```
Notation: P_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = P[X = x \mid Y = y]
   *Subscript indicates the RV, and the value indicates the real-
Intro:
Random Experiment: An outcome for each run.

Sample Space \Omega: Set of all possible outcomes.

Event: Measurable subsets of \Omega.

Prob. of Event A: P(A) = \frac{Number of outcomes in A}{Number of outcomes in \Omega}

Axioms: (1) P(A) \ge 0 \ \forall A \in \Omega, (2) P(\Omega) = 1,

(3) If A \cap B = \emptyset, then P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) \ \forall A, B \in \Omega
  Cond. Prob. P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}
 *Prob. measured on new sample space B.

*P(A \cap B) = P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)
Independence: P(A|B) = P(A|B) =
 Bayes' Rule: P(H_k|A) = \frac{P(H_k \cap A)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A|H_k)P(H_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(A|H_i)P(H_i)}
*Posteriori: P(H_k|A), Likelihood: P(A|H_k), Prior: P(H_k)
 1 RV: Cumulative Distribution Fn (CDF): F_X(x) = P[X \le x] Prob. Mass Fn (PMF): P_X(x_j) = P[X = x_j] \ j = 1, 2, \dots
  Prob. Density Fn (PDF): f_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)
 *P[a \le X \le b] = \int_a^b f_X(x) dx

Exp.: E[h(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f_X(x) dx
  E[h(X)] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k) P_X(x_i{=}k)
   Variance: \sigma_X^2 = \text{Var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2
  Cond. Exp.: E[X|A] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x|A) dx
  Joint PMF: P_{X,Y}(x, y) = P[X = x, Y = y]
 Joint PDF: f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\partial x} \frac{1}{\partial y} F_{X,Y}(x,y)

*P[(X,Y) \in A] = \int \int (x,y) \in A f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy

Exp.: E[g(X,Y)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x,y) f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy
Exp.: E[y(X, Y)] = -\infty
Correlation: E[XY]
Covar: Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)] = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]
Corr. Coeff.: \rho_{X,Y} = E\left[\left(\frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)\left(\frac{Y - \mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)\right] = \frac{Cov[X, Y]}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y}
  *-1 \le \rho_{X,Y} \le 1
 Bayes' Rule f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} = \frac{f_{X|Y}(x|y)f_{Y}(y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y')f_{Y}(y') \, dy'}
= \frac{P_{X,Y}(x,y)}{P_{X,Y}(x,y)} = \frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y)P_{Y}(y)}{P_{X,Y}(x|y)P_{Y}(y)}
  ^*P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{P_{X,Y}(x,y)}{P_{X}(x)} = \frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y)P_{Y}(y)}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{X|Y}(x|y_j)P_{Y}(y_j)}
  Ind.: f_{X|Y}(x|y) = f_X(x) \ \forall y \Leftrightarrow f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)
 Thm: If independent, then uncorrelated unless Guassian. 
 Uncorrelated: \text{Cov}[X,Y]=0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{X,Y}=0
Uncorrelated: \operatorname{Cov}[X,Y] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{X,Y} = 0 Orthogonal: E[XY] = 0 (Cond. Exp.: E[Y] = E[E[Y|X]] or E[E[h(Y)|X]] *E[E[Y|X]] w.r.t. X \mid E[Y|X] w.r.t. Y. Estimation: Estimate unknown parameter \theta from n i.i.d. measurements X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \Theta(X) = g(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) (Stimation Error: \Theta(X) = \theta. Unbiased: \Theta(X) is unbiased if E[\Theta(X)] = \theta. *Asymptotically unbiased: \lim_{n \to \infty} E[\Theta(X)] = \theta. Consistent: \Theta(X) is consistent if \Theta(X) \to \theta as n \to \infty or \forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} P[\Theta(X) = \theta] < \epsilon] \to 1. Sufficient: A statistic is sufficient if the expression depends only on the statistic, it should be made up of x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n. Sample Mean: M_n = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot S_n = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i.
 Sample Mean: M_n = \frac{1}{n}S_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i.

*Given a sequence of i.i.d. RVs, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, M_n is unbiased and consistent.

Sample Variance: S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - M_n)^2.

*Given a sequence of i.i.d. RVs, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, S_n^2 is biased and consistent.
 and consistent. *Use S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - M_n)^2 for unbiased.
  Chebychev's Inequality: P[|X - E[X]| \ge \epsilon] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{2}
  *P[|X - E[X]| < \epsilon] \ge 1 - \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{\epsilon^2}
  Weak Law of Large #s: \lim_{n\to\infty} P[|M_n - \mu| < \epsilon] = 1 \ \forall \epsilon > \epsilon
  ML Estimation: Choose \theta that is most likely to generate the
  obs. x_1, x_2, ..., x_n.
  *Disc: \hat{\Theta} = \arg\max_{\theta} P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|\theta) \stackrel{\log}{\rightarrow} \hat{\theta} = \arg\max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_{X}(x_{i}|\theta)
   *Cont: \hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|\theta) \xrightarrow{\log} \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f_{X}(x_{i}|\theta)
  Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimation:
   *Disc: \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \arg \max_{\theta} P_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta)P_{\Theta}(\theta)
  *Cont: \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta)
  *f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}): Posteriori, f_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta): Likelihood, f_{\Theta}(\theta): Prior
\text{Bayes' Rule: } P_{\Theta \mid \underline{X}}(\theta \mid \underline{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\underline{X} \mid \Theta}(\underline{x} \mid \theta) P_{\Theta}(\theta)}{P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})} \\ \frac{f_{\underline{X} \mid \Theta}(\underline{x} \mid \theta) P_{\Theta}(\theta)}{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})} \end{cases}
                                                                                                                                                                                            if X cont.
f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta)f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})} & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ disc.} \\ \frac{f_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta)f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|\theta)f_{\Theta}(\theta)} & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ cont.} \end{cases}
*Independent of \theta.
   *Independent of \theta: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta) d\theta
  Least Mean Squares (LMS) Estimation: Assume prior P_{\Theta}(\theta)
 or f_{\Theta}(\theta) w/ obs. \underline{X} = \underline{x}.

*\hat{\theta} = g(\underline{x}) = \mathbb{E}[\Theta|\underline{X} = \underline{x}] \mid \hat{\Theta} = g(\underline{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\Theta|\underline{X}]
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Conditional Exp. } E[X|Y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) \ dx \\ \textbf{Binary Hyp. Testing: } H_0\colon \text{Null Hyp., } H_1\colon \text{Alt. Hyp.} \\ \Omega_{\underline{X}} \colon \text{Set of all possible obs. } \underline{x}. \end{array}
```



TI Err. (False Rejection): Reject H_0 when H_0 is true. * $\alpha(R) = P[\underline{X} \in R \mid H_0]$ (false alarm) TII Err. (False Accept.): Accept H_0 when H_1 is true. * $\beta(R) = P[\underline{X} \in R^c \mid H_1]$ (missed detection)

*Max. Likelihood Test: 1, Likelihood Ratio Test: ξ Neyman-Pearson Lemma: Given a false rejection prob. (α) , the LRT offers the smallest possible false accept. prob. (β) , and vice versa. *LRT produces (α, β) pairs that lie on the efficient frontier.



Bayesian Hyp. Testing:

MAP Rule:
$$L(\underline{x}) = \frac{p_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_1)}{p_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_0)} \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\gtrless}} \overset{P[H_0]}{\underset{P[H_1]}{\gtrless}}$$

Gaussian to Q Fcn: 1. Find
$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} dt$$
.

2. Use table to find Q(x) for $x \ge 0$. Min. Cost Bayes' Dec. Rule: C_{ij} is cost of choosing H_j when H_i is true. Given obs. X = x, the expected cost of choosing H_j is $A_j(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^1 C_{ij} P[H_i | \underline{X} = \underline{x}].$

choosing
$$H_j$$
 is $A_j(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^1 C_{ij} P[H_i | \underline{X} = \underline{x}].$

Min. Cost Dec. Rule: $L(\underline{x}) = \frac{P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_1)}{P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_0)} \overset{H_1}{\underset{i=0}{\gtrless}} \frac{(C_{01} - C_{00})P[H_0]}{(C_{10} - C_{11})P[H_1]}$

* C_{01} : False accept. cost, C_{10} : False reject. cost.

* C_{01} : False accept. cost, C_{10} : False reject. cost.

Naive Bayes Assumption: Assume $X_1 \dots, X_n$ (features) are ind., then $p_{X|\Theta}(\underline{x} \mid \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i|\Theta}(x_i \mid \theta)$.

Notation: $P_{X_i|\Theta}(\underline{x} \mid \theta)$, only put RVs in subscript, not values.

 $P_X(\underline{x}|H_i)$, didn't put H in subscript b/c it's not a RV.

Binomial # of successes in n trials, each w/ prob. p $b(x \mid n, p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$(x \mid n, p) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$*F[Y] = u = nn + Van(Y) = \sigma^2 = nn(1 - n)$$

* $E[X] = \mu = np \mid Var(X) = \sigma^2 = np(1-p)$ * $E[X] = \mu = np \mid Var(X) = \sigma^2 = np(1-p)$ *Multinomial # of x_i successes in n trials, each w/ prob. p_i * $f(x_i \mid p_i \forall i, n) = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_m} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_m^{x_m}$ * $\sum_i x_i = n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$ * $E[X_i] = \mu = np_i + Var(X_i) = 2$

$$f(x_i \mid p_i \forall i, n) = \frac{n!}{x_1! \dots x_m!} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_m^{x_m}$$

$$*E[X_i] = \mu_i = np_i \mid Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 = np_i(1 - p_i)$$

$$h(x \mid N, n, k) = \frac{\binom{k}{x} \binom{N-k}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{x}}$$

*
$$\sum_{i} x_{i} = n$$
, and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_{i} = 1$
* $E[X_{i}] = \mu_{i} = np_{i} \mid Var(X_{i}) = \sigma_{i}^{2} = np_{i}(1 - p_{i})$
Hypergeometric # of successes in n draws from N items, k of which are successes
$$h(x \mid N, n, k) = \frac{\binom{k}{N}\binom{N-k}{n-x}}{\binom{N}{N}}$$
* $\max\{0, n - (N-k)\} \le x \le \min\{n, k\}$
* $E[X] = \mu = \frac{nk}{N} \mid Var(X) = \sigma^{2} = \frac{N-n}{N-1} \cdot n \cdot \frac{k}{N} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)$
Negative Binomial # of trials until k successes each $y \in N$

Negative Binomial # of trials until k successes, each w/ prob.

$$b^*(x \mid k, p) = {x-1 \choose k-1} p^k (1-p)^{x-1}$$

$$*x \ge k, x = k, k + 1, \dots$$

$$*E[X] = \mu = \frac{\kappa}{p} | Var(X) = \sigma^2 = \frac{\kappa(1-p)}{p^2}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} p \\ b^*(x \mid k,p) = \binom{x-1}{k-1} p^k (1-p)^{x-k} \\ *x \geq k, x = k, k+1, \dots \\ *E[X] = \mu = \frac{k}{p} \mid Var(X) = \sigma^2 = \frac{k(1-p)}{p^2} \\ \mathbf{Geometric} \ \# \ \text{of trials until 1st success, each w/ prob. } p \\ g(x \mid p) = p(1-p)^{x-1} \\ *x \geq 1, x = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{array}$

$$*E[X] = \mu = \frac{1}{p} \mid Var(X) = \sigma^2 = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} p & p^2 \\ \textbf{Poisson} \ \# \ \text{of events in a fixed interval } \text{w}/ \ \text{rate } \lambda \\ p(x \mid \lambda t) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^x}{x!} \\ *x \geq 0, x = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{array}$

*
$$E[X] = \mu = \lambda t \mid Var(X) = \sigma^2 = \lambda t$$

 ${}^*\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} \ dt$

Prop.: 1. $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\Gamma(m+1) = m!$. 2. $\beta(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{(\alpha+\beta-1)!}{(\alpha-1)!(\beta-1)!} = \beta\binom{\alpha+\beta-1}{\alpha-1}$ 3. Expected Value: $E[\Theta] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha,\beta>0$ 4. Mode (max of PDF): $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}$ for $\alpha,\beta>1$

Drawing Beta Dist. 1. Label x-axis from 0 to 1. 2. Identify

mode. 3. Determine shape based on α and β : $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (uniform), $\alpha = \beta > 1$ (bell-shaped, peak at 0.5), $\alpha = \beta < 1$ (U-shaped w/ high density near 0 and 1), $\alpha > \beta$ (left-skewed), $\alpha < \beta$ (right-skewed).

Uniform PDF
$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \le x \le b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*
$$E[X] = \frac{a+b}{2}$$
, $Var[X] = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$

Random Vector: $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n) = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = [X_1 \dots X_n]^T$

Mean Vector: $\underline{m}_{\underline{X}} = E[\underline{X}] = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n]^T$

$$\mathbf{Corr.\ Mat.:}\ R_{\underline{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} E[X_1^2] & \cdots & E[X_1X_n] \\ E[X_2X_1] & \cdots & E[X_2X_n] \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E[X_nX_1] & \cdots & E[X_n^2] \end{bmatrix}$$

*Real, symmetric
$$(R = R^T)$$
, and PSD $(\forall \underline{a}, \underline{a}^T R_{\underline{a}} \geq 0)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Var}[X_1] & \cdots & \text{Cov}[X_1, X_n] \\
&\text{Covar. Mat.: } K_{\underline{X}} = \begin{bmatrix}
& \text{Cov}[X_2, X_1] & \cdots & \text{Cov}[X_2, X_n] \\
& \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& \text{Cov}[X_n, X_1] & \cdots & \text{Var}[X_n]
\end{bmatrix} \\
&\text{*} K_{\underline{X}} = R_{\underline{X}} - \underline{m}_{\underline{X}} = R_{\underline{X}} - \underline{m}_{\underline{M}}^T \\
&\text{*} \text{Diagonal } K_{\underline{X}} \iff X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are (mutually) uncorrelated.}
\end{aligned}$$

```
Lin. Trans. \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} (A rotates and stretches \underline{X}) Mean: E[\underline{Y}] = A\underline{m}\underline{X}
  Covar. Mat.: K\underline{Y} = AK\underline{X}A^T
Diagonalization of Covar. Mat. (Uncorrelated):
    \forall \underline{X}, set P = [\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_n] of K_{\underline{X}}, if \underline{Y} = P^T \underline{X}, then
    K_{\underline{Y}} = P^T K_{\underline{X}} P = \Lambda
    *\underline{\underline{Y}}: Uncorrelated RVs, K_{\underline{\underline{X}}} = P \Lambda P^T
     Find an Uncorrelated I

    Find eigenvalues, normalized eigenvectors of K<sub>X</sub>.

  2. Set K_{\underline{Y}} = \Lambda, where \underline{Y} = P^T \underline{X}

PDF of L.T. If \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} w/ A not singular, then
  f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) = \frac{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})}{|\det A|}\Big|_{\underline{x}=A^{-1}\underline{y}}
  Find f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) 1. Given f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) and RV relations, define A 2. Determine |\det A|, A^{-1}, then f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}).
  Gaussian RVs: \underline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)
PDF of jointly Gaus. X_1, \dots, X_n \equiv Guas. vector: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\det \Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})}
*Indep.: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}
*Indep.: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}
*Indep.: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2}
*IIID: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma_n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2}
*Cond. PDF: f_{\underline{X}}[\underline{Y}(\underline{x}|\underline{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}}, \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}})
Properties of Guassian Vector:
  Properties of Guassian Vector:

1. PDF is completely determined by \underline{\mu}, \Sigma.

2. \underline{X} uncorrelated \iff \underline{X} independent.
    3. Any L.T. \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} is Gaus. vector w/ \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}} = A\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}, \Sigma_{\underline{Y}} = A\Sigma_{\underline{X}}A^T.
  4. Any subset of \{X_i\} are jointly Gaus.
5. Any cond. PDF of a subset of \{X_i\} given the other elements
    of Gaussian Covar. (Indep.) \forall \underline{X}, set P = [\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_n] of \Sigma_{\underline{X}}, if \underline{Y} = P^T\underline{X}, then
    \Sigma_{\underline{Y}} = P^T \Sigma_{\underline{X}} P = \Lambda
  *Y: Indep. Gaussian RVs, \Sigma_{\underline{X}} = P\Lambda P^T
How to go from Y to X? 1. Given, \underline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)
  2. \underline{V} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, I) 3. \underline{W} = \sqrt{\Lambda}\underline{V} 4. \underline{Y} = P\underline{W} 4. \underline{X} = \underline{Y} + \underline{\mu} Guassian Discriminant Analysis:
 Guassian Discriminant Analysis: Obs: X = x = (x_1, \dots, x_D) Hyp: \underline{x} is generated by \mathcal{N}(\mu_c, \Sigma_c), c \in C Dec: Which "Guassian bump" generated \underline{x}? Prior: P[C = c] = \pi_c (Gaussian Mixture Model) MAP: \hat{c} = \arg\max_{C} P_C[c]X = \underline{x}] = \arg\max_{C} f_{\underline{X}|C}(\underline{x} \mid c)\pi_C
    LGD: Given \Sigma_c = \Sigma \ \forall c, find c \ \text{w/ best } \underline{\mu}_c
  \hat{c} = \arg \max_{c} \underline{\beta}_{c}^{T} \underline{x} + \gamma_{c}
*\underline{\beta}_{c}^{T} = \underline{\mu}_{c}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} \mid \gamma_{c} = \log \pi_{c} - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\mu}_{c}^{T} \Sigma^{-1} \underline{\mu}_{c}
     Bin. Hyp. Decision Boundary \underline{\beta}_0^T \underline{x} + \gamma_0 = \underline{\beta}_1^T \underline{x} + \gamma_1
 Bin. Hyp. Decision Boundary \underline{\beta}_0^* \underline{x} + \gamma_0 = \underline{\beta}_1^* \underline{x} + \gamma_1
*Linear in space of \underline{x}
QGD: Given \Sigma_c are diff., find c w/ best \underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c
\hat{c} = \arg \max_c -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_c| - \frac{1}{2} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}_c)^T \Sigma_c^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}_c) + \log \pi_c
Bin. Hyp. Decision Boundary Quadratic in space of \underline{x}
How to find \underline{\pi}_c, \underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c: Given n points gen. by GMM, then n_c points \{\underline{x}_1^c, \dots, \underline{x}_{n_c}^c\} come from \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c)
n_c points \{\underline{x}_1^c, \dots, \underline{x}_{n_c}^c\} come now \lambda \setminus \underline{\mu}_c, \dots, \underline{\mu}_c, \hat{\pi}_c = \frac{n_c}{n} (categorical RV) \hat{\mu}_c = \frac{1}{n_c} \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{x}_i^c, \text{ (sample mean)} \Sigma_c = \frac{1}{n_c} \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} (x_i^c - \hat{\mu}_c) (x_i^c - \hat{\mu}_c)^T \text{ (biased sampled var.)} \frac{\text{Gussain Estimation:}}{\text{Guen } \underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \underline{Y} = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\}}
   \hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{MAP}}(\underline{y}) = \hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{LMS}}(\underline{y}) = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}} = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} + \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{Y}} \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{Y}}^{-1} (\underline{y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}}) 
 \hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{MAP}/\text{LMS}} : \text{Linear fcn of } \underline{y} 
  **\SigmaMAP/LMS* \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{XX} & \Sigma_{XY} \\ \Sigma_{YX} & \Sigma_{YY} \end{bmatrix}
  *\Sigma\underline{XX} = \Sigma\underline{X} = E\left[(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}\underline{X})(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}\underline{X})^T\right] \mid \Sigma\underline{YY} = \Sigma\underline{Y}
  {}^*\Sigma_{\underline{XY}} = E\left[(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})(\underline{Y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}})^T\right] \mid \Sigma_{\underline{YX}} = \Sigma_{\underline{XY}}^T
  Prec. Matrices: \Lambda = \Sigma^{-1}
Mean and Covar. Mat. of \underline{X} Given \underline{Y}:
     *\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}} = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} + \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{Y}} \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{Y}}^{-1} (\underline{y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}})
  *\Sigma_{X|Y} = \Sigma_{X} - \Sigma_{XY} \Sigma_{YY}^{-1} \Sigma_{YX}
*Reducing Uncertainty: 2nd term is PSD, so given \underline{Y} = \underline{y}, always reducing uncertainty in \underline{X}.
ML Estimator for \theta w/ Indep. Guas:
  Given \underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}: \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\pi_i}{\sigma_i^2}} (weighted avg. \underline{x})
    *X_i = \theta + Z_i: Measurement | Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2): Noise (indep.)
  *\frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}: Precision of X_i (i.e. weight)
\begin{array}{l} \sigma_i^2 \\ \sigma_i^2 \\ \end{array} \approx \begin{array}{l} \text{less weight on } X_i \text{ (less reliable measurement)} \\ \text{*SC: If } \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2 \; \forall i \text{ (iid), then } \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \\ \text{MAP Estimator for } \theta \; \text{w/ Indep. Gaus., Gaus. Prior:} \\ \text{Given } \underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \; \text{prior } \Theta \sim \mathcal{N}(x_0, \sigma_0^2) \\ \\ \hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} x_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} x_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} x_0 + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n 
     *X_i = \theta + Z_i: Measurement | Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2): Noise (indep.)
    *f_{\Theta}: Gaussian prior \equiv prior meas. x_0 \le \sigma_0^2.
 f_{\Theta}. Gaussian prior = prior meas. x_0 \text{ w} / \sigma_0^2.

*SC: As n \to \infty, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} \to \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}. As \sigma_0^2 \to \infty, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} \to \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}. LMMSE Estimator for \underline{X} Given \underline{Y} w/ non-Guas. \underline{X}, \underline{Y}:

\hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{LMMSE}}(\underline{y}) = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} + \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{XY}} \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{YY}}^{-1}(\underline{y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}})

Linear Guassian System: Given \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} + \underline{b} + \underline{Z}

*\underline{X} \to \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}, \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}}), \underline{Z} \to \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{Z}}): Noise (indep. of \underline{x})

*\underline{X} \to \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}, \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}}), \underline{Z} \to \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{Z}}): Noise (indep. of \underline{x})
    *A\underline{X} + \underline{b}: channel distortion, \underline{Y}: Observed sig.
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{MAP/LMS Estimator for} \ \underline{X} \ \textbf{Given} \ \underline{Y} \ \textbf{w} / \ \underline{W} = (\underline{X},\underline{Y}) \\ \textbf{Given} \ \underline{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X} \\ A\underline{X} + \underline{b} + \underline{Z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X} \\ \underline{Z} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \underline{0} \\ \underline{b} \end{bmatrix}  \end{array} 
   \begin{array}{c|c} & - \left[A \underline{X} + \underline{b} + \underline{Z}\right] & A^{T} & \left[\underline{D}\right] \\ \hat{x}_{\text{MAP}/\text{LMS}} = \underline{\mu}_{X} + \Sigma_{X} A^{T} \left(A \Sigma_{X} A^{T} + \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}\right)^{-1} \left(\underline{y} - A \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} - \underline{b}\right) \\ * \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{Y}} &= \Sigma_{\underline{X}} A^{T}, \ \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{Y}} = A \Sigma_{\underline{X}} A^{T} + \Sigma_{\underline{Z}} \\ \hat{x}_{\text{MAP}/\text{LMS}} = \left(\underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}}^{-1} + A^{T} \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{-1} A\right)^{-1} \left(A^{T} \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{-1} \left(\underline{y} - \underline{b}\right) + \Sigma_{\underline{X}}^{-1} \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}\right) \\ * \text{Use: Good to use when } \underline{Z} \text{ is indep.} \end{array} 
 Covar. Mat of \underline{X} Given \underline{Y} = \underline{y}: \Sigma_{\underline{X}|\underline{y}} = \left(\Sigma_{\underline{X}}^{-1} + A^T \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{-1} A\right)^{-1} Linear Regression: Estimate unknown target fn Y = g(\underline{X}) w/ iid obs. \{(\underline{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\underline{x}_n, y_n)\} (MLE/MAP) *\underline{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]^T
                                                            \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}
   ML Estimator: Y = h(\underline{x}) = \underline{w}^T \underline{x} + Z \approx g(\underline{X}), then \underline{\hat{w}}_{ML} =
   (XX^T)^{-1}X^T\underline{y}
     *Assume X^TX has full rank (i.e. invertible) since n\gg D
  "Assume X^-X has full rank (i.e. invertible *n: \# of obs., D: \# of features. *\underline{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_D\} \colon \text{Input features}
*\underline{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_D\} \colon \text{Weights (parameter)}
*Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \colon \text{Noise (i.i.d.)}
*Y \colon \text{Target/observed output}
     *X^{\dagger} = (X^TX)^{-1}X^T: Pseudo-inverse of X (minimizes ||X\underline{w}||
   \underline{y}||_2^2 \iff \text{maximizes the likelihood of training data})
  Non-Linear Trans: \hat{y} = \underline{w}^T \phi(\underline{x}) + Z w/ same assumptions, then \hat{w}_{\text{ML}} = (XX^T)^{-1} X^T \underline{y} + \phi(\underline{x}): Non-linear transformation of \underline{x}
   -E.g. of 1 dim x: \phi(x) =
     *M: Degree of polynomial, D = 1 + M: # of features.
                                    \left[\underline{\phi}(\underline{x}_1)^T\right]
                                                                                            \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}
\begin{array}{c} \left\lfloor \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}_n)^T \right\rfloor \\ \text{Underfitting: Nodel too simple, high bias, low variance.} \\ \text{*Underfitting: Model too simple, high bias, low variance.} \\ \text{*Results in high train/test error.} \\ \text{*Overfitting: Model too complex, low bias, high variance.} \\ \text{*Results in low train error, high test error.} \\ \text{MAP Estimator (Bayesian Linear Regression): Assume prior } w_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2) \text{ (i.i.d.) and } \hat{y} = \underline{w}^T \underline{x} + Z, \text{ then } \\ \underline{\hat{w}}_{\text{MAP}} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T \underline{y} \\ \text{*} \lambda = \frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2} \text{: Regularization parameter} \\ \text{*} X \text{: Can be linear or non-linear transformation of } \underline{x} \\ \text{*} \underline{w} = \{x_1, \dots, x_D\} \text{: Input features} \\ \text{*} \underline{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_D\} \text{: Weights (parameter)} \\ \text{*} Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \text{: Noise (i.i.d.)} \\ \end{array}
     *Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2): Noise (i.i.d.)
     *Y: Target/observed output
                  Useful when training data set size is small i.e. n \ll D.
 1. Useful when training data set size is small i.e. n \ll D. Regularization: Prevents overfitting by penalizing large weights. *\tau = \infty \implies \lambda = 0: No regularization so \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{MAP}} = \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{ML}} *\tau = 0 \implies \lambda = \infty: Infinite regularization so \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{MAP}} = \underline{0} *\tau \downarrow \implies \lambda \uparrow: More regularization, simpler model. *\tau \uparrow \implies \lambda \downarrow: Less regularization, more complex model. Guassian Linear System Given training data \underline{Y} = \underline{X}\underline{w} + \underline{Z} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{MAP}} = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{w}|\underline{Y}} = (\underline{X}^T\underline{X} + \lambda I)^{-1}\underline{X}^T\underline{y} *\underline{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \tau^2 I), \underline{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \sigma^2 I) *\underline{E}[\underline{\underline{w}}(\underline{Y})] \rightarrow \underline{w} as n \rightarrow \infty *Note: Matching it to canonical form.
  *Note: Matching it to canonical form. 

Covar. Mat: \Sigma_{\underline{w}|\underline{y}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}X^TX + \frac{1}{\tau^2}I\right)^{-1} \preceq \tau^2I
-Less uncertainty in \underline{w} w/ more data. As n \uparrow, \Sigma_{\underline{w}|\underline{y}} \downarrow
   Bayesian Prediction Given some new \underline{x}' (test data sample),
   find its label y'
  Plug-In Approx: \hat{Y}' = \underline{x}'^T \underline{\hat{w}}_{MAP}(\mathcal{D}) + Z'
*\mathcal{D}: Training data set, Z' \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2): Noise
   Bayesian Prediction: Use Y' = \underline{x}'^T \underline{w} + Z' and
   f_{\underline{\underline{w}}|\underline{Y}}(\underline{\underline{w}} \mid \underline{\underline{y}}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{\underline{\underline{w}}|\underline{Y}}, \Sigma_{\underline{\underline{w}}|\underline{Y}}) \text{ to return } f_{Y'}(y' \mid \mathcal{D}) \text{ where}
                   is Gaussian given \mathcal{D} w/
   *\mu_{Y'|\mathcal{D}} = \underline{x}'^T \mu_{\underline{w}|\underline{Y}}
     *\sigma_{Y'|D}^2 = \underline{x}'^T \Sigma_{\underline{w}|\underline{Y}} \underline{x}' + \sigma^2
  Y|D = \underline{w}|\underline{F} - \underline{w}|\underline{F}
Linear Classification (Hyp. Test):
Binary Logistic Regression: Estimate \underline{w} s.t. it is a soft de-
  cision P_{Y|\underline{X}}(1\mid\underline{x}) = \frac{P_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|1)P_{Y}(1)}{P_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|0)P_{Y}(0) + P_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|1)P_{Y}(1)}
   P_{Y|\underline{X}}(1 \mid \underline{x}) = \frac{\overline{1}}{1 + e^{-\alpha}} = \sigma(\alpha)
   {^*P_Y}_{\big|\underline{X}}(0\mid\underline{X})=\overset{-}{1}-\sigma(\alpha)=\frac{1}{1+e^\alpha}=\sigma(-\alpha)
  *\alpha = \text{log} \frac{P_X|Y(x|1)P_Y(1)}{P_X|Y(x|0)P_Y(0)} = \frac{w}{T} \frac{x}{P} \frac{1}{P_X|Y(x|0)P_Y(0)} = \frac{w}{T} \frac{x}{P} \frac{1}{P_X|Y(x|0)P_Y(0)} = \frac{w}{P_X|Y(x|0)P_Y(0)} = \frac{w}{P_X|Y(x|0)P_X(0)} = \frac{w}{P_X|Y(x|0
  -\alpha \to -\infty more likely to be in class 0.

-\alpha = 0 sequally likely to be in class 0 or 1.

Non-Linear Trans. Use \sigma(\underline{w}^T \phi(\underline{x}))

ML Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, then \underline{\hat{w}}_{ML} = \arg\min_{\underline{w}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w})

Cross Entropy b/w actual y_i and P_{Y|\underline{X}}(\cdot \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) is
   P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} - \left(y_i \log P(1 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) + (1 - y_i) \log P(0 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w})\right)
     *Note: Measures the distance between 2 distributions.
   **Propped the subscripts. 

Gradient Descent: No closed-form soln. so use GD. 

MAP Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \ldots, n, then
   \underline{\hat{w}}_{\text{MAP}} = \arg\min_{\underline{w}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) + \lambda ||\underline{w}||^2
     *\underline{\underline{w}} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma): Prior on \underline{\underline{w}}
  *Necessary: B/c same boundary \underline{w}^T\underline{x}=0 for any scaling of \underline{w}. Multiclass Logistic Regression: Y\in\{1,2,\ldots,C\}, then use
```

```
*W = [\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_C] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times C}: Weights matrix ML Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, the \hat{W}_{\text{ML}} = \arg \min_{W} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, W) MAP Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, then
  \begin{split} \hat{W}_{\text{MAP}} &= \arg\min_{W} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, W) + \sum_{c=1}^{C} \lambda_c ||\underline{w}_c||^2 \\ &\frac{\text{Markov:}}{} \end{split}
  Markov: Notation: *P(X_n = x_0, \dots, X_0 = x_0) = P(x_n, \dots, x_0) *Index the possible values of X_n w/ integers 0, 1, 2, \dots Markov Chain (Memoryless/Markovian Property): A sequence of discrete-valued RVs X_0, X_1, \dots is a (discrete-time)
    Markov chain if
    P[X_{k+1} = x_{k+1} \mid X_k = x_k, X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0] =
                                                                                             Present
                               Future
                                                                                                                                                                                                Past
  Future Present Past P[X_{k+1} = x_{k+1} \mid X_k = x_k] \ \forall k, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \\ *Markovian: P(x_n, \dots, x_0) = P(x_n \mid x_{n-1}) \cdots P(x_1 \mid x_0) P(x_0) \\ *Equiv. Form: <math>k+1 \rightarrow n_{k+1}, k \rightarrow n_k \text{ and so on} \\ \text{for any } n_{k+1} > n_k > \dots > n_0 \text{ (i.e. farther in future/past)} 
  State Distribution: State distribution of the MC at time n is P_j(n) \equiv P[X_n = j], j = 0, 1, \dots \mid \underline{P}(n) \equiv [P_0(n), P_1(n), \dots]
   *Subscript: Value of X_n, Argument: Time step
*Row vector NOT col vector.
Transition Probabilities:
  \begin{array}{l} P_{ij}(n,n+1) \equiv P[X_{n+1}=j \mid X_n=i] \; \forall i,j,n \\ \text{Homogeneous MC:} \; P_{ij}(n,n+1) = P_{ij} \; \forall i,j,n \\ ^*\text{Time invariant,} \; P_{ij} \; \text{does not depend on} \; n \end{array}
   Transition Probability Matrix: P =
 Notes: (1) Stochastic Matrix: (1) All entries of P are nonnegative and (2) each row sums to 1: \sum_j P_{ij} = 1 \,\forall i (2) State Dist. at time n + 1: P(n) = P(n - 1)P *P(n) = P(0)P^n in terms of initial distribution P(0) (3) State Dist. at time n + m: P(n + m) = P(m)P^n \,\forall n, m n-step Transition Probabilities: Stochastic matrix P^n s.t. (n)
   P_{i,i}^{(n)} \equiv P[X_{k+n} = j \mid X_k = i] for n \geq 0 are the entries of
   Limiting Distribution A MC has a limiting distribution q if
  for any initial distribution \underline{P}(0) \underline{P}(\infty) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \underline{P}(n) = \underline{q} \text{ or } \underline{P}(0)P^{\infty} \equiv \underline{P}(0)\lim_{n \to \infty} P^{n} = \underline{q}
   Theorem: A MC has a limiting distribution q iff
 Theorem: A MC has a limiting distribution \underline{q} iff q_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)} \forall i, j *i.e. every row of P^{\infty} equals q (row vector) Steady State (Stationary) Distribution \underline{\pi} is a steady state distribution of a MC if \underline{\pi} = \underline{\pi}P *1 = \sum_j \pi_j Theorem: If a limiting dist. exists \underline{q} = \underline{P}(\infty), then it is also a steady state dist
  a steady state dist.

Ergodic: For a finite-state, irreducible, and aperiodic MC, then
  then (1) Limiting dist. \underline{q} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \underline{P}(n) exists and q_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)} \ \forall i, j (2) Steady state dist. \underline{\pi} is unique. (3) \underline{\pi} = \underline{q}
How Fast Does \underline{P}(n) Converge to \underline{\pi}? (1) \underline{\pi}^* = \underline{\pi}^* r *\underline{\pi}^T is an eigenvector of P^T w/ eigenvalue 1 (2) Suppose P^T has eigenvectors U \equiv [\underline{\pi}^T, \underline{u}_2, \ldots, \underline{u}_D] and eigenvalues \Lambda \equiv \operatorname{diag}[1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_D], then P^T U = U\Lambda \Longrightarrow P^T = U\Lambda U^{-1} so n times P^n = (P^T)^n = (U\Lambda U^{-1})^n = U\Lambda^n U^{-1} Therefore, \Lambda^n = \operatorname{diag}[1, \lambda_2^n, \ldots, \lambda_D^n] ... \mathcal{T}^{n} = \mathcal{T}^{n} = \mathcal{T}^{n} (i.e. rank 1)
   How Fast Does \underline{P}(n) Converge to \underline{\pi}? (1) \underline{\pi}^T = \underline{\pi}^T P^T
  Therefore, H = \{0, 1, 1, 2, \dots, N_D\}^T (i.e. rank 1) Therefore, \# of non-zero eigenvalues is 1, so the rest of the eigenvalues must be |\lambda_i| < 1 \ \forall i \geq 2 \ \text{s.t.} \ \Lambda^n = \text{diag}[1, 0, \dots, 0] Rate_of Convergence: Depends on the 2nd largest eigenvalue
  of P^T i.e. (\lambda_2)^n is the rate of convergence. Bayesian Network: Network of RVs X_1,\ldots,X_n w/ directed
  edges
*Not State-Transition Diagram: 1 RV w/ different values
w/ different probabilities to each value.
*Fully Connected Graph: No special dependency structure,
so doesn't give additional info as we can write joint dist. from
defn. (true for any graph).
*Non-Fully Connected Graph (Absence of Links): Conveys
verful info about the dependency structure.
  useful info about the dependency structure.
*Purpose: Clarify the dependencies among a set of RVs to simplify the calculation of joint probabilities.
Factorization of Joint Dist. Suppose the dependencies among
RVs can be represented by a DAG, then P(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\prod_{i=1}^N P(x_i\mid \text{pa}\{X_i\}) Topological Ordering: Often index the RVs s.t. each child has an index greater than those of the parents. Fact: Every DAG has at least one topological ordering. Conditional Independence: A\perp B\mid C if (1) P(a,b\mid c)=P(a\mid c)P(b\mid c) \ \forall a,b,c (i.e. A and B are indep. given C) (2) P(a\mid b,c)=P(a\mid c)\ \forall a,b,c (i.e. B gives no add. info about A given C) Common Cause (Tail to Tail): A\perp B\perp C and A\perp C
  Common Cause (Tail to Tail): A \perp B \mid C, o.w. A \not\perp B Co.w. A \perp B \mid C, o.w. A \perp B \mid C
    Common Effect (Head to Head): A \perp B, o.w. A \not\perp B
    C or its descendants
  *Explaining Away: If A \to B \leftarrow C, then if you observe B, then the other cause A is less likely to be the cause for the
    effect B.
 effect B. Directed Separation (D-seperation): For non-overlapping subsets of RVs A, B, C, if all undirected paths blocked, then A and B are d-separated by C, i.e. A \perp B \mid C Blocked Path: An undirected path is blocked if it includes a
    node s.t.
                 The node is head-to-tail or tail-to-tail (Cases 1 and 2) and
   it is in set C
   2. The node is head-to-head, but neither itself nor any of its
  2. The node is head-to-head, but neither itself nor any of its descendants are in set \mathcal{C} (Case 3) Markov Boundary (Blanket): Minimal set of RVs \mathcal{M} that isolate X_i from all the remaining RVs, i.e. X_i \perp \mathcal{N} \setminus (\{X_i\} \cup \mathcal{M}) \mid \mathcal{M}
*\mathcal{N}: Set of all RVs
*\mathcal{M} = parents \cup children \cup co-parents: Blocks all paths b/w X_i
```

softmax fn $P_Y(k \mid \underline{x}, \underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_C) = \frac{e^{\underbrace{w}_K^T \underline{x}}}{\sum_{c=1}^C e^{\underbrace{w}_c^T \underline{x}}}$ * $W = [w, \dots, w_c] \in {}^nD \times C \dots$

```
and the remaining nodes. 

Markov Random Field: Represent RVs as an undirected graph s.t. conditional independence \mathcal{A} \perp \mathcal{B} \mid \mathcal{C} hold iff all paths b/w
  A and B so through C.
 *Markov blanket of X_i:= set of neighbours of X_i
*No Order: No longer a way to order the RVs.
Factorization of Joint Dist. 2 non-neighbouring nodes (RVs)
  are conditionally indep. given the set of nodes that separate
 them: P(x_i,x_j\mid C) = P(x_i\mid C)P(x_j\mid C) \; \forall i,j *i.e. x_i and x_j should not appear in the same factor.
1.6. x_i and x_j should not appear in the same factor. Clique: A set of nodes s.t. there is link b/w any pair of them Maximal Clique: A clique s.t. we cannot add another node and maintain a clique. Hammersley-Clifford Theorem: Let \underline{x}_C denote the values of RVs in set C. Any strictly postitive dist. P(\underline{x}) that satisfies a Markov random field can be factorized as P(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_C \psi_C(\underline{x}_C) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\sum_C C} E(\underline{x}_C)
*Z = \sum_{\underline{x}} \prod_C \psi_C(\underline{x}_C): Normalization constant **IIC: Product of all maximal cliques
  *\Pi_C: Product of all maximal cliques
*\Pi_C: Product of all maximal cliques

*\psi_C(x_C) = e^{-E}(x_C): Potential function over the clique C (not necessarily a prob.)

*E(x_C): Energy function over the clique C
Conversion from Bayesian Net to Markov Random Field Always possible, but some dependency structure will be lost (1) For each clique C, define a potential function \psi_C (2) For each pair of nodes i, j that are not connected by an edge, add a clique C that contains i, j and define \psi_C (3) For each node i, add a clique C that contains i and its parents and define \psi_C

Hidden Markov Model (HMM):

*State-transition Prob.

P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) \equiv P|Z_n = z_n \mid Z_{n-1} = z_{n-1}|, Z < n < N
 P(z_n | z_{n-1}) \equiv P[Z_n = z_n | Z_{n-1} = z_{n-1}], \ Z \leq n \leq N *Initial State Dist. P(z_1) \equiv P[Z_1 = z_1] *Emission Prob
 **Note: Can be continuous (density).
 (1) Z_n nodes are head-to-tail or tail-to-tail and Z_1, \dots, Z_N are unobserved
\begin{array}{c} \alpha(z_{n}) \equiv P[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, z_{n}] \\ *\alpha(z_{1}) = P(x_{1}, z_{1}) = P(z_{1})P(x_{1} \mid z_{1}) \\ \hline \alpha(z_{n}) = P(x_{n} \mid z_{n}) \sum_{z_{n-1}} P(z_{n} \mid z_{n-1})\alpha(z_{n-1}) \end{array}
   \alpha(z_N) = P(\underline{x}, z_N) \implies P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{z_N} \alpha(z_N)
 * \{\alpha(z_n)\}_{z_n=1,...,K}: Message from Z_n to Z_{n+1}
*Complexity: O(K^2N)
  ^*O(K) for each \alpha(z_n), O(K^2) for message at time n
 \beta(z_n) \equiv P[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}, \dots, X_N = x_N \mid \underline{Z_n = z_n}]
                                                          future obs
 \beta(z_n) \equiv P[x_{n+1}, \dots, x_N \mid z_n]
*\begin{align*} \pm(z_N) &= 1 \forall z_n \end{align*}
   \beta(z_n) = \sum_{z_{n+1}} P(x_{n+1} \mid z_{n+1}) P(z_{n+1} \mid z_n) \beta(z_{n+1})
    \beta(z_1) = P(x_2, \dots, x_n \mid z_1) \implies P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{z_1} P(z_1) P(x_1 \mid z_1) \beta(z_1)
  \{\beta(z_n)\}_{z_n=1,...,K}: Message from Z_n to Z_{n-1}
 *Complexity: O(K^2N)
 *Complexity: O(K^-N) *O(K) for message at time n *O(K) for each \beta(z_n), O(K^2) for message at time n Forward Backward: \alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n) = P(\underline{x}, z_n) P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{z_n} \alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n) \ \forall n *Viterbi Algo: Given HMM and \underline{x}, find most likely z_n \gamma(z_n) \equiv P(z_n \mid \underline{x}), \ 1 \leq n \leq N
                                   \alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n)
   \gamma(z_n) = \frac{\alpha(z_n)}{\sum_{z'_n} \alpha(z'_n)\beta(z'_n)}
     z_n^* = \arg\max_{z_n} \gamma(z_n)
   *Complexity: O(K^2N)
*Complexity: O(K^2N) Scaling: \alpha(z_n), \beta(z_n) can be small for large/small n 1. Forward: \hat{\alpha}(z_n) \equiv \frac{\alpha(z_n)}{P(x_1,\dots,x_n)} = P(z_n \mid x_1,\dots,x_n) *Does not shrink as n \uparrow c_n \equiv P(x_n \mid x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) Then P(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \prod_{m=1}^n c_m
 * \hat{\alpha}(z_n) = \frac{1}{c_n} P(x_n \mid z_n) \sum_{z_{n-1}} P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) \hat{\alpha}(z_{n-1})
  * c_n = \sum_{z_n} P(x_n \mid z_n) \sum_{z_{n-1}} P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) \hat{\alpha}(z_{n-1})
```

2. Backward: $\hat{\beta}(z_n) = \frac{\beta(z_n)}{\prod_{m=n+1}^{N} c_m}$