### Gradient algorithms

V. Leclère (ENPC)

April 15th, 2022

### Why should I bother to learn this stuff?

- Gradient algorithm is the easiest, most robust optimization algorithm.
   It is not numerically efficient, but numerous more advanced algorithm are built on it.
- Conjugate gradient algorithm(s) are efficient methods for (quasi)-quadratic function. They are in particular used for approximately solving large linear systems.
- => useful for comprehension of
  - more advanced continuous optimization algorithms
  - machine learning training methods
  - numerical methods for solving discretized PDE

2/29

#### Contents

1 Introduction [BV	9.	1
--------------------	----	---

- Some general thoughts and definition
- Descent methods
- 2 Strong convexity consequences [BV 9.2]
- 3 Gradient descent [BV 9.3-9.4]
- 4 Conjugate gradient

Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022 2 / 29

#### Contents

1	Introduction	[BV 9.1
		L .

- Some general thoughts and definition
- Descent methods
- ② Strong convexity consequences [BV 9.2]
- 3 Gradient descent [BV 9.3-9.4]
- Conjugate gradient

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022 2 / 29

#### A word on solution

- In this lecture, we are going to address unconstrained, finite dimensional, non-linear, smooth, optimization problem.
- In continuous non-linear (and non-quadratic) optimization, we cannot expect to obtain an exact solution. We are thus looking for approximate solution.
- By solution, we generally means local minimum.<sup>1</sup>
- The speed of convergence of an algorithm is thus determining an upper bound on the number of iterations required to get an  $\varepsilon$ -solution, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sometimes just stationary points. Equivalent to global minimum in the convex setting.

## Black-box optimization



We consider the following unconstrained optimization problem

- The black-box model consists in considering that we only know the function f through an oracle, that is a way of computing information on f at a given point x.
- Oracle gives local information on f. Oracles are generally a user defined code.
  - A zeroth order oracle only return the value f(x).
  - ▶ A first order oracle return both f(x) and  $\nabla f(x)$ .
  - ▶ A second order oracle return f(x),  $\nabla f(x)$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x)$ .
- By opposition, structured optimization leverage more knowledge on the objective function *f* . Classical model are
  - $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(x);$
  - ▶  $f(x) = f_0(x) + \lambda g(x)$ , where  $f_0(x)$  is smooth and g is "simple", typically  $g(x) = ||x||_1$ ;

### Black-box optimization



4 / 29

We consider the following unconstrained optimization problem

- The black-box model consists in considering that we only know the function f through an oracle, that is a way of computing information on f at a given point x.
- Oracle gives local information on f. Oracles are generally a user defined code.
  - A zeroth order oracle only return the value f(x).
  - ▶ A first order oracle return both f(x) and  $\nabla f(x)$ .
  - ▶ A second order oracle return f(x),  $\nabla f(x)$  and  $\nabla^2 f(x)$ .
- By opposition, structured optimization leverage more knowledge on the objective function f. Classical model are
  - $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(x);$
  - ▶  $f(x) = f_0(x) + \lambda g(x)$ , where  $f_0(x)$  is smooth and g is "simple", typically  $g(x) = ||x||_1$ ;
    - ...

#### Contents

1	Introduction	[BV 9.3
	Introduction	[BA 8]

- Some general thoughts and definition
- Descent methods

2 Strong convexity consequences [BV 9.2]

3 Gradient descent [BV 9.3-9.4]

4 Conjugate gradient

7. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022 4 / 29

#### Consider the unconstrained optimization problem

$$v^{\sharp} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

A descent direction algorithm is an algorithm that constructs a sequence of points  $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , that are recursively defined with:

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}$$

where

- $x^{(0)}$  is the initial point,
- $d^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the descent direction,
- $t^{(k)}$  is the step length.

For most of the analysis we will assume f to be (strongly) convex, but the algorithms presented are often used in a non-convex setting.

To complete the algorithm, we need a stopping test, generally testing that  $\|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\|$  is small enough.

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem

$$v^{\sharp} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

A descent direction algorithm is an algorithm that constructs a sequence of points  $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , that are recursively defined with:

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}$$

where

- $x^{(0)}$  is the initial point,
- $d^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the descent direction,
- $t^{(k)}$  is the step length.

For most of the analysis we will assume f to be (strongly) convex, but the algorithms presented are often used in a non-convex setting.

To complete the algorithm, we need a stopping test, generally testing that  $\|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\|$  is small enough.

5 / 29

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem

$$v^{\sharp} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

A descent direction algorithm is an algorithm that constructs a sequence of points  $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , that are recursively defined with:

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}$$

#### where

- $x^{(0)}$  is the initial point,
- $d^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the descent direction,
- $t^{(k)}$  is the step length.

For most of the analysis we will assume f to be (strongly) convex, but the algorithms presented are often used in a non-convex setting.

To complete the algorithm, we need a stopping test, generally testing that  $\|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\|$  is small enough.

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem

$$v^{\sharp} = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}).$$

A descent direction algorithm is an algorithm that constructs a sequence of points  $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , that are recursively defined with:

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}$$

where

- $x^{(0)}$  is the initial point,
- $d^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the descent direction,
- $t^{(k)}$  is the step length.

For most of the analysis we will assume f to be (strongly) convex, but the algorithms presented are often used in a non-convex setting.

To complete the algorithm, we need a stopping test, generally testing that  $\|\nabla f(x^{(k)})\|$  is small enough.

## Descent direction algorithms



For a differentiable objective function f,  $d^{(k)}$  will be a descent direction iff  $\nabla f(x^{(k)}) \cdot d^{(k)} < 0$ , which can be seen from a first order development:

$$f(x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}) = f(x^{(k)}) + t\langle \nabla f(x^{(k)}), d^{(k)} \rangle + o(t).$$

$$d^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)})$$
 (gradient)

$$d^{(k)} = -\alpha^{(k)} \nabla f(x^{(k)}) + \beta^{(k)} (x^{(k)} - x^{(k-1)})$$
 (heavy ball  $\diamondsuit$ )
$$d^{(k)} = -[\nabla^2 f(x^{(k)})]^{-1} \nabla f(x^{(k)})$$
 (Newton)

$$\mathbf{d}^{(k)} = -W^{(k)} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$$
 (Quasi-Newton

V. Leclère

6/29

## Descent direction algorithms



For a differentiable objective function f,  $d^{(k)}$  will be a descent direction iff  $\nabla f(x^{(k)}) \cdot d^{(k)} < 0$ , which can be seen from a first order development:

$$f(x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}) = f(x^{(k)}) + t\langle \nabla f(x^{(k)}), d^{(k)} \rangle + o(t).$$

The most classical descent direction are<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathbf{0} \quad d^{(k)} = -\left[\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})\right]^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \tag{Newton}$$

$$\mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{d}^{(k)} = -W^{(k)} \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$$
 (Quasi-Newton) where  $W^{(k)} \approx \left[ \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right]^{-1}$ .

V. Leclère

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>they will be discussed at length during the course

# Step-size choice



### The step-size $t^{(k)}$ can be:

- fixed  $t^{(k)} = t^{(0)}$ ,
  - too small and it will take forever
  - too large and it won't converge
- optimal  $t^{(k)} \in \operatorname{arg\,min}_{\tau \geq 0} f(x^{(k)} + \tau d^{(k)})$ ,
  - computing it require solving an unidimensional problem
  - might not be worth the computation
- a backtracking step choice<sup>3</sup>, for given  $\tau_0 > 0, \alpha \in ]0, 0.5[, \beta \in ]0, 1[$ ,

  - ② if  $f(x^{(k)} + \tau d^{(k)}) > f(x^{(k)}) + \alpha \tau \nabla f(x^{(k)})^{\top} d^{(k)} : t^{(k)} = \tau$ , STOP
  - **3**  $\tau \leftarrow \beta \tau$ , go back to 2.
  - ▶ start with an "optimist" step  $\tau_0$
  - automatically adapt to ensure convergence
  - more complex procedure exists

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There exists a lot of other alternatives

#### Contents

Introduction

[BV 9.1]

- Some general thoughts and definition
- Descent methods
- Strong convexity consequences

[BV 9.2]

Gradient descent

BV 9.3-9.4]

4 Conjugate gradient

# Strong convexity definition(s)



8 / 29

Recall that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is m-convex<sup>4</sup> iff

$$f(tx+(1-t)y) \le tf(x)+(1-t)f(y)-\frac{m}{2}t(1-t)\|y-x\|^2, \quad \forall x, y, \quad \forall t \in ]0,1[$$

If f is differentiable, it is m-convex iff

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{m}{2} ||y - x||^2, \quad \forall y, x$$

If f is twice differentiable, it is m-convex if

$$mI \leq \nabla^2 f(x) \qquad \forall x$$

ightharpoonup this last characterization is the most usefull for our analysis.

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>A strongly convex function is a m-convex function for some m > 0

# Strong convexity definition(s)



8 / 29

Recall that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $m\text{-convex}^4$  iff

$$f(tx+(1-t)y) \le tf(x)+(1-t)f(y)-\frac{m}{2}t(1-t)||y-x||^2, \quad \forall x, y, \quad \forall t \in ]0,1[$$

If f is differentiable, it is m-convex iff

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{m}{2} ||y - x||^2, \quad \forall y, x$$

If f is twice differentiable, it is m-convex if

$$mI \leq \nabla^2 f(x) \qquad \forall x$$

→ this last characterization is the most usefull for our analysis.

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ A strongly convex function is a *m*-convex function for some m > 0

# Strong convexity definition(s)



8 / 29

Recall that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $m\text{-convex}^4$  iff

$$f(tx+(1-t)y) \le tf(x)+(1-t)f(y)-\frac{m}{2}t(1-t)||y-x||^2, \quad \forall x, y, \quad \forall t \in ]0,1[$$

If f is differentiable, it is m-convex iff

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{m}{2} ||y - x||^2, \quad \forall y, x$$

If f is twice differentiable, it is m-convex iff

$$mI \leq \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \forall \mathbf{x}$$

 $\sim$  this last characterization is the most usefull for our analysis.

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022

 $<sup>^4</sup>$ A strongly convex function is a *m*-convex function for some m>0

### Bounding the Hessian

Consider a *m*-convex  $C^2$  function (on its domain), and  $x^{(0)} \in \text{dom } f$ . Denote  $S := \text{lev}_{f(x_0)}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}.$ 

As f is a strongly convex function S is bounded.

As  $\nabla^2 f$  is continuous, there exists M>0 such that,  $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\|\leq M$ , for all  $x\in S$ .

Thus we have, for all  $x \in S$ ,

$$mI \leq \nabla^2 f(x) \leq MI$$

Or equivalently

$$m \le \lambda_{min}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \le \lambda_{max}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \le M \qquad \forall x \in S$$

### Bounding the Hessian

Consider a *m*-convex  $C^2$  function (on its domain), and  $x^{(0)} \in \text{dom } f$ . Denote  $S := \text{lev}_{f(x_0)}(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}.$ 

As f is a strongly convex function S is bounded.

As  $\nabla^2 f$  is continuous, there exists M>0 such that,  $\|\nabla^2 f(x)\|\leq M$ , for all  $x\in S$ .

Thus we have, for all  $x \in S$ ,

$$mI \leq \nabla^2 f(x) \leq MI$$

Or equivalently

$$m \le \lambda_{min}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \le \lambda_{max}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \le M \quad \forall x \in S$$

### Strongly convex suboptimality certificate



Let f be a m-convex  $C^2$  function. We have

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{m}{2} ||y - x||^2, \quad \forall y, x$$

The under approximation is minimized, for a given x, for  $y^{\sharp} = x - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(x)$ , yielding

$$f(y) \ge f(x) - \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \quad \forall y$$

$$v^{\sharp} + \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \ge f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \forall \mathbf{x}$$

Thus we obtain the following sub-optimality certificate

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \sqrt{2m\varepsilon} \implies f(\mathbf{x}) \leq v^{\sharp} + \varepsilon$$

### Strongly convex suboptimality certificate



Let f be a m-convex  $C^2$  function. We have

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{m}{2} ||y - x||^2, \quad \forall y, x$$

The under approximation is minimized, for a given x, for  $y^{\sharp} = x - \frac{1}{x} \nabla f(x)$ , yielding

$$f(y) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \qquad \forall y$$

$$v^{\sharp} + \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \ge f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \forall \mathbf{x}$$

Thus we obtain the following sub-optimality certificate

$$\| \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \| \le \sqrt{2m\varepsilon} \implies f(\mathbf{x}) \le v^{\sharp} + \varepsilon$$

### Strongly convex suboptimality certificate



Let f be a m-convex  $C^2$  function. We have

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{m}{2} ||y - x||^2, \quad \forall y, x$$

The under approximation is minimized, for a given x, for  $y^{\sharp} = x - \frac{1}{m} \nabla f(x)$ , yielding

$$f(y) \ge f(x) - \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \quad \forall y$$

$$v^{\sharp} + \frac{1}{2m} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \ge f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \forall \mathbf{x}$$

Thus we obtain the following sub-optimality certificate

$$\| \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \| \le \sqrt{2m\varepsilon} \implies f(\mathbf{x}) \le v^{\sharp} + \varepsilon$$

#### Condition numbers



For any  $A \in S_n^{++}$  positive definite matrix, we define its condition number  $\kappa(A) = \lambda_{max}/\lambda_{min} \ge 1$  the ratio between its largest and smallest eigenvalue.

$$\operatorname{cond}(C) = \left(\frac{D_{out}}{D_{in}}\right)^2$$

11/29

#### Condition numbers



For any  $A \in \mathcal{S}_n^{++}$  positive definite matrix, we define its condition number  $\kappa(A) = \lambda_{max}/\lambda_{min} \geq 1$  the ratio between its largest and smallest eigenvalue.

Consider a bounded convex set C. Let  $D_{out}$  be the diameter of the smallest ball  $B_{out}$  containing C, and  $D_{in}$  be the diameter of the largest ball  $B_{in}$  contained in C.

Then the condition number of  ${\cal C}$  is

$$\operatorname{cond}(C) = \left(\frac{D_{out}}{D_{in}}\right)^2$$

#### Condition numbers



For any  $A \in S_n^{++}$  positive definite matrix, we define its condition number  $\kappa(A) = \lambda_{max}/\lambda_{min} \geq 1$  the ratio between its largest and smallest eigenvalue.

Consider a bounded convex set C. Let  $D_{out}$  be the diameter of the smallest ball  $B_{out}$  containing C, and  $D_{in}$  be the diameter of the largest ball  $B_{in}$  contained in C.

Then the condition number of C is

$$\operatorname{cond}(C) = \left(\frac{D_{out}}{D_{in}}\right)^2$$

### Condition number of sublevel set



We have, for all  $x \in S$ ,

$$mI \leq \nabla^2 f(x) \leq MI$$

thus

$$\kappa(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})) \leq M/m$$

Further.

$$v^{\sharp} + \frac{m}{2} \|x - x^{\sharp}\|^2 \le f(x) \le v^{\sharp} + \frac{M}{2} \|x - x^{\sharp}\|^2$$

For any  $v^{\sharp} \leq \alpha \leq f(x_0)$ , we have

$$B(x^{\sharp}, \sqrt{2(\alpha - v^{\sharp})/M}) \subset \underset{\alpha}{\text{lev}} f \subset B(x^{\sharp}, \sqrt{2(\alpha - v^{\sharp})/m})$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{cond}(C_{\alpha}) \leq M/m$$

### Condition number of sublevel set



We have, for all  $x \in S$ ,

$$mI \preceq \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \preceq MI$$

thus

$$\kappa(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})) \leq M/m$$

Further,

$$v^{\sharp} + \frac{m}{2} \|x - x^{\sharp}\|^{2} \le f(x) \le v^{\sharp} + \frac{M}{2} \|x - x^{\sharp}\|^{2}$$

For any  $v^{\sharp} \leq \alpha \leq f(x_0)$ , we have

$$B(x^{\sharp}, \sqrt{2(\alpha - v^{\sharp})/M}) \subset \underset{\alpha}{\text{lev}} f \subset B(x^{\sharp}, \sqrt{2(\alpha - v^{\sharp})/m})$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{cond}(C_{\alpha}) \leq M/m$$

### Condition number of sublevel set



We have, for all  $x \in S$ ,

$$mI \preceq \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \preceq MI$$

thus

$$\kappa(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})) \leq M/m$$

Further,

$$v^{\sharp} + \frac{m}{2} \|x - x^{\sharp}\|^2 \le f(x) \le v^{\sharp} + \frac{M}{2} \|x - x^{\sharp}\|^2$$

For any  $v^{\sharp} \leq \alpha \leq f(x_0)$ , we have

$$B(x^{\sharp}, \sqrt{2(\alpha - v^{\sharp})/M}) \subset \underset{\alpha}{\text{lev}} f \subset B(x^{\sharp}, \sqrt{2(\alpha - v^{\sharp})/m})$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{cond}(C_{\alpha}) \leq M/m$$

#### Contents

- 1 Introduction
  - Some general thoughts and definition
  - Descent methods
  - 2 Strong convexity consequences [BV 9.2]
  - 3 Gradient descent [BV 9.3-9.4]
  - 4 Conjugate gradient

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022

12/29

### Gradient descent



- The gradient descent algorithm is a first-order descent direction algorithm with  $d^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)})$ .
- That is, with an initial point  $x_0$ , we have

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - t^{(k)} \nabla f(x^{(k)}).$$

- The three step-size choices (fixed, optimal and decreasing) leads to variations of the algorithm.
- This algorithm is slow, but robust in the sense that he often ends up converging.
- Most implementation of advanced algorithms have fail-safe procedure that default to a gradient step when something goes wrong for numerical reasons.
- It is the basis of the stochastic-gradient algorithm, which is used (in advanced form) to train ML models.

#### Gradient descent



- The gradient descent algorithm is a first-order descent direction algorithm with  $d^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)})$ .
- That is, with an initial point  $x_0$ , we have

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - t^{(k)} \nabla f(x^{(k)}).$$

- The three step-size choices (fixed, optimal and decreasing) leads to variations of the algorithm.
- This algorithm is slow, but robust in the sense that he often ends up converging.
- Most implementation of advanced algorithms have fail-safe procedure that default to a gradient step when something goes wrong for numerical reasons.
- It is the basis of the stochastic-gradient algorithm, which is used (in advanced form) to train ML models.

### Steepest descent algorithm



• Using the linear approximation  $f(x^{(k)} + h) = f(x^{(k)}) + \nabla f(x^{(k)})^{\top} h + o(\|h\|_{\mathbf{X}})$ , it is quite natural to look for the steepest descent direction, that is

$$\mathbf{d}^{(k)} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{h} \quad \left\{ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})^{\top} h \quad | \quad \|h\|_{\mathbf{F}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Here  $\|\cdot\|_{\maltese}$  could be any norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - ▶ If  $\|\cdot\|_{\maltese} = \|\cdot\|_2$ , the steepest descent is a gradient step, i.e. proportional to  $-\nabla f(x^{(k)})$ .
  - ▶ If  $\|\cdot\|_{\Breve{R}} = \|\cdot\|_P$ ,  $\|x\|_{\Breve{R}} = \|P^{1/2}x\|_2$  for some  $P \in S^n_{++}$ , then the steepest descent is  $-P^{-1}\nabla f(x^{(k)})$ . In other words, a steepest descent step is a gradient step done on a problem after a change of variable  $\bar{x} = P^{1/2}x$ .
  - If  $\|\cdot\|_{\maltese} = \|\cdot\|_1$ , then the steepest descent can be chosen along a single coordinate, leading to the coordinate descent algorithm.
- Exercise: Prove these results.

### Convergence results - convex case



Assume that f is such that  $0 \leq \nabla^2 f \leq MI$ .

#### Theorem

The gradient algorithm with fixed step size  $t^{(k)} = t \leq \frac{1}{M}$  satisfies

$$f(x^{(k)}) - v^{\sharp} \le \frac{2M\|x^{(0)} - x^{\sharp}\|}{k} = O(1/k)$$

 $\rightarrow$  this is a *sublinear* rate of convergence.

## Convergence results - strongly convex case



Assume that f is such that  $mI \leq \nabla^2 f \leq MI$ , with m > 0. Define the conditionning factor  $\kappa = M/m$ .

#### Theorem

If  $x^{(k)}$  is obtained from the optimal step, we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \mathbf{v}^{\sharp} \le C^{k}(f(\mathbf{x}_{0}) - \mathbf{v}^{\sharp}), \qquad C = 1 - 1/\kappa$$

If  $x^{(k)}$  is obtained by receding step size we have

$$f(x^{(k)}) - v^{\sharp} \le C^{k}(f(x_0) - v^{\sharp}), \qquad C = 1 - \min\{2m\alpha, 2\beta\alpha\}/\kappa$$

 $\rightarrow$  linear rate of convergence.

#### Contents

1 Introduction [BV 9.1]					
Introduction [DV 9.1]	Introduction		\/	0	-11
	Introduction	LP	V		ر ب

- Some general thoughts and definition
- Descent methods
- 2 Strong convexity consequences [BV 9.2]
- 3 Gradient descent [BV 9.3-9.4]
- 4 Conjugate gradient

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022

16/29

The gradient conjugate algorithm stem from looking for numerical solution to the linear equation

$$Ax = b$$

- Never, ever, compute  $A^{-1}$  to solve a linear system.
- Classical algebraic method do a methodological factorisation of A to obtain the (exact) value of x.
- These methods are in  $O(n^3)$  operations. They only yields a solution at the end of the algorithm.
- The solution would be exact if there was no rounding errors...

Alternatively, we can look to solve

$$\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\mathsf{Min}} \qquad f(x) := \frac{1}{2} x^{\top} A x - \mathbf{b}^{\top} x$$

which is a smooth, unconstrained, convex optimization problem, whose optimal solution is given by Ax = b.

Alternatively, we can look to solve

$$\operatorname{Min}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^{\top} A \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{x}$$

which is a smooth, unconstrained, convex optimization problem, whose optimal solution is given by Ax = b.

We will assume that  $A \in S_{++}^n$ . If A is non symetric, but invertible, we could consider  $A^{\top}Ax = A^{\top}b$ .

### Conjugate directions



We say that  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are A-conjugate if they are orthogonal for the scalar product associated to A, i.e.

$$\langle u, v \rangle_A := u^\top A v = 0$$

Let  $(\tilde{d}_i)_{i\in[k]}$  be a linearly independent family of vector. We can construct a family of conjugate directions  $(d_i)_{i\in[k]}$  through the Gram-Schmidt procedure (without normalisation), i.e.,  $\tilde{d}_1 = d_1$ , and

$$d_{\kappa} = \tilde{d}_{\kappa} - \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-1} \beta_{i,\kappa} d_{i}$$

$$\beta_{i,\kappa} = \frac{\left\langle \tilde{d}_{\kappa}, d_{i} \right\rangle_{A}}{\left\langle d_{i}, d_{i} \right\rangle_{A}} = \frac{\tilde{d}_{\kappa}^{\top} A d_{i}}{d_{i}^{\top} A d_{i}}$$

## Conjugate directions



We say that  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are A-conjugate if they are orthogonal for the scalar product associated to A, i.e.

$$\langle u, v \rangle_A := u^\top A v = 0$$

Let  $(\tilde{d}_i)_{i\in[k]}$  be a linearly independent family of vector. We can construct a family of conjugate directions  $(d_i)_{i\in[k]}$  through the Gram-Schmidt procedure (without normalisation), i.e.,  $\tilde{d}_1=d_1$ , and

$$d_{\kappa} = ilde{d}_{\kappa} - \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa-1} eta_{i,\kappa} d_i$$

$$\beta_{i,\kappa} = \frac{\left\langle \tilde{d}_{\kappa} , d_{i} \right\rangle_{A}}{\left\langle d_{i} , d_{i} \right\rangle_{A}} = \frac{\tilde{d}_{\kappa}^{\top} A d_{i}}{d_{i}^{\top} A d_{i}}$$

# Conjugate direction method for quadratic function



Consider, for  $A \in S_{++}^n$ 

$$f(x) := \frac{1}{2}x^{\top}Ax - b^{\top}x$$

A conjugate direction algorithm is a descent direction algorithm such that,

$$x^{(k+1)} = \underset{x \in x_1 + E^{(k)}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \quad f(x)$$

$$E^{(k)} = vect(d^{(1)}, \dots, d^{(k)})$$

- $\spadesuit$  Exercise: Denote  $g^{(k)} = \nabla f(x^{(k)})$ . Show that
  - **1**  $g^{(k)} d_i = 0$  for i < k
  - $g^{(k+1)} = g^{(k)} + t^{(k)}Ad^{(k)}$
  - **3**  $g^{(k)}^{\top} d^{(i)} + t^{(k)} d^{(k)}^{\top} A d^{(i)} = 0$  for  $i \le k$
  - Either
    - $g^{(k)}^{\top}d^{(k)}=0$  and  $t^{(k)}=0$
    - or  $g^{(k)}^{\top} d^{(k)} < 0$  and  $t^{(k)} = -\frac{g^{(k)}^{\top} d^{(k)}}{t^{(k)} d^{(k)}^{\top} A d^{(k)}}$



21/29

Data: Linearly independent direction  $\tilde{d}^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{d}^{(n)}$ , initial point  $x^{(1)}$  Matrix A and vector b for  $k \in [n]$  do  $\begin{vmatrix} d^{(k)} = \tilde{d}^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\left\langle \tilde{d}^{(k)}, d^{(i)} \right\rangle_A}{\left\langle d^{(i)}, d^{(i)} \right\rangle_A} d^{(i)} ; \\ t^{(k)} = \frac{\nabla f(x^{(k)})^\top d^{(k)}}{\left\langle d^{(k)}, d^{(k)} \right\rangle_A} ; \\ x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)} d^{(k)} \end{vmatrix}$  // optimal step

Algorithm 1: Conjugate direction algorithm

This algorithm is such that (for a quadratic function f)

$$x^{(k+1)} = \underset{x \in x_1 + E^{(k)}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \quad f(x)$$

$$E^{(k)} = vect(d^{(1)}, \dots, d^{(k)})$$



22 / 29

If we choose  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)}) =: g^{(k)}$  we obtain the conjugate gradient algorithm.

In particular we obtain that  $E^{(k)} = vect(g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(k)})$ , and thus

$$g^{(k)}^{\mathsf{T}}g^{(i)}=0 \qquad \forall i \neq k$$

Note that

$$g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)} = t^{(i)} A d^{(i)}, \quad \text{thus} \quad \frac{\left\langle \tilde{d}^{(k)}, d^{(i)} \right\rangle_A}{\left\langle d^{(i)}, d^{(i)} \right\rangle_A} = \frac{(\tilde{d}^{(k)})^\top (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)^\top} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}$$

$$\begin{split} d^{(k)} &= \tilde{d}^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{-g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})} d^{(i)} \\ &= -g^{(k)} + \frac{g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})}{d^{(k-1)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})} d^{(k-1)} = -g^{(k)} + \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|^2}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|^2} d^{(k-1)} \end{split}$$



22 / 29

If we choose  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)}) =: g^{(k)}$  we obtain the conjugate gradient algorithm.

In particular we obtain that  $E^{(k)} = vect(g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(k)})$ , and thus

$$g^{(k)}^{\top}g^{(i)}=0 \qquad \forall i \neq k$$

Note that

$$g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)} = t^{(i)} A d^{(i)}, \quad \text{thus} \quad \frac{\left\langle \tilde{d}^{(k)}, d^{(i)} \right\rangle_A}{\left\langle d^{(i)}, d^{(i)} \right\rangle_A} = \frac{(\tilde{d}^{(k)})^\top (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)^\top} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}$$

$$d^{(k)} = \tilde{d}^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{-g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})} d^{(i)}$$

$$= -g^{(k)} + \frac{g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})}{d^{(k-1)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})} d^{(k-1)} = -g^{(k)} + \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|^2}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|^2} d^{(k-1)}$$



22 / 29

If we choose  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)}) =: g^{(k)}$  we obtain the conjugate gradient algorithm.

In particular we obtain that  $E^{(k)} = vect(g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(k)})$ , and thus

$$g^{(k)}^{\top}g^{(i)}=0 \qquad \forall i \neq k$$

Note that

$$g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)} = t^{(i)} A d^{(i)}, \text{ thus } \frac{\langle \tilde{d}^{(k)}, d^{(i)} \rangle_A}{\langle d^{(i)}, d^{(i)} \rangle_A} = \frac{(\tilde{d}^{(k)})^\top (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)}^\top (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}$$

$$d^{(k)} = \tilde{d}^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{-g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})} d^{(i)}$$

$$= -g^{(k)} + \frac{g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})}{d^{(k-1)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})} d^{(k-1)} = -g^{(k)} + \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|^2}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|^2} d^{(k-1)}$$



If we choose  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} = -\nabla f(x^{(k)}) =: g^{(k)}$  we obtain the conjugate gradient algorithm.

In particular we obtain that  $E^{(k)} = vect(g^{(1)}, \dots, g^{(k)})$ , and thus

$$g^{(k)}^{\top}g^{(i)}=0 \qquad \forall i \neq k$$

Note that

$$g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)} = t^{(i)} A d^{(i)}, \text{ thus } \frac{\langle \tilde{d}^{(k)}, d^{(i)} \rangle_A}{\langle d^{(i)}, d^{(i)} \rangle_A} = \frac{(\tilde{d}^{(k)})^\top (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)}^\top (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}$$

$$\begin{split} d^{(k)} &= \tilde{d}^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{-g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})}{d^{(i)^{\top}} (g^{(i+1)} - g^{(i)})} d^{(i)} \\ &= -g^{(k)} + \frac{g^{(k)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})}{d^{(k-1)^{\top}} (g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})} d^{(k-1)} = -g^{(k)} + \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|^2}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|^2} d^{(k-1)} \end{split}$$



```
Data: Initial point x^{(1)}, matrix A and vector b g^{(1)} = Ax^{(1)} - b; d^{(1)} = -g^{(1)} for k = 2..n do If \|g^{(k)}\|_2^2 is small : STOP; d^{(k)} = -g^{(k)} + \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|_2^2}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|_2^2} d^{(k-1)}; t^{(k)} = \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|_2^2}{d^{(k)^{\top}}Ad^{(k)}}; t^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} + t^{(k)}d^{(k)}; t^{(k+1)} = g^{(k)} + t^{(k)}Ad^{(k)}
```

Algorithm 2: Conjugate gradient algorithm - quadratic function

### Conjugate gradient properties



We can show the following properties, for a quadratic function,

- The algorithm find an optimal solution in at most *n* iterations
- If  $\kappa = \lambda_{max}/\lambda_{min}$ , we have

$$||x^{(k+1)} - x^{\sharp}||_{A} \le 2\left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}\right)^{k} ||x^{(1)} - x^{\sharp}||_{A}$$

By comparison, gradient descent with optimal step yields

$$||x^{(k+1)} - x^{\sharp}||_{A} \le 2\left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^{k}||x^{(1)} - x^{\sharp}||_{A}$$

## Non-linear conjugate gradient



**Algorithm 3:** Conjugate gradient algorithm - non-linear function Two natural choices for the choice of  $\beta$ , equivalent for quadratic functions

• 
$$\beta^{(k)} = \frac{\|g^{(k)}\|_2^2}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|_2^2}$$
 (Fletcher-Reeves)  
•  $\beta^{(k)} = \frac{g^{(k)^\top}(g^{(k)} - g^{(k-1)})}{\|g^{(k-1)}\|_2^2}$  (Polak-Ribière)

#### What you have to know

- What is a descent direction method.
- That there is a step-size choice to make.
- That there exists multiple descent direction.
- Gradient method is the slowest method, and in most case you should used more advanced method through adapted library.
- Conditionning of the problem is important for convergence speed.

### What you really should know

- A problem can be pre-conditionned through change of variable to get faster results.
- Solving linear system can be done exactly through algebraic method, or approximately (or exactly) through minimization method.
- Conjugate gradient method are efficient tools for (approximately) solving a linear equation.
- Conjugate gradient works by exactly minimizing the quadratic function on an affine subspace.

#### What you have to be able to do

• Implement a gradient method with receeding step-size.

#### What you should be able to do

- Implement a conjugate gradient method.
- Use the strongly convex and/or Lipschitz gradient assumptions to derive bounds.

V. Leclère Gradient algorithms April 15th, 2022 29 / 29