```
Notation: P_{X \mid Y}(x \mid y) = P[X = x \mid Y = y]
      *Subscript indicates the RV, and the value indicates the real-
   Intro: Random Experiment: An outcome for each run. Sample Space \Omega: Set of all possible outcomes. Event: Measurable subsets of \Omega. Prob. of Event A: P(A) = \frac{N \text{ umber of outcomes in } A}{N \text{ umber of outcomes in } \Omega}
Axioms: (1) P(A) \geq 0 \ \forall A \in \Omega, (2) P(\Omega) = 1, (3) If A \cap B = \emptyset, then P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) \ \forall A, B \in \Omega
Additive Rule: P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)
Cond. Prob. P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}
*Prob. measured on new sample space B.
      *Prob. measured on new sample space B
    "Prob. measured on new sample space B. *P(A \cap B) = P(A | B)P(B) = P(B | A)P(A) Independence: P(A | B) = P(A) \Leftrightarrow P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) Total Prob. Thm: If H_1, H_2, \dots, H_n form a partition of \Omega, then P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A | H_i)P(H_i). Partition: H_1, \dots, H_n is a partition if (1) H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset for i \neq j, (2) H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n = \Omega.
    Bayes' Rule: P(H_k|A) = \frac{P(H_k \cap A)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(A|H_k)P(H_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(A|H_i)P(H_i)}
*Posteriori: P(H_k|A), Likelihood: P(A|H_k), Prior: P(H_k)
    1 RV:
Cumulative Distribution Fn (CDF): F_X(x) = P[X \le x]
Prob. Mass Fn (PMF): P_X(x_j) = P[X = x_j] j = 1, 2, ...
     Prob. Density Fn (PDF): f_X(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F_X(x)
      *P[a \le X \le b] = \int_a^b f_X(x) dx
   **P[a \geq \Delta \geq 0] - Ja > \Delta 

Exp:

**E[h(X)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f_X(x) dx

**E[h(X)] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k) P_X(x_i = k)

**E[aX + b] = aE[X] + b

Variance: \sigma_X^2 = \text{Var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2
      *Var[aX + bY] = a^2 \text{Var}[X] + b^2 \text{Var}[Y] + 2ab \text{Cov}[X, Y]
    2 RVs: Joint PMF: P_{X,Y}(x,y) = P[X = x, Y = y]
     \textbf{Joint PDF:}\ f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F_{X,Y}(x,y)
    *P[(X,Y) \in A] = \iint_{(x,y) \in A} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy

Exp.: E[g(X,Y)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x,y) f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy
    \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Exp.:} \ E[g(X,Y)] = J_{-\infty} J_{-\infty} \text{ a.s. o. ...} \\ \mathbf{Correlation:} \ E[XY] \\ \mathbf{*Indep:} \ E[XY] = E[X] E[Y] \\ \mathbf{Covar.:} \ \mathbf{Cov}[X,Y] = E[(X-\mu_X)(Y-\mu_Y)] = E[XY] - E[X] E[Y] \\ \mathbf{Corr.} \ \mathbf{Coeff.:} \ \rho_{X,Y} = E\left[\left(\frac{X-\mu_X}{\sigma_X}\right)\left(\frac{Y-\mu_Y}{\sigma_Y}\right)\right] = \frac{\mathbf{Cov}[X,Y]}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} \\ \end{array} 
   *-1 \le \rho_{X,Y} \le 1 \\
Marginal PMF: \ P_X(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{X,Y}(x, y_j) \ | P_Y(y) \\
Marginal PDF: \ f_X(x) = \sum_{\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) \ dy \ | f_Y(y) \\
Cond. \ PMF: \ P_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{P_{X,Y}(x,y)}{P_Y(y)} \ | P_{Y|X}(y|x) \\
Cond. \ PDF: \ f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} \ | f_Y|_X(y|x) \\
Rayes' Rule
    *f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} = \frac{f_{X|Y}(x|y)f_{Y}(y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y')f_{Y}(y') dy'}
*P_{X|Y}(y|x) = \frac{P_{X,Y}(x,y)}{P_{X,Y}(x,y)} = \frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y)P_{Y}(y)}{P_{X,Y}(x,y)}
f_{X}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y') f_{Y}(y') dy'
*P_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{P_{X,Y}(x,y)}{P_{X}(x)} = \frac{P_{X|Y}(x|y) P_{Y}(y)}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{X|Y}(x|y_{j}) P_{Y}(y_{j})}
P_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{X|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) P_{\Theta}(\theta)}{P_{X}(\underline{x})} & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ disc.} \\ f_{X|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) P_{\Theta}(\theta) & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ cont.} \end{cases}
f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{X|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{P_{X}(\underline{x})} & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ disc.} \\ f_{X|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta) & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ cont.} \end{cases}
*f_{Y}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta) & \text{if } \underline{X} \text{ cont.} \end{cases}
   \begin{array}{c|c} & & \text{ if } \underline{A}(\underline{x}) & \text{ if } \underline{A} \text{ cont.} \\ *f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\underline{X}[\Theta]}(\underline{x}[\theta]f_{\Theta}(\theta) \, d\theta \\ *P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{\underline{X}[\Theta]}(\underline{x}[\theta_j)P_{\Theta}(\theta_j) \\ \text{Ind.: } f_{\underline{X}[Y]}(x|y) = f_{\underline{X}}(x) \, \forall y \Leftrightarrow f_{\underline{X},Y}(x,y) = f_{\underline{X}}(x)f_{\underline{Y}}(y) \\ \text{Thm: If independent, then uncorrelated unless Guassian.} \\ \textbf{Uncorrelated: } \text{Cov}[X,Y] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{X,Y} = 0 \\ \textbf{Orthogonal: } E[XY] = 0 \\ \textbf{Cond. } \text{Exp.: } E[Y] = E[E[Y|X]] \text{ or } E[E[h(Y)|X]] \\ E[Y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E[Y|X]f_{\underline{X}}(x) \, dx \\ *E[Y|X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} yf_{Y|X}(y|x) \, dy \\ *E[E[Y|X]] \text{ w.r.t. } X \mid E[Y|X] \text{ w.r.t. } Y. \\ \textbf{Q Fen: } Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2/2} \, dt \\ \textbf{Counting:} \end{array} 
     Counting:
     Permutations: P(n,k) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}
    *Order matters Combinations: \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}
    *Order doesn't matter  \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_m} = \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_m!}  Multinomial Coeff.:  \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_m} = \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_m!} 
   PMF/PDF: Make sure normalized to 1.
Bernoulli: Probability of success x = 1 or failure x = 0 in 1 trial, w/ success occurring w/ prob. p
Binomial: Probability of obtaining k successes in n i.i.d. trial.
     als, each w/ success prob. p.

Geometric: Probability that the 1st success occurs on the kth
    i.i.d. trials, each w/ success prob. p.

Negative Binomial: Probability that the rth success occurs on the kth i.i.d. trials, each w/ success prop. p.

Hypergeometric: Probability of drawing k successes in r draws
```

placement. Probability of observing k events in a fixed interval, given a constant rate  $\lambda$ , assuming events indep. Multinomial: Probability of obtaining counts  $(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$  in n trials, where each outcome belongs to one of r categories w/probabilities  $(p_1,\ldots,p_r)$ . Uniform: Any value in [a,b] is equally likely, w/ constant density  $\frac{1}{b-a}$ . Exponential: Probability of waiting time x until the 1st event in a Poisson process w/ rate  $\lambda$ , modelling time b/w independent. Gamma: Probability of waiting time x until  $\alpha$  events in a Poisson process w/ rate  $\lambda$ , generalizing the exponential distribution.

from a population of N, which contains m successes, w/o re-

events. Gamma: Probability of waiting time x until  $\alpha$  events in a Poisson process w/ rate  $\lambda$ , generalizing the exponential distribution. Gaussian: Probability of observing x in a normal distribution w/ mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , modelling continuous data. Beta: [0,1] w/  $\alpha,\beta$ , used as a prior in Bayesian inference. Estimation: Estimate unknown parameter  $\theta$  from n i.i.d. mea-

```
surements X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \hat{\Theta}(\underline{X}) = g(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)

Estimation Error: \hat{\Theta}(\underline{X}) - \theta.

Unbiased: \hat{\Theta}(\underline{X}) is unbiased if E[\hat{\Theta}(\underline{X})] = \theta.

*Asymptotically unbiased: \lim_{n \to \infty} E[\hat{\Theta}(\underline{X})] = \theta.

Consistent: \hat{\Theta}(\underline{X}) is consistent if \hat{\Theta}(\underline{X}) \to \theta as n \to \infty or \forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \to \infty} P[|\hat{\Theta}(\underline{X}) - \theta| < \epsilon| \to 1.

Sufficient: A statistic is sufficient if the expression depends only on the statistic, it should be made up of x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n.
Sample Mean: M_n = \frac{1}{n}S_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i.

*Given a sequence of i.i.d. RVs, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, M_n is unbiased and consistent.

Sample Variance: S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - M_n)^2.

*Given a sequence of i.i.d. RVs, X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, S_n^2 is biased and consistent.
and consistent. *Use S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - M_n)^2 for unbiased.
 Chebychev's Inequality: P[|X - E[X]| \ge \epsilon] \le \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{2}
 *P[|X - E[X]| < \epsilon] \ge 1 - \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{\epsilon^2}
 Weak Law of Large #s: \lim_{n\to\infty} P[|M_n - \mu| < \epsilon] = 1 \ \forall \epsilon > \epsilon
0. ML Estimation: Choose \theta that is most likely to generate the
 obs. x_1, x_2, ..., x_n.
  *Disc: \hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|\theta) \xrightarrow{\log} \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_{\underline{X}}(x_i|\theta)
 *Cont: \hat{\Theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} f_X(\underline{x}|\theta) \xrightarrow{\log \hat{\theta}} \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f_X(x_i|\theta)
 Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimation:
  *Disc: \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} P_{\Theta | \underline{X}}(\theta | \underline{x}) = \arg \max_{\theta} P_{\underline{X} | \Theta}(\underline{x} | \theta) P_{\Theta}(\theta)
*Cont: \hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\underline{X}|\Theta(\underline{x})}(\theta|\underline{x}) + f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}) = \arg \max_{\theta} f_{\underline{X}|\Theta(\underline{x})}(\theta|\underline{x}) + f_{\Theta|\underline{X}}(\theta|\underline{x}): Posteriori, f_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta): Likelihood, f_{\Theta}(\theta): Prior Least Mean Squares (LMS) Estimation: Assume prior P_{\Theta}(\theta) or f_{\Theta}(\theta) w/ obs. \underline{X} = \underline{x}.
 *\hat{\theta} = g(\underline{x}) = \mathbb{E}[\Theta | \underline{X} = \underline{x}] \mid \hat{\Theta} = g(\underline{X}) = \mathbb{E}[\Theta | \underline{X}]
Conditional Exp. E[X|Y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X|Y}(x|y) dx
Binary Hyp. Testing: H_0: Null Hyp., H_1: Alt. Hyp. \Omega_{\underline{X}}: Set of all possible obs. \underline{x}.
TI Err. (False Rejection): Reject H_0 when H_0 is true. *\alpha(R) = P[\underline{X} \in R \mid H_0] (false alarm)
TII Err. (False Accept.): Accept H_0 when H_1 is true. *\beta(R) = P[\underline{X} \in R^c \mid H_1] (missed detection)
Prob. of Error: P = \alpha\pi_0 + \beta(1 - \pi_0)
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Likelihood Ratio Test:} \ \forall \underline{x} \ L(\underline{x}) = \frac{P\underline{X}(\underline{x}|H_1)}{P\underline{X}(\underline{x}|H_0)} \ \overset{H_1}{\underset{H}{\gtrless}} \ 1 \ \text{or} \ \xi \\ \end{array} 
  *Max. Likelihood Test: 1, Likelihood Ratio Test: \xi
Neyman-Pearson Lemma: Given a false rejection prob. (\alpha), the LRT offers the smallest possible false accept. prob. (\beta),
 and vice versa. *LRT produces (\alpha, \beta) pairs that lie on the efficient frontier.
 Bayesian Hyp. Testing:
MAP Rule: L(\underline{x}) = \frac{p_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_1)}{p_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_0)} \stackrel{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\mapsto}} \frac{P[H_0]}{P[H_1]}
Min. Cost Bayes' Dec. Rule: C_{ij} is cost of choosing H_j when H_i is true. Given obs. \underline{X} = \underline{x}, the expected cost of
 choosing H_j is A_j(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^1 C_{ij} \; P[H_i | \underline{X} = \underline{x}].
\text{Min. Cost Dec. Rule: } L(\underline{x}) = \frac{P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_1)}{P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_0)} \overset{H_1}{\underset{H_0}{\gtrless}} \underbrace{\binom{C_{01} - C_{00}}{(C_{10} - C_{11})P[H_1]}}_{(C_{10} - C_{11})P[H_1]}
*C_{01}: False accept. cost, C_{10}: False reject. cost. Naive Bayes Assumption: Assume X_1,\ldots,X_n (features) are ind., then p_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}\mid\theta)=\Pi_{i=1}^np_{X_i|\Theta}(x_i\mid\theta).
 Notation: \overline{P_{\underline{X}|\Theta}(\underline{x}|\theta)}, only put RVs in subscript, not values.
P_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}|H_i), didn't put H in subscript b/c it's not a RV. Beta Prior \Theta is a Beta R.V. w/ \alpha, \beta > 0
f_{\Theta}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha - 1} (1 - \theta)^{\beta - 1} & \text{if } 0 < \theta < 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
 {}^*\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty \, t^{x\,-\,1} \, e^{\,-\,t} \,\, dt
Prop.: 1. \Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x). For m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \Gamma(m+1) = m!.

2. \beta(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{(\alpha+\beta-1)!}{(\alpha-1)!(\beta-1)!} = \beta \binom{\alpha+\beta-1}{\alpha-1}

3. Expected Value: E[\Theta] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} for \alpha, \beta > 0
 4. Mode (max of PDF): \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2} for \alpha, \beta > 1
 Drawing Beta Dist. 1. Label x-axis from 0 to 1. 2. Identify
mode. 3. Determine shape based on \alpha and \beta: \alpha=\beta=1 (uniform), \alpha=\beta>1 (bell-shaped, peak at 0.5), \alpha=\beta<1 (U-shaped w/ high density near 0 and 1), \alpha>\beta (left-skewed), \alpha<\beta (right-skewed).
 \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Uniform PDF} \ f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if} \ a \leq x \leq b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ *E[X] = \frac{a+b}{2}, \ \mathrm{Var}[X] = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12} \\ \end{array} 
Random Vector: \underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n) = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & \cdots & X_n \end{bmatrix}^T
 Mean Vector: \underline{m}_{\underline{X}} = E[\underline{X}] = [\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n]^T
                                                                   \begin{bmatrix} E[X_1^2] & \cdots & E[X_1X_n] \\ E[X_2X_1] & \cdots & E[X_2X_n] \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \end{bmatrix}
                                                                    \begin{bmatrix} E[X_n X_1] & \cdots & E[X_n^2] \end{bmatrix}
  *Real, symmetric (R = R^T), and PSD (\forall \underline{a}, \underline{a}^T R \underline{a} \geq 0).
```

```
\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Cov}[X_n,X_1] & \cdots & \operatorname{Var}[X_n] \end{bmatrix}
*K\underline{X} = R\underline{X} - \underline{m}\underline{X} = R\underline{X} - \underline{m}\underline{T}
*Diagonal K\underline{X} \iff X_1,\ldots,X_n are (mutually) uncorrelated.

Lin. Trans. \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} (A rotates and stretches \underline{X})

Mean: \underline{E[Y]} = A\underline{m}\underline{X}

Covar. Mat.: K\underline{Y} = A^{\underline{Y}}
   Covar. Mat.: K\underline{Y} = AK\underline{X}A^T
Diagonalization of Covar. Mat. (Uncorrelated):
     \forall \underline{X}, set P = [\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_n] of K_{\underline{X}}, if \underline{Y} = P^T \underline{X}, then
    K_{\underline{Y}} = P^T K_{\underline{X}} P = \Lambda
     *\underline{Y}: Uncorrelated RVs, K_{\underline{X}} = P\Lambda P^T
    Find an Uncorrelated I

    Find eigenvalues, normalized eigenvectors of K<sub>X</sub>.

   22. Set K_{\underline{Y}} = \Lambda, where \underline{Y} = P^T \underline{X}

PDF of L.T. If \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} \text{ w}/A not singular, then f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) = \frac{f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})}{|\det A|}|_{\underline{x} = A^{-1}\underline{y}}
   Find f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) 1. Given f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) and RV relations, define A 2. Determine |\det A|, A^{-1}, then f_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}).
   Gaussian RVs: \underline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)
PDF of jointly Gaus. X_1, \ldots, X_n \equiv \text{Guas. vector:}
   f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} |\det \Sigma|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})}
   *1D: f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}
*\underline{\mu} = \underline{m}_{\underline{X}}, \; \Sigma = K_{\underline{X}} \; (\Sigma \text{ not singular})
*Indep.: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}\right)^2}
*IID: f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2
*Cond. PDF: f_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}}(\underline{x}|\underline{y}) = \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}}, \Sigma_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}})
Properties of Guassian Vector:
1. PDF is completely determined by \underline{\mu}, \Sigma.
2. \underline{X} uncorrelated \iff \underline{X} independent.
3. Any L.T. \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} is Gaus. vector \underline{w}/\underline{x} = A\underline{X}.
    3. Any L.T. \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} is Gaus. vector w/ \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}} = A\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}, \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{Y}} = A\underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}}A^T.
   4. Any subset of \{X_i\} are jointly Gaus.
5. Any cond. PDF of a subset of \{X_i\} given the other elements is Gaus.
Diagonalization of Guassian Covar. (Indep.)
    \forall \underline{X}, set P = [\underline{e}_1, \dots, \underline{e}_n] of \Sigma_{\underline{X}}, if \underline{Y} = P^T \underline{X}, then
    \Sigma_{\underline{Y}} = P^T \Sigma_{\underline{X}} P = \Lambda
  *Y: Indep. Gaussian RVs, \Sigma_{\underline{X}} = P\Lambda P^T
How to go from Y to X? 1. Given, \underline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)
2. \underline{V} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, I) 3. \underline{W} = \sqrt{\Lambda}\underline{V} 4. \underline{Y} = P\underline{W} 4. \underline{X} = \underline{Y} + \underline{\mu}
Guassian Discriminant Analysis:
  Guassian Discriminant Analysis. Obs: \underline{X} = \underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_D) Hyp: \underline{x} is generated by \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c), c \in C Dec: Which "Guassian bump" generated \underline{x}? Prior: P[C = c] = \pi_c (Gaussian Mixture Model) MAP: \hat{c} = \arg\max_c P_C[c]\underline{X} = \underline{x}] = \arg\max_c f_{\underline{X}|C}(\underline{x} \mid c)\pi_c
   LGD: Given \Sigma_c = \Sigma \,\forall c, find c w/ best \underline{\mu}_c
\hat{c} = \arg \max_c \underline{\beta}_c^T \underline{x} + \gamma_c
*\underline{\beta}_c^T = \underline{\mu}_c^T \Sigma^{-1} \mid \gamma_c = \log \pi_c - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\mu}_c^T \Sigma^{-1}\underline{\mu}_c
    Bin. Hyp. Decision Boundary \underline{\beta}_0^T \underline{x} + \gamma_0 = \underline{\beta}_1^T \underline{x} + \gamma_1
  Bin. Hyp. Decision Boundary \underline{\beta}_0^1 \underline{x} + \gamma_0 = \underline{\beta}_1^1 \underline{x} + \gamma_1
*Linear in space of \underline{x}
QGD: Given \Sigma_c are diff., find c w/ best \underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c
\hat{c} = \arg\max_c -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_c| - \frac{1}{2} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}_c)^T \Sigma_c^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}_c) + \log \pi_c
Bin. Hyp. Decision Boundary Quadratic in space of \underline{x}
How to find \underline{x}_c, \underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c: Given n points gen. by GMM, then n_c points \{\underline{x}_1^c, \dots, \underline{x}_{n_c}^c\} come from \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c)
  \begin{array}{l} n_c \text{ points } \{\underline{x}_1^c, \dots, \underline{x}_{n_c}^c\} \text{ come from } \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_c, \Sigma_c) \\ \hat{\pi}_c = \frac{n_c}{n} \text{ (categorical RV)} \\ \hat{\mu}_c = \frac{1}{n_c} \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{x}_i^c, \text{ (sample mean)} \\ \Sigma_c = \frac{1}{n_c} \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} (x_i^c - \hat{\mu}_c) (x_i^c - \hat{\mu}_c)^T \text{ (biased sampled var.)} \\ \mathbf{Guassian \ Estimator} \\ \mathbf{MAP \ Estimator \ for } \underline{X} \text{ Given } \underline{Y} \text{ When } \underline{W} = (\underline{X}, \underline{Y}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma) \\ \mathbf{Given } \underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \ \underline{Y} = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_m\} \end{array}
    \hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{MAP}}(\underline{y}) = \hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{LMS}}(\underline{y}) = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}|\underline{Y}} = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} + \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{Y}} \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{Y}}^{-1} (\underline{y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}}) 
 \hat{\underline{x}}_{\text{MAP}/\text{LMS}} : \text{Linear fcn of } \underline{y} 
   Covar. Matrices: \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{X}} & \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{Y}} \\ \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{X}} & \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{Y}} \end{bmatrix}
   *\Sigma\underline{XX} = \Sigma\underline{X} = E\left[(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})^T\right] \mid \Sigma\underline{YY} = \Sigma\underline{Y}
   *\Sigma_{\underline{XY}} = E\left[(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}})(\underline{Y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}})^T\right] \mid \Sigma_{\underline{YX}} = \Sigma_{\underline{XY}}^T
   Prec. Matrices: \Lambda = \Sigma^{-1} Mean and Covar. Mat. of \underline{X} Given \underline{Y}:
  *\underline{\mu}_{X|Y} = \underline{\mu}_{X} + \underline{\Sigma}_{XY} \underline{\Sigma}_{YY}^{-1} (\underline{y} - \underline{\mu}_{Y})
*\underline{\Sigma}_{X|Y} = \underline{\Sigma}_{X} - \underline{\Sigma}_{XY} \underline{\Sigma}_{YY}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma}_{YX}
*Reducing Uncertainty: 2nd term is PSD, so given \underline{Y} = \underline{y}, always reducing uncertainty in \underline{X}.
ML Estimator for \theta w/ Indep. Guas:
   Given \underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}: \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2}} (weighted avg. \underline{x})
     *X_i = \theta + Z_i: Measurement | Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2): Noise (indep.)
    *\frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}: Precision of X_i (i.e. weight)
 \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i^2 \\ \text{*Larger } \sigma_i^2 \implies \text{less weight on } X_i \text{ (less reliable measurement)} \\ \text{*SC: If } \sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2 \ \forall i \text{ (iid)}, \text{ then } \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i. \\ \text{MAP Estimator for } \theta \text{ w/ Indep. Gaus., Gaus. Prior:} \\ \text{Given } \underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}, \text{ prior } \Theta \sim \mathcal{N}(x_0, \sigma_0^2) \\ \\ \hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} = \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \\ \\ \frac{*Y_i = 0}{\sigma_i^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \times \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_0^2) \text{: Noise (indep.)} \end{array}
     *X_i = \theta + Z_i: Measurement | Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2): Noise (indep.)
    *f_{\Theta}: Gaussian prior \equiv prior meas. x_0 w/ \sigma_0^2.
```

```
*SC: As n \to \infty, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} \to \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}. As \sigma_0^2 \to \infty, \hat{\theta}_{\text{MAP}} \to \hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} LMMSE Estimator for \underline{X} Given \underline{Y} w/ non-Guas. \underline{X}, \underline{Y}:
   \begin{array}{l} \hat{\underline{x}}_{\mathrm{LMMSE}}(\underline{y}) = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} + \Sigma_{\underline{X}\underline{Y}} \Sigma_{\underline{Y}\underline{Y}}^{-1}(\underline{y} - \underline{\mu}_{\underline{Y}}) \\ \underline{\mathbf{Linear Guassian System: Given }} \underline{Y} = A\underline{X} + \underline{b} + \underline{Z} \\ *\underline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}, \Sigma_{\underline{X}}), \ \underline{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}) \text{: Noise (indep. of } \underline{x}) \\ \end{array} 
  *AX + b: channel distortion, Y: Observed sig.

MAP/LMS Estimator for X Given Y w/ W = (X, Y)

Given W = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ AX + b + Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}
   \begin{array}{l} - \left[ A \underline{\Delta} + \underline{\nu} + \underline{\nu} \right] & \left[ A \quad I_{\underline{J}} \right] & \left[ \underline{\nu}_{\underline{J}} \right] \\ \hat{x}_{\mathrm{MAP/LMS}} = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} + \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}} A^{T} \left( A \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}} A^{T} + \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{Z}} \right)^{-1} \left( \underline{y} - A \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}} - \underline{b} \right) \\ * \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{XY}} = \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}} A^{T}, & \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{YY}} = A \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{X}} A^{T} + \underline{\Sigma}_{\underline{Z}} \end{array} 
  \hat{x}_{\text{MAP/LMS}} = \left(\Sigma_{\underline{X}}^{-1} + A^T \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{-1} A\right)^{-1} \left(A^T \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{-1} (\underline{y} - \underline{b}) + \Sigma_{\underline{X}}^{-1} \underline{\mu}_{\underline{X}}\right)
*Use: Good to use when \underline{Z} is indep.
 Covar. Mat of \underline{X} Given \underline{Y} = \underline{y} : \Sigma_{\underline{X}|\underline{y}} = \left(\Sigma_{\underline{X}}^{-1} + A^T \Sigma_{\underline{Z}}^{-1} A\right)^{-1} Linear Regression: Estimate unknown target fn Y = g(\underline{X}) w/ iid obs. \{(\underline{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\underline{x}_n, y_n)\} (MLE/MAP) *\underline{y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]^T
                                     \left[\underline{x}_{1}^{T}\right]
                                                              \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}
    ML Estimator: Y = h(\underline{x}) = \underline{w}^T \underline{x} + Z \approx g(\underline{X}), then \underline{\hat{w}}_{\mathrm{ML}} =
    (XX^T)^{-1}X^T\underline{y}
 \underline{y}||_2^2 \iff \text{maximizes the likelihood of training data})
  Non-Linear Trans: \hat{y} = \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}) + Z w/ same assumptions, then \underline{\hat{w}}_{\text{ML}} = (XX^T)^{-1} X^T \underline{y} *\underline{\phi}(\underline{x}): Non-linear transformation of \underline{x}
    -E.g. of 1 dim x: \phi(x) =
                                                                                                                                                                                     : Polynomial regression
     *M: Degree of polynomial, D=1+M: # of features. \left\lceil \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}_1)^T \right\rceil
                                                                                                 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times D}
 Underfitting vs. Overfitting:

*Underfitting: Model too simple, high bias, low variance.

*Results in high train/test error.

*Overfitting: Model too complex, low bias, high variance.

-Results in low train error, high test error.

*MAP Estimator (Bayesian Linear Regression): Assume prior w_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2) (i.i.d.) and \hat{y} = \underline{w}^T \underline{x} + Z, then \underline{\hat{w}}_{\text{MAP}} = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T \underline{y}
  *\(\text{$\frac{\sigma^2}{\tau^2}$}: \text{ Regularization parameter}\)
*\(X: \text{ Can be linear or non-linear transformation of } \(x\)
  *Z = {x_1, ..., x_D}: Input features

*\underline{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_D\}: Weights (parameter)

*\underline{w} = \{w_1, \dots, w_D\}: Weights (parameter)

*Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2): Noise (i.i.d.)

*Y: Target/observed output
                Useful when training data set size is small i.e. n \ll D.
 1. Useful when training data set size is small i.e. n \ll D. 2. Regularization: Prevents overfitting by penalizing large weights. *\tau = \infty \implies \lambda = 0: No regularization so \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{MAP}} = \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{ML}} *\tau = 0 \implies \lambda = \infty: Infinite regularization so \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{MAP}} = 0 *\tau \downarrow \Longrightarrow \lambda \uparrow: More regularization, mipper model. *\tau \uparrow \Longrightarrow \lambda \downarrow: Less regularization, more complex model. Guassian Linear System Given training data \underline{Y} = \underline{X}\underline{w} + \underline{Z} \underline{\underline{w}}_{\mathrm{MAP}} = \underline{\mu}_{\underline{w}|\underline{Y}} = (\underline{X}^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} \underline{X}^T \underline{y} *\underline{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \tau^2 I), \underline{Z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{0}, \sigma^2 I) *\underline{E}[\underline{\underline{w}}(\underline{Y})] \to \underline{w} as n \to \infty *Note: Matching it to canonical form.
  Covar. Mat: \Sigma_{\underline{w}|\underline{y}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}X^TX + \frac{1}{\tau^2}I\right)^{-1} \preceq \tau^2I
-Less uncertainty in \underline{w} w/ more data. As n \uparrow, \Sigma_{\underline{w}|\underline{y}} \downarrow
    Bayesian Prediction Given some new \underline{x}' (test data sample),
    find its label y'
  Plug-In Approx: \hat{Y}' = \underline{x}'^T \underline{\hat{w}}_{MAP}(\mathcal{D}) + Z'
*\mathcal{D}: Training data set, Z' \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2): Noise
     Bayesian Prediction: Use Y' = \underline{x}^{T} \underline{w} + Z' and
    Y'' = Y''' = Y'' = Y''
     *\mu_{Y'|\mathcal{D}} = \underline{x}^{T}\mu_{\underline{w}|\underline{Y}}
    *\sigma_{Y'|\mathcal{D}}^{2} = \underline{x}'^{T} \Sigma_{\underline{w}|\underline{Y}}^{\underline{\underline{w}}'} + \sigma^{2}
  Y | D = \underline{\underline{\underline{w}}} | \underline{\underline{\underline{v}}} = \underline{\underline{\underline{w}}} | Linear Classification (Hyp. Test):
Binary Logistic Regression: Estimate \underline{\underline{w}} s.t. it is a soft de-
\begin{split} P_{Y|\underline{X}}(1\mid\underline{x}) &= \frac{P_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|1)P_{Y}(1)}{P_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|0)P_{Y}(0) + P_{\underline{X}|Y}(\underline{x}|1)P_{Y}(1)} \\ P_{Y|\underline{X}}(1\mid\underline{x}) &= \frac{1}{1+e^{-\alpha}} = \sigma(\alpha) \end{split}
     *P_{Y|\underline{X}}(0\mid\underline{X}) = 1 - \sigma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1+e^{\alpha}} = \sigma(-\alpha)
*PY|\underline{X}(0 \mid \underline{X}) = 1 - \sigma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1+e^{\alpha}} = \sigma(-\alpha)
*\alpha = \log \frac{PX|Y(\underline{x}|1)PY(1)}{PX|Y(\underline{x}|0)PY(0)} = \underline{w}^T\underline{x}
-\alpha \to \infty \implies \text{more likely to be in class } 1
-\alpha \to -\infty \implies \text{more likely to be in class } 0
. \alpha = 0 \implies \text{equally likely to be in class } 0
. Non-Linear Trans. Use \sigma(\underline{w}^T\phi(\underline{x}))
ML Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, then \underline{w}_{\text{ML}} = \arg\min_{\underline{w}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_{Y}|\underline{X}(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w})
Cross Entropy b/w actual y_i and P_{Y}|\underline{X}(\cdot \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) is
P(\underline{x}_i, \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} -(u_i \log P(1 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w})) + (1 - y_i) \log P(1 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) + (1 - y_i) \log P(1 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w})
    P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^n - \left(y_i \log P(1 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) + (1 - y_i) \log P(0 \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w})\right)
     *Note: Measures the distance between 2 distributions.
    *Dropped the subscripts.
```

```
Gradient Descent: No closed-form soln. so use GD. MAP Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, then
    \underline{\hat{w}}_{\text{MAP}} = \arg\min_{\underline{w}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P_{Y|\underline{X}}(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, \underline{w}) + \lambda ||\underline{w}||^2
      *\underline{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma): Prior on \underline{w}
   **Necessary: B/c same boundary \underline{w}^T\underline{x} = 0 for any scaling of \underline{w}. Multiclass Logistic Regression: Y \in \{1, 2, \dots, C\}, then use
    softmax fn P_Y(k \mid \underline{x}, \underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_C) = \frac{1}{2}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       \sum_{c=1}^{C} e^{\underline{w}_{c}^{T}} \underline{x}
   *W = [\underline{w}_1, \dots, \underline{w}_C] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times C}: Weights matrix ML Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, then \hat{W}_{\text{ML}} = \arg\min_{W} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, W) MAP Estimator: Given \mathcal{D} = \{(\underline{x}_i, y_i)\}, i = 1, \dots, n, then \hat{W}_{\text{MAP}} = \arg\min_{W} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i \mid \underline{x}_i, W) + \sum_{c=1}^{C} \lambda_c ||\underline{w}_c||^2
 Markov: Notation: *P[X_n=x_0,\ldots,X_0=x_0] = P(x_n,\ldots,x_0) *P[X_n=x_n,\ldots,X_0=x_0] = P(x_n,\ldots,x_0) *Index the possible values of X_n w/ integers 0,1,2,\ldots Markov Chain (Memoryless/Markovian Property): A sequence of discrete-valued RVs X_0,X_1,\ldots is a (discrete-time)
      Markov chain if
      P[X_{k+1} = x_{k+1} \mid X_k = x_k, X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0] =
 Future Present Past
P[X_{k+1} = x_{k+1} \mid X_k = x_k) \mid X_{k-1} = x_{k-1}, \dots, X_0 = x_0] = P[X_{k+1} = x_{k+1} \mid X_k = x_k] \mid \forall k, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \mid \forall k, x_1, \dots, x_n = P[x_n = x_n] \mid \forall k, x_1, \dots, x_n = P[x_n = x_n] \mid x_n = x_n \mid x_n 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 P(x_1 \mid x_0)P(x_0)
      P_{i}(n) \equiv P[X_{n} = j], j = 0, 1, ... \mid \underline{P}(n) \equiv [P_{0}(n), P_{1}(n), ...]
      *Subscript: Value of X_n, Argument: Time step *Row vector NOT col vector.
   Transition Probabilities: P_{ij}(n,n+1) \equiv P[X_{n+1} = j \mid X_n = i] \; \forall i,j,n Homogeneous MC: P_{ij}(n,n+1) = P_{ij} \; \forall i,j,n
      *Time invariant, P_{ij} does not depend on n
    Transition Probability Matrix: P =
      Notes: (1) Stochastic Matrix: (1) All entries of P are non-
   (a) State Dist. at time n + 1: P(n) = P(n - 1)P(n) (b) State Dist. at time n + 1: P(n) = P(n - 1)P(n) = P(n) = P(n) = P(n) = P(n) (b) The time n + 1: P(n) = P
    P_{ij}^{(n)} \, \equiv \, P[X_{k+n} \, = \, j \, \mid \, X_k \, = \, i] \, \, {
m for} \, \, n \, \geq \, 0 \, \, {
m are} \, \, {
m the} \, \, {
m entries} \, \, {
m of} \, \,
   Limiting Distribution A MC has a limiting distribution \underline{q} if for any initial distribution \underline{P}(0)
 \underline{P}(\infty) \equiv \lim_{n \to \infty} \underline{P}(n) = \underline{q} \text{ or } \underline{P}(0)P^{\infty} \equiv \underline{P}(0)\lim_{n \to \infty} P^{n} = \underline{q}
    Theorem: A MC has a limiting distribution \underline{q} iff
 q_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)} \, \forall i, j
*i.e. every row of P^{\infty} equals \underline{q} (row vector)

Steady State (Stationary) Distribution \underline{\pi} is a steady state distribution of a MC if \underline{\pi} = \underline{\pi}P
   Theorem: If a limiting dist. exists \underline{q}=\underline{P}(\infty), then it is also
    a steady state dist.

Ergodic: For a finite-state, irreducible, and aperiodic MC,
 then (1) Limiting dist. \underline{q} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \underline{P}(n) exists and q_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n)} \ \forall i, j (2) Steady state dist. \underline{\pi} is unique.
    (3) \underline{\pi} = \underline{q}
(a) \underline{\pi} = \underline{q}
How Fast Does \underline{P}(n) Converge to \underline{\pi}? (1) \underline{\pi}^T = \underline{\pi}^T P^T
*\underline{\pi}^T is an eigenvector of P^T w/ eigenvalue 1
(2) Suppose P^T has eigenvectors U \equiv [\underline{\pi}^T, \underline{u}_2, \dots, \underline{u}_D] and eigenvalues \Lambda \equiv \operatorname{diag}[1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_D], then
P^T U = U \Lambda \Longrightarrow P^T = U \Lambda U^{-1} = 0
Therefore, \Lambda^n = (U \Lambda U^{-1})^n = U \Lambda^n U^{-1}
Therefore, \Lambda^n = \operatorname{diag}[1, \lambda_2^n, \dots, \lambda_D^n]
(3) For gradiar M \subset P^n \to [\underline{u}, \underline{u}]^T (10 graph 1)
 (3) For ergodic MC, P^n \to [\underline{\pi}, \dots, \underline{\Lambda}D] (i.e. rank 1) Therefore, \# of non-zero eigenvalues is 1, so the rest of the eigenvalues must be |\lambda_i| < 1 \,\forall i \geq 2 \,\text{s.t.} \,\Lambda^n = \text{diag}[1, 0, \dots, 0] Rate of Convergence: Depends on the 2nd largest eigenvalue [\underline{\pi}D^T] = (\underline{\Lambda}D^T)
   Rate of Convergence: Depends on the 2nd largest eigenvalue of P^T i.e. (\lambda_2)^n is the rate of convergence. Bayesian Network (DAG): Network of RVs X_1, \ldots, X_n w/directed edges *Not State-Transition Diagram: 1 RV w/different values
   *Not State-Transition Diagram: I RV w/ different values w/ different probabilities to each value.

*Fully Connected Graph (General): No special dependency structure, so doesn't give additional info as we can write joint dist. from defn. (true for any graph).

*Non-Fully Connected Graph (Bayes' Net): Conveys useful
   Factorization of Joint Dist. Suppose the dependencies among RVs can be represented by a DAG, then
    From the represented by a Bird, then
P(x_1, ..., x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(x_i \mid \text{pa}\{X_i\})
*General: P(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(x_i \mid x_{i-1}, x_{i-2}, ...)
    P(x_1 \mid x_0) = P(x_1)
Topological Ordering: Often index the RVs s.t. each child
Topological Ordering: Often index the RVs s.t. each child has an index greater than those of the parents. Fact: Every DAG has at least one topological ordering. Conditional Independence: A \perp B \mid C if (1) P(a, b \mid c) = P(a \mid c) P(b \mid c) \forall a, b, c (i.e. A and B are indep. given C) (2) P(a \mid b, c) = P(a \mid c) \forall a, b, c (i.e. B gives no add. info about A given C) (Common Cause (T-T): A \perp B \mid C, o.w. A \not\perp B (Common Effect (H-H): A \perp B, c, w. A \not\perp B (Common Effect (H-H): A \perp B, c, w. A \not\perp B) (Common Effect (H-H): A \perp B, c, w. A \not\perp B) (Common Effect (H-H): A \perp B, c, w. A \not\perp B) (Common Effect (H-H): A \perp B \mid C) (Common Effect (H-H):
   and B are d-separated by C, i.e. A \perp B \mid C
Blocked Path: An undirected path is blocked if it includes a
    1. The node is head-to-tail or tail-to-tail (Cases 1 and 2) and
```

```
*\mathcal{M}= parents \cup children \cup co-parents: Blocks all paths b/w X_i and the remaining nodes.

Markov Random Field: Represent RVs as an undirected graph s.t. conditional independence \mathcal{A}\perp\mathcal{B}\mid\mathcal{C} hold iff all paths b/w \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} so through \mathcal{C}.

*Markov blanket of X_i: = set of neighbours of X_i: No Order: Simplifies, but no way to order the RVs, so lose directivity, lose info.

Independence: See if all paths b/w \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are blocked by \mathcal{C} (i.e. given \mathcal{C}).
  (i.e. given C)

Clique: A set of nodes s.t. there is link b/w any pair of them

Maximal Clique: A clique s.t. we cannot add another node in
 Maximal Cique: A cique s.t. we cannot and another node in the set and maintain a clique. 

Hammersley-Clifford Theorem: Let x_c denote the values of RVs in set C. Any strictly postiive dist. P(\underline{x}) that satisfies a Markov random field can be factorized as P(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{c \in C} \psi_c(\underline{x}_c) \stackrel{e.g.}{=} \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\sum_c C} E(\underline{x}_c)
 *Z = \sum_{\underline{x}} \prod_{c \in C} \psi_c(\underline{x}_c): Normalization constant *\Pi_{c \in C}: Product of all maximal cliques
   *\psi_c(\underline{x}_c) \stackrel{e.g.}{=} e^{-E(\underline{x}_c)}: Potential function over the clique c
 *\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\underline{x}_{\mathcal{C}}) \cong e^{-D(\underline{x}_{\mathcal{C}})}: Potential function over the clique c (not necessarily a prob.)
*E(\underline{x}_{\mathcal{C}}): Energy function over the clique c
Moralization or Marrying the Parents (BN to MRF) Always possible, but some dependency structure will be lost 1. Add edges b/w all pairs of parents of the same child 2. Remove all directed edges (i.e. make it undirected)
Hidden Markov Model (HMM):
*State-transition Prob.
 "State-transition Prob. P[Z_n = z_n \mid Z_{n-1} \equiv z_{n-1}], \ Z \leq n \leq N *Initial State Dist. P(z_1) \equiv P[Z_1 = z_1]
  *Note: Can be continuous (density).
Notes: (1) Z_n nodes are H-T or T-T and Z_1,\ldots,Z_N are unobserved \Longrightarrow No indep. among X_n's, also \{X_n\} are not a MC. (2) Latent var. Z_1,\ldots,Z_N are MC \Longrightarrow Z_{n+1}\perp Z_{n-1}\mid Z_n \Longrightarrow e.g. \{X_1,X_2\}\perp\{X_3,\ldots,X_N\}\mid \{Z_3\} Common Problems
1. Given HMM/p, find p for any p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p
  Forward:
 Defin of \alpha:

\alpha(z_n) \equiv P[\underbrace{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n}_{\text{our}}, \underbrace{Z_n = z_n}_{\text{our}}], 1 \leq n \leq N
                                                                                                                             cur. state
  \begin{array}{c} \text{obs so far} \\ \alpha(z_n) \equiv P[x_1, \dots, x_n, z_n] \end{array}
      \alpha(z_1) = P(x_1, z_1) = P(z_1)P(x_1 \mid z_1)
      \alpha(z_n) = P(x_n \mid z_n) \sum_{z_{n-1}} P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) \alpha(z_{n-1})
      P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{z_N} \alpha(z_N)
  Algo: \{\alpha(z_n)\}_{z_n=1,...,K}: Message from Z_n to Z_{n+1} *Complexity: O(K^2N)
    ^*O(K) for each \alpha(z_n), O(K^2) for message at time n
\begin{array}{l} \text{Defn of } \beta; \\ \beta(z_n) \equiv P[X_{n+1} = x_{n+1}, \ldots, X_N = x_N \mid \underbrace{Z_n = z_n}_{\text{cur. state}}] \\ \beta(z_n) \equiv P[x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_N \mid z_n] \\ \text{Recursion:} \end{array}
      \beta(z_N) = 1 \; \forall z_n
      \beta(z_n) = \sum_{z_{n+1}} P(x_{n+1} \mid z_{n+1}) P(z_{n+1} \mid z_n) \beta(z_{n+1})
       P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{z_1} P(z_1) P(x_1 \mid z_1) \beta(z_1)
  Algo: \{\beta(z_n)\}_{z_n=1,...,K}: Message from Z_{n-1} to Z_n *Complexity: O(K^2N)
"Complexity: O(K^2N)
"O(K) for each \beta(z_n), O(K^2) for message at time n
Forward Backward (Same Time): \alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n) = P(\underline{x},z_n) \Longrightarrow P(\underline{x}) = \sum_{z_n}\alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n) \ \forall n
Given HMM and \underline{x}, find most likely z_n
Defin of \gamma:
\gamma(z_n) \equiv P(z_n \mid \underline{x}), 1 \leq n \leq N
Solution: \gamma(z_n) = \alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n)
 \gamma(z_n) = \frac{\alpha(z_n)\beta(z_n)}{\sum_{z_n'} \alpha(z_n')\beta(z_n')}
  z_n^* = \arg\max_{z_n}^n \gamma(z_n)
  *Complexity: O(K^2N)
Scaling Problem: \alpha/\beta can be small for large/small n
   1. Forward:
  Defn of \hat{\alpha}:
 \hat{\alpha}(z_n) \equiv \frac{\alpha(z_n)}{P(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = P(z_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n)
*Does not shrink as n \uparrow
 Defin of c_n:

c_n \equiv P(x_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})
    \hat{\alpha}(z_n) = \frac{1}{c_n} P(x_n \mid z_n) \sum_{z_{n-1}} P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) \hat{\alpha}(z_{n-1})
     c_n = \sum_{z_n} P(x_n \mid z_n) \sum_{z_{n-1}} P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) \hat{\alpha}(z_{n-1})
      P(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_{m=1}^N c_m
  2. Backward: Defn of \hat{\beta}:
 \hat{\beta}(z_n) = \frac{\beta(z_n)}{\prod_{m=n+1}^{N} c_m}
```

```
Recursion:
   \hat{\beta}(z_n) = \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \sum_{z_{n+1}} P(x_{n+1} \mid z_{n+1}) P(z_{n+1} \mid z_n) \hat{\beta}(z_{n+1})
3. Forward-Backward \gamma(z_n) = \hat{\alpha}(z_n)\hat{\beta}(z_n)
Forward-Backward Algo *Have to fwd, then bwd pass. 0. c_1 = P(x_1) = \sum_{z_1} P(z_1) P(x_1 \mid z_1)
1. \hat{\alpha}(z_1) = \frac{1}{c_1}P(z_1)P(x_1\mid z_1)
Fwd message passing to compute \hat{\alpha}(z_n) and c_n,\, 2\leq n\leq N
2. \hat{\beta}(z_N) = \beta(z_N) = 1
 Bwd message passing to compute \hat{\beta}(z_n), 1 \leq n \leq N-1
both message passing to compute \beta(z_n), 1 \le n \le N-1 3. \gamma(z_n) = \hat{\alpha}(z_n)\hat{\beta}(z_n) 4. z_n^* = \arg\max_{z_n} \gamma(z_n) \forall n Viterbi Algo: Given HMM and \underline{x}, find most likely \underline{z} \underline{z} = \arg\max_{\underline{x}} P(\underline{z}, \underline{z})? Dynamic Programming: Path length -\log P(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) = -\left[\log P(z_1) + \sum_{n=2}^N \log P(z_n \mid z_{n-1}) + \sum_{n=1}^N \log P(x_n \mid z_n)\right]
If (\hat{z}_1,\dots,\hat{z}_M) is the shrotest path to state \hat{z}_M, the (\hat{z}_1,\dots,\hat{z}_n,z_{M-1}) is the shortest path to state z_{M-1}
So to find the shortest path to any state z_{M-1}^{o}. For each state z_{M-1}, find shortest path to it.
2. Then consider distances b/w all K pairs of (z_{M-1}, z_{M}^{o}) and
find the shortest path to z_M^o \min_{z_1,\dots,z_{M-1}} path length(z_M^o)
 \begin{aligned} &\min_{Z_{M-1}} \left[ \min_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{M-2}} \operatorname{path} \operatorname{length}(z_{M-1}) + \operatorname{dist}(z_{M-1}, z_{M}^{o}) \right] \\ &\operatorname{Current} \operatorname{Highest Log-Prob to State} \ z_{n} \colon \\ &w_{n}(z_{n}) = \max_{z_{1}, \dots, z_{n-1}} \log P(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}) \\ &*w_{1}(z_{1}) = \max_{z_{1}} \log P(z_{1}) + \log P(x_{1} \mid z_{1}) \\ &*w_{n+1}(z_{n+1}) = \max_{z_{n}} w_{n}(z_{n}) + \log P(z_{n+1} \mid z_{n}) + \log P(x_{n+1} \mid z_{n}) \end{aligned} 
 z_{n+1}
Then backtrack to find the most likely sequence of states. Trellis Diagram of MC Unfolding of MC over time Viterbi Algorithm: 0. \ w_1(z_1) = \log P(x_1, z_1) = \log P(z_1) + \log P(x_1 \mid z_1) 1. For 1 \leq n \leq N-1: w_{n+1}(z_{n+1}) = \max_z w_n(z_n) + \log P(z_{n+1} \mid z_n) + \log P(x_{n+1} \mid z_n)
```

 $\psi_n(z_{n+1}) = \arg\max_{z_n} w_n(z_n) + \log P(z_{n+1} \mid z_n)$ 

3. Output:  $\hat{\underline{z}} = (\hat{z}_1, \dots, \hat{z}_N)$  where  $\hat{z}_n = \psi_n(\hat{z}_{n+1})$ 

2.  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_N = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{z}_N} \, \boldsymbol{w}_N(\boldsymbol{z}_N)$ 

 $z_{n+1}$