# **CSE 576: Topics in NLP Class Project : Team LLM Parrots**

- 1. Week 1 (30th October, 2024 6th November, 2024):
  - a. Studied and Analyzed the paper to understand the code structure for RAP and LATS. (going through the github repository)
  - b. Requested Access for Hugging Face Model: Llama-3.1-8B-instruct.
  - c. Attempted to implement RAP algorithm Zero-shot using LLama through demo.ipynb available in the GitHub using the Preprocessed Dataset. Issues faced while doing the zero shot implementation:
    - 1. The RAP is implemented with a prompt dictionary as input which is accessed from the path

'examples/CoT/blocksworld/prompts/prompt.json'

## 

2. The structure of the prompt is as follows:

"icl": "I am playing with a set of blocks where I need to arrange the blocks into stacks. Here are the actions I can do\n\nPick up a block\nUntrack a block from on top of another block\nPut down a block\nStack a block on top of another block\nPut we the following restrictions on my actions:\n\l Can only pick up or unstack as block in the stable and the block is clear. A block is clear if the block has no other blocks on top of its and if the block is not the table and the block is clear. A block is clear if the block has no other blocks on top of its and if the block is not picked up\nI can only unstack a block from on top of another block if the block I am unstacking its clear. Ablock has no other blocks on top of another block if the block I am unstacking is clear. Ablock has no other blocks on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block on top of another block if it am bloiding the block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block where stack a block, where a block is it is clear, hother in a stacking the block is clear, block being stacked.\nI can only stack a block is on top of the other block is clear, they ellow block is clear, they block is on top of the orange block.\nI can only stack a block with the block is clear, they ellow block is clear, they ellow block is clear, they ellow block is on top of the orange block \nI chiefly spall is to a block is clear, they ellow block is clear, they ellow block is on top of the orange block \nI chiefly spall is to a block is clear, they block block is on the spall

Highlights of the prompts ison is that we are

- a) It has a field like world\_update\_pickup which gives scenarios based on the states and what change needs to be done to do the pickup and the next state after the pickup is done.
- b) Similarly, world\_update\_stack, world\_update\_unstack, world update putdown
- c) The amount of information given in the prompt is very very vast and informative and the RAP is implemented using such a huge prompt. It was the main challenge we faced to replicate the RAP repository for a Zero-shot encoding. As in zero-shot encoding we won't be giving the LLM such information.(A sample query from the zero-shot prompt dataset we need to utilize in this project is given below)

("query: "I am playing with a set of blocks where I need to arrange the blocks into stacks. Here are the actions I can don/helkc up a block/helstack a block from on top of another block/helt down a block/helstack a block to not a most pick up or unstack on block to a time. How can only pick up a block in the block is on the table and the block is clear. A block is clear if the block as no other blocks on top of it and if the block is on the table and the block is clear. A block is clear if the block is not pick up a block from on top of another block if munstacking was really on top of the other block. In can only unstack a block from on top of another block if the block I am unstacking was really on top of the other block. In can only unstack a block from on top of another block if the block I am unstacking is clear, holmca | pick up or unstack a block, or top of another block if the block block block is clear, holmca | pick up or unstack a block on top of another block if the block block block block is clear, holmca | pick down or stack a block, but and block bl

- d. Failed to reach baseline Zero-shot RAP as the algorithm required prompt dataset
- 3. Repurposed to Implement RAP and LATS as is, based on how the original code is structured.

### 2. Week 2 (7th November, 2024 - November 13th, 2024):

a. Code adjustment for RAP in Llama 3.1-8b-Instruct. We are in-progress with an implementation of the RAP algorithm for the blocksworld domain using Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct. Some roadblocks faced in the implementations:

Added the new model in the rap\_interface.py

```
def llama_bfi_instruct_main(
llama_path="estallama".llama=3.1-88-instruct",  # change this to model name on Hugging Face
    perf_path=None,
    prompt_path='examples/Cof/blocksworld/prompts/prompt.json',
    data_path='examples/Cof/blocksworld/data/step_d.json',
    disable_log-false,
    config_file='examples/Cof/blocksworld/data/generated_domain.pddl",
    lm_plan_file='lm_plan.tmp',
    depth_list='examples/Cof/blocksworld/data/generated_domain.pddl",
    lm_plan_file='lm_plan.tmp',
    depth_list='e,
    quantized='nfa',  # awd, int8, fp4, nf4, None
    load_map_fth=None,
    load_map_fth=None,
    load_map_fth=None,
    values

with open(prompt_path) as f:
    prompt = json.load(f)
    device = torth.device('duda'0' if forch.cuda.is_available() else "cpu")

hf_token = 'hf_losgsqRSQjsiybrmNuyPqWPA/ROMGMazeN'
model = AutroModelForCausallM.from_pretrained(llama_path,use_auth_token=hf_token)
    tokenizer = AutroModelForCausallM.from_pretrained(llama_path,use_auth_token=hf_token)
    model = torch.quantization.quantize_dynamic(model, {torch.nn.Linear}, dtype=torch.quint8)

llama_model = HiModel(model, tokenizer, device=device, max_batch_size=1, max_new_tokens=512, quantized=quantized, peft_pth=peft_path, load_awq_pth)

RAP_bw(
    llama_model) = HiModel(model, tokenizer, device=device, max_batch_size=1, max_new_tokens=512, quantized=quantized, peft_pth=peft_path, load_awq_pth)

RAP_bw(
    llama_model),
    prompt,
    disable_log=disable_log,
    data_path=data_path,
    config_file=config_file,
    domain_file=domain_file,
    detylisit=depth_lisit,
    ln_plan_file=lm_plan_file,
    ***hourgs**
```

Made changes in the rap\_interface, test\_llama2.sh for the model.

2. We tried the test\_llama2.sh with torch.distributed.run --nproc\_per\_node 1 but into the following errors

To fix the following issues we ran it without the torch.distributed.run

3. After some modifications and running the python file as script using -m the following changes were made in the test llama2.sh file

```
python -m examples.RAP.blocksworld.rap_inference python -m examples.RAP.blocksworld.rap_inference
```

This got rid of the issue in point 1, as well as the ModuleNotFound errors

4. The following error was coming after making the above modifications

```
RuntimeError:
CUDA Setup failed despite GPU being available. Please run the following command to get more information:

python -m bitsandbytes

Inspect the output of the command and see if you can locate CUDA libraries. You might need to add them
to your LD_LIBRARY_PATH. If you suspect a bug, please take the information from python -m bitsandbytes
and open an issue at: https://github.com/TimDettmers/bitsandbytes/issues
```

5. Removing the torch.distributed.run created the following issues.

```
ValueError: Error initializing torch.distributed using env:// rendezvous: environment variable RANK expected,
Traceback (most recent call last):
File "<frozen runpy>", line 198, in _run_module_as_main
File "<frozen runpy>", line 88, in _run_code
```

6. Made some changes in the sh file to fix this error

```
export VAL=/LLMs-Planning/planner_tools/val
export RANK=0  # Process rank in the node (for single-node, use 0)
export WORLD_SIZE=1  # Total number of processes (1 if single-node, single-process)
bython -m torch.distributed.run --nproc_per_node 1 examples.RAP.blocksworld.rap_inference
```

Still have been getting errors, We haven't received a session after this experimentation.

- b. Replication of LATS implementation for the given dataset
  - i. We are in-progress with an implementation of the LATS algorithm specifically for the blocksworld domain
  - ii. The major challenges that we faced while implementing the algorithm included state extraction from prompts, an accurate reward metric for simulation, computing new states based on the recommended next action by the LLM
    - 1. State extraction from prompts

We implemented a python parser to convert the initial state from prompts into an object as follows:

Clear blocks: {'red', 'yellow'}, Hand: None, Block on top positions: {'red': 'blue', 'yellow': 'orange', 'blue': 'table',

'orange': 'table'}

#### 2. Reward metric for simulation

The initial thought was to rely on the LLM itself to give us the reward. For this we have a "reward prompt" which takes the current state and asks the LLM to estimate the number of steps required to reach the goal state. We then use the number of states to derive a metric. Ex (100 - n)/100, where n = no. of steps)

Another approach is to derive this metric programmatically by comparing the relative positions of blocks in the current and the goal state. We are still working on this.

#### 3. Computing new states

We created prompts to derive new states from the current state and the given action by the model. Here, we rely on the model to take us from current to next state. We observed the next states generated by the model are not that accurate. We could also derive the next steps programmatically which would be more accurate but would take away model contribution from the solution.