BedVal: A Visualization of Airbnb Pricing Factors



Team 17 Final Report

CSE 6242 Data Visualization and Analytics, Spring 2019 Team Members: Usman Ashraf, Azlan Shah Bin Abdul Jalil, Jiun-Yu Lee, Jing Shi, He Zhang

¹ The copyright of this picture belongs to Airbnb.

Introduction and Motivation

The sharing economy is experiencing a drastic growth and reshaping the structure of the whole economy. Airbnb is unarguably a representative of the sharing economy.

This online sharing platform has infused the features of E-commerce, online advertisements with the prototype of the hospitality industry, and created a new model for the business of accommodation services. In order to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of this model, we are trying to empirically examine some obscured facets of this house-sharing platform.

More precisely, we are trying to answer the following questions:

- 1. Does the ease of access to public transportation contributes to the listing price or the occupancy rate of a listed property?
- 2. Do the words with positive sentiments in listing titles have positive impacts on the listing price or occupancy rates?
- 3. How do the distances from listed properties to nearby famous attractions influence the listing prices and occupancy rates?

In the meantime, to better address those questions, we will try to present those effects, namely the effects from access to public transportation, the effects from listing titles with positive sentiments, and the effects from the distance to local attractions, with dynamic and interactive visualizations.

The ultimate goal of this project is not only to provide theoretical answers to the above questions, but also to help the hosts to determine their strategies of listing, as well as to help the guests to better understand the rationale behind the pricing mechanism of Airbnb. Thereby, at the end of this project, we will also have brief discussions on how to optimize the listing strategy as property owners, and what are the takeaways for future Airbnb users. Those discussions should add practical values to both parties involved in this business.

Literature Survey

There are many published studies which analyze different aspects of Airbnb:

• Gunter (2018)

Gunter investigates factors that make an Airbnb host a superhost, a symbol of ultimate hospitality which encourages users to rent from these hosts.

• Ke (2017)

Ke studies the distribution of house types and found that 68.5% of listings are entire homes and identifies a bias towards high ratings and positive reviews.

• Fang (2016), Mao (2019)

Fang uses polynomial regression to model Airbnb listings against tourism industry employment while Mao studied Airbnb effects on local economy. Both confirm a relationship between Airbnb and the local economy and found that its entry benefited the area through job generation.

Cheng (2016), Kaker (2018), Ma (2017)

Cheng claims that access to digital profiles of hosts results in discrimination around sex and ethnicity. Ma found that high quantity host self-description and specific topic inclusion enhance trustworthiness. Kaker found that hosts being of asian or hispanic descent negatively impact occupancy rates. This research identifies the relationship between host attributes and guest choice, but restricts analysis to host profiles.

• Liu (2017)

Liu examines the effect of advertising appeal and sense of power on click-through and purchase intention and found that different advertising words attract different customer types which can lead to price discrimination. However, Liu doesn't detail how price discrimination occurs.

Cheng (2019), Gibbs (2018), Lawani (2018), Neumann (2017)

Cheng found that location, amenities, and host are the key attributes influencing Airbnb users' experiences. Gibbs and Lawani found that these characteristics significantly impact price and show a negative correlation between review and price. Although location's importance was stressed, impacts of access to public transportation and tourist attractions weren't separately analyzed nor do they investigate owners raising price as ratings improve. None of these papers looked at demand impact.

• Gutiérrez (2017), Li (2016)

Gutiérrez analyzed spatial patterns of Barcelona Airbnb against hotels and sightseeing spots. Li proposed a multi-scale clustering algorithm to aggregate homes in similar price zones by distance to attractions and attraction popularity. This research gives insight to potential public transportation and attraction distance effects on price/demand and we can leverage Li's method of clustering.

• Lee (2015)

Lee analyzed the correlation between room sales and social factors, though he didn't analyze the impact on prices. It's worth further exploration before we build our regression model.

Perez-Sanchez (2018), Wang (2016), Zhang (2017)

Wang identified host, site and property, amenities and services, rental rules, and online review ratings as price determinants in a sharing economy. Perez-Sanchez investigates the relationship between Airbnb accommodation attributes and listing price. Zhang uses a general linear and a geographically weighted regression model to calculate listing price key factors, but is limited to only two location variables, which is not suitable for big cities. We are doing similar analysis of location (Features 1 and 3) and are able to compare against these study methods, but their research doesn't look at the impact on demand as we plan to do.

• Wen (2009)

Wen summarizes theories of factors affecting purchases of travel products. Like Wen, we will be looking at positive sentiment in titles, however we look at its relation with price listings as well as demand. We can utilize Wen's method of extracting wording in our analysis.

Proposed Method

As indicated in our proposal, our main goal is to test: 1, whether the sentiments in the listing title or description has significant impact on the demand or listing price of the property; 2, whether the ease of access to public transportation has significant impacts on the demand or listing price of the property; 3, whether the distance to attractions has significant impact on the demand or listing price of the property.

We plan to combine both the supervised learning and visualization for the purpose of analytics. More specifically, we do the following:

- 1. Use opinion lexicon to classify the words in the listing title and description into different sentimental groups;
- 2. Find if there is any relation between commonly used words and the market demand of the housing
- 3. Calculate the distance from the property to the attractions and clean up the data.
- 4. Use the transportation map API to pin down the nearest access to local transportation and calculate the distance.

- 5. Use the attraction map API to pin down the nearest attractions and calculate the distance and the number of attractions within walkable distance.
- 6. Build up a linear model based upon Wang (2016) and Zhang et al. (2017), and then we run Ordinary Least Square estimation on the model and perform t-tests on the coefficients.
- 7. Test the predictive power(specifically, predictive MSE) of the model with the variables of interests against the predictive power of the model without those variables by using random forest regression and other Machine Learning techniques.
- 8. Design an interactive map interface for our regression model that provides airbnb hosts the information about the suggested price and estimated demand for the property they want to host with.
- 9. Design a map visualization to help airbnb users finding out the density, average price and demand of the airbnb properties in San Francisco area.

Innovation of Methodology

- The current works run sentimental analysis for the reviews and observe its impacts on price while our work also sheds some lights on the demand.
- The current works don't look into the effects from nearby attractions or the access to transportation while we scrape the data for transportation access and nearby attractions, and we take those factors into account.
- Our work expands the sentimental analysis to listing title and description, and we firstly visualize the effects of local attractions on the listing price/demand.
- The current works don't look into the prediction model for best listing price and anticipated number of bookings while we experiment with different predictive models and build up a prediction UI for the hosts.
- The current works mostly do analytics by using supervised learning while we combine the supervised learning method and visualization method to do analytics.

Experiments and EvaluationData and preprocessing

• Airbnb Listing: Our airbnb listing data is free from Inside Airbnb, which contains most of the attributes the past model are used and that we are going to analyze. The following two preprocessing are done to further extract necessary attributes from this data.

- We use the opinion lexicon in the Natural Language Processing Toolkit (NLTK) module to do sentiment analysis and abstract the numbers of the words with positive sentiments and negative sentiments in both the listing titles and the listing descriptions.
- Since there is no attribute for demand in the listing data, we used an approach proposed by Lee (2015) to estimate the monthly booking of a property based in the number of rating.
- Transportation Data: We collected BART, SFMTA, and Caltrain data from <u>TransitWiki</u>, which has a collection of public transportation data in the format of General Transit Feed Specification (GTFS). The following features with respect to each airbnb property are extracted for our analysis:
 - Top1: The distance to the nearest transportation station.
 - Top5 Average: The average of the distance of top 5 nearest transportation location.
 - Within Radius Count: The number of stations within a walkable distance radius (0.7 miles.)
 - With Radius Average: The average distance of the stations within the walkable distance.
 - Total Average: The average of the distance to all the transportation station.
- Attraction Data: For attraction data, we use <u>HERE</u>, a public attraction API to retrieve the nearest attractions for each Airbnb property. Then we calculate the same features as we did for transportation data.
- San Francisco Map: For the the map of San Francisco, the data was obtained from Census Bureau website. The raw map data was in .shp format and the following steps were taken to get our final data structure.
 - The .shp file was converted to GeoJSON format using shp2json and filtered to only include the ZCTA in San Francisco.
 - The GeoJSON map was merged with the AirBnB listing data where the coordinates for each listing will correspond to a Point in the GeoJSON data.
 - The density, average price and average review value were added to each ZCTA entry as a property by doing another merge.
 - The merged GeoJSON data was projected and rotated according to the <u>Albers</u> projection.
 - Then the projected GeoJSON data was converted to TopoJSON format using <u>geo2topo</u> to minimize the file size by 80%.

Experiments Conducted

- 1. To analyze and evaluate effect of positive sentiments, we add positive word count variables we extracted to the baseline model built by Wang (2016) and Zhang et al. (2017) and run a OLS regression on it. The results are appended in Appendix 2.
- 2. We perform linear regression on the transportation we extracted in the previous section and the results are appended in Appendix.
- We use the attraction features to perform another linear regression and perform t-test on the coefficients. The results are shown in the Appendix.
- 4. We visualized the most commonly used words in the 'description' using a word cloud. Then we run a OLS regression model based on select words to find how well-related are our selected words and the demand for a housing.
- 5. We conducted a data science experiment to compare the model built by other researchers in previous literature. More precisely, we divided the predictors into training and testing datasets, and then we used the training dataset to train the linear regression model, random forest regression model and the shallow neural network regression model. We measured the predictability of the model by out-of-sample Mean squared error(MSE). Then we compared the MSE values of the model built by previous researchers and the MSE values of the model built by us. Results are shown in Appendix 2.
- 6. We analysed how well some commonly used words are related to the demand of a listing. The results are attached in the appendix.
- 7. We also compared the out-of-sample MSE vales of the linear regression model, the random forest regression model and the shallow neural network model to determine which model is the best for predictions of price and demand.
- 8. We tuned the parameters of the random forest regression model by using the Grid Search Cross-validation technique. And then we build up the prediction interface based upon the tuned model.


Evaluation and Results

Supervised Learning & Prediction model analysis


- 1. More positive words in the listing title or description don't significantly affect the listing price. However, more positive words in the listing title and more neutral words in the listing description does increase the demand(Estimated number of bookings per month).
- 2. The more stations within the walkable distance there are, the higher the demand will be. However, transportation access doesn't seem to have huge impacts on the pricing decision made by the hosts.
- 3. The more attractions within walkable distance there are, the higher the demand will be. However, attractions don't have significant impacts on listing price.
- 4. From the word cloud we got, we realized that words with the highest frequency in commonly used words list are trivial words. They included words such as "San Francisco", "bed", "private" and "bathroom". None of these words told us much about the listing. Therefore, we then hand-picked 18 words from the list of 100 most common words, these words were descriptive words that tell us more about the housing. They included words as "furnished", "spacious" and "garden".
- 5. Commonly used words being tested have a fair connection (R²=0.56) with the demand of a housing. This means most hosts know what words can make their properties attractive.
- 6. We found that no matter which model we looked at, our model are better than the original model built by previous researchers. The MSE is reduced by 3%-12%.
- Based upon the experiment results in 4, we also identified that, judging
 with the MSE values, for the price prediction, linear regression will be
 the best model. For the demand prediction, random forest regression
 is the best.

Visualization and Interface

Based on the model we have built up, we designed interfaces and visualizations for both airbnb hosts and users to get a better understanding about the Airbnb economic.



For Airbnb hosts, we provide an interactive map application to locate the potential property they want to host on Airbnb and discover its suggested price and estimated demand using our regression model. Hosts can first pin down the location where they want to host an Airbnb on the map. We also ask hosts to fill out the form on the left to provide us more information about the property status to ensure the quality of regression. After hosts complete the form and submit, the property location and status will be sent back to our back end server. We then use our regression model to determine the suggested price for this property and the estimated monthly demand booking. Finally, the results will be sent back and presented as the popup on the pin shows.



For Airbnb user, we provide a quick and easy way to visualize all the available AirBnB listings in San Francisco. At a glance, user will immediately see the distribution of the listings all over the city. The city map is divided according to the ZipCode Tabulation Area (ZCTA) and each area is coloured according to either its listing density, average price or average review. User can determine which general area have the highest listing densities.

Each point on the map corresponds to a valid Airbnb entry and user can quickly see the necessary information by hovering the cursor on it. Details such as price, number of bedrooms/bathrooms, number of beds and even a picture will accompany for every listed entry. If user is interested in the entry, they can click on the AirBnB Link in the provided tooltip to be taken directly to the Airbnb website for that specific entry. Clicking on the coordinates will open Google Map that will show the direction to that listing address.

By hovering the cursor on the property, the nearby attractions will be immediately visible on the map by a unique marker. User can click on a property to hide all the other entries and focus on the nearby attractions. By hovering on the unique marker, user can get details on the attraction.

The map will also adjust accordingly when zooming in. The coordinates will be displayed bigger if zoomed in more. The legend, svg map color and description will be hidden when zoom in as well.

Conclusions

The primary conclusions that we have until this point include the following:

- 1. More positive words in the listing title and more neutral words in the description can significantly increase the demand of the property drastically.
- 2. Easy access to public transportation can improve the demand of the property tremendously.
- 3. With sentiment analysis and transportation information, the predictions for demand and price can be more precise.
- 4. For price prediction, the linear regression model gives the best precision, while for demand precision, the random forest regression model is the best.
- 5. Commonly used descriptive word such as beautiful, quiet, comfortable etc helps get more demand for housing.
- 6. According to our visualization, we can see there's a correlation between density and price. Higher density corresponds to higher price especially in the downtown area.

Work Distribution

Member Name	Works Done		
Azlan Shah Bin Abdul Jalil	Converted the .shp file and coordinates from the Airbnb database into a TopoJSON format. Extracted nearby attractions from HERE API for each Airbnb entry. Built the web-based user visualisation using Leaflet and D3.		
Jing Shi	Built supervised learning models for the relationship between price/demand and distance to public transportation; computed statistics for the price/demand of Airbnb properties in each zip code tabulation area; prepared choropleth maps to show geographical distributions for those statistics.		
Jiun-Yu Lee	Collected transportation data from multiple sources, cleaned and extracted transportation features for Airbnb listing data, built up a backend server with Restful API to host our prediction model, designed and built up the host frontend interface, integrated frontend and backend.		
Usman Ashraf	Cleaned description data, found most commonly used words in description, built word cloud of commonly used words, analysed the impact commonly used words have on the demand, ran supervised learning models to see the relation between those words and demand, found number of housings in each zipcode		
He Zhang	Cleaned the listing data, merged the transportation data and the attraction data with the listing data, conducted the sentiment analysis, conducted supervised learning experiments, built up the back-end prediction function for the host interface, and participated in the design of the host interface.		

Special notes: 1. One of the member dropped the class and quit the group so we have to redistribute the work; 2. All team members have contributed similar amount of effort.

Appendix (Experiment results):

OLS Regression Results

```
______
              price R-squared:
Dep. Variable:
                               0.178
        OLS Adj. R-squared:
Least Squares F-statistic:
Model:
                                0.177
Method:
                                 171.9
Date:
       Tue, 26 Mar 2019 Prob (F-statistic):
                                  0.00
Time:
         21:44:40 Log-Likelihood: -85578.
 No. Observations:
                12711 AIC:
 1.712e+05
Df Residuals:
               12694 BIC:
 1.713e+05 Df Model: 16
Covariance Type: nonrobust
______
                       P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
            t
coef std err
.....
const -185.0170 43.750 -4.229 0.000 -270.774 -99.260
host_is_superhost -13.0363 3.841 -3.394 0.001 -20.566 -5.506
host_has_profile_pi
                 -30.8018 30.204 -1.020 0.308 -90.007 28.404
host_identity_verified 11.8035 3.767 3.134 0.002 4.420 19.187
          16.1063 4.316 -3.731 0.000 -24.567 -7.646
              26.0475 4.863 5.356 0.000 16.515 35.580
house
              46.2175 4.579 10.094 0.000 37.242 55.193
entire
accommodates
               32.7834 1.572 20.860 0.000 29.703 35.864
            0.8318 2.296 0.362 0.717 -3.668 5.331
beds
             6.5336 14.590 0.448 0.654 -22.066 35.133
realbed
WIFI
             -2.2435 15.266 -0.147 0.883 -32.167 27.680
                 2.4418 0.271 9.021 0.000 1.911 2.972
review_scores_rating
                -13.0199 3.748 -3.474 0.001 -20.366 -5.674
instant_bookable
require_guest_phone_verificatio 17.1626 8.333 2.059 0.039 0.828 33.497
namepos
                2.1979 2.359 0.932 0.352 -2.426 6.822
```

27042,839 Durbin-Watson; 1.818 Omnibus:

0.5312 0.454 1.169 0.242 -0.359 1.422 ______

despos

0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB): 215298487.103 Prob(Omnibus):

Skew: 18.432 Prob(JB): 0.00 Kurtosis: 639.516 Cond. No. 3.43e+03

Table 1. Sentimental analysis results on price

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable: Monthly_demand R-squared: 0.185 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.184 179.5 Least Squares F-statistic: Method: Tue, 26 Mar 2019 Prob (F-statistic): Date: 0.00 Time: 21:44:40 Log-Likelihood: -32261.

No. Observations: 12711 AIC:

6.456e+04

Df Residuals: 12694 BIC: 6.468e+04 Df Model: 16 Covariance Type: nonrobust

P>|t| [0.025 0.975] ______

1.9792 0.058 34.103 0.000 1.865 2.093 host_is_superhost -0.0031 0.000 -13.566 0.000 -0.004 -0.003 host_listings_count host_has_profile_pic

host_identity_verifie

apt -0.2811 0.073 -3.834 0.000 -0.425 -0.137 house -0.4211 0.069 -6.101 0.000 -0.556 -0.286 entire accommodates 0.0681 0.024 2.876 0.004 0.022 0.115 beds

0.1280 0.220 0.582 0.561 -0.303 0.559 realbed WIFI 0.9652 0.231 4.183 0.000 0.513 1.418

review_scores_rating 0.0072 0.004 1.769 0.077 -0.001 0.015 instant_bookable 1.3017 0.056 23.042 0.000 1.191 1.412

0.0645 0.035 1.840 0.066 -0.004 0.133 namepos 0.0096 0.001 15.782 0.000 0.008 0.011 desneu

3602,378 Durbin-Watson: Omnibus: 1.826 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB): 10292.022 Prob(Omnibus):

0.00 1.493 Prob(JB): Skew: 6.242 Cond. No. 5.24e+03 Kurtosis:

Table 2. Sentimental analysis results on demand

Regression results of distance to public transportation to price

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable: price R-squared: 0.001 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.001 Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 2.328 Date: Wed, 27 Mar 2019 Prob (F-statistic): 0.0539

Time: 23:50:21 Log-Likelihood:

-51239. No. Observations: 7151

1.025e+05 AIC: Df Residuals: 7146 BIC: 1.025e+05 Df Model: 4

Covariance Type: nonrobust

coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]

 const
 186.8586
 83.347
 2.242
 0.025
 23.473
 350.244

 top1
 48.6577
 192.741
 0.252
 0.801 -329.172
 426.487

 top5_avg
 -6.8737
 205.864
 -0.033
 0.973 -410.429
 396.681

 total_avg
 -17.3856
 7.977
 -2.179
 0.029 -33.023
 -1.748

 within_radius_avg
 192.4644
 160.196
 1.201
 0.230 -121.567
 506.495

Omnibus: 14285.385 Durbin-Watson: 1.912 Prob(Omnibus): 0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB): 47679385.777

 Skew:
 16.149 Prob(JB):
 0.00

 Kurtosis:
 401.719 Cond. No.
 289.

Regression results of distance to public transportation to demand

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:Monthly_demand R-squared:0.01Model:OLS Adj. R-squared:0.010Method:Least Squares F-statistic:19.31

Date: Wed, 27 Mar 2019 Prob (F-statistic):

8.07e-16 Time: 23:50:21

Log-Likelihood: -18488.

No. Observations: 7151 AIC: 3.699e+04

Df Residuals: 7146 BIC: 3.702e+04 Df Model: 4

Covariance Type: nonrobust

coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]

 const
 3.6186
 0.855
 4.234
 0.000
 1.943
 5.294

 top1
 -5.7306
 1.977
 -2.899
 0.004
 -9.605
 -1.856

 top5_avg
 10.5456
 2.111
 4.995
 0.000
 6.407
 14.684

 total_avg
 0.3340
 0.082
 4.083
 0.000
 0.174
 0.494

 within_radius_avg
 -6.5138
 1.643
 -3.965
 0.000
 -9.734
 -3.293

 Omnibus:
 2324.053
 Durbin-Watson:
 1.812

 Prob(Omnibus):
 0.000
 Jarque-Bera (JB):
 6594.569

 Skew:
 1.733 Prob(JB):
 0.00

 Kurtosis:
 6.182 Cond. No.
 289.

Regression results of effects from attractions on price

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:price R-squared:0.199Model:OLS Adj. R-squared:0.197Method:Least Squares F-statistic:94.67

Date: Fri, 19 Apr 2019 Prob (F-statistic):

1.77e-261 Time: 11:31:41

Log-Likelihood: -39773.

No. Observations: 5726 AIC: 7.958e+04

Df Residuals: 5710 BIC: 7.968e+04 Df Model: 15
Covariance Type: nonrobust

==

coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]

```
-244.5911 79.691 -3.069 0.002 -400.815 -88.367
host_is_superhost
                  -5.2032 7.037 -0.739 0.460 -18.998
                  -0.0397 0.028 -1.416
host_listings_count
                                   0.157 -0.095
                  -13.0887 48.332 -0.271
                                    0.787 -107.838 81.660
host_has_profile_pic
                  21.0500 7.027 2.996 0.003 7.275 34.825
host_identity_verified
             -34.8358 8.159 -4.269
                               0.000 -50.831 -18.840
              -19.4635 8.910 -2.185 0.029 -36.930 -1.997
house
                     8.159 4.713 0.000 22.460 54.449
entire
              38.4545
                  57.9966 3.362 17.248 0.000 51.405
accommodates
                                                64.588
beds
              -1.2307 5.157 -0.239 0.811 -11.340
               14.9862 30.829 0.486 0.627 -45.451 75.423
realbed
WIFI
              10.3824 31.198 0.333 0.739 -50.778
review_scores_rating
                   2.5370 0.503 5.046 0.000 1.551 3.523
                                               11.789
instant_bookable
                  -2.3328 7.204 -0.324 0.746 -16.455
require_guest_phone_verification -2.0561 13.493 -0.152
                                        0.879 -28.507
                                                    24.395
______
             12363,905 Durbin-Watson:
                                    1.925
Omnibus:
                0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB):
                                  73077471,821
Prob(Omnibus):
             19.096 Prob(JB):
                                0.00
Skew:
Kurtosis:
             555,122 Cond. No.
                                3.35e+03
______
 Regression results of effects from attractions on demand
          OLS Regression Results
_____
            Monthly_demand R-squared:
Dep. Variable:
                                      0.182
Model:
              OLS Adj. R-squared:
                                 0 180
Method:
           Least Squares F-statistic:
                                  84.63
Date:
         Fri, 19 Apr 2019 Prob (F-statistic):
 3.06e-235 Time:
                                 11:31:41
 Log-Likelihood: -14439.
No. Observations:
                 5726 AIC:
                                2.891e+04
               5710 BIC:
Df Residuals:
 2.902e+04 Df Model: 15
Covariance Type:
               nonrobust
_______
             coef std err
                       t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
------
              1.4160 0.955 1.483 0.138 -0.456 3.288
host_is_superhost
                 1.7281 0.084 20.498 0.000
                                         1.563
                                               1.893
host_listings_count
                 -0.003
host_has_profile_pic
                  -0.2269 0.579 -0.392
                                    0.695 -1.362
                                                0.908
host_identity_verifie
                  -0.5856 0.084 -6.956
                                    0.000 -0.751
                                               -0.421
 d
                    0.098 -4.922 0.000 -0.673 -0.289
apt
              -0.0995
                    0.107 -0.932 0.351 -0.309 0.110
house
                     0.098 -9.378
                               0.000 -1.108 -0.725
entire
              -0.9167
                  0.0821 0.040 2.038 0.042 0.003
 accommodates
beds
              realbed
              -0.2500 0.369 -0.677
                                0.498 -0.974
              1,4779 0.374 3.954 0.000 0.745 2.211
WIFI
review_scores_rating
                   1.1391 0.086 13.198 0.000 0.970 1.308
instant_bookable
within_radius_count_attr -0.0119 0.003 -3.571 0.000 -0.018 -0.005
_____
             1550.043 Durbin-Watson:
Omnibus:
                                    1.823
                                   4059.340
Prob(Omnibus):
                0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB):
                               0.00
             1.458 Prob(JB):
Skew:
                               3.35e+03
              5.918 Cond. No.
Kurtosis:
```

Using the commonly used descriptive words to model the demand of a housing in the next 90 days.

OLS Regression Results

===

Dep. Variable: demand_90 R-squared: 0.560 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.559 Method: F-statistic: Least Squares 504.2 Date: Sun, 21 Apr 2019 Prob (F-statistic): 0.00

Time: 03:54:50 Log-Likelihood:

-36707. No. Observations: 7151 AIC:

7.345e+04

Df Residuals: 7133 BIC:

7.357e+04 Df Model: 18

Covariance Type: nonrobust

Comparisons of the predictability across models

	Price		Demand	
	New model MSE	Baseline model MSE	New model MSE	Baseline model MSE
Linear regression	52761.37	54425.80	8.64	9.20
Random forest regression	59651.82	69206.61	6.89	8.69
Shallow neural network	54096.71	54557.81	8.69	10.96

Citations

- Chen, Yong. (2017). "Consumer evaluation of Airbnb listings. A hedonic pricing approach"

 https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/full/10.1108/IJCHM-10-2016-0-6-06#-i29
- Cheng, M., & Jin, X. (2019). What do Airbnb users care about? An analysis of online review comments. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *76*, 58-70.
- Fang, Bin, Qiang Ye, and Rob Law. "Effect of sharing economy on tourism industry employment." *Annals of Tourism Research*(double blind peer review) 57.3 (2016): 264-267.
- Gunter, U. (2018). "What makes an Airbnb host a superhost? Empirical evidence from San Francisco and the Bay Area."

 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S02615177173024
 2X?via%3Dihub
- Gutiérrez, Javier, et al. "The eruption of Airbnb in tourist cities: Comparing spatial patterns of hotels and peer-to-peer accommodation in Barcelona." *Tourism Management* 62 (2017): 278-291.
- Kakar, Venoo, et al. "The visible host: Does race guide Airbnb rental rates in San Francisco?." *Journal of Housing Economics(Single blind peer review)* 40 (2018): 25-40.
- Ke, Q. (2017). "Sharing Means Renting?: An Entire-marketplace Analysis of Airbnb" https://arxiv.org/pdf/1701.01645.pdf
- Lee, Donghun, et al. "An analysis of social features associated with room sales of Airbnb." *Proceedings of the 18th ACM Conference Companion on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing*. ACM, 2015.
- Lawani, A. (2018). "Reviews and price on online platforms: Evidence from sentiment analysis of Airbnb reviews in Boston"

 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S01660462173034

 OX
- Li, Yang, et al. "Reasonable price recommendation on Airbnb using Multi-Scale clustering." 2016 35th Chinese Control Conference (CCC). IEEE, 2016.
- Liu, Stephanie Q., and Anna S. Mattila. "Airbnb: Online targeted advertising, sense of power, and consumer decisions." *International Journal of Hospitality Management* (double blind peer review) 60 (2017): 33-41.

- Ma, Xiao, et al. "Self-disclosure and perceived trustworthiness of Airbnb host profiles." *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing*. ACM, 2017.
- Mao, Yifei, et al. (2019). "Real Effects of Peer-to-Peer Rental: Evidence from Airbnb"

 https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3111975
- Neumann, Jürgen, et al. (2017). "Theory and Empirical Evidence for Optimal Pricing Conditional on Online Ratings"

 https://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1064&context=ecis2017_rp
- Perez-Sanchez, V. (2018). "The What, Where, and Why of Airbnb Price Determinants" https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/10/12/4596/htm
- Wang, D. (2016). "Price determinants of sharing economy based accommodation rental: A study of listings from 33 cities on Airbnb.com"

 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S02784319163056
 18
- Wen, I. (2009). Factors affecting the online travel buying decision: a review. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 21(6), 752-765.
- Zhang, Z., Chen, R., Han, L., & Yang, L. (2017). Key factors affecting the price of Airbnb listings: A geographically weighted approach. *Sustainability*, *9*(9), 1635.