ROB311 Quiz 2

Hanhee Lee

March 26, 2025

Contents

1	Boo	le Plots
	1.1	Bode Plots
		1.1.1 Constant Gain
		1.1.2 Pole or Zero at $\omega = 0$
		1.1.3 Non-Zero Pole or Zero
		1.1.4 Complex Conjugate Poles
	1.2	Robustness Margins
		1.2.1 Gain Margin
		1.2.2 Phase Margin
	Dal	oustness Margins
		ot Locus, Bode, and Nyquist
3	Roo	
3	Roo	t Locus, Bode, and Nyquist
3	Root Con 4.1	at Locus, Bode, and Nyquist atrol Design in the Frequency Domain
3	Root Con 4.1	t Locus, Bode, and Nyquist trol Design in the Frequency Domain Goal
3	Root Con 4.1	trol Design in the Frequency Domain Goal
3	Cor. 4.1 4.2	trol Design in the Frequency Domain Goal
3	Cor. 4.1 4.2	trol Design in the Frequency Domain Goal Proportional Derivative (PD) Controller 4.2.1 Bode Plot Proportional Integral (PI) Controller 4.3.1 Bode Plot 4.3.2 Design Procedure
3	Cor. 4.1 4.2	trol Design in the Frequency Domain Goal Proportional Derivative (PD) Controller 4.2.1 Bode Plot Proportional Integral (PI) Controller 4.3.1 Bode Plot

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

1 Bode Plots

1.1 Bode Plots

Process:

- 1.1.1 Constant Gain
- 1.1.2 Pole or Zero at $\omega = 0$
- 1.1.3 Non-Zero Pole or Zero
- 1.1.4 Complex Conjugate Poles
- 1.2 Robustness Margins

Motivation: Approximate the GM and PM from the Bode plot:

- L(s) is a strictly proper rational fn.
- L(s) has no poles in \mathbb{C}^+ (no open loop variable poles)

1.2.1 Gain Margin

Definition:

$$|L(j\omega_{gc}) = 1| \iff |L(j\omega_{gc})|_{dB} = 0$$

1.2.2 Phase Margin

Definition:

$$|L(j\omega_{gc})| = 1 \implies |L(j\omega_{gc})|_{dB} = 0$$

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

2 Robustness Margins

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

3 Root Locus, Bode, and Nyquist

4 Control Design in the Frequency Domain

4.1 Goal

Motivation:




Figure 1

Design C(s) so that the feedback loop:

- BIBO Stable: Verify using Nyquist criterion
 - $\operatorname{roots}(1 + C(s)P(s)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{-}$
 - -C(s)G(s) has no pole-zero cancellations in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$
- Satisfies certain performance specifications: Tune using Bode plots

4.2 Proportional Derivative (PD) Controller

Motivation: Increase PM at higher frequencies.

Definition:

$$C(s) = K(T_D s + 1) \tag{1}$$

• $K, T_D > 0$

Since U(s) = C(s)E(s),

$$u(t) = \underbrace{KT_D e(t)}_{D} + \underbrace{Ke(t)}_{P} \tag{2}$$

4.2.1 Bode Plot

Notes:

$$|C(j\omega)|_{\mathrm{dB}} = 20\log|K| + 20\log|j\omega T_D + 1|$$
$$\angle C(j\omega) = \angle K + \angle(j\omega T_D + 1)$$




Figure 2

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

Proportional Integral (PI) Controller

Motivation: Increase the "system type" for better tracking (IMP) w/o affecting high frequencies.

Definition:

$$C(s) = K\left(1 + \frac{1}{T_I s}\right) = K \frac{T_I s + 1}{T_I s} \tag{3}$$

• $K, T_I > 0$

Since U(s) = C(s)E(s),

$$u(t) = \underbrace{Ke(t)}_{P} + \underbrace{\frac{K}{T_{I}} \int_{0}^{t} e(\tau)d\tau}_{I}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Bode Plot 4.3.1

Notes:




Figure 3

4.3.2 Design Procedure

- 1. Choose K to meet asymptotic tracking or bandwidth (loosely increase w_{gc}) requirements (often set K=1)
- 2. Find the crossover frequency ω_{gc} of $KG(j\omega)$. Suppose we are happy w/ the PM and ω_{gc} . 3. Set $\frac{1}{T_I} \ll \omega_{gc}$. Typically want $\frac{1}{T_I}$ b/w $0.01\omega_{gc}$ and $0.1\omega_{gc}$

Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) Controller

Definition:

$$C(s) = K(T_D s + 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_I s} \right) = K_p + \frac{K_I}{s} + K_D s$$
 (5)

• $K, T_I, T_D > 0$

4.5 **Examples**

Example:

- 1. Given: $G(s) = \frac{1}{j\omega(j\omega+1)}, C(s) = K(T_D s + 1)$
- 2. **Problem:** Sketch Bode plots of C(s)G(s) for PD controllers:
 - $K = 1, T_D = 10 \rightarrow 20 \log |K| = 0$

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

- $K=10, T_D=10 \rightarrow 20 \log |K|=20$ Corner frequency: $\omega_c=\frac{1}{T_D}=10^{-1}$

3. Solution:




Figure 4