

ROB 501 Handout: Grizzle

Inner Product Spaces

Definition: Let (X, \mathbb{C}) be a vector space. A function

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is an **inner product** if

$$(a) \langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$$

(b) $\langle \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2, y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1, y \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle x_2, y \rangle$ (i.e., linear in the left argument)

$$(c) \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } x \in X, \text{ and } \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0.$$

In the case of a real vector space (X, \mathbb{R}) , replace (a) with

(a'): $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$. It is easy to show that we then have linearity in both the left and right sides.

Examples:

$$(a) (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = x^\top \bar{y}$$

$$(b) (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}) \quad \langle x, y \rangle = x^\top y$$

(c) The field is the real numbers \mathbb{R} and the vector space is the set of $n \times m$ real matrices

$$X = \{A \mid A \text{ real } n \times m \text{ matrix}\},$$

with inner product

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^\top B)$$

(d) $X = C[a, b]$ = space of continuous real-valued functions on $[a, b]$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt$$

Theorem: [Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality] Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an **inner product space** (i.e. (X, \mathcal{F}) is a vector space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on X). Then, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2} \cdot \langle y, y \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Proof: If $y = 0$, the result is obviously true. Hence, assume $y \neq 0$. For all scalars λ we have that

$$0 \leq \langle x - \lambda y, x - \lambda y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - \lambda \langle y, x \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle y, y \rangle,$$

because the inner product of a vector with itself is a non-negative real number. For the particular choice $\lambda = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle}$, direct calculation shows

$$0 \leq \langle x, x \rangle - \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\langle y, y \rangle},$$

which gives

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle} = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2} \cdot \langle y, y \rangle^{1/2}.$$

■

Corollary: Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an inner product space. Then

$$\|x\| := \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$$

is a norm on X .

Proof: The main thing to establish is the triangle inequality:

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|.$$

This is equivalent to showing:

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\| \|y\| + \|y\|^2.$$

Brute force computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|^2 &= \langle x + y, x + y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x + y \rangle + \langle y, x + y \rangle \\ &= \overline{\langle x + y, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle x + y, y \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle x, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \overline{\langle y, y \rangle} \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \langle y, y \rangle \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\{\langle x, y \rangle\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Re}\{\langle x, y \rangle\}$ denotes the real part of the complex number $\langle x, y \rangle$. However, for any complex number α , $\operatorname{Re}\{\alpha\} \leq |\alpha|$, and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\{\langle x, y \rangle\} \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2|\langle x, y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + 2\|x\|\|y\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality. ■

Definition:

- (a) Two vectors x and y are **orthogonal** if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. **Notation:** $x \perp y$.
- (b) A **set of vectors** S is **orthogonal** if

$$\forall x, y \in S, \quad x \neq y, \quad \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{i.e. } x \perp y).$$

- (c) If in addition $\|x\| = 1 \quad \forall x \in S$, S is an **orthonormal set**.

HOW TO CONSTRUCT ORTHONORMAL SETS ?

Gram-Schmidt Process: First orthogonalize, then normalize.

Let $\{y_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a *linearly independent* set of vectors. Define a set of vectors $\{v_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ recursively by

Step 1: Set

$$v_1 = y_1.$$

Then clearly $\text{Span}\{v_1\} = \text{Span}\{y_1\}$. From the linear independence of $\{y_1\}$, we see that $\{v_1\}$ is linearly independent and hence v_1 is nonzero.

Step 2: Set

$$v_2 = y_2 - a_{21}v_1,$$

and **choose** a_{21} so that $\langle v_2, v_1 \rangle = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle &= \langle y_2 - a_{21}v_1, v_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle y_2, v_1 \rangle - a_{21} \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle \\ \therefore a_{21} &= \frac{\langle y_2, v_1 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

where we could divide by $\|v_1\|^2$ because we know that $v_1 \neq 0$.

Claim $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\} = \text{Span}\{y_1, y_2\}$ and $v_1 \perp v_2$.

Proof of the claim: The orthogonality is by construction. Because we have $\text{Span}\{v_1\} = \text{Span}\{y_1\}$, in order to show $\text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\} = \text{Span}\{y_1, y_2\}$, it is enough to show that $v_2 \in \text{Span}\{y_1, y_2\}$ and $y_2 \in \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$, which both follow from $v_2 = y_2 - a_{21}v_1$. Indeed,

$$y_2 = v_2 + a_{21}v_1$$

shows $y_2 \in \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$, and

$$v_2 = y_2 - a_{21}v_1 = y_2 - a_{21}y_1$$

shows that $v_2 \in \text{Span}\{y_1, y_2\}$.

From the linear independence of $\{y_1, y_2\}$, we see that the set $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is linearly independent and hence v_1 and v_2 are nonzero. We could pass to the induction step now, but just to be extra clear, let's do one more:

Step 3: Write $v_3 = y_3 - a_{31}v_1 - a_{32}v_2$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle v_3, v_1 \rangle = \langle y_3 - a_{31}v_1 - a_{32}v_2, v_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle y_3, v_1 \rangle - a_{31} \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle - a_{32} \underbrace{\langle v_2, v_1 \rangle}_{=0} \\ \therefore a_{31} &= \frac{\langle y_3, v_1 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle v_3, v_2 \rangle = \langle y_3 - a_{31}v_1 - a_{32}v_2, v_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle y_3, v_2 \rangle - a_{31} \underbrace{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle}_{=0} - a_{32} \langle v_2, v_2 \rangle \\ \therefore a_{32} &= \frac{\langle y_3, v_2 \rangle}{\|v_2\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Step k: In general, one obtains:

$$v_k = y_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \underbrace{\frac{\langle y_k, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2}}_{a_{kj}} \cdot v_j.$$

For Exam!

By construction, for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is an **orthogonal** set.

Normalization: Define: $\tilde{v}_i = \frac{v_i}{\|v_i\|} \Rightarrow \{\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_k\}$ is **orthonormal**.

Remark: At each step, we need to prove that

$$\text{Span} \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} = \text{Span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}.$$

In this way we will have that the v_i are non-zero, and thus each step in the Gram-Schmidt process is well defined. First an example, then a proof.

Exercise: Prove this

The proof is given later, but try to do it yourself!

Read the examples!
 $(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle), \quad \langle x, y \rangle = x^T y.$

Example: Construct a set of orthonormal vectors from

$$y_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y_3^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The vectors are easily checked to be linearly independent.

Orthogonalize: Let

$$v_1 = y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$v_2 = y_2 - \frac{\langle y_2, v_1 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle} v_1 = y_2, \quad (\text{because } \langle y_2, v_1 \rangle = 0)$$

In this case y_1 and y_2 were already orthogonal, so there was nothing to do. Continuing,

$$v_3 = y_3 - \frac{\langle y_3, v_1 \rangle}{\langle v_1, v_1 \rangle} v_1 - \frac{\langle y_3, v_2 \rangle}{\langle v_2, v_2 \rangle} v_2 \quad (1)$$

$$= y_3 - \frac{2}{2} v_1 - \frac{4}{6} v_2 \quad (2)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}^T \quad (3)$$

Normalize: Normalize v_i to get \tilde{v}_i :

$$\tilde{v}_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{v_3}{\|v_3\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

Proposition: Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ be a linearly independent set and suppose the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ is orthogonal and

$$\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\} = \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\}.$$

Define

$$v_k = y_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle y_k, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} \cdot v_j \quad (4)$$

Then, $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is orthogonal and

$$\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} = \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$$

Proof: The orthogonality is by construction. We will show that $y_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ and $v_k \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$.

From (4),

$$y_k = v_k + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle y_k, v_j \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} \cdot v_j \Rightarrow y_k \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}.$$

Left to show: $v_k \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$. By hypothesis,

$$v_j \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq k-1,$$

so

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\langle v_j, y_k \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} \right) v_j \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\} \subset \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}.$$

Clearly, $y_k \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$.

$$\therefore v_k = y_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\langle v_j, y_k \rangle}{\|v_j\|^2} \right) v_j \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$$

because $\text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ is a subspace. ■

$$x \perp S \Leftrightarrow x \perp \text{span}\{S\}$$

Definition: $x \perp y \Leftrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle = 0$; $x \perp S \Leftrightarrow \forall y \in S, \langle x, y \rangle = 0$.

***Claim:** Suppose that $x \perp \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ (i.e. $\langle x, y_i \rangle = 0, 1 \leq i \leq k$). Then x is \perp to $\text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$, i.e. $\langle w, x \rangle = 0 \forall w \in \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$.

Proof of the claim: $\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i y_i, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \langle y_i, x \rangle = 0$ ■

***Claim:** Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be an **orthonormal basis** for an inner product space X . Then the representation of $x \in X$ with respect to $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x, v_i \rangle v_i$$

Proof of the claim: $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ a basis $\Rightarrow \exists !$ coeff. $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that

$$x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, v_i \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k v_k, v_i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \underbrace{\langle v_k, v_i \rangle}_{0 \text{ } k \neq i} \\ &= \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\alpha_i = \langle x, v_i \rangle}$$

Skip to page 14 of the handout. ■

Later

RECIPROCAL BASIS VECTORS

Proposition: Let $(X, \mathbb{R}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an n -dim. inner product space, and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for X (*not* necessarily orthonormal). Show that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\exists r_i \in X$ such that

$$\langle r_i, v_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Solution: Suffices to prove this for $i = 1$. Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the linearly independent set $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n, v_1\}$. Note that v_1 has been permuted to the end. (To compute r_3 for example, you would permute v_3 to the end and apply the same procedure.) The Gram-Schmidt process will produce n orthogonal vectors $\{w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n, w_1\}$, such that

$$\text{span}\{w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n\} = \text{span}\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$$

By construction, $\langle w_1, w_j \rangle = 0$, $j = 2, \dots, n$, which implies that

$$w_1 \perp \text{span}\{w_2, \dots, w_n\}, \quad (6)$$

and thus

$$w_1 \perp \text{span}\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}. \quad (7)$$

From the Gram-Schmidt Process,

$$w_1 = v_1 - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\langle w_j, v_1 \rangle}{\|w_j\|^2} w_j.$$

Therefore, $v_1 = w_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\langle w_j, v_1 \rangle}{\|w_j\|^2} w_j$, and hence,

$$\langle w_1, v_1 \rangle = \langle w_1, w_1 \rangle \quad \text{by (6) and (7).}$$

\therefore If we choose $r_1 = \frac{w_1}{\|w_1\|^2}$, we have

$$\langle r_1, v_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & j = 1 \\ 0 & j \neq 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{as desired.}$$

□

Remarks: (a) $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ satisfying (5) is called a **reciprocal basis**. (b) In order to find r_k , simply rotate v_k to back as in $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n, v_k\}$ and apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure as above.

Problem:[4] Let $(X, \mathbb{R}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an n -dim. inner product space, let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for X , and let $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ be the corresponding reciprocal basis. Show that for all $x \in X$,

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle r_i, x \rangle v_i \quad (8)$$

In other words, determining the representation of x with respect to $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ can be accomplished by computing inner products!

Solution: Because $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis, there exist unique $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i \quad (9)$$

Hence,

$$\langle r_i, x \rangle = \langle r_i, \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k v_k \rangle \quad (10)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \langle r_i, v_k \rangle \quad (11)$$

$$= \alpha_i \quad (12)$$

because $\langle r_i, v_k \rangle$ equals one if $i = k$ and zero otherwise. This proves (8).

Inner Products: Example Computation for $(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$

Given data:

$$\langle p, q \rangle = p^T q = \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i q_i$$

$$\{y_1, y_2, y_3\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Apply Gram-Schmidt to Produce an Orthogonal Basis:

$$v_1 = y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|v_1\|^2 = (v_1)^T v_1 = 2;$$

$$v_2 = y_2 - \frac{\langle v_1, y_2 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} v_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}}_3 \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|v_2\|^2 = 9\frac{1}{2} = \frac{19}{2};$$

$$v_3 = y_3 - \frac{\langle v_1, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} v_1 - \frac{\langle v_2, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_2\|^2} v_2$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_1 \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{3\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{19}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{7}{38} \\ \frac{7}{38} \\ \frac{21}{19} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{6}{19} \\ \frac{6}{19} \\ -\frac{2}{19} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Normalize to obtain Orthonormal Basis:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{v}_1 &= \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{v}_2 &= \frac{v_2}{\|v_2\|} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sqrt{38}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{38}} \\ 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{19}} \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{v}_3 &= \frac{v_3}{\|v_3\|} = \frac{19}{\sqrt{76}} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{6}{19} \\ \frac{6}{19} \\ -\frac{2}{19} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Obtain Reciprocal Basis:

We seek a basis $\{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$ such that $\langle r_i, y_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

Step 1: r_1 is found by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to $\{y_2, y_3, y_1\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}v_1^1 &:= y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ v_1^2 &:= y_3 - \frac{\langle v_1^1, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_1^1\|^2} v_1^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{5}{14} \\ \frac{2}{7} \\ -\frac{1}{14} \end{bmatrix} \\ v_1^3 &:= y_1 - \frac{\langle v_1^1, y_1 \rangle}{\|v_1^1\|^2} v_1^1 - \frac{\langle v_1^2, y_1 \rangle}{\|v_1^2\|^2} v_1^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \\ r_1 &:= \frac{v_1^3}{\|v_1^3\|^2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: r_2 is found by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to $\{y_3, y_1, y_2\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_2^1 &:= y_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 v_2^2 &:= y_1 - \frac{\langle v_1^1, y_1 \rangle}{\|v_1^1\|^2} v_1^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \\
 v_2^3 &:= y_2 - \frac{\langle v_1^1, y_2 \rangle}{\|v_1^1\|^2} v_1^1 - \frac{\langle v_2^2, y_2 \rangle}{\|v_2^2\|^2} v_2^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \\
 r_2 &:= \frac{v_2^3}{\|v_2^3\|^2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: r_3 is found by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_3^1 &:= y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
 v_3^2 &:= y_2 - \frac{\langle v_1^1, y_2 \rangle}{\|v_1^1\|^2} v_1^1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\
 v_3^3 &:= y_3 - \frac{\langle v_1^1, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_1^1\|^2} v_1^1 - \frac{\langle v_3^2, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_3^2\|^2} v_3^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{6}{19} \\ \frac{6}{19} \\ -\frac{2}{19} \end{bmatrix} \\
 r_3 &:= \frac{v_3^3}{\|v_3^3\|^2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Gram Schmit on Functions!

14

Inner Products: Example Computation for $(C[0, 1], \mathbb{R})$

Given data:

$$C[0, 1] = \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}, \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(\tau)g(\tau)d\tau$$

$$\{y_1, y_2, y_3\} = \{1, t, t^2\}$$

Apply Gram-Schmidt to Produce an Orthogonal Basis:

$$v_1 = y_1 = 1$$

$$\|v_1\|^2 = \int_0^1 (1)^2 d\tau = 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_2 &= y_2 - \frac{\langle v_1, y_2 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} v_1 \\ &= t - \underbrace{\int_0^1 1 \cdot \tau d\tau}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot 1 = t - \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\|v_2\|^2 = \int_0^1 \left(\tau - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 d\tau = \frac{1}{12};$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_3 &= y_3 - \frac{\langle v_1, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_1\|^2} v_1 - \frac{\langle v_2, y_3 \rangle}{\|v_2\|^2} v_2 \\ &= t^2 - \underbrace{\int_0^1 1 \cdot \tau^2 d\tau}_{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{1} \cdot 1 - \underbrace{\int_0^1 \left(\tau - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tau^2 d\tau}_{\frac{1}{12}} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{12}}\right) \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= t^2 - \frac{1}{3} - \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= t^2 - t + \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Doing Inner products on $C[a,b]$ in MATLAB

```

>> clear *
>> syms t % declare to be a symbolic variable

>> % INT(S,a,b) is the definite integral of S with respect to
    % its symbolic variable from a to b.  a and b are each
    % double or symbolic scalars.

>> y1=1+0*t % Otherwise MATLAB is too dumb to realize
            % that y1 is a trivial function of the symbolic
            % variable t
y1 = 1

>> y2=t;
>> y3=t^2;

% Start the G-S Procedure. Here we assume  $C[0,1]$ , that is
%  $C[a,b]$ , with  $[a,b]=[0,1]$ 

>> v1=y1

v1=1

>> v2=y2-int(v1*y2,0,1)*v1/int(v1^2,0,1)

v2=t-1/2

>> v3=y3-int(v1*y3,0,1)*v1/int(v1^2,0,1)-
    int(v2*y3,0,1)*v2/int(v2^2,0,1)

v3=t^2+1/6-t

% Next, normalize to length one

v1_tilde=v1/int(v1^2,0,1)^.5

```

```
v1_tilde=1
```

```
>> v2_tilde=v2/int(v2^2,0,1)^.5
```

```
v2_tilde=(t-1/2)*12^(1/2)
```

```
>> simplify(v2_tilde);
```

```
ans=(2*t-1)*3^(1/2)
```

```
>> v3_tilde=simplifyfy(v3/int(v3^2,0,1)^.5)
```

```
v3_tilde=(6*t^2+1-6*t)*5^(1/2)
```