

FIT 5215 Deep Learning

# **Quiz for: Stochastic Gradient Descent and Optimization**

#### **Tutor Team**

Department of Data Science and Al
Factor of Information Technology, Monash University
Email oglm@monash.edu/tuananh.bui@monash.edu/



Consider the optimization problem to train a feed-forward NN

$$\min_{\theta} J(\theta) = \Omega(\theta) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$$

where  $\theta = \left[ \left( W^k, b^k \right) \right]_{k=1}^L$  and  $\Omega(\theta) = \lambda \sum_k \sum_{i,j} \left( W_{i,j}^k \right)^2 = \lambda \sum_k \left\| W^k \right\|_F^2$ . Choose the correct answers. (MC)

- $\square$  A. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  encourages more weights  $W_{i,j}^{\mathbf{k}}$  to approach to 0.
- $\square$  B. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  encourages complex models.
- $\square$  C. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  encourages simple models.
- $\square$  D. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  combats underfitting.
- $\square$  E. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  combats overfitting.

Consider the optimization problem to train a feed-forward NN

$$\min_{\theta} J(\theta) = \Omega(\theta) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$$

where  $\theta = \left[ \left( W^k, b^k \right) \right]_{k=1}^L$  and  $\Omega(\theta) = \lambda \sum_k \sum_{i,j} \left( W_{i,j}^k \right)^2 = \lambda \sum_k \left\| W^k \right\|_F^2$ . Choose the correct answers. (MC)

- $\square$  A. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  encourages more weights  $W_{i,j}^{\mathbf{k}}$  to approach to 0. [x]
- $\square$  B. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  encourages complex models.
- $\square$  C. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  encourages simple models. [x]
- $\square$  D. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  combats underfitting.
- $\blacksquare$  E. Minimizing  $\Omega(\theta)$  combats overfitting. [x]

Consider the optimization problem to train a feed-forward NN

$$\min_{\theta} J(\theta) = \Omega(\theta) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$$

where  $\theta = [(W^k, b^k)]_{k=1}^L$  and  $f(x_i; \theta)$  returns the prediction probabilities for  $x_i$ . Choose the correct answers. (MC)

- $\square$  A.  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  is known as a regularization term.
- $\square$  B.  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  is known as an empirical loss.
- $\square$  C. Minimizing  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  makes the model more fit to the training set.
- $\square$  D. Minimizing  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  makes the model more fit to the testing set.
- $\square$  E. Minimizing  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  can lead to overfitting.


Consider the optimization problem to train a feed-forward NN

$$\min_{\theta} J(\theta) = \Omega(\theta) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$$

where  $\theta = \left[ \left( W^k, b^k \right) \right]_{k=1}^L$  and  $f(x_i; \theta)$  returns the prediction probabilities for  $x_i$ . Choose the correct answers. (MC)


- $\square$  A.  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  is known as a regularization term.
- $\square$  B.  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  is known as an empirical loss. [x]
- $\square$  C. Minimizing  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  makes the model more fit to the training set. [x]
- $\square$  D. Minimizing  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  makes the model more fit to the testing set.
- $\square$  E. Minimizing  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} CE(y_i, f(x_i; \theta))$  can lead to overfitting. [x]

□ Given a loss surface as showing, which statements are correct? (MC)



- □ A. A, B, C, D, and E are critical points.
- B. A, E, and C are local minima, while B, D are local maxima.
- □ C. B and D are local minima, A and C are local maxima, and C is a saddle point.
- D. C is a saddle point, B and D are local maxima, while A and E are local minima.
- □ E. **B** is global maxima, **D** is local maxima, and **C** is a saddle point.

□ Given a loss surface as showing, which statements are correct? (MC)



- □ A. A, B, C, D, and E are critical points. [x]
- □ B. A, E, and C are local minima, while B, D are local maxima.
- □ C. B and D are local minima, A and C are local maxima, and C is a saddle point.
- D. C is a saddle point, B and D are local maxima, while A and E are local minima. [x]
- □ E. B is global maxima, D is local maxima, and C is a saddle point. [x]

Let  $f(w) = w^2 - 3w + 1$ . Assume that we use gradient descent with the learning rate  $\eta = 0.1$  to solve  $\min_{w} f(w)$ . At the iteration t, we are at  $w_t = 2$ . What is the value of  $w_{t+1}$  at the next iteration? (SC)

- lacksquare A.  $w_{t+1} = 1$ .
- $\square$  B.  $w_{t+1} = 1.8$
- $\Box$  C.  $w_{t+1} = 1.9$ .
- $\square$  D.  $w_{t+1} = 2.1$ .

Let  $f(w) = w^2 - 3w + 1$ . Assume that we use gradient descent with the learning rate  $\eta = 0.1$  to solve  $\min_{w} f(w)$ . At the iteration t, we are at  $w_t = 2$ . What is the value of  $w_{t+1}$  at the next iteration? (SC)

- $\square$  A.  $w_{t+1} = 1$ .
- $\blacksquare$  B.  $w_{t+1} = 1.8$
- $\Box$  C.  $w_{t+1} = 1.9$  [x]
- $\square$  D.  $w_{t+1} = 2.1$ .

- f(w) = 2w 3
- $w_{t+1} = w_t \eta f'(w_t) = 2 0.1 \times (2 \times 2 3) = 1.9$

Given the function  $f(w) = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} (w - x_i)^2$  where  $x_i = i$ ,  $\forall i = 1, ..., 1000$ . We need to solve  $\min_{w} f(w)$  using stochastic gradient descent with the learning rate  $\eta = 0.1$ . Assume we sample a batch  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = 3$ ,  $b_3 = 5$ ,  $b_4 = 7$  of indices and at the iteration t, we have  $w_t = 10$ . What is the value of  $w_{t+1}$  at the next iteration? (SC)

- $\square$  A.  $w_{t+1} = 8.9$ .
- $\square$  B.  $w_{t+1} = 8.7$ .
- $\Box$  C.  $w_{t+1} = 8.5$ .
- $\Box$  D.  $w_{t+1} = 8.8$ .

Given the function  $f(w) = \frac{1}{1000} \sum_{i=1}^{1000} (w - x_i)^2$  where  $x_i = i$ ,  $\forall i = 1, ..., 1000$ . We need to solve  $\min_{w} f(w)$  using stochastic gradient descent with the learning rate  $\eta = 0.1$ . Assume we sample a batch  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = 3$ ,  $b_3 = 5$ ,  $b_4 = 7$  of indices and at the iteration t, we have  $w_t = 10$ . What is the value of  $w_{t+1}$  at the next iteration? (SC)

- $\triangle$  A.  $w_{t+1} = 8.9$ .
- $\square$  B.  $w_{t+1} = 8.7$ .
- $\Box$  C.  $w_{t+1} = 8.5$ .
- $\square$  D.  $w_{t+1} = 8.8$ . [x]

• 
$$\tilde{f}(w) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( w - x_{b_1} \right)^2 + \left( w - x_{b_2} \right)^2 + \left( w - x_{b_3} \right)^2 + \left( w - x_{b_4} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( w - 1 \right)^2 + \left( w - 3 \right)^2 + \left( w - 5 \right)^2 + \left( w - 7 \right)^2 \right]$$
•  $\tilde{f}'(w) = \frac{1}{4} \left( 8w - 32 \right) = 2w - 8$ 
•  $w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \tilde{f}'(w_t) = 10 - 0.1 \times (2 \times 10 - 8) = 8.8$ 

Consider the optimization problem:  $\min_{\theta} L(D; \theta) \coloneqq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(x_i, y_i; \theta)$  with  $\theta$  is the model parameter and  $D = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$  is a training set. Let us sample a batch of indices  $i_1, \dots, i_b$  uniformly from  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Which statements are correct about the update rule of stochastic gradient descent? (SC)

$$\square B. \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\eta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_{\theta} l(x_i, y_i; \theta_t).$$

$$\Box C. \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{\eta}{b} \sum_{k=1}^b \nabla_{\theta} l(x_{i_k}, y_{i_k}; \theta_t).$$

$$\Box D. \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\eta}{b} \sum_{k=1}^b \nabla_{\theta} l(x_{i_k}, y_{i_k}; \theta_t).$$

Consider the optimization problem:  $\min_{\theta} L(D; \theta) \coloneqq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(x_i, y_i; \theta)$  with  $\theta$  is the model parameter and  $D = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$  is a training set. Let us sample a batch of indices  $i_1, \dots, i_b$  uniformly from  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Which statements are correct about the update rule of stochastic gradient descent? (SC)

$$\square B. \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\eta}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_{\theta} l(x_i, y_i; \theta_t).$$

$$\square \text{ C. } \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{\eta}{b} \sum_{k=1}^b \nabla_{\theta} l(x_{i_k}, y_{i_k}; \theta_t). \text{ [x]}$$

$$\Box D. \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \frac{\eta}{b} \sum_{k=1}^b \nabla_{\theta} l(x_{i_k}, y_{i_k}; \theta_t).$$

Given 4 implementations as below. What are f1/f2/f3/f4? (SC).

- A. sigmoid/tanh/softmax/relu
- B. softmax/tanh/relu/sigmoid
- □ C. sigmoid/tanh/relu/softmax
- D. softmax/tanh/sigmoid/relu

```
def f1(x):
    return 1. / (1 + np.exp(-x))
def f2(x):
    return (np.exp(2*x)-1.) / (np.exp(2*x)+1.)
def f3(x):
    return x*(x>0)
def f4(x):
    return np.exp(x) / np.sum(np.exp(x))
```

Given 4 implementations as below. What are f1/f2/f3/f4? (SC).

- A. sigmoid/tanh/softmax/relu
- B. softmax/tanh/relu/sigmoid
- C. sigmoid/tanh/relu/softmax [x]
- D. softmax/tanh/sigmoid/relu

```
def f1(x):
    return 1. / (1 + np.exp(-x))

def f2(x):
    return (np.exp(2*x)-1.) / (np.exp(2*x)+1.)

def f3(x):
    return x*(x>0)

def f4(x):
    return np.exp(x) / np.sum(np.exp(x))
```

Which is  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{h}}$  if  $h = \sigma(\overline{h})$ ,  $\overline{h}$  is a vector and  $\sigma$  is an activation function? (SC)

- lacksquare A.  $\sigma'(\overline{h})$
- ullet B.  $\operatorname{d}iag(\overline{h})$
- $\square$  C. diag $(\sigma(\overline{h}))$
- $\square$  D. diag $(\sigma'(\overline{h}))$

Which is  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{h}}$  if  $h = \sigma(\overline{h})$ ,  $\overline{h}$  is a vector and  $\sigma$  is an activation function? (SC)

- lacksquare A.  $\sigma'(\overline{h})$
- ullet B.  $\operatorname{d}iag(\overline{h})$
- $\square$  C. diag $(\sigma(\overline{h}))$
- lacksquare D. diag( $\sigma'(\overline{h})$ ) [x]

Which is  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{h}}$  if  $h = \sigma(\overline{h})$ ,  $\overline{h} = [-1, 1, 2]$  and  $\sigma$  is ReLU activation function? (SC)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which is  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{h}}$  if  $h = \sigma(\overline{h})$ ,  $\overline{h} = [-1, 1, 2]$  and  $\sigma$  is ReLU activation function? (SC)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} [x] D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which is  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \overline{h}}$  if  $h = \sigma(\overline{h})$ ,  $\overline{h} = [-1,1,2]$ ,  $\sigma$  is ReLU activation function and  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial h} = [1,1,1]$ ? (SC)

- A. [-1, 1, 2]
- B. [0, 1, 2]
- **C**. [0, 1, 1]
- D. [-1, 1, 1]

Which is  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \overline{h}}$  if  $h = \sigma(\overline{h})$ ,  $\overline{h} = [-1,1,2]$ ,  $\sigma$  is ReLU activation function and  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial h} = [1,1,1]$ ? (SC)

- A. [-1, 1, 2]
- B. [0, 1, 2]
- **C.** [0, 1, 1] **[x]**
- D. [-1, 1, 1]

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \overline{h}} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \overline{h}}$$

$$= [1,1,1] \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= [0,1,1]$$
diag(sigma'(h\_bar))

Which is 
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial W}$$
 if  $\overline{h} = Wx + b$ ,  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \overline{h}} = [0,1,1]$ ,  $x = [1,2,3]^T$ ,  $b = [0,1,2]^T$ ? (SC)
$$A = 6$$

$$B = [1,2,3]$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which is 
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial W}$$
 if  $\overline{h} = Wx + b$ ,  $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \overline{h}} = [0,1,1]$ ,  $x = [1,2,3]^T$ ,  $b = [0,1,2]^T$ ? (SC)

$$A = 6$$

$$B = [1, 2, 3]$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} [x]$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial W} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \overline{h}} \frac{\partial \overline{h}}{\partial W} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \times [1,2,3]$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

From Kevin Clark's note (https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs224n/readings/gradient-notes.pdf), section 3.5:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = \delta, \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \delta^T \mathbf{x}^T$$

Replace J by l and  $\mathbf{z}$  by  $\bar{h}$ , and apply the same flow of calculation in the above note, we will have:

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \bar{h}} = [0, 1, 1] = \delta, \bar{h} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial l}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \delta^T \mathbf{x}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \times [1 \ 2 \ 3]$$