



Conditional Variables

Condition Variables

- There are many cases where a thread wishes to check whether a **condition** is true before continuing its execution
- Example
 - A parent thread might wish to check whether a child thread has *completed*
 - This is often called a `join()`

Condition Variables (Cont.)

A Parent Waiting For Its Child

```
1      void *child(void *arg) {
2          printf("child\n");
3          // XXX how to indicate we are done?
4          return NULL;
5      }
6
7      int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
8          printf("parent: begin\n");
9          pthread_t c;
10         Pthread_create(&c, NULL, child, NULL); // create child
11         // XXX how to wait for child?
12         printf("parent: end\n");
13         return 0;
14     }
```

What we would like to see here is:

```
parent: begin
child
parent: end
```

Parent Waiting for Child: Spin-based Approach

```
1      volatile int done = 0;
2
3      void *child(void *arg) {
4          printf("child\n");
5          done = 1;
6          return NULL;
7      }
8
9      int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
10         printf("parent: begin\n");
11         pthread_t c;
12         pthread_create(&c, NULL, child, NULL); // create child
13         while (done == 0)
14             ; // spin
15         printf("parent: end\n");
16         return 0;
17     }
```

- This is hugely inefficient as the parent spins and **wastes CPU time**

How to Wait for a Condition

- Condition variable
 - **Waiting** on the condition
 - An explicit queue that threads can put themselves on when some state of execution is not as desired
 - **Signaling** on the condition
 - Some other thread, *when it changes the state*, can wake one of those waiting threads and allow them to continue

Definition and Routines

- Declare condition variable

```
pthread_cond_t c;
```

- Proper initialization is required

- Operation (the POSIX calls)

```
pthread_cond_wait(pthread_cond_t *c, pthread_mutex_t *m);    // wait()  
pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t *c);                      // signal()
```

- The wait() call takes a mutex as a parameter
 - The wait() call release the lock and put the calling thread to sleep
 - When the thread wakes up, it must re-acquire the lock

Parent Waiting for Child: Using a condition variable

```
1      int done = 0;
2      pthread_mutex_t m = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
3      pthread_cond_t c = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
4
5      void thr_exit() {
6          pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
7          done = 1;
8          pthread_cond_signal(&c);
9          pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
10     }
11
12     void *child(void *arg) {
13         printf("child\n");
14         thr_exit();
15         return NULL;
16     }
17
18     void thr_join() {
19         pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
20         while (done == 0)
21             pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
22         pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
23     }
24
```

Parent waiting for Child: Using a Condition Variable

(cont.)

```
25     int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {  
26         printf("parent: begin\n");  
27         pthread_t p;  
28         pthread_create(&p, NULL, child, NULL);  
29         thr_join();  
30         printf("parent: end\n");  
31         return 0;  
32     }
```


Parent waiting for Child: Using a Condition Variable

■ Parent:

- Create the child thread and continues running itself
- Call into `thr_join()` to wait for the child thread to complete
 - Acquire the lock
 - Check if the child is done
 - Put itself to sleep by calling `wait()`
 - Release the lock

■ Child:

- Print the message "child"
- Call `thr_exit()` to wake the parent thread
 - Grab the lock
 - Set the state variable `done`
 - Signal the parent thus waking it

The Importance of the State Variable `done`

```
1      void thr_exit() {
2          pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
3          pthread_cond_signal(&c);
4          pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
5      }
6
7      void thr_join() {
8          pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
9          pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
10         pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
11     }
```

`thr_exit()` and `thr_join()` without variable `done`

- Imagine the case where the *child runs immediately*
 - The child will signal, but there is no thread asleep on the condition
 - When the parent runs, it will call wait and be stuck
 - No thread will ever wake it

Another Poor Implementation

```
1      void thr_exit() {
2          done = 1;
3          Pthread_cond_signal(&c);
4      }
5
6      void thr_join() {
7          if (done == 0)
8              Pthread_cond_wait(&c);
9      }
```

- The issue here is a subtle **race condition**
 - The parent calls `thr_join()`
 - The parent checks the value of `done`
 - It will see that it is 0 and try to go to sleep
 - *Just before* it calls wait to go to sleep, the parent is interrupted and the child runs
 - The child changes the state variable `done` to 1 and signals
 - But no thread is waiting and thus no thread is woken
 - When the parent runs again, it sleeps forever

The Producer / Consumer (Bound Buffer) Problem

■ Producer

- Produce data items
- Wish to place data items in a buffer

■ Consumer

- Grab data items out of the buffer consume them in some way

■ Example: Multi-threaded web server

- *A producer* puts HTTP requests in to a work queue
- *Consumer threads* take requests out of this queue and process them

Bounded Buffer

- A bounded buffer is used when you pipe the output of one program into another
 - Example: `grep foo file.txt | wc -l`
 - The `grep` process is the producer
 - The `wc` process is the consumer
 - Between them is an in-kernel bounded buffer
 - Bounded buffer is Shared resource
 - **Synchronized access** is required

Put and Get Routines (Version 1)

```
1      int buffer;
2      int count = 0;    // initially, empty
3
4      void put(int value) {
5          assert(count == 0);
6          count = 1;
7          buffer = value;
8      }
9
10     int get() {
11         assert(count == 1);
12         count = 0;
13         return buffer;
14     }
```

- Only put data into the buffer when `count` is zero
 - i.e., when the buffer is *empty*
- Only get data from the buffer when `count` is one
 - i.e., when the buffer is *full*

Producer/Consumer Threads (Version 1)

```
1      void *producer(void *arg) {
2          int i;
3          int loops = (int) arg;
4          for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
5              put(i);
6          }
7      }
8
9      void *consumer(void *arg) {
10         int i;
11         while (1) {
12             int tmp = get();
13             printf("%d\n", tmp);
14         }
15     }
```

- **Producer** puts an integer into the shared buffer loops number of times
- **Consumer** gets the data out of that shared buffer

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and If Statement

- A single condition variable `cond` and associated lock `mutex`

```
1      cond_t cond;
2      mutex_t mutex;
3
4      void *producer(void *arg) {
5          int i;
6          for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
7              Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);           // p1
8              if (count == 1)                       // p2
9                  Pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex); // p3
10             put(i);                                // p4
11             Pthread_cond_signal(&cond);            // p5
12             Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);          // p6
13         }
14     }
15
16     void *consumer(void *arg) {
17         int i;
18         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
19             Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);             // c1
```


Producer/Consumer: Single CV and If Statement

```
20         if (count == 0)                                // c2
21             Pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex);           // c3
22         int tmp = get();                                  // c4
23         Pthread_cond_signal(&cond);                      // c5
24         Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);                    // c6
25         printf("%d\n", tmp);
26     }
27 }
```

- p1-p3: A producer waits for the buffer to be empty
- c1-c3: A consumer waits for the buffer to be full
- With just *a single producer* and *a single consumer*, the code works

If we have **more than** one of producer and consumer?

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 1)

T_{c1}	State	T_{c2}	State	T_p	State	Count	Comment
c1	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
c2	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
c3	Sleep		Ready		Ready	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep		Ready	p1	Running	0	
	Sleep		Ready	p2	Running	0	
	Sleep		Ready	p4	Running	1	Buffer now full
	Ready		Ready	p5	Running	1	T_{c1} awoken
	Ready		Ready	p6	Running	1	
	Ready		Ready	p1	Running	1	
	Ready		Ready	p2	Running	1	
	Ready		Ready	p3	Sleep	1	Buffer full; sleep
	Ready	c1	Running		Sleep	1	T_{c2} sneaks in ...
	Ready	c2	Running		Sleep	1	
	Ready	c4	Running		Sleep	0	... and grabs data
	Ready	c5	Running		Ready	0	T_p awoken
	Ready	c6	Running		Ready	0	
c4	Running		Ready		Ready	0	Oh oh! No data

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 1)

- The problem arises for a simple reason:
 - After the producer woke T_{c1} , but before T_{c1} ever ran, the state of the bounded buffer *changed by* T_{c2}
 - There is no guarantee that when the woken thread runs, the state will still be as desired
→ Mesa semantics
 - Virtually every system ever built employs *Mesa semantics*
 - Hoare semantics provides a stronger guarantee that the woken thread will run immediately upon being woken

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and While

- Consumer T_{c1} wakes up and **re-checks** the state of the shared variable
 - If the buffer is empty, the consumer simply goes back to sleep

```
1      cond_t cond;
2      mutex_t mutex;
3
4      void *producer(void *arg) {
5          int i;
6          for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
7              Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);           // p1
8              while (count == 1)                   // p2
9                  Pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex); // p3
10             put(i);                               // p4
11             Pthread_cond_signal(&cond);           // p5
12             Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);         // p6
13         }
14     }
15
```

Producer/Consumer: Single CV and While

```
(Cont.)
16     void *consumer(void *arg) {
17         int i;
18         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
19             Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);           // c1
20             while (count == 0)                   // c2
21                 Pthread_cond_wait(&cond, &mutex); // c3
22             int tmp = get();                      // c4
23             Pthread_cond_signal(&cond);          // c5
24             Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);        // c6
25             printf("%d\n", tmp);
26         }
27     }
```

- A simple rule to remember with condition variables is to **always use while loops**
- However, this code still has a bug (*next page*)

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 2)

T_{c1}	State	T_{c2}	State	T_p	State	Count	Comment
c1	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
c2	Running		Ready		Ready	0	
c3	Sleep		Ready		Ready	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep	c1	Running		Ready	0	
	Sleep	c2	Running		Ready	0	
	Sleep	c3	Sleep		Ready	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep		Sleep	p1	Running	0	
	Sleep		Sleep	p2	Running	0	
	Sleep		Sleep	p4	Running	1	Buffer now full
	Ready		Sleep	p5	Running	1	T_{c1} awoken
	Ready		Sleep	p6	Running	1	
	Ready		Sleep	p1	Running	1	
	Ready		Sleep	p2	Running	1	
	Ready		Sleep	p3	Sleep	1	Must sleep (full)
c2	Running		Sleep		Sleep	1	Recheck condition
c4	Running		Sleep		Sleep	0	T_{c1} grabs data
c5	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	Oops! Woke T_{c2}

Thread Trace: Broken Solution (Version 2)

T_{c1}	State	T_{c2}	State	T_p	State	Count	Comment
...	(<i>cont.</i>)
c6	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	
c1	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	
c2	Running		Ready		Sleep	0	
c3	Sleep		Ready		Sleep	0	Nothing to get
	Sleep	c2	Running		Sleep	0	
	Sleep	c3	Sleep		Sleep	0	Everyone asleep ...

- ▣ A consumer should not wake other consumers, only producers, and vice-versa

Single Buffer Producer/Consumer Solution

- Use **two** condition variables and while
 - **Producer** threads wait on the condition `empty`, and signals `fill`
 - **Consumer** threads wait on `fill` and signal `empty`

```
1      cond_t empty, fill;
2      mutex_t mutex;
3
4      void *producer(void *arg) {
5          int i;
6          for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
7              Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
8              while (count == 1)
9                  Pthread_cond_wait(&empty, &mutex);
10             put(i);
11             Pthread_cond_signal(&fill);
12             Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
13         }
14     }
15
```


Single Buffer Producer/Consumer Solution

```
(Cont.)
16     void *consumer(void *arg) {
17         int i;
18         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
19             Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);
20             while (count == 0)
21                 Pthread_cond_wait(&fill, &mutex);
22             int tmp = get();
23             Pthread_cond_signal(&empty);
24             Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);
25             printf("%d\n", tmp);
26         }
27     }
```

Final Producer/Consumer Solution

- More concurrency and efficiency → Add more buffer slots
 - Allow concurrent production or consuming to take place
 - Reduce context switches

```
1      int buffer[MAX];
2      int fill = 0;
3      int use = 0;
4      int count = 0;
5
6      void put(int value) {
7          buffer[fill] = value;
8          fill = (fill + 1) % MAX;
9          count++;
10     }
11
12     int get() {
13         int tmp = buffer[use];
14         use = (use + 1) % MAX;
15         count--;
16         return tmp;
17     }
```

The Final Put and Get Routines

Final Producer/Consumer Solution

```
1      cond_t empty, fill;
2      mutex_t mutex;
3
4      void *producer(void *arg) {
5          int i;
6          for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
7              Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);           // p1
8              while (count == MAX)                 // p2
9                  Pthread_cond_wait(&empty, &mutex); // p3
10             put(i);                               // p4
11             Pthread_cond_signal(&fill);           // p5
12             Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);         // p6
13         }
14     }
15
16     void *consumer(void *arg) {
17         int i;
18         for (i = 0; i < loops; i++) {
19             Pthread_mutex_lock(&mutex);           // c1
20             while (count == 0)                    // c2
21                 Pthread_cond_wait(&fill, &mutex); // c3
22             int tmp = get();                       // c4
```

Final Producer/Consumer Solution

```
(Cont.)  
23         Pthread_cond_signal(&empty);           // c5  
24         Pthread_mutex_unlock(&mutex);          // c6  
25         printf("%d\n", tmp);  
26     }  
27 }
```

The Final Working Solution (Cont.)

- p2: **A producer** only sleeps if all buffers are currently filled
- c2: **A consumer** only sleeps if all buffers are currently empty

Covering Conditions

- Assume there are zero bytes free
 - Thread T_a calls `allocate(100)`
 - Thread T_b calls `allocate(10)`
 - Both T_a and T_b wait on the condition and go to sleep
 - Thread T_c calls `free(50)`

Which waiting thread should be woken up?

Covering Conditions

```
1      // how many bytes of the heap are free?
2      int bytesLeft = MAX_HEAP_SIZE;
3
4      // need lock and condition too
5      cond_t c;
6      mutex_t m;
7
8      void *
9      allocate(int size) {
10         Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
11         while (bytesLeft < size)
12             Pthread_cond_wait(&c, &m);
13         void *ptr = ...;           // get mem from heap
14         bytesLeft -= size;
15         Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
16         return ptr;
17     }
18
19     void free(void *ptr, int size) {
20         Pthread_mutex_lock(&m);
21         bytesLeft += size;
22         Pthread_cond_signal(&c);   // whom to signal??
23         Pthread_mutex_unlock(&m);
24     }
```

Covering Conditions

- Solution (Suggested by Lampson and Redell)
 - Replace `pthread_cond_signal()` with `pthread_cond_broadcast()`
 - `pthread_cond_broadcast()`
 - Wake up **all waiting threads**
 - Cost: too many threads might be woken
 - Threads that shouldn't be awake will simply wake up, re-check the condition, and then go back to sleep