



## REFERENCES & CITED WORK

---

*“The roots of education are bitter but the fruit is sweet”*

*Talk given at UC Riverside, August 2021*

- Aristotle (1991). *The Complete Works of Aristotle: The Revised Oxford Translation*, volume 1. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Ballen, C. J., Wieman, C., Salehi, S., Searle, J. B., and Zamudio, K. R. (2017). Enhancing diversity in undergraduate science: Self-efficacy drives performance gains with active learning. *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 16(4):ar56.
- Bangera, G. and Brownell, S. E. (2014). Course-based undergraduate research experiences can make scientific research more inclusive. *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 13(4):602–606.
- Barnes, E. (2021). Why we need to consider religious identity and build religious cultural competence in biology education. In *Annual Meeting of the Society for the Advancement of Biology Education Research*.
- Barnes, M. E. and Brownell, S. E. (2017). A call to use cultural competence when teaching evolution to religious college students: Introducing religious cultural competence in evolution education (ReCCEE). *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 16(4):es4.
- Barnes, M. E., Supriya, K., Dunlop, H. M., Hendrix, T. M., Sinatra, G. M., and Brownell, S. E. (2020). Relationships between the religious backgrounds and evolution acceptance of black and hispanic biology students. *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 19(4):ar59.
- Best, A. and Kamilar, J. M. (2018). The evolution of eccrine sweat glands in human and nonhuman primates. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 117:33–43.
- Braillard, P.-A. and Malaterre, C. (2015). Explanation in biology: An introduction. In *Explanation in Biology*, pages 1–28. Springer.
- Brownell, S. E., Hekmat-Scafe, D. S., Singla, V., Chandler Seawell, P., Conklin Imam, J. F., Eddy, S. L., Stearns, T., and Cyert, M. S. (2015). A high-enrollment course-based undergraduate research experience improves student conceptions of scientific thinking and ability to interpret data. *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 14(2):ar21.
- Cook, M. P. (2006). Visual representations in science education: The influence of prior knowledge and cognitive load theory on instructional design principles. *Science Education*, 90(6):1073–1091.
- D’Avanzo, C. (2013). Post-vision and change: Do we know how to change? *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 12(3):373–382.
- Dunk, R. D., Petto, A. J., Wiles, J. R., and Campbell, B. C. (2017). A multifactorial analysis of acceptance of evolution. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 10(1):1–8.
- Evans, E. M. (2008). Conceptual change and evolutionary biology: A developmental analysis. *International Handbook of Research on Conceptual Change*, pages 263–294.

- Gentile, J., Brenner, Kerry, and Stephens, Amy, editors (2017). *Undergraduate Research Experiences for STEM Students: Successes, Challenges, and Opportunities*. National Academies Press.
- Glaser, R. (1990). The reemergence of learning theory within instructional research. *American psychologist*, 45(1):29.
- Göransson, A., Orraryd, D., Fiedler, D., and Tibell, L. A. (2020). Conceptual characterization of threshold concepts in student explanations of evolution by natural selection and effects of item context. *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 19(1):ar1.
- Haak, D. C., HilleRisLambers, J., Pitre, E., and Freeman, S. (2011). Increased structure and active learning reduce the achievement gap in introductory biology. *Science*, 332(6034):1213–1216.
- Heath, C. and Heath, D. (2007). *Made to Stick: Why Some Ideas Survive and Others Die*. Random House.
- Inagaki, K. and Hatano, G. (2002). *Young Children’s Naive Thinking about the Biological World*. Essays in Developmental Psychology. Psychology Press.
- Kalinowski, S. T., Leonard, M. J., and Taper, M. L. (2016). Development and validation of the conceptual assessment of natural selection (CANS). *CBE–Life Sciences Education*, 15(4):ar64.
- Kampourakis, K. (2013). Teaching about adaptation: Why evolutionary history matters. *Science & Education*, 22(2):173–188.
- Kampourakis, K. (2020). Students’ “teleological misconceptions” in evolution education: Why the underlying design stance, not teleology per se, is the problem. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 13(1):1–12.
- Kampourakis, K. and Zogza, V. (2008). Students’ intuitive explanations of the causes of homologies and adaptations. *Science & Education*, 17(1):27–47.
- Keil, F. C. (2006). Explanation and understanding. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 57:227–254.
- Kirschner, P. A. (2017). Stop propagating the learning styles myth. *Computers & Education*, 106:166–171.
- Mayr, E. (1961). Cause and effect in biology. *Science*, 134(3489):1501–1506.
- Mead, L. S., Clarke, J. B., Forcino, F., and Graves, J. L. (2015). Factors influencing minority student decisions to consider a career in evolutionary biology. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 8(1):1–11.
- Mervis, J. (2009). Universities begin to rethink first-year biology courses. *American Association for the Advancement of Science*.
- Nadelson, L. S. and Southerland, S. (2012). A more fine-grained measure of students’ acceptance of evolution: Development of the Inventory of Student Evolution Acceptance—I-SEA. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(11):1637–1666.
- Nehm, R. H. (2021). Identifying and dismantling barriers to evolutionary biology interests and outcomes in minoritized students: Examples from gateway biology. In *Annual Meeting of the Society for the Study of Evolution*.
- Nehm, R. H. and Ha, M. (2011). Item feature effects in evolution assessment. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48(3):237–256.
- Nehm, R. H. and Ridgway, J. (2011). What do experts and novices “see” in evolutionary problems? *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 4(4):666–679.

- Nesse, R. M. (2013). Tinbergen's four questions, organized: A response to Bateson and Laland. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 28(12):681–82.
- Newton, P. M. (2015). The learning styles myth is thriving in higher education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6:1908.
- O'Brien, L. T., Bart, H. L., and Garcia, D. M. (2020). Why are there so few ethnic minorities in ecology and evolutionary biology? Challenges to inclusion and the role of sense of belonging. *Social Psychology of Education*, 23(2):449–477.
- Pashler, H., McDaniel, M., Rohrer, D., and Bjork, R. (2008). Learning styles: Concepts and evidence. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 9(3):105–119.
- Potochnik, A. (2013). Biological explanation. In *The Philosophy of Biology*, pages 49–65. Springer.
- Potochnik, A. (2020). What constitutes an explanation in biology? In *Philosophy of Science for Biologists*, pages 21–35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Riemeier, T. (2009). Wie erklären Schüler biologische Phänomene?—Eine Analyse von Schülerbeschreibungen und -erklärungen. [How do students explain biological phenomena— An analysis of students' descriptions and explanations.]. In *Heterogenität Erfassen—Individuell Fördern Im Biologieunterricht*, pages 71–83. Studienverlag, Innsbruck.
- Rohrer, D. and Pashler, H. (2012). Learning styles: Where's the evidence? *ERIC*, 46(7):634–635.
- Salmon, W. C. (2006). *Four Decades of Scientific Explanation*. University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Sbeglia, G. C. and Nehm, R. H. (2018). Measuring evolution acceptance using the GAENE: Influences of gender, race, degree-plan, and instruction. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 11(1):1–19.
- Sbeglia, G. C. and Nehm, R. H. (2020). Illuminating the complexities of conflict with evolution: Validation of the scales of evolutionary conflict measure (SECM). *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 13(1):1–22.
- Scott, E. C. (2010). Dobzhansky was right: Let's tell the students. *Bioessays*, 32(5):372–374.
- Shortlidge, E. E., Bangera, G., and Brownell, S. E. (2017). Each to their own CURE: Faculty who teach course-based undergraduate research experiences report why you too should teach a CURE. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 18(2):18–2.
- Speth, E. B., Shaw, N., Momsen, J., Reinagel, A., Le, P., Taqieddin, R., and Long, T. (2014). Introductory biology students' conceptual models and explanations of the origin of variation. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 13(3):529–539.
- Tinbergen, N. (1963). On aims and methods of ethology. *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie*, 20(4):410–433.
- Trommler, F., Gresch, H., and Hammann, M. (2018). Students' reasons for preferring teleological explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(2):159–187.
- Trommler, F. and Hammann, M. (2020). The relationship between biological function and teleology: Implications for biology education. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 13:1–16.
- Ziadie, M. and Andrews, T. (2018). Moving evolution education forward: A systematic analysis of literature to identify gaps in collective knowledge for teaching. *CBE—Life Sciences Education*, 17(1):ar11.