CS 61C: Great Ideas in Computer Architecture

Synchronization, OpenMP

Miki Lustig

Review of Last Lecture

- Multiprocessor systems uses shared memory (single address space)
- Cache coherence implements shared memory even with multiple copies in multiple caches
 - Track state of blocks relative to other caches (e.g. MOESI protocol)
 - False sharing a concern

Great Idea #4: Parallelism

Software

- Parallel Requests
 Assigned to computer
 e.g. search "Garcia"
- Parallel Threads
 Assigned to core
 e.g. lookup, ads
- Leverage
 Parallelism &
 Achieve High
 Performance
- Parallel Instructions
 > 1 instruction @ one time
 e.g. 5 pipelined instructions
- Parallel Data
 > 1 data item @ one time
 e.g. add of 4 pairs of words
- Hardware descriptions
 All gates functioning in parallel at same time

Hardware

Warehouse Scale Computer




Smart Phone



Core

Logic Gates



Agenda

- Synchronization A Crash Course
- Administrivia
- OpenMP Introduction
- OpenMP Directives
 - Workshare
 - Synchronization
- Bonus: Common OpenMP Pitfalls

Data Races and Synchronization

- Two memory accesses form a data race if different threads access the same location, and at least one is a write, and they occur one after another
 - Means that the result of a program can vary depending on chance (which thread ran first?)
 - Avoid data races by synchronizing writing and reading to get deterministic behavior
- Synchronization done by user-level routines that rely on hardware synchronization instructions

Analogy: Buying Milk

- Your fridge has no milk. You and your roommate will return from classes at some point and check the fridge
- Whoever gets home first will check the fridge, go and buy milk, and return
- What if the other person gets back while the first person is buying milk?
 - You've just bought twice as much milk as you need!
- It would've helped to have left a note...

Lock Synchronization (1/2)

- Use a "Lock" to grant access to a region (critical section) so that only one thread can operate at a time
 - Need all processors to be able to access the lock,
 so use a location in shared memory as the lock
- Processors read lock and either wait (if locked) or set lock and go into critical section
 - 0 means lock is free / open / unlocked / lock off
 - 1 means lock is set / closed / locked / lock on

Lock Synchronization (2/2)

Pseudocode:

```
Can loop/idle here
Check lock if locked

Set the lock

Critical section

(e.g. change shared variables)

Unset the lock
```

Possible Lock Implementation

Lock (a.k.a. busy wait)

Unlock

```
Unlock: sw $zero, 0($s0)
```

Any problems with this?

Possible Lock Problem

Thread 1

addiu \$t1,\$zero,1 Loop: lw \$t0,0(\$s0)

bne \$t0,\$zero,Loop

Lock: sw \$t1,0(\$s0)

Thread 2

addiu \$t1,\$zero,1 Loop: lw \$t0,0(\$s0)

bne \$t0,\$zero,Loop

 \bot Lock: sw \$t1,0(\$s0)

Time

Both threads think they have set the lock! Exclusive access not guaranteed!

Hardware Synchronization

- Hardware support required to prevent an interloper (another thread) from changing the value
 - Atomic read/write memory operation
 - No other access to the location allowed between the read and write
- How best to implement in software?
 - Single instr? Atomic swap of register ↔ memory
 - Pair of instr? One for read, one for write

Synchronization in MIPS

- Load linked: ll rt, off(rs)
- Store conditional: sc rt, off(rs)
 - Returns 1 (success) if location has not changed since the 11
 - Returns **0** (failure) if location has changed
- Note that sc clobbers the register value being stored (rt)!
 - Need to have a copy elsewhere if you plan on repeating on failure or using value later

Synchronization in MIPS Example

 Atomic swap (to test/set lock variable)
 Exchange contents of register and memory: \$s4 ↔ Mem(\$s1)

```
try: add $t0,$zero,$s4 #copy value

ll $t1,0($s1) #load linked

sc $t0,0($s1) #store conditional


beq $t0,$zero,try #loop if sc fails

add $s4,$zero,$t1 #load value in $s4

sc would fail if another threads executes sc here
```

Test-and-Set


- In a single atomic operation:
 - Test to see if a memory location is set (contains a 1)
 - Set it (to 1) if it isn't (it contained a zero when tested)
 - Otherwise indicate that the Set failed, so the program can try again
 - While accessing, no other instruction can modify the memory location, including other Test-and-Set instructions
- Useful for implementing lock operations



Test-and-Set in MIPS

 Example: MIPS sequence for implementing a T&S at (\$s1)

sw \$zero,0(\$s1)





Question: Consider the following code when executed *concurrently* by two threads.

What possible values can result in *(\$s0)?

□ 101 or 102
 □ 100, 101, or 102
 □ 100 or 101
 □ 102

Agenda

- Synchronization A Crash Course
- Administrivia
- OpenMP Introduction
- OpenMP Directives
 - Workshare
 - Synchronization
- Bonus: Common OpenMP Pitfalls

Administrivia

Project 2: MapReduce

Part 1: Due Oct 27th

Part 2: Due Nov 2nd

 We received a grant from amazon totalling > \$60K for project 2!

Agenda

- Synchronization A Crash Course
- Administrivia
- OpenMP Introduction
- OpenMP Directives
 - Workshare
 - Synchronization
- Bonus: Common OpenMP Pitfalls

What is OpenMP?

API used for multi-threaded, shared memory parallelism

- Compiler Directives
- Runtime Library Routines
- Environment Variables
- Portable
- Standardized



Download the full OpenMP API Specification at www.openmp.org.

Directives

An OpenMP executable directive applies to the succeeding structured block or an OpenMP Construct. A "structured block" is a single statement or a compound statement with a single entry at the top and a single exit at the bottom.

The parallel construct forms a team of threads and starts parallel execution


#pragma omp parallel [clause[[,]clause]...] new-line
 structured-block

clause: if (scalar-expression)
num_threads (integer-expression)
default (shared | none)
private (list)
firstprivate (list)
shared (list)
copyin (list)

reduction (operator: list)

Resources: http://www.openmp.org/
and http://computing.llnl.gov/tutorials/openMP/

OpenMP Specification



Shared Memory Model with Explicit Thread-based Parallelism

 Multiple threads in a shared memory environment, explicit programming model with full programmer control over parallelization

Pros:

- Takes advantage of shared memory, programmer need not worry (that much) about data placement
- Compiler directives are simple and easy to use
- Legacy serial code does not need to be rewritten

Cons:

- Code can only be run in shared memory environments
- Compiler must support OpenMP (e.g. gcc 4.2)


OpenMP in CS61C

- OpenMP is built on top of C, so you don't have to learn a whole new programming language
 - Make sure to add #include <omp.h>
 - Compile with flag: gcc -fopenmp
 - Mostly just a few lines of code to learn
- You will NOT become experts at OpenMP
 - Use slides as reference, will learn to use in lab

Key ideas:

- Shared vs. Private variables
- OpenMP directives for parallelization, work sharing, synchronization

OpenMP Programming Model



- OpenMP programs begin as single process (master thread) and executes sequentially until the first parallel region construct is encountered
 - FORK: Master thread then creates a team of parallel threads
 - Statements in program that are enclosed by the parallel region construct are executed in parallel among the various threads
 - JOIN: When the team threads complete the statements in the parallel region construct, they synchronize and terminate, leaving only the master thread

OpenMP Extends C with Pragmas

- Pragmas are a preprocessor mechanism C provides for language extensions
- Commonly implemented pragmas: structure packing, symbol aliasing, floating point exception modes (not covered in 61C)
- Good mechanism for OpenMP because compilers that don't recognize a pragma are supposed to ignore them
 - Runs on sequential computer even with embedded pragmas

parallel Pragma and Scope

Basic OpenMP construct for parallelization:

- Each thread runs a copy of code within the block
- Thread scheduling is non-deterministic
- OpenMP default is shared variables
 - To make private, need to declare with pragma:

```
#pragma omp parallel private (x)
```

Thread Creation

- How many threads will OpenMP create?
- Defined by OMP_NUM_THREADS environment variable (or code procedure call)
 - Set this variable to the maximum number of threads you want OpenMP to use
 - Usually equals the number of cores in the underlying hardware on which the program is run

OMP NUM THREADS

OpenMP intrinsic to set number of threads:

```
omp set num threads(x);
```

OpenMP intrinsic to get number of threads:

```
num_th = omp_get_num_threads();
```

OpenMP intrinsic to get Thread ID number:

```
th_ID = omp_get_thread_num();
```

Parallel Hello World


```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <omp.h>
int main () {
  int nthreads, tid;
  /* Fork team of threads with private var tid */
  #pragma omp parallel private(tid)
    tid = omp get thread num(); /* get thread id */
    printf("Hello World from thread = %d\n", tid);
    /* Only master thread does this */
    if (tid == 0) {
      nthreads = omp get num threads();
      printf("Number of threads = %d\n", nthreads);
    /* All threads join master and terminate */
```

Agenda

- Synchronization A Crash Course
- Administrivia
- OpenMP Introduction
- OpenMP Directives
 - Workshare
 - Synchronization
- Bonus: Common OpenMP Pitfalls

OpenMP Directives (Work-Sharing)

• These are defined within a parallel section



Parallel Statement Shorthand

Also works for sections

#pragma omp parallel for

for(i=0;i<len;i++) { ... }

Building Block: for loop

```
for (i=0; i<\max; i++) zero[i] = 0;
```


- Break for loop into chunks, and allocate each to a separate thread
 - e.g. if max = 100 with 2 threads: assign 0-49 to thread 0, and 50-99 to thread 1
- Must have relatively simple "shape" for an OpenMPaware compiler to be able to parallelize it
 - Necessary for the run-time system to be able to determine how many of the loop iterations to assign to each thread
- No premature exits from the loop allowed ←
 - i.e. No break, return, exit, goto statements

In general, don't jump outside of any pragma block

Parallel for pragma

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=0; i<max; i++) zero[i] = 0;</pre>
```

- Master thread creates additional threads, each with a separate execution context
- All variables declared outside for loop are shared by default, except for loop index which is *private* per thread (Why?)
- Implicit synchronization at end of for loop
- Divide index regions sequentially per thread
 - Thread 0 gets 0, 1, ..., (max/n)-1;
 - Thread 1 gets max/n, max/n+1, ..., 2*(max/n)-1
 - Why?



OpenMP Timing

Elapsed wall clock time:

```
double omp get wtime (void);
```

- Returns elapsed wall clock time in seconds
- Time is measured per thread, no guarantee can be made that two distinct threads measure the same time
- Time is measured from "some time in the past," so subtract results of two calls to omp get wtime to get elapsed time

Matrix Multiply in OpenMP

```
start time = omp get wtime();
#pragma omp parallel for private(tmp, i, j, k)
  for (i=0; i<Mdim; i++) { ← Outer loop spread
    for (j=0; j<Ndim; j++) { across N threads;</pre>
                                    inner loops inside a
      tmp = 0.0;
      for( k=0; k<Pdim; k++) {</pre> single thread
        /* C(i,j) = sum(over k) A(i,k) * B(k,j)*/
        tmp += *(A+(i*Pdim+k)) * *(B+(k*Ndim+j));
      *(C+(i*Ndim+j)) = tmp;
run time = omp get wtime() - start time;
```

Notes on Matrix Multiply Example

- More performance optimizations available:
 - Higher compiler optimization (-O2, -O3) to reduce number of instructions executed
 - Cache blocking to improve memory performance
 - Using SIMD SSE instructions to raise floating point computation rate (*DLP*)

OpenMP Directives (Synchronization)

- These are defined within a parallel section
- master
 - Code block executed only by the master thread (all other threads skip)
- critical
 - Code block executed by only one thread at a time
- atomic
 - Specific memory location must be updated atomically (like a mini-critical section for writing to memory)
 - Applies to single statement, not code block

OpenMP Reduction

- Reduction specifies that one or more private variables are the subject of a reduction operation at end of parallel region
 - Clause reduction (operation: var)
 - Operation: Operator to perform on the variables at the end of the parallel region
 - Var: One or more variables on which to perform scalar reduction

```
#pragma omp for reduction(+:nSum)
for (i = START ; i <= END ; i++)
    nSum += i;</pre>
```

Summary

- Data races lead to subtle parallel bugs
- Synchronization via hardware primitives:
 - MIPS does it with Load Linked + Store Conditional
- OpenMP as simple parallel extension to C
 - During parallel fork, be aware of which variables should be shared vs. private among threads
 - Work-sharing accomplished with for/sections
 - Synchronization accomplished with critical/ atomic/reduction

BONUS SLIDES

You are responsible for the material contained on the following slides, though we may not have enough time to get to them in lecture.

They have been prepared in a way that should be easily readable and the material will be touched upon in the following lecture.

Agenda

- Synchronization A Crash Course
- Administrivia
- OpenMP Introduction
- OpenMP Directives
 - Workshare
 - Synchronization
- Bonus: Common OpenMP Pitfalls

OpenMP Pitfall #1: Data Dependencies

Consider the following code:

```
a[0] = 1;
for(i=1; i<5000; i++)
a[i] = i + a[i-1];
```

- There are dependencies between loop iterations!
 - Splitting this loop between threads does not guarantee in-order execution
 - Out of order loop execution will result in undefined behavior (i.e. likely wrong result)

Open MP Pitfall #2: Sharing Issues

Consider the following loop:

```
#pragma omp parallel for
    for(i=0; i<n; i++) {
        temp = 2.0*a[i];
        a[i] = temp;
        b[i] = c[i]/temp;
}</pre>
```

temp is a shared variable!

```
#pragma omp parallel for private(temp)
    for(i=0; i<n; i++) {
        temp = 2.0*a[i];
        a[i] = temp;
        b[i] = c[i]/temp;
}</pre>
```

OpenMP Pitfall #3: Updating Shared Variables Simultaneously

Now consider a global sum:

```
for(i=0; i<n; i++)

sum = sum + a[i];
```

 This can be done by surrounding the summation by a critical/atomic section or reduction clause:

```
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
{
    for(i=0; i<n; i++)
        sum = sum + a[i];
}</pre>
```

 Compiler can generate highly efficient code for reduction

OpenMP Pitfall #4: Parallel Overhead

- Spawning and releasing threads results in significant overhead
- Better to have fewer but larger parallel regions
 - Parallelize over the largest loop that you can (even though it will involve more work to declare all of the private variables and eliminate dependencies)

OpenMP Pitfall #4: Parallel Overhead

```
Too much overhead in thread
start time = omp get wtime();
                                     generation to have this statement
for (i=0; i<Ndim; i++) {</pre>
                                     run this frequently.
  for (j=0; j<Mdim; j++) {</pre>
                                     Poor choice of loop to parallelize.
    tmp = 0.0;
    #pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:tmp)
       for( k=0; k<Pdim; k++) {
         /* C(i,j) = sum(over k) A(i,k) * B(k,j)*/
         tmp += *(A+(i*Ndim+k)) * *(B+(k*Pdim+j));
    *(C+(i*Ndim+j)) = tmp;
run time = omp get wtime() - start time;
```