



# CS 33

## Storage Allocation

Most of the slides in this lecture are either from or adapted from slides provided by the authors of the textbook “Computer Systems: A Programmer’s Perspective,” 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition and are provided from the website of Carnegie-Mellon University, course 15-213, taught by Randy Bryant and David O’Hallaron in Fall 2010. These slides are indicated “Supplied by CMU” in the notes section of the slides.

# Dynamic Memory Allocation

- Programmers use **dynamic memory allocators** (such as `malloc`) to acquire VM at run time
  - for data structures whose size is only known at runtime
- Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process virtual memory known as the **heap**



Supplied by CMU.


## Dynamic Memory Allocation

- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized **blocks**, which are either **allocated** or **free**
- Types of allocators
  - **explicit allocator**: application allocates and frees space
    - » e.g., `malloc` and `free` in C
  - **implicit allocator**: application allocates, but does not free space
    - » e.g. garbage collection in Java, ML, and Racket

Supplied by CMU.

## Assumptions Made in This Lecture


- Memory is word addressed (each word can hold a pointer)




Supplied by CMU.

## Allocation Example


`p1 = malloc(4)`



`p2 = malloc(5)`




`p3 = malloc(6)`



`free(p2)`



`p4 = malloc(2)`



Supplied by CMU.


# Constraints

- **Applications**
  - can issue arbitrary sequence of `malloc` and `free` requests
  - `free` request must be to a `malloc'd` block
- **Allocators**
  - can't control number or size of allocated blocks
  - must respond immediately to `malloc` requests
    - » i.e., can't reorder or buffer requests
  - must allocate blocks from free memory
    - » i.e., can only place allocated blocks in free memory
  - must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
    - » 8-byte alignment for GNU `malloc` (`libc malloc`) on Linux boxes
  - can manipulate and modify only free memory
  - can't move the allocated blocks once they are `malloc'd`
    - » i.e., compaction is not allowed

Supplied by CMU.

## Internal Fragmentation

- For a given block, **internal fragmentation** occurs if payload is smaller than block size





- **Caused by**
  - overhead of maintaining heap data structures
  - padding for alignment purposes
  - explicit policy decisions (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)
- **Depends only on the pattern of *previous* requests**
  - thus, easy to measure


## External Fragmentation

- Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory, but no single free block is large enough

`p1 = malloc(4)` 

`p2 = malloc(5)` 

`p3 = malloc(6)` 

`free(p2)` 

`p4 = malloc(6)` *Oops! (what would happen now?)*

- Depends on the pattern of future requests
  - thus, difficult to measure



## Implementation Issues


- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation — many might fit?
- How do we reinsert freed block?

Supplied by CMU.

# Knowing How Much to Free

- **Standard method**

- keep the length of a block in the word preceding the block.
  - » this word is often called the *header field* or *header*
- requires an extra word for every allocated block



## Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: **Implicit list** using length—links all blocks




- Method 2: **Explicit list** among the free blocks using pointers




- Method 3: **Segregated free list**
  - different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: **Blocks sorted by size**
  - can use a balanced tree (e.g. red-black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

## Method 1: Implicit List

- For each block we need both size and allocation status
  - could store this information in two words: wasteful!
- Standard trick
  - if blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
  - instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as a allocated/free flag
  - when reading size word, mask out this bit



## Detailed Implicit Free-List Example



Supplied by CMU.

## Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

- **First fit:**

- search list from beginning, choose **first** free block that fits:

```
p = start;  
while ((p < end) && // not passed end  
      ((*p & 1) || // already allocated  
      (*p <= len))) // too small  
    p = p + (*p & -2); // goto next block (word addressed)
```

- can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
- in practice it can cause “splinters” at beginning of list

- **Next fit:**


- like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
- should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
- some research suggests that fragmentation is worse

- **Best fit:**


- search the list, choose the **best** free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
- keeps fragments small—usually helps fragmentation
- will typically run slower than first fit

## Implicit List: Allocating in Free Block

- Allocating in a free block: *splitting*
  - since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block



addblock(p, 4)



```
void addblock(ptr p, int len) {  
    int newsize = ((len + 1) >> 1) << 1; // round up to even  
    int oldsize = *p & -2;                // mask out low bit  
    *p = newsize | 1;                     // set new length  
    if (newsize < oldsize)  
        *(p+newsize) = oldsize - newsize; // set length in remaining  
                                           // part of block  
}
```


## Implicit List: Freeing a Block

- Simplest implementation:

- need only clear the “allocated” flag

```
void free_block(ptr p) { *p = *p & -2 }
```

- but can lead to “false fragmentation”



malloc(5) **Oops!**


*There is enough free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it*



## Implicit List: Coalescing

- Join (*coalesce*) with next/previous blocks, if they are free

– coalescing with next block




```
void free_block(ptr p) {  
    *p = *p & -2;           // clear allocated flag  
    next = p + *p;          // find next block  
    if ((*next & 1) == 0) {  
        *p = *p + *next;    // add to this block if  
                             // not allocated  
    }  
}
```


– but how do we coalesce with *previous* block?

# Implicit List: Bidirectional Coalescing

- **Boundary tags** [Knuth73]
  - replicate size/allocated word at “bottom” (end) of free blocks
  - allows us to traverse the “list” backwards, but requires extra space
  - important and general technique!



*Format of  
allocated and  
free blocks*




a = 1: Allocated block  
a = 0: Free block

Size: Total block size


Payload: Application data  
(allocated blocks only)

## Constant Time Coalescing




Supplied by CMU.

## Constant Time Coalescing (Case 1)




Supplied by CMU.

## Constant Time Coalescing (Case 2)




Supplied by CMU.

## Constant Time Coalescing (Case 3)



Supplied by CMU.

## Constant Time Coalescing (Case 4)



Supplied by CMU.

## Summary of Key Allocator Policies

- Placement policy:
  - first-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
  - trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
  - **interesting observation**: segregated free lists approximate a best-fit placement policy without having to search entire free list
- Splitting policy:
  - when do we go ahead and split free blocks?
  - how much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?
- Coalescing policy:
  - **immediate coalescing**: coalesce each time `free` is called
  - **deferred coalescing**: try to improve performance of `free` by deferring coalescing until needed. Examples:
    - » coalesce as you scan the free list for `malloc`
    - » coalesce when the amount of external fragmentation reaches some threshold

Supplied by CMU.



## Implicit Lists: Summary

- **Implementation:** very simple
- **Allocate cost:**
  - linear time worst case
- **Free cost:**
  - constant time worst case
  - even with coalescing
- **Memory usage:**
  - will depend on placement policy
  - first-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- **Not used in practice for `malloc/free` because of linear-time allocation**
  - used in many special purpose applications
- **However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to *all* allocators**

Supplied by CMU.

## Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: **implicit free list** using length—links all blocks




- Method 2: **explicit free list** among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: **segregated free list**
  - different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: **blocks sorted by size**
  - can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

## Explicit Free Lists

Allocated (as before)




Free




- Maintain list(s) of **free** blocks, not **all** blocks
  - the “next” free block could be anywhere
    - » so we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
    - » luckily we track only free blocks, so we can use payload area
  - still need boundary tags for coalescing

## Explicit Free Lists

- Logically:



- Physically: blocks can be in any order




Supplied by CMU.

## Allocating From Explicit Free Lists


conceptual graphic

*Before*



*After*

*(with splitting)*




Supplied by CMU.

## Freeing With Explicit Free Lists

- **Insertion policy:** where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
  - LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy
    - » insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
    - » **pro:** simple and constant time
    - » **con:** studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered
  - address-ordered policy
    - » Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order:  
$$addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)$$
    - » **con:** requires search
    - » **pro:** studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO


## Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

*Before*



- Insert the freed block at the root of the list

*After*




Supplied by CMU.

## Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)


conceptual graphic

*Before*



- Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list

*After*




Supplied by CMU.



## Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)


conceptual graphic

*Before*



- Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list


*After*




Supplied by CMU.

## Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

conceptual graphic



- Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Supplied by CMU.

## Explicit List Summary

- **Comparison to implicit list:**
  - allocate is linear time in number of **free** blocks instead of **all** blocks
    - » **much faster** when most of the memory is full
  - slightly more complicated allocate and free since needs to splice blocks in and out of the list
  - some extra space for the links (2 extra words needed for each block)
    - » does this increase internal fragmentation?
- **Most common use of linked lists is in conjunction with segregated free lists**
  - keep multiple linked lists of different size classes, or possibly for different types of objects

## Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- Method 1: **implicit list** using length—links all blocks




- Method 2: **explicit list** among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: **segregated free list**
  - different free lists for different size classes
- Method 4: **blocks sorted by size**
  - can use a balanced tree (e.g. red-black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

## Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators

- Each **size class** of blocks has its own free list



- Often have separate classes for each small size
- For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size

Supplied by CMU.

## Seglist Allocator


- Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class
- To allocate a block of size  $n$ :
  - search appropriate free list for block of size  $m > n$
  - if an appropriate block is found:
    - » split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
  - if no block is found, try next larger class
  - repeat until block is found
- If no block is found:
  - request additional heap memory from OS (using `sbrk()`)
  - allocate block of  $n$  bytes from this new memory
  - place remainder as a single free block in largest size class

Supplied by CMU.

## Seglist Allocator (cont.)

- **To free a block:**
  - coalesce and place on appropriate list
- **Advantages of seglist allocators**
  - higher throughput
    - » log time for power-of-two size classes
  - better memory utilization
    - » first-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
    - » extreme case: giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit

## C vs. Storage Allocation



```
typedef struct block {
    long size;
    long payload[0];
    long endsize;
} block_t;
```




```
typedef struct free_block {
    long size;
    long payload[0];
    struct free_block *next;
    struct free_block *prev;
    long endsize;
} free_block_t;
```

It's desirable to represent blocks of storage using structs so that (at least some of) their fields can be referenced symbolically. Thus, using the declarations in the slide, we can refer to size and payload as members of the struct. Note that, since we don't know how large the payload is, we dimension it as being of size 0. Thus it occupies no space in the structure. Nevertheless, if *b* is declared to be a *block\_t\**, then *b->payload* refers to the beginning of the *payload* portion of a block. But, since it is of size 0, then if *fb* is declared to be a *free\_block\_t\**, *fb->next* starts at the same location as *fb->payload*, and thus *next* and *prev* occupy the first two words of what would otherwise be the *payload*. Note that *endsize* is not useful as a symbolic reference, but it simply suggests the structure of the block.



## Overloading Size



```
#define actual_size(s) ((s) & -2)  
#define allocated(s) ((s) & 1)
```

## Is Previous Adjacent Block Free?

Size	?
Size	a
Next	
Prev	
Size	a

```
#define IsPrevAdjBlockFree(b) \
    !allocated(((long *) (b))[-1])

static inline int
IsPrevAdjBlockFree(free_block_t *b) {
    long *prev_size = ((long *)b)[-1];
    return !allocated(prev_size);
}

static inline actual_size(long s) {
    return s & -2;
}

static inline allocated(long s) {
    return s & 1;
}
```

We could (and, in fact, the textbook does) use macros to define code to extract information from our dynamic storage blocks. But macros are rather messy to use. Among their problems is that there is no type checking of their arguments. An alternative is to use inline functions. These allow the C compiler to replace calls to them with copies of their code. Thus they have the advantages of macros in that there is no function call and return overhead, but has the type-checking advantages of function calls. They are typically declared as being static (and thus known only in the file in which they are defined) to avoid multiple-definition issues if they are used in multiple files.

## Is Next Adjacent Block Free?

Size	a
Next	
Prev	
Size	a
Size	?

```
#define IsNextAdjBlockFree(b) \
!allocated(\
((long *) (b)) [actual_size(b->size)])
```

```
static inline int  
IsNextAdjBlockFree(free_block_t *b) {  
    long *next_size =  
        ((long *)b) [actual_size(b->size)];  
    return !allocated(next_size);  
}
```