

2024 system programming

Report: Efficient Program Implementation

Student ID: 202211061

Student Name: 남민영

Implementation Results

pixel/speedup	best case(roughly)	worst case(roughly)
128	1.98	1.78
256	1.88	1.82
512	1.96	1.85
768	1.92	1.85
1024	2.15	2.04

I implemented the code of each of the pixels 10 times and recorded its best case and worst case.

Optimization Approach

- Change the type of variable.
 - I changed the type definition of r, g, b from double to int, because the operation of int is generally faster than floating-point numbers.
- Eliminate *for* loop
 - Instead of using two *for* loops, I just calculated the value of r, g, b for 9 times. It could avoid repeating the calculation of comparison and reduce branching and iteration.
- Calculate with a local variable.
 - By making local variables nx and ny ($nx = x + dx$, $ny = y + dy$), I improved the efficiency of the code by recalculating the same operation.
- Change the value of output directly(Avoid allocating dynamic memory)
 - The result of convolution is allocated to the input array directly(eliminating call *Pixel p* and *memset* function), which could reduce the time of allocating and removing dynamic memory.
- Scheduling code(invalid)
 - Before eliminating the *for* loop, I changed the position of the *if* code(confirming whether the value of $x + dx$ is less than 0 ...) to the front of the second *for* loop(related to y). Since the if code is independent of y , so if the value of $x + dx$ is less than 0, the code can jump(continue loop) the code with y . However, by eliminating the for loop, this strategy became invalid.

First, I used the strategy of calculating with a local variable, scheduling, and avoiding allocating dynamic memory. Then, the code speedup was improved to 1.5. Then, I changed the type of variable and eliminated the for loop. The code speedup was improved to 1.9. I think the powerful strategy to make efficient code was eliminating for loop and avoiding allocation of dynamic memory.