

# Exercises: Convex analysis

February 23, 2026

## Convex sets

**Exercise 1** (Perspective function). Let  $P : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the perspective function defined as  $P(x, t) = x/t$ , with  $\text{dom}(P) = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$ .

1. Show that the image by  $P$  of the segment  $[(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix}), (\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix})]$  is the segment  $[P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix})), P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}))]$ , i.e.  $P([(x, s), (y, t)]) = [P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix})), P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}))]$ .
2. Show that, if  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$  is convex, then  $P(C)$  is convex.
3. Show that, if  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $P^{-1}(D)$  is convex.

## Answers:

1. Let  $(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix}) = (x, s)$  and  $(\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}) = (y, t)$  be elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} P(\theta(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix}) + (1 - \theta)(\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix})) &= \frac{\theta x + (1 - \theta)y}{\theta s + (1 - \theta)t} \\ &= \mu P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix})) + (1 - \mu) P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix})), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mu(\theta) = \frac{\theta s}{\theta s + (1 - \theta)t}$ . Note that  $\theta \mapsto \mu(\theta)$  is monotone and  $\mu([0, 1]) = [0, 1]$ . Thus,  $P([(x, s), (y, t)]) = [P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix})), P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}))]$ .

2. Consider two elements of  $P(C)$ ,  $P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix}))$  and  $P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}))$ . To show convexity we need to show that  $[P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix})), P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}))] \subset P(C)$ . By 1. we have  $[P((\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix})), P((\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}))] = P([(x, s), (y, t)])$  and  $[(x, s), (y, t)] \subset C$  by convexity of  $C$ .
3. Now assume that  $(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ s \end{smallmatrix}) \in P^{-1}(D)$  and  $(\begin{smallmatrix} y \\ t \end{smallmatrix}) \in P^{-1}(D)$ . We need to show that  $\frac{\theta x + (1 - \theta)y}{\theta s + (1 - \theta)t} \in D$ . This comes from  $\frac{\theta x + (1 - \theta)y}{\theta s + (1 - \theta)t} = \mu(x/s) + (1 - \mu)(y/t)$  with  $\mu = \frac{\theta s}{\theta s + (1 - \theta)t}$ .

**Exercise 2** (Dual cones). Recall that, for any set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $K^\oplus := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \forall x \in K, \langle y, x \rangle \geq 0\}$ . We say that  $K$  is self dual (which means that  $K$  is a closed convex cone) if  $K^\oplus = K$ .

1. Show that  $K = \mathbb{R}_+^n$  is self dual.
2. We consider the set of symmetric matrices  $S_n$  with the scalar product  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(AB)$ . Show that  $K = S_n^+(\mathbb{R})$  is self dual.
3. Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm, show that  $K = \{(x, t) \mid \|x\| \leq t\}$  has for dual  $K^\oplus = \{(z, \lambda) \mid \|z\|_* \leq \lambda\}$ , where  $\|z\|_* := \sup_{x: \|x\| \leq 1} z^\top x$ .

## Answers:

1. obvious
2. Let  $Y \in S_n \setminus S_n^+$ . Then there exists  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v^\top Y v < 0$ . Moreover,  $v^\top Y v = \text{tr}(v^\top Y v) = \text{tr}(vv^\top Y) < 0$ . Hence we have  $X = vv^\top \in S_n^+$  such that  $\langle Y, X \rangle < 0$ , i.e.  $Y \notin (S_n^+)^\oplus$ . On the other hand, consider  $Y \in S_n^+$ . We have the following decomposition  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i q_i q_i^\top$ , where  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  are the eigenvalues, and  $q_i$  the associated eigenvectors. Thus, for any  $X \in S_n^+$ , we have

$$\langle Y, X \rangle = \text{tr}\left(X \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i q_i q_i^\top\right) = \text{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i q_i^\top X q_i\right) \geq 0$$

hence  $Y \in (S_n^+)^\oplus$ .

3. We show the two inclusions.
  - (i) If  $(z, \lambda) \in K^\oplus$ , then  $\|z\|_* \leq \lambda$ . Take  $(0, t) \in K$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . Then

$$0 \leq \langle (z, \lambda), (0, t) \rangle = \lambda t \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

hence  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Now fix any  $u$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$  and any  $t > 0$ . The point  $(x, t) = (-tu, t)$  belongs to  $K$  since  $\|x\| = \| -tu \| \leq t$ . Thus,

$$0 \leq \langle (z, \lambda), (-tu, t) \rangle = -t z^\top u + \lambda t = t(\lambda - z^\top u).$$

Dividing by  $t > 0$  gives  $z^\top u \leq \lambda$  for all  $\|u\| \leq 1$ . Taking the supremum over  $\|u\| \leq 1$  yields  $\|z\|_* \leq \lambda$ .

(ii) If  $\|z\|_* \leq \lambda$ , then  $(z, \lambda) \in K^\oplus$ . Let  $(x, t) \in K$ , so  $\|x\| \leq t$ . By generalized Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$z^\top x \geq -|z^\top x| \geq -\|z\|_* \|x\| \geq -\|z\|_* t.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (z, \lambda), (x, t) \rangle &= z^\top x + \lambda t \geq (\lambda - \|z\|_*) t \geq 0, \\ \text{so } (z, \lambda) &\in K^\oplus. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $K^\oplus = \{(z, \lambda) : \|z\|_* \leq \lambda\}$ .

**Exercise 3** (Normal cones of standard convex sets). Compute the normal cone  $N_C(x)$  for the following closed convex sets:

1. (Euclidean ball)  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$ .
2. (Simplex)  $\Delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \geq 0, \mathbf{1}^\top x = 1\}$ .

**Bonus.** For the ball, compute the tangent cone  $T_C(x)$  and verify  $[T_C(x)]^\oplus = -N_C(x)$ .

**Answers:**

1. If  $\|x\|_2 < 1$  (interior),  $N_C(x) = \{0\}$ . If  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  (boundary),  $N_C(x) = \{\lambda x : \lambda \geq 0\}$ . (From supporting hyperplane of the ball:  $x^\top y \leq 1$  at boundary point  $x$ .)
2. Let  $x \in \Delta$  and define active set  $I_0 = \{i : x_i = 0\}$ . A vector  $v \in N_\Delta(x)$  iff

$$v = \mu \mathbf{1} - w, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, w \geq 0, \text{ and } w_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin I_0.$$

Equivalently:  $v_i = \mu$  on indices where  $x_i > 0$ , and  $v_i \leq \mu$  where  $x_i = 0$ . (Reason:  $\Delta$  is intersection of affine hyperplane  $\{\mathbf{1}^\top x = 1\}$  and orthant  $\{x \geq 0\}$ , so the normal cone is sum of normals:  $\text{span}(\mathbf{1})$  plus conic hull of  $-e_i$  for active inequalities.)

Bonus: for the ball at  $\|x\| = 1$ ,  $T_C(x) = \{d : x^\top d \leq 0\}$  and polar gives  $[T_C(x)]^\oplus = \{\lambda x : \lambda \leq 0\} = -N_C(x)$ .

## Convex functions

**Exercise 4** (Recognizing convexity / strict / strong). For each function below, give: (i) its domain, (ii) whether it is convex, strictly convex, strongly convex on its domain (and provide a short justification).

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , and assume  $A$  has full column rank when stated.

1.  $f_1(x) = \|Ax - b\|_2$ .
2.  $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2$ .
3.  $f_3(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1$ .
4.  $f_4(x) = -\log(b - a^\top x)$  with  $\text{dom}(f_4) = \{x : a^\top x < b\}$ .

**Bonus.** For  $f_2$ , give a strong convexity modulus in terms of  $A$  (when  $A$  has full column rank).

**Answers:**

1. Convex as composition of norm with affine map. Not strictly convex in general (e.g. if  $A$  not injective and/or norm not strictly convex along image). Not strongly convex.
2. Convex. If  $A$  has full column rank, then  $f_2$  is strongly convex with modulus  $\alpha = \sigma_{\min}(A)^2$  since  $\nabla^2 f_2(x) = A^\top A \succeq \sigma_{\min}(A)^2 I$ . Strict convexity holds when  $A$  injective.
3. Convex (sum of convex). Not differentiable. Not strongly convex from the  $\ell_1$  term, but the quadratic makes it strongly convex: modulus 1 w.r.t.  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

<sup>4</sup> Convex on its (open convex) domain since  $\log$  is convex and nondecreasing and composed with affine. Strictly convex because  $-\log$  is strictly convex and  $a \neq 0$ . Not strongly convex on the full domain (Hessian blows up near boundary but no uniform lower bound on all of dom).

**Exercise 5** (Moving average). Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function.

1. Show that,  $s \mapsto \int_0^1 f(st) dt$  is convex.

2. Show that,  $\mathbb{R}_+^* \ni T \mapsto 1/T \int_0^T f(t)dt$  is convex.

**Answers:**

1. Obvious from convexity of  $f$  and monotonicity of the integral.
2. Change of variable  $u = t/T$ .

**Exercise 6** (Partial infimum). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  be a convex function and  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  a convex set. Show that the function

$$g : x \mapsto \inf_{y \in C} f(x, y)$$

is convex.

**Answers:** Consider  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in  $\text{dom}(g)$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $y_i$  such that  $f(x_i, y_i) \leq g(x_i) + \varepsilon$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2) &= \inf_{y \in C} f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2, y) \\ &\leq f(\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2, \theta y_1 + (1 - \theta)y_2) \\ &\leq \theta f(x_1, y_1) + (1 - \theta)f(x_2, y_2) \\ &\leq \theta g(x_1) + (1 - \theta)g(x_2) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit in  $\varepsilon$  yields the result.

**Exercise 7** (log determinant). Let, for any  $X \in S_n$ ,  $f(X) = \ln(\det(X))$  for  $X \succ 0$ ,  $-\infty$  otherwise. Consider, for  $Z \succ 0$ , and  $V \in S_n$ , the function  $g : t \mapsto f(Z + tV)$ .

1. Show that  $g(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + t\lambda_i) + f(Z)$ , where the  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $Z^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}$ .
2. Show that  $g$  is concave. Conclude that  $f$  is concave.

**Answers:**

1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} g(t) &= f(Z^{1/2}(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2})Z^{1/2}) \\ &= \ln \det(Z) + \ln \det(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}) \\ &= f(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 + t\lambda_i). \end{aligned}$$

2. Concavity of  $g$  is obvious as sum of concave functions. We have  $f(tX + (1 - t)Y) = g(t)$ , with  $Z = X$  and  $V = Y - X$ . Hence  $f$  is concave.

**Exercise 8** (Perspective function). Let  $\phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ . The perspective of  $\phi$  is defined as  $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{R}_+^* \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tilde{\phi}(\eta, y) := \eta\phi(y/\eta).$$

Show that  $\phi$  is convex iff  $\tilde{\phi}$  is convex.

**Answers:**

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta, y, z) \in \text{epi } \tilde{\phi} &\Leftrightarrow \eta\phi(y/\eta) \leq z \\ &\Leftrightarrow \phi(y/\eta) \leq z/\eta \\ &\Leftrightarrow (y/\eta, z/\eta) \in \text{epi } \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{epi } \phi$  is the image of  $\text{epi } \tilde{\phi}$  through the perspective function which preserves convexity (see Exercise 1).

## Fenchel transform and subdifferential

**Exercise 9** (Norm). Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|y\|_* := \sup_{x: \|x\| \leq 1} y^\top x$  be its dual norm. Let  $f : x \mapsto \|x\|$ . Compute  $f^*$  and  $\partial f(0)$ .

**Answers:** Recall that  $f^*(y) = \sup_x y^\top x - \|x\|$ . We have  $y^\top x \leq \|x\| \|y\|_*$ . Thus, if  $\|y\|_* \leq 1$ , we have  $f^*(y) \leq \sup_x \|x\| (\|y\|_* - 1) \leq 0$ , attained for  $x = 0$ .

Otherwise, if  $\|y\|_* > 1$ , there exists  $x$  such that  $y^\top x > \|x\|$ , and for all  $t > 0$ ,  $f^*(y) \geq t(y^\top x - \|x\|)$ , hence  $f^*(y) = +\infty$ . Consequently  $f^*(y) = \mathbb{I}_{\{\|y\|_* \leq 1\}}$ .

By Fenchel–Young,  $\partial f(0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|y\|_* \leq 1\}$ .

**Exercise 10** (Lasso). Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and consider

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

1. Show that the problem admits at least one solution, and is unique if  $A$  has full column rank.
2. Compute  $\partial\|x\|_1$  and derive the optimality condition for a minimizer  $x^\sharp$ :

$$0 \in A^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) + \lambda \partial\|x^\sharp\|_1.$$

3. Prove the coordinate-wise characterization:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\sharp \neq 0 &\Rightarrow a_i^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) = -\lambda \operatorname{sign}(x_i^\sharp) \\ x_i^\sharp = 0 &\Rightarrow |a_i^\top (Ax^\sharp - b)| \leq \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i$  is the  $i$ -th column of  $A$ .

4. If  $\lambda \geq \|A^\top b\|_\infty$ , then  $x^\sharp = 0$  is optimal.

5. Interpretation: explain in one sentence why large  $\lambda$  promotes sparsity of  $x^\sharp$ .

**Answers:**

1. **Existence and uniqueness.** Define

$$F(x) := \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1.$$

$F$  is proper and lower semicontinuous (sum of continuous and lsc convex functions). Moreover  $F$  is coercive since  $\|x\|_1 \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|x\|_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ , hence  $F(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  and a minimizer exists.

If  $A$  has full column rank then  $A^\top A \succ 0$  and  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2$  is strongly convex, e.g. with modulus  $\sigma_{\min}(A)^2$  since

$$\nabla^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2 \right) = A^\top A \succeq \sigma_{\min}(A)^2 I.$$

Adding the convex term  $\lambda \|x\|_1$  preserves strong convexity, so the minimizer is unique.

2. **Subdifferential of  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and optimality condition.**

First in dimension 1, for  $h(t) = |t|$ ,

$$\partial h(t) = \begin{cases} \{1\}, & t > 0, \\ [-1, 1], & t = 0, \\ \{-1\}, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

*Justification:*  $g \in \partial h(t)$  iff for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|s| \geq |t| + g(s - t).$$

If  $t > 0$ , take  $s = t + \varepsilon$  and  $s = t - \varepsilon$ , then  $g = 1$ . If  $t < 0$ , similarly  $g = -1$ . If  $t = 0$ , the condition becomes  $|s| \geq gs$  for all  $s$ , which holds iff  $g \in [-1, 1]$ .

Now  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$ . Each term depends on a single coordinate, hence

$$\partial\|x\|_1 = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{R}^n : s_i \in \partial|x_i| \quad \forall i \in [n] \right\}.$$

Therefore, for a minimizer  $x^\sharp$ , the convex optimality condition gives

$$0 \in \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 \right) \Big|_{x=x^\sharp} + \lambda \partial\|x^\sharp\|_1$$

that is

$$0 \in A^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) + \lambda \partial\|x^\sharp\|_1.$$

3. **Coordinate-wise characterization.** The inclusion means that there exists  $s \in \partial\|x^\sharp\|_1$  such that

$$A^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) + \lambda s = 0.$$

Taking the  $i$ -th coordinate (with  $a_i$  the  $i$ -th column of  $A$ ) yields

$$a_i^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) + \lambda s_i = 0, \quad s_i \in \partial|x_i^\sharp|.$$

Hence

$$a_i^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) = \begin{cases} -\lambda \operatorname{sign}(x_i^\sharp), & x_i^\sharp \neq 0, \\ \in [-\lambda, \lambda], & x_i^\sharp = 0. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{cases} x_i^\sharp \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_i^\top (Ax^\sharp - b) = -\lambda \operatorname{sign}(x_i^\sharp), \\ x_i^\sharp = 0 \Rightarrow |a_i^\top (Ax^\sharp - b)| \leq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

4. **If  $\lambda \geq \|A^\top b\|_\infty$ , then  $x^\sharp = 0$  is optimal.**

Check the optimality condition at  $x = 0$ . We have

$$\nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 \right) \Big|_{x=0} = A^\top (A \cdot 0 - b) = -A^\top b.$$

So  $x^\sharp = 0$  is optimal iff

$$0 \in -A^\top b + \lambda \partial\|0\|_1.$$

But  $\partial\|0\|_1 = [-1, 1]^n$ , hence the inclusion is equivalent to the existence of  $s \in [-1, 1]^n$  with

$$-A^\top b + \lambda s = 0 \iff s = \frac{A^\top b}{\lambda} \in [-1, 1]^n.$$

This holds iff

$$\|A^\top b\|_\infty \leq \lambda,$$

which proves the claim.

**5. Interpretation (sparsity).** A coordinate can be set to zero whenever

$$|a_i^\top (Ax^\# - b)| \leq \lambda.$$

Increasing  $\lambda$  makes these inequalities easier to satisfy, so more coordinates become zero.

**Exercise 11** (Fenchel calculus: indicator, support, and affine change). Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonempty closed convex set and define the indicator  $\mathbb{I}_C$  and the support function

$$\sigma_C(y) := \sup_{x \in C} y^\top x.$$

1. Show that  $(\mathbb{I}_C)^* = \sigma_C$ .

2. Compute  $\sigma_C$  for:

$$(a) C = B_2(0, 1) = \{x : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\},$$

$$(b) C = \{x : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\}.$$

3. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and define  $f(x) = \mathbb{I}_C(Ax + b)$ . Give an expression for  $f^*$  (you may state the formula with the condition under which it holds).

**Answers:**

1. By definition:  $(\mathbb{I}_C)^*(y) = \sup_x \{y^\top x - \mathbb{I}_C(x)\} = \sup_{x \in C} y^\top x = \sigma_C(y)$ .

2. (a)  $\sigma_{B_2}(y) = \sup_{\|x\|_2 \leq 1} y^\top x = \|y\|_2$ .  
(b)  $\sigma_{\{\|x\|_\infty \leq 1\}}(y) = \sup_{\|x\|_\infty \leq 1} \sum_i y_i x_i = \sum_i |y_i| = \|y\|_1$ .

3. One standard form: if  $\text{Im}(A) \cap \text{ri}(\text{dom } \mathbb{I}_C) = \text{Im}(A) \cap \text{ri}(C) \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$f^*(u) = \sigma_C(A^\top u) - b^\top u.$$

(Indeed  $f = \delta_C(Ax + b)$  is composition with affine map; conjugate is support with  $A^\top u$  and shift gives  $-b^\top u$ .)

**Exercise 12** (Log sum exp). We consider  $f(x) := \ln(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i})$ .

1. Show that  $f$  is convex. Hint : recall Holder's inequality  $x^\top y \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q$  for  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

2. Show that  $f^*(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \ln(y_i)$  if  $y \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i y_i = 1$ ,  $+\infty$  otherwise.

**Answers:**

1. Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and set  $u_i = e^{x_i}$  and  $v_i = e^{y_i}$ . For  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) &= \ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\theta x_i + (1-\theta)y_i}\right) \\ &= \ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\theta v_i^{1-\theta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We use  $p = 1/\theta$  and  $q = 1/(1-\theta)$  in Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) &\leq \ln\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i\right)^\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i\right)^{1-\theta}\right) \\ &= \theta f(x) + (1-\theta)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

2. We compute the Fenchel conjugate

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ y^\top x - \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i}\right) \right\}.$$

**Step 1: the domain constraints.**

• If  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \neq 1$ , then the supremum is  $+\infty$ . Indeed, for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $x' = x + c\mathbf{1}$ . Then

$$y^\top x' - \log\left(\sum_i e^{x'_i}\right) = (y^\top x - \log\sum_i e^{x_i}) + c\left(\sum_i y_i - 1\right).$$

Sending  $c \rightarrow +\infty$  or  $c \rightarrow -\infty$  yields  $+\infty$  whenever  $\sum_i y_i \neq 1$ .

• If  $y_j < 0$  for some  $j$ , then the supremum is  $+\infty$ . Take  $x = te_j$  with  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . Then

$$y^\top x - \log\left(\sum_i e^{x_i}\right) = y_j t - \log(e^t + (n-1)) \sim y_j t - \log(n-1)$$

since  $y_j < 0$ .

Therefore  $f^*(y) = +\infty$  unless  $y \in \Delta := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y \geq 0, \sum_i y_i = 1\}$ .

**Step 2: maximize over  $x$  for  $y \in \Delta$ .** For  $y \in \Delta$ , the objective

$$\Phi(x) := y^\top x - \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e^{x_i} \right)$$

is concave in  $x$  (linear minus convex), so any critical point is a global maximizer. Compute the gradient:

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_i}(x) = y_i - \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j}}.$$

At an optimum  $x^*$  we must have

$$y_i = \frac{e^{x_i^*}}{\sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j^*}} \iff x_i^* = \log y_i + c,$$

for some constant  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  (when  $y_i = 0$ , this corresponds to  $x_i^* \rightarrow -\infty$ ; the value below remains valid with the convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$ ).

Plugging  $x^* = \log y + c\mathbf{1}$  into  $\Phi$ :

$$\sum_i e^{x_i^*} = e^c \sum_i y_i = e^c, \quad y^\top x^* = \sum_i y_i (\log y_i + c) = \sum_i y_i \log y_i + c,$$

hence

$$\Phi(x^*) = \left( \sum_i y_i \log y_i + c \right) - \log(e^c) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log y_i.$$

### Conclusion.

$$f^*(y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log y_i & \text{if } y \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 1, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with the convention  $0 \log 0 = 0$ .