

# Generics

Jennifer Rexford

#### **Goals of this Lecture**



- Generic modules
  - Data structures that can store multiple types of data
  - Functions that can work on multiple types of data
- How to create generic modules in C
  - Which wasn't designed with generic modules in mind!

- Why?
  - Reusing code is cheaper than writing new code
  - Generic modules are more reusable

## **Generic Data Structures Example**



Recall Stack module from last lecture

```
/* stack.h */

typedef struct Stack *Stack_T;

Stack_T Stack_new(void);
void Stack_free(Stack_T s);
int Stack_push(Stack_T s, const char *item);
char *Stack_top(Stack_T s);
void Stack_pop(Stack_T s);
int Stack_isEmpty(Stack_T s);
```

Items are strings (type char\*)

# **Generic Data Structures Example**



- Stack operations (push, pop, top, etc.) make sense for items other than strings too
- So Stack module could (and maybe should) be generic

Problem: How to make Stack module generic?

# Generic Data Structures via typedet

Solution 1: Let clients define item type

```
/* client.c */
struct Item {
    char *str; /* Or whatever is appropriate */
};
...
Stack_T s;
struct Item item;
```

item.str = "hello";

Stack push(s, item);

s = Stack new();

Do you see any problems with this approach?

```
/* stack.h */

typedef struct Item *Item_T;
typedef struct Stack *Stack_T;

Stack_T Stack_new(void);
void Stack_free(Stack_T s);
int Stack_push(Stack_T s, Item_T item);
Item_T Stack_top(Stack_T s);
void Stack_pop(Stack_T s);
int Stack_isEmpty(Stack_T s);
```

# Problems with "typedef" Approach



- Client must define structure type
  - ...and create structures of that type
- Client might already use "Item\_T" for some other purpose!
- Client might need two Stack objects
  - ...holding different types of data!!!



Solution 2: The generic pointer (void\*)



Can assign a pointer of any type to a void pointer

```
OK to match an
/* client.c */
                                              actual parameter
                                              of type char* with
Stack T s;
                                              a formal parameter
s = Stack new();
                                              of type void*
Stack push(s, "hello"
                /* stack.h */
                typedef struct Stack *Stack T;
                Stack T Stack new(void);
                void Stack free(Stack T s);
                int Stack_push(Stack_T s, const void *item)
                void *Stack top(Stack T s);
                void Stack pop(Stack T s);
                        Stack isEmpty(Stack T s);
                int
```



Can assign a void pointer to a pointer of any type

```
/* client.c */
char *str;
...
Stack_T s;
s = Stack_new();
Stack_push(s, "hello");
...
str = Stack_top(s);
```

OK to assign a void\* return value to a char\*



 Problem: Client must know what type of data a void pointer is pointing to

```
/* client.c */
int *i;
...
Stack_T s;
s = Stack_new();
Stack_push(s, "hello");
Client
value i
an int!
```

Client pushes a string

Client considers retrieved value to be a pointer to an int! Legal!!! Trouble!!!

- Solution: None
  - Void pointers subvert the compiler's type checking



- Problem: Stack items must be pointers
  - E.g. Stack items cannot be of primitive types (int, double, etc.)

```
/* client.c */
...
int i = 5;
...
Stack_T s;
s = Stack_new();
...
Stack_push(s, 5);
...
Stack_push(s, 5);
...
OK, but
awkward
OK, but
```

- Solution in C: none
  - In C++: template classes and template functions
  - In Java: generic classes

## **Generic Algorithms Example**



Suppose we add another function to the Stack module

```
/* stack.h */

typedef struct Stack *Stack_T;

Stack_T Stack_new(void);
void Stack_free(Stack_T s);
int Stack_push(Stack_T s, const void *item);
void *Stack_top(Stack_T s);
void Stack_top(Stack_T s);
int Stack_isEmpty(Stack_T s);
int Stack_isEmpty(Stack_T s);
int Stack_areEqual(Stack_T s1, Stack_T s2);
```

Should return 1 (TRUE) iff s1 and s2 are equal, that is, contain equal items in the same order



Attempt 1

```
/* stack.c */
...
int Stack_areEqual(Stack_T s1, Stack_T s2) {
   return s1 == s2;
}
```

```
/* client.c */
char str1[] = "hi";
char str2[] = "hi";
Stack_T s1 = Stack_new();
Stack_T s2 = Stack_new();
Stack_push(s1, str1);
Stack_push(s2, str2);

if (Stack_areEqual(s1,s2)) {
   ...
}
```

- Checks if s1 and s2 are identical, not equal
  - Compares pointers, not items
- That's not what we want

What does this return?

#### Addresses vs. Values



Suppose two locations in memory have the same value

- The addresses of the variables are not the same
  - That is "(&i == &j)" is FALSE
- Need to compare the values themselves
  - That is "(i == j)" is TRUE
- Unfortunately, comparison operation is type specific
  - The "==" works for integers and floating-point numbers
  - But not for strings and more complex data structures



#### Attempt 2

```
/* stack.c */
...
int Stack_areEqual(Stack_T s1, Stack_T s2) {
    struct Node *p1 = s1->first;
    struct Node *p2 = s2->first;
    while ((p1 != NULL) && (p2 != NULL)) {
        if (p1 != p2)
            return 0;
        p1 = p1->next;
        p2 = p2->next;
    }
    if ((p1 != NULL) || (p2 != NULL))
        return 0;
    return 1;
}
```

- /\* client.c \*/
  char str1[] = "hi";
  char str2[] = "hi";
  Stack\_T s1 = Stack\_new();
  Stack\_T s2 = Stack\_new();
  Stack\_push(s1, str1);
  Stack\_push(s2, str2);

  if (Stack\_areEqual(s1,s2)) {
   ...
  }
- What does this return?
- Checks if nodes are identical
  - Compares pointers, not items
- That is still not what we want



Attempt 3

```
/* stack.c */
...
int Stack_areEqual(Stack_T s1, Stack_T s2) {
    struct Node *p1 = s1->first;
    struct Node *p2 = s2->first;
    while ((p1 != NULL) && (p2 != NULL)) {
        if (p1->item != p2->item)
            return 0;
        p1 = p1->next;
        p2 = p2->next;
    }
    if ((p1 != NULL) || (p2 != NULL))
        return 0;
    return 1;
}
```

```
/* client.c */
char str1[] = "hi";
char str2[] = "hi";
Stack_T s1 = Stack_new();
Stack_T s2 = Stack_new();
Stack_push(s1, str1);
Stack_push(s2, str2);

if (Stack_areEqual(s1,s2)) {
    ...
}
```

What does this return?

- Checks if items are identical
  - Compares pointers to items, not items themselves
- That is still not what we want



Attempt 4

```
/* stack.c */
...
int Stack_areEqual(Stack_T s1, Stack_T s2) {
    struct Node *p1 = s1->first;
    struct Node *p2 = s2->first;
    while ((p1 != NULL) && (p2 != NULL)) {
        if (strcmp(p1->item, p2->item) != 0)
            return 0;
        p1 = p1->next;
        p2 = p2->next;
    }
    if ((p1 != NULL) || (p2 != NULL))
        return 0;
    return 1;
}
```

- /\* client.c \*/
  char str1[] = "hi";
  char str2[] = "hi";
  Stack\_T s1 = Stack\_new();
  Stack\_T s2 = Stack\_new();
  Stack\_push(s1, str1);
  Stack\_push(s2, str2);

  if (Stack\_areEqual(s1,s2)) {
   ...
  }
  - What does this return?

- Checks if items are equal
- That's what we want
- But strcmp() works only if items are strings!
- How to compare values when we don't know their type?



Attempt 5

- Add parameter to Stack\_areEqual()
  - Pointer to a compare function
- Allows client to supply the function that Stack\_areEqual() should call to compare items



Attempt 5 (cont.)

```
/* stack.c */
...
int Stack_areEqual(Stack_T s1, Stack_T s2,
    int (*cmp) (const void *item1, const void *item2)) {
    struct Node *p1 = s1->first;
    struct Node *p2 = s2->first;
    while ((p1 != NULL) && (p2 != NULL)) {
        if ((*cmp) (p1->item, p2->item) != 0)
            return 0;
        p1 = p1->next;
        p2 = p2->next;
    }
    if ((p1 != NULL) || (p2 != NULL))
        return 0;
    return 1;
}
```

- Definition of Stack\_areEqual() uses the function pointer to call the client-supplied compare function
- Stack areEqual() "calls back" into client code



Attempt 5 (cont.)

```
/* client.c */
int strCompare(const void *item1, const void *item2) {
  char *str1 = item1;
  char *str2 = item2:
                                       Client passes address
  return strcmp(str1, str2);
                                       of strCompare() to
                                       Stack areEqual()
char str2[] = "hi";
Stack T s1 = Stack new();
Stack T s2 = Stack new();
Stack push(s1, str1);
Stack push(s2, str2);
                                                   What does
                                                   this return?
if (Stack areEqual(s1,s2,strCompare
```

- Client defines "callback function", and passes pointer to it to Stack\_areEqual()
- Callback function must match Stack\_areEqual() parameter exactly



- Alternative: Client defines more "natural" callback function
- Attempt 5 (cont.)



Attempt 5 (cont.)

Alternative (for string comparisons only): Simply use strcmp()!

## SymTable Aside



- Consider SymTable (from Assignment 3)...
  - A SymTable object owns its keys
  - A SymTable object does not own its values

Was that a good design decision? Should a SymTable object own its values?

## **Summary**



- Generic data structures
  - Via item typedef
    - Safe, but not realistic
  - Via the generic pointer (void\*)
    - Limiting: items must be pointers
    - Dangerous: subverts compiler type checking
    - The best we can do in C
- Generic algorithms
  - Via function pointers and callback functions

## **Appendix: Wrappers**



- Q: Can we make "void pointer" generic ADTs safer?
- A: Yes, with some extra work...

- Example: Suppose
  - We have a generic Stack ADT
    - Items are void pointers
  - We wish to create a StrStack ADT
    - Same as Stack, except items are strings (char pointers)

## **Appendix: Wrapper Interface**



Define type-specific interface

# **Appendix: Wrapper Data Structure**



 Define StrStack structure such that it has one field of type Stack\_T

```
/* strstack.c */
struct StrStack {
   Stack_T s;
};...
```

# **Appendix: Wrapper Functions**



Define StrStack\_new() to call Stack\_new()

```
/* strstack.c */
...
StrStack_T StrStack_new(void) {
   Stack_T s;
   StrStack_T ss;
   s = Stack_new();
   if (s == NULL)
       return NULL;
   ss = (StrStack_T)malloc(sizeof(struct StrStack));
   if (ss == NULL) {
       Stack_free(s);
       return NULL;
   }
   ss->s = s;
   return ss;
}
...
```

# **Appendix: Wrapper Functions**



Define StrStack\_free() to call Stack\_free()

```
/* strstack.c */
...
void StrStack_free(StrStack_T ss) {
   Stack_free(ss->s);
   free(ss);
}
...
```

# **Appendix: Wrapper Functions**



 Define remaining StrStack functions to call corresponding Stack functions, with casts

```
/* strstack.c */
int StrStack push(StrStack T ss, const char *item) {
   return Stack push(ss->s, (const void*)item);
char *StrStack top(StrStack T ss) {
   return (char*) Stack top(ss->s);
void StrStack pop(StrStack T ss) {
   Stack pop(ss->s);
int StrStack isEmpty(StrStack T ss) {
   return Stack isEmpty(ss->s);
int StrStack areEqual(StrStack T ss1, StrStack T ss2) {
   return Stack areEqual(ss1->s, ss2->s,
      (int (*)(const void*, const void*))strcmp);
```

# **Appendix: The Wrapper Concept**



- StrStack is a wrapper ADT
  - A StrStack object "wraps around" a Stack object
- A wrapper object
  - Does little work
  - Delegates (almost) all work to the wrapped object
- Pros and cons of the wrapper concept
  - (+) **Type safety**: (As StrStack illustrates) wrapper can be designed to provide type safety
  - (+) Client convenience: (More generally) wrapper tailors generic ADT to needs of specific client
  - (-) **Developer inconvenience**: Must develop/maintain distinct wrapper for each distinct client need