

WORKSHEET SERIES: QUANTUM PIONEERS

Worksheet 4: Otto Stern & Walther Gerlach - The Experiment That Proved Quantization

PART 1: THE MYSTERY OF SPACE QUANTIZATION

Student Name: _____

Date: _____

Quantum Level: Intermediate

PRE-READING ACTIVITY: QUANTUM ORIENTATION WARM-UP

Instructions: Before reading about Stern and Gerlach, answer these questions based on your current knowledge:

1. What is "quantization"? Give an example from everyday life or previous quantum lessons.
-
-

2. Electron "spin" is a quantum property. If electrons are tiny spinning charges, what magnetic property should they have?
-
-

3. Prediction: Based on the names Stern & Gerlach and the title mentioning "experiment," what kind of scientists were they likely to be (theorists or experimentalists)?
-
-

PART 2: BIOGRAPHICAL READING PASSAGE

The Silver Beam: Stern and Gerlach's Definitive Proof

In 1922, while theorists were still arguing about whether quantum theory described reality or was just a mathematical trick, two German experimental physicists performed what Einstein would call "the most beautiful experiment in physics." Otto Stern and Walther Gerlach didn't write elegant equations or propose revolutionary theories—they built an apparatus that directly showed quantization was real.

The Experimentalists' Mindset

Otto Stern (1888-1969) was a pragmatic experimentalist who believed: "*Show me, don't tell me.*" Originally from a wealthy family in Silesia, he had studied physical chemistry before turning to physics. Walther Gerlach (1889-1979) was meticulous, patient, and skilled at precision measurement. Both men shared a deep skepticism about the new quantum ideas—they wanted to test them, not just accept them mathematically.

The Quantum Prediction They Tested

According to the Bohr-Sommerfeld model of the atom, electrons orbited in specific quantized orbits. But a mysterious prediction existed: when atoms passed through a magnetic field, their magnetic moments should align in specific discrete directions—not any continuous orientation. This was called "space quantization" (räumliche Quantelung).

Most physicists, including Gerlach himself, thought this was mathematical nonsense. How could something in space only point in certain directions? It seemed absurd.

Building the "Impossible" Apparatus

Their experiment was conceptually simple but technically heroic:

1. Heat silver in an oven → produces silver atoms
2. Pass atoms through a collimator → creates a thin beam
3. Pass beam through strong, inhomogeneous magnetic field
4. Let atoms hit a glass plate → see where they land

The magnetic field was the key: if atoms had magnetic moments, the field would deflect them. Classically, they expected a continuous smear on the plate. Quantum theory predicted discrete spots.

The Night Everything Changed

After months of failed attempts (condensation problems, vacuum issues, alignment struggles), on a cold February night in 1922, they developed their photographic plate. Instead of a smear, they saw two distinct spots—silver atoms had been deflected either up or down, nothing in between.

Gerlach reportedly sent a telegram to Bohr: "*Sommerfeld was right after all.*" Then, in a famous anecdote, he sent a postcard to Stern with just the results and the message: "*Bohr is right.*"

Why Silver? The Experimental Genius

Their choice of silver (Ag) was brilliant:

- Silver atoms have one unpaired electron in outer shell
- This makes them behave like tiny magnets
- Their ground state has total angular momentum $J = 1/2$
- Quantum theory predicts exactly two possible orientations

They had chosen the perfect element to show quantization clearly.

The Aftermath and Recognition

The Stern-Gerlach experiment became the first direct evidence of:

1. Space quantization (discrete orientations in space)
2. Electron spin (though spin wasn't formally discovered until 1925)
3. Quantum measurement (the act of measuring forces a choice)

Both men faced difficulties during the Nazi era—Stern (Jewish) fled to America in 1933, while Gerlach remained in Germany but resisted Nazi pressure. Stern won the Nobel Prize in 1943 (delayed by war); Gerlach never did, though many physicists felt he deserved it.

The Legacy: Experiment Over Theory

While Schrödinger, Heisenberg, and Dirac gave quantum mechanics its mathematical framework, Stern and Gerlach gave it empirical proof. Their experiment showed that quantization wasn't just in energy levels—it was in orientation in space. Every time you see an illustration of spin-up vs spin-down electrons, you're seeing the legacy of their two spots on a glass plate.

PART 3: INFERRENTIAL COMPREHENSION QUESTIONS

Instructions: Answer these questions by reading BETWEEN the lines. You must infer answers based on clues in the text and your understanding of quantum concepts.

SECTION A: CHARACTER ANALYSIS THROUGH INFERENCE

1. The Experimental Mind: Stern believed "Show me, don't tell me." Based on this and their skepticism about quantum theory, what INFERENCES can you make about how experimentalists differ from theorists in their approach to science?

Evidence from text:

My inference:

2. The Partnership Dynamic: Stern and Gerlach had different skills (Stern: conceptual; Gerlach: meticulous). Based on their successful experiment, what INFERENCES can you make about why their partnership worked so well?

Evidence from text:

My inference:

SECTION B: SCIENTIFIC CONTEXT INFERENCES

3. Skepticism as Motivation: Both men were "deeply skeptical" about quantum ideas. Based on this, what INFERENCES can you make about why they chose to test space quantization specifically?

Evidence from text:

My inference:

4. "Technically Heroic": The experiment was simple in concept but difficult in practice. Based on the description of their struggles, what INFERENCES can you make about the reality of experimental physics vs. theoretical ideas?

Evidence from text:

My inference:

SECTION C: QUANTUM CONCEPT INFERENCE

5. Why Two Spots?: Silver has one unpaired electron with $J=1/2$. Based on quantum mechanics, what INFERENCE can you make about why they saw exactly TWO discrete spots, not three or more?

Quantum rule needed:

My inference:

6. Before "Spin": They discovered evidence of spin in 1922, but spin wasn't formally proposed until 1925. Based on this timeline, what INFERENCES can you make about how experiments can run ahead of theory?

My inference:

SECTION D: HISTORICAL & ETHICAL INFERENCE

7. The Nazi Era Impact: Stern fled Germany; Gerlach stayed but resisted. Based on this information, what INFERENCES can you make about the

different ways scientists responded to political pressure?

Evidence from text:

My inference:

8. Nobel Injustice?: Many felt Gerlach deserved a Nobel too. Based on typical Nobel criteria and their partnership, what INFERENCES can you make about why only Stern was awarded?

My inference:

PART 4: EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN ANALYSIS

Instructions: Analyze the Stern-Gerlach apparatus design choices.

9. The Silver Choice: Their selection of silver was "brilliant." Based on the explanation given, what INFERENCES can you make about how choosing the right material can make or break an experiment?

Atomic structure factor:

Magnetic property factor:

Prediction clarity factor:

10. Inhomogeneous vs. Homogeneous Field: They used an inhomogeneous (non-uniform) magnetic field. What INFERENCE can you make about why this was essential for detecting magnetic moments?

Force requirement:

Deflection necessity:

PART 5: CRITICAL THINKING AND INTERPRETATION

Instructions: Use inferences from the reading to analyze scientific methodology.

11. "Most Beautiful Experiment": Einstein called it this. Based on the experiment's design and results, what INFERENCES can you make about what makes an experiment "beautiful" in physics?

Simplicity inference:

Definitiveness inference:

Conceptual clarity inference:

12. Alternative History: What if they had used iron instead of silver? Based on iron's electronic structure, what INFERENCES can you make about what result they might have gotten and how that would have affected quantum theory's acceptance?

Iron's electron configuration:

Predicted pattern difference:

Historical impact inference:

PART 6: VOCABULARY IN CONTEXT INFERENCES

Instructions: Infer the meaning of these terms from how they're used in the passage.

13. "Space quantization" (räumliche Quantelung)

- Context clue:

-
- My inferred meaning:
-

14. "Inhomogeneous magnetic field" (in apparatus description)

- Context clue:

- My inferred meaning:

15. "Empirical proof" (in legacy section)

- Context clue:

 - My inferred meaning:

-

PART 7: CONNECTIONS TO MODERN QUANTUM MECHANICS

Instructions: Make inferences connecting their experiment to current physics.

16. Modern SG Experiments: Today, Stern-Gerlach apparatuses are used in undergraduate labs. Based on the original's complexity, what INFERENCES can you make about how technology has changed experimental physics education?

Technological inference:

Educational access inference:

Conceptual understanding inference:

17. Quantum Computing Connection: Qubits often use spin states ($|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$). Based on the Stern-Gerlach results, what INFERENCES can you make about why spin makes a good quantum bit?

Discrete states inference:

Measurement inference:

Manipulation inference:

PART 8: PERSONAL REFLECTION AND INFERENCE

18. Theorist vs. Experimentalist: Based on Stern & Gerlach's story vs. Schrödinger/Heisenberg's stories, what INFERENCES can you make about the different personalities attracted to experimental vs. theoretical physics? Experimentalist traits (inferred):

Theorist traits (inferred):

Complementary value:

19. Your Scientific Approach: If you were to design an experiment today, what INFERENCES from Stern & Gerlach's approach would guide your methodology?

From their material choice:

From their persistence:

From their simple design:

PART 9: EXTENSION ACTIVITY - EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Imagine you're a physicist in 1921 designing this experiment. Based on inferences from the biography:

20. Design Decisions: Explain THREE key design choices Stern & Gerlach made and INFER why each was crucial:

1. Choice:

Why crucial (inferred):

2. Choice:

Why crucial (inferred):

3. Choice:

Why crucial (inferred):

VIDEO RESOURCES

To visualize the Stern-Gerlach experiment:

1. Experiment Explained:

"The Stern-Gerlach Experiment (Quantum Mechanics)"

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rg4Fnag4V-E>]

2. Modern Demonstration:

"Stern-Gerlach Experiment Demonstration"

[<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vioJsSDdY3I>]

After watching: How does seeing the actual apparatus and results compare with your mental image from the reading?

SCORING RUBRIC FOR INFERRENTIAL QUESTIONS

Inference Level	Score	Characteristics
Excellent Inference	4	Connects experimental details with quantum principles, shows understanding of scientific methodology

Good Inference	3	Uses text evidence appropriately, makes logical connections to physics concepts
Basic Inference	2	Some text connection, but limited depth in experimental understanding
Minimal Inference	1	Little text evidence, mostly guessing about experiment
No Valid Inference	0	No text connection or completely inaccurate physics

Total Possible: 80 points

Mastery Level: 60+ points

QUANTUM CONCEPT CHECK

For Teacher Reference - Connect to Curriculum:

- Space Quantization: Discrete orientations in magnetic field
- Electron Spin: Intrinsic angular momentum (discovered later)
- Magnetic Moment: $\mu = -g(e/2m)S$
- Spin Quantum Number: $s = 1/2$, $m_s = \pm 1/2$
- Stern-Gerlach Apparatus: Oven → collimator → magnet → detector
- Silver Atoms: 47 electrons, one unpaired in 5s orbital

Differentiation Options:

- Struggling students: Focus on the two-spot result and what it means

- Advanced students: Calculate expected deflection using μ_B and field gradient
- Extension: Research how sequential SG apparatuses demonstrate quantum measurement

Key Historical Notes:

- Performed 1922, before full quantum mechanics (1925-27)
 - Provided evidence for what became "spin"
 - Often called first direct proof of quantization
 - Both men had complex WWII experiences
-

Teacher's Note: This worksheet emphasizes:

1. Experimental physics as distinct from theoretical
2. The importance of material choice in experiments
3. How skepticism drives good science
4. Partnership dynamics in research

The Stern-Gerlach story is particularly valuable for showing students that:

- Not all quantum pioneers were theorists
- Beautiful experiments can be as important as beautiful equations
- Sometimes you discover something without fully understanding it