on state and the number 0 for the off state

The state of, say, the visible units, for a number of different configurations that we're handling in parallel (typically one configuration per data case that we're working on), will be stored in a matrix of size number of visible units by number of cases, a.k.a. configurations that we're dealing with in parallel. Because the state for each unit in each configuration is either 1 or 0, we'll call this a binary matrix. When we store not the state of the units but the conditional activation probability P(wi-1) hof the units, or some other property of every unit in a number of configurations, we do it in a matrix of the same size, but of course it won't be binary.

We'll start by writing a number of fairly basic functions related to RBMs. Some of these are independent; others build on previous ones. You can earn marks for each of these functions. For each one, a skeleton implementation is made available to you. Read the comments in that skeleton implementation for important details of what exactly you're a

visible_state_to_hidden_probabilities

There's no gradient checker for this assignment, because it's not focused on gradients as much as PA3 is, but here's another way to find out early if you're going in the wrong direction. I set up a testing RBM, with 25s visible units and 100 hidden units, and some test configurations for that RBM. After you finish the function thisle, state, to, hidden, probabilities, run the command describe, matrix(visible_state_to, hidden, probabilities; test, you, data_1_case(), to see how the function deals with a single case (a single configuration). When I did that it, get his output:

- 1	Describing a matrix of size 100 by 1. The mean of the elements is 0.447562. The
	sum of the elements is 44.756160

If you get something very different, then there's probably a bug somewhere. If you get something different and you think that your code is correct, then please mention it on the forum and we'll figure out what's going on.

 $Let's also test with 10 cases (configurations) in parallel: describe_matrix (visible_state_to_hidden_probabilities (test_rbm_w, data_10_color) and the probabilities (test_rbm_w, data_10_color) a$

```
1 Describing a matrix of size 100 by 10. The mean of
the elements is 0.459927. The sum of the
elements is 459.927012
```

 $Now\ run\ describe_matrix (visible_state_to_hidden_probabilities (test_rbm_w,\ data_37_cases)), and\ write\ down\ the\ sum\ value\ with\ at\ least\ 2$

1724.967611



hidden_state_to_visible_probabilities

When we have the state of the hidden units in the RBM, we can calculate the conditional probability of each of the visible units turning on, in a very similar way (RBMs are quite symmetricall) Finish file hidden, state_to_visible_probabilities.m, and you can again compare to what

ribe_matrix(hidden_state_to_visible_probabilities(test_rbm_w, test_hidden_state_1_case)) gave me this

			1	Describing a matrix of size 256 by 1. The mean of the elements is 0.474996. The sum of the elements is 121.598898	
--	--	--	---	---	--

cribe_matrix(hidden_state_to_visible_probabilities(test_rbm_w, test_hidden_state_10_cases)) gave me this

```
Describing a matrix of size 256 by 10. The mean of the
elements is 0.469464. The sum of the elements is
1201.828527
```

Now run describe_matrix(hidden_state_to_visible_probabilities(test_rbm_w, test_hidden_state_37_cases)) and report the sum, with at least 2 digits after the decimal point.

4391.169583





configuration_goodness

If we have the (binary) state of all units (both the visibles and the hiddens), i.e., if we have a full configuration, we can calculate the energy of that configuration, or the goodness (which is negative the energy). Implement that in **configuration_goodness.m** (take note of the

configuration_goodness(test_rbm_w, data_1_case, test_hidden_state_1_case) gave me 13.540 (using Octave 3.2.4) or 13.5399 (using Matlab R2012a);

configuration_goodness(test_rbm_w, data_10_cases, test_hidden_state_10_cases) gave me -32.961 (using Octave 3.2.4) or -32.9614 (using Matlab R2012a).

Report the result of configuration_goodness(test_rbm_w, data_37_cases, test_hidden_state_37_cases), with at least 3 digits after the decimal point.

-18.391



configuration_goodness_gradient

When we get to training an BBM, we want to make some configurations better (give them higher probability) and others worse (give those lower probability). To do that, we need to find out the gradient of the goodness of a configuration: when we change the model parameters (the weights), the goodness of a configuration changes, and we need to know in which direction to change the weights in order to increase the goodness of a configuration. Implement that inconfiguration goodness gradient. In take note of the comments in that file) describe_matrix(configuration goodness gradient(data_1_case, test_hidden_state_1_case))gave me this:

describe_matrix(configuration_goodness_gradient(data_10_cases, test_hidden_state_10_cases))gave me this:

17	Programming Assign
1 Describing a matrix of size 100 by 256. The elements is 0.116770. The sum of the elements 300000	mean of the ments is 2989
	nt(data_37_cases, test_hidden_state_37_cases)), and report the sum, with at
least two digits after the decimal point. 3166.216216	
Correct Response	
✓ 1/1 points	
points	
7. cd1	
for the hidden units conditional on that binary visible "re binary states. This is not the best stretge, but it is the sife for the Gibbs update. The configuration goodness gradit • We use it once on the given data and the idden state make the data have greater goodness, which is what: • We also use it on the "reconstruction" visible state an weight that will make the reconstruction are used the reconstruction have less goodnon lave misson the reference solution. Whenever sample, bernoulli is received. That output provides additional information, reference solution. Be sure to have the most recent versoutput limplement CD-1 in the file GAIn. Vectorize it, Le	e that it gives rise to. That gives us the direction of changing the weights that we want to achieve. We want to achieve. If the hidden state that it gives rise to. That gives us the direction of changing te regoodness, so we want to go in the opposite direction, because we want to m If we have to do it carefully, to ensure that your solution does it in the same we called except when a 4, main is running), it prints out the size of the matrix that anhanging teaser for you to verify that your program is doing the same as the sion of the starter code, because older versions don't have that extra code, because older versions don't have that extra code, because diden was the rest that the calls to
sample_bernoulli exactly match the ones that you see t data_1_case))gave me this:	below about 1 case and 10 cases. describe_matrix(cd1(test_rbm_w,
1 sample_bernoulli() was called with a matrix was called with a matrix of size 256 by 1. a matrix of size 100 by 1. Describing a mathe elements is -0.160742. The sum of the	comple hermoulli() was called with
describe_matrix(cd1(test_rbm_w, data_10_cases))gave	
1 sample_bernoulli() was called with a matrix size 100 by 10. sample_bernoulli() was called with a matrix of size 256 by 10.	of
sample_bernoulli() was called with a mat of size 100 by 10. Describing a matrix o size 100 by 256. The mean of the element -0.185137. The sum of the elements is -4 .500000	rix f s is 739
)), and report the sum, with at least two digits after the decimal point.
-4669.675676	
Correct Response	
1/1 points	
8. Improving CD-1	
If you go through the math (either on your own on with	your fellow students on the forum), you'll see that sampling the hidden state th
produces; it only increases its variance. More variance m	it does not change the <i>expected value</i> of the gradient estimate that CD-1 neans that we have to use a smaller learning rate, and that means that it'll lear regenerally if it doesn't give us anything pleasant to composite for that slow
	ce, especially if it doesn't give us anything pleasant to compensate for that slov
	ly no longer do that sampling at the hidden state that results from the e, we'll simply use the conditional probabilities. Of course, the configuration

1 sample_bernoul(I) was called with a matrix of size 100 by 1. sample_bernoul(I) was called with a matrix of size 256 by 1. Becarbing a matrix of size 100 by 256. The mean of the elements is -0.164335. The sum of the elements is -4206 .981332

Runningdescribe_matrix(cd1(test_rbm_w, data_10_cases))gives me this:

1 sample_bernoulli() was called with a matrix of

size 180 by 38. Somple_hermoilt() mos called with a metrix of size 256 by 18. Describing a metrix of size 180 by 256. The percepting a metrix of size 180 by 256. The sam of the elements is -4751.142054]. The sam of the elements is -4751.142054]. 4716.094972 Correct Response	um, with at least 2 digits after the decimal point.
Please rundescribe_matrix(cd1(test_rbm_w, data 37_cases)) and report the s 4716.094972 Correct Response	um, with at least 2 digits after the decimal point.
Correct Response	
1/1	
points	
1.	
risible, data = sample, bernoullivisible, data):Now we're ready to start training rision of unclear to be maximally helpful, then ask on the forum and we'll have a prior of unclear to be maximally helpful, then ask on the forum and we'll have a Part 3: Using the RBM as part of a feedforward network series the plain: we're going to train an RBM (using, CD-1), and then we're going input layer to the hidden layer, in the deterministic feed-forward network that what's how it's going to end up being used, but a variety of practical and thereoff that's how it's going to end up being used, but a variety of practical and thereoff is as rasonable thing to do anyway. The lectures explain this in more detail ried to reduce overfitting by learning more; the RBM part is being trained unsupervise deduces overfitting by learning more; the RBM part is being trained unsupervise, deduces overfitting by learning more; the RBM part is being trained unsupervise deduces overfitting by learning more; the RBM part is being trained unsupervise the distribution of the input images, and that hearning districts the model from more constructive distraction; instead of early-stopping the model after only a limput home meaningful to do. In any case, it works great for regularization, as the present the present of the present the present the present the present of the present the present present the present present the present present the present	group discussion about it.) to make the weights of that RBM into the weights from we used for PA3. We're not going to rell the RBM that claf findings over the past several years have shown that This brings up an interesting contrast with PA3. In PA3, tcl., This shorp was the interesting contrast with PA3. In PA3, tcl., This sapproach with the RBM, on the other hand, et, os it's working to discover al to of relevant regularity excessively focusing on the digit class labels. This is muttite bit of learning, we instead give the model somethine led as training speed (though the advantage in training tool follow piles of the same data as well be better than those of PA3). In PA3, we did a very of hidden units, because we're much less sometid about The inturns that RBM into the input-to-hidden weight new aya see did it in PA3. Normally one would also was del karning, Le. one would use the RBM as intitulization and to to do that here because then for have to either asky this are still working on PA3. An initial run a4, main(300, six was not 0.322890 (that's what I got) or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), carefloxing very not be set to the walk got or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), carefloxing out on the set of the walk got or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), carefloxing out on the past of the walk got or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), carefloxing out on the past of the walk got or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), carefloxing out on the past of the walk got or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), carefloxing out on careflox got 0.28900 (that's what I got) or something very hat first. (If you got 0.33890), careflox got 0.28900 (that's what I got) or something very hat fits the careflox got 0.38900, careflox got 0.28900 (that's what I
✓ 1/1 points	
10. For the settings that you chose in the previous question, report the test set class	
decimal point. (Not for the assignment, but simply as an observation: is it better	r or worse than what you got on PA3?)
<u></u>	
Correct Response	

Going further

Of course, you can do much more, for example, explore what number of hidden units works best, and you'll see that that number is indeed much larger than it was on PA3. Or use your PA3 code to properly train the feedforward NN after its RBM initialization. Or add some more hidden layers, Or... creatively combine everything else that you're learning in this course, to see how much this RBMsackursspew-size pre-training can on. Dreve's only one more question in this assignment, and it's official, so its mostly here for those of you who feel like leafing on a challenge, not only is the question more difficial in Inself, but also firm not going to give you any hints for verification methods or anything else, except that "It! ell you this; you don't need a lot of computer runtime for answering the question. The partition function also, an ommittation construct that you see in the formula for the Bottmann distribution (the probability of a particular configuration of an RBM), can take a long time to compute. That's because it's a sum of very many numbers: one for each possible configuration. If you have an RBM with only? a visible units and 1 hidden unit, then those 3 units mean that there are only 8 possible configurations, so then the partition function can easily be computed. But with a bigger RBM, you quickly nn into runtime problems. A link not only makes test, rbm, wand some data sees, but also small test, dww., which has only 10 hidden units (it still has 256 visible units, Calculate the logarithm (base e) of the partition function on of that small RBM, and report it with at least two digits after the decimal point. Enter answer here

Incorrect Response
The answer you gave is not a number.

900