ROB311 Quiz 2

Hanhee Lee

March 26, 2025

Contents

1	Boo	de Plots
	1.1	Bode Plots
		1.1.1 Constant Gain
		1.1.2 Pole or Zero at $\omega = 0$
		1.1.3 Non-Zero Pole or Zero
		1.1.4 Complex Conjugate Poles
	1.2	Robustness Margins
		1.2.1 Gain Margin
		1.2.2 Phase Margin
2	Rol	bustness Margins
3	Roo	ot Locus, Bode, and Nyquist
Į	Cor	ntrol Design in the Frequency Domain
	4.1	Goal
	4.2	Proportional Derivative (PD) Controller
		4.2.1 Bode Plot
	4.3	Proportional Integral (PI) Controller
		4.3.1 Bode Plot
		4.3.2 Design Procedure
	4.4	
	4.4	4.3.2 Design Procedure

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

1 Bode Plots

1.1 Bode Plots

Process:

- 1.1.1 Constant Gain
- 1.1.2 Pole or Zero at $\omega = 0$
- 1.1.3 Non-Zero Pole or Zero
- 1.1.4 Complex Conjugate Poles
- 1.2 Robustness Margins

Motivation: Approximate the GM and PM from the Bode plot:

- L(s) is a strictly proper rational fn.
- L(s) has no poles in \mathbb{C}^+ (no open loop variable poles)

1.2.1 Gain Margin

Definition:

$$|L(j\omega_{gc}) = 1| \iff |L(j\omega_{gc})|_{dB} = 0$$

1.2.2 Phase Margin

Definition:

$$|L(j\omega_{gc})| = 1 \implies |L(j\omega_{gc})|_{dB} = 0$$

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

2 Robustness Margins

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

3 Root Locus, Bode, and Nyquist

4 Control Design in the Frequency Domain

4.1 Goal

Motivation:




Figure 1

Design C(s) so that the feedback loop:

- BIBO Stable: Verify using Nyquist criterion
 - $\operatorname{roots}(1 + C(s)P(s)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{-}$
 - -C(s)G(s) has no pole-zero cancellations in $\overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$
- Satisfies certain performance specifications: Tune using Bode plots

4.2 Proportional Derivative (PD) Controller

Motivation: Increase PM at higher frequencies.

Definition:

$$C(s) = K(T_D s + 1) \tag{1}$$

• $K, T_D > 0$

Since U(s) = C(s)E(s),

$$u(t) = \underbrace{KT_D e(t)}_{D} + \underbrace{Ke(t)}_{P} \tag{2}$$

4.2.1 Bode Plot

Notes:

$$|C(j\omega)|_{\mathrm{dB}} = 20\log|K| + 20\log|j\omega T_D + 1|$$
$$\angle C(j\omega) = \angle K + \angle(j\omega T_D + 1)$$




Figure 2

ROB311 Hanhee Lee

Proportional Integral (PI) Controller

Motivation: Increase the "system type" for better tracking (IMP) w/o affecting high frequencies.

Definition:

$$C(s) = K\left(1 + \frac{1}{T_I s}\right) = K \frac{T_I s + 1}{T_I s} \tag{3}$$

• $K, T_I > 0$

Since U(s) = C(s)E(s),

$$u(t) = \underbrace{Ke(t)}_{P} + \underbrace{\frac{K}{T_I} \int_0^t e(\tau) d\tau}_{I}$$
(4)

Bode Plot 4.3.1

Notes:




Figure 3

Design Procedure 4.3.2

- 1. Choose K to meet asymptotic tracking or bandwidth (loosely increase w_{gc}) requirements (often set K=1)
- 2. Find the crossover frequency ω_{gc} of $KG(j\omega)$. Suppose we are happy w/ the PM and ω_{gc} . 3. Set $\frac{1}{T_I} \ll \omega_{gc}$. Typically want $\frac{1}{T_I}$ b/w $0.01\omega_{gc}$ and $0.1\omega_{gc}$

Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) Controller

Definition:

$$C(s) = K(T_D s + 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_I s} \right) = K_p + \frac{K_I}{s} + K_D s$$
 (5)

• $K, T_I, T_D > 0$

4.4.1 Design Procedure

Process:

- 1. Design K, T_D (i.e. the PD controller) to increase the PM.
- 2. Design T_I (i.e. the PI controller) to increase system type (satisfy IMP) w/o affecting high frequencies.

Examples

Example:

- 1. Given: $G(s) = \frac{1}{j\omega(j\omega+1)}$, $C(s) = K(T_D s + 1)$ 2. Problem: Sketch Bode plots of C(s)G(s) for PD controllers:
- - $K = 1, T_D = 10 \rightarrow 20 \log |K| = 0$
 - $K = 10, T_D = 10 \rightarrow 20 \log_{1} |K| = 20$
 - Corner frequency: $\omega_c = \frac{1}{T_D} = 10^{-1}$
- 3. Solution:




Figure 4