

5.4 Indicator random variables and the fundamental bridge

Recall that an indicator r.v. I_A for event A is $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \text{ occurs} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

So $I_A \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. Some useful properties of indicator r.v.s are:

Theorem 5.4.1 (Indicator r.v. properties)

Let A and B be events. The following properties hold:

1. $(I_A)^k = I_A$ for any positive integer k
2. $I_{A^c} = 1 - I_A$
3. $I_{A \cap B} = I_A \cdot I_B$
4. $I_{A \cup B} = I_A + I_B - I_A \cdot I_B$

Indicator r.v.s provide a link between probability and expectation; this link is called fundamental bridge.

Theorem 5.4.2 (Fundamental bridge between probability and expectation)

There is a 1-to-1 correspondence between events and indicator r.v.s, and the probability of event A is the expected value of its indicator r.v. I_A :

$$P(A) = E(I_A)$$

→ Proof: By definition of expectation for discrete random variables:

$$E(I_A) = 1 \cdot P(A) + 0 \cdot P(A^c) = P(A)$$

What is the applications of fundamental bridge?

- Allow us to express any probability as expectation
- Find the expectation of the indicators that made up a discrete r.v., then using linearity, obtain the expectation of the discrete r.v.

Example 5.4.3 (Putnam problem)

Consider a permutation a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n of $1, 2, \dots, n$ has a local maximum at j if $a_j > a_{j-1}$ and $a_j > a_{j+1}$. For example, 4 2 5 3 6 1 has 3 local maxima, at position 1, 3 and 5.

The Putnam exam posted the following question, for $n \geq 2$, what is the average number of local maxima of a random permutation of $1, 2, \dots, n$ with all $n!$ permutations equally likely?

Let N be r.v. represent number of local maxima in a permutation.

I_1, \dots, I_n be indicator r.v.s, where $I_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there is local maximum at } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

We are interested in $E(N)$, which equals $E\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_j\right)$
since $N = \sum_{j=1}^n I_j$

Now, consider a permutation $a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 a_5$ for example

These numbers have probability $\frac{1}{3}$ of being local maxima since there are 2 neighbors

These 2 has probability $\frac{1}{2}$ of being local maxima since there is only 1 neighbor

$$\text{By linearity: } E\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_j\right) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + (n-2) \frac{1}{3} = \frac{n+1}{3}$$