OS_assignment_12754_2019092824

Before I make a system call...

How can I make a new System call? How can I implement them? To make a system call that returns ppid, I have to know What is going on inside my machine.

I started from user.h.

/user/user.h

```
// Function prototype for system calls, They are collected in usys.S
int fork(void);
int exit(int) attribute ((noreturn));
// compiler magic to tell the compiler that the function will never return
int wait(int*);
int pipe(int*);
int write(int, const void*, int);
int read(int, void*, int);
int close(int);
int kill(int);
int exec(const char*, char**);
int open(const char*, int);
int mknod(const char*, short, short);
int unlink(const char*);
int fstat(int fd, struct stat*);
int link(const char*, const char*);
int mkdir(const char*);
int chdir(const char*);
int dup(int);
int getpid(void);
char* sbrk(int);
int sleep(int);
int uptime(void);
```

- These System calls are collected in usys.s , which is written in assembly code
- usys.s is made automatically by usys.pl, which is a generator for assembly code using macros. So Lets see
 usys.pl.

/user/usys.pl

```
#!/usr/bin/perl -w

# Generate usys.S, the stubs for syscalls.
# Generate an assembly code that includes system calls.

print "# generated by usys.pl - do not edit\n";

print "#include \"kernel/syscall.h\"\n";
# It includes syscall.h which is just a series of definition, which is basically just an association a system calls to a number.
```

```
sub entry {
    my $name = shift;
    print ".global $name\n";
    print "${name}:\n";
    print " li a7, SYS_${name}\n";
    print " ecall\n"; # switch to kernel mode
    print " ret\n";
}
entry("fork");
...
```

- This pl file automatically generates an assembly code with macro.
 - The prototype of assembly code is written in entry, So basically, all of system calls shares same production rules.
- The result can be seen on usys.S, and here is a basic prototype of usys.S

```
# generated by...
#include "kernel/syscall.h"
.global open
open:
   li a7, SYS_open
   # Thanks to syscall.h, it is just a uint.
   # So basically it is an instruction that stores System call number
   to a register a7.
    ecal1
    # ecall instruction switches usermode to kernel mode, and kernel
    will execute a code.
    # The kernel's trap handler inspects the system call number and
    arguments(a0~) , performs the requested operation, and places the
    result in a designated register. In this case, a0.
   # ret instruction switches kernel mode to usermode, and returns
    a result of kernel mode's execution.
    # Once the kernel finishes handling the system call, it uses
    the ret instruction
    to return to user mode and resume execution of the program.
```

Let's have a closer look in ecall and ret instruction in detail.

ecall instruction in RISC-V system

ecall: environment call

- 1. It traps the processor
- 2. It can be used to switch between privilege mode
- 3. It is used to implement system call to pass/access system resources.

After ecall ... trampoline.S

According to lab03.pdf, ecall instruction changes the priviledge level (user to supervisor), and then It jumps to uservec in trampoline.S

```
uservec:
        # trap.c sets stvec to point here, so
       # traps from user space start here,
        # in supervisor mode, but with a
        # user page table.
        # save user a0 in sscratch so
        # a0 can be used to get at TRAPFRAME.
        csrw sscratch, a0
        # each process has a separate p->trapframe memory area,
        # but it's mapped to the same virtual address
        # (TRAPFRAME) in every process's user page table.
        li a0, TRAPFRAME
        # save the user registers in TRAPFRAME
       sd ra, 40(a0)
       sd sp, 48(a0)
        sd gp, 56(a0)
       sd tp, 64(a0)
       sd t0, 72(a0)
        sd t1, 80(a0)
       sd t2, 88(a0)
       sd s0, 96(a0)
       sd s1, 104(a0)
       sd a1, 120(a0)
       sd a2, 128(a0)
       sd a3, 136(a0)
       sd a4, 144(a0)
       sd a5, 152(a0)
       sd a6, 160(a0)
       sd a7, 168(a0)
       sd s2, 176(a0)
       sd s3, 184(a0)
       sd s4, 192(a0)
       sd s5, 200(a0)
       sd s6, 208(a0)
       sd s7, 216(a0)
       sd s8, 224(a0)
       sd s9, 232(a0)
       sd s10, 240(a0)
       sd s11, 248(a0)
       sd t3, 256(a0)
        sd t4, 264(a0)
        sd t5, 272(a0)
        sd t6, 280(a0)
    # save the user a0 in p->trapframe->a0
       csrr t0, sscratch
       sd t0, 112(a0)
        # initialize kernel stack pointer, from p->trapframe->kernel_sp
        ld sp, 8(a0)
        # make tp hold the current hartid, from p->trapframe->kernel_hartid
```

```
# load the address of usertrap(), from p->trapframe->kernel_trap
ld t0, 16(a0)

# fetch the kernel page table address, from p->trapframe->kernel_satp.
ld t1, 0(a0)

# wait for any previous memory operations to complete, so that
# they use the user page table.
sfence.vma zero, zero

# install the kernel page table.
csrw satp, t1

# flush now-stale user entries from the TLB.
sfence.vma zero, zero

# jump to usertrap(), which does not return
jr t0
```

- What is happening?
 - Actually, I have no Idea What is going on.
 - But thanks to the detailed description and additional research, I barely understood What is happening.
- 1. What are these registers and instructions?
 - sscratch According to RISC-V ISA, sstatus is a CSR, (control and status register) that can be handled in supervisior mode.
 - csrr {reg} {CSR} CSR read. Load CSR's data to register.
 - csrw {CSR} {reg} CSR write. Store register's data to CSR.
 - csrrw {reg} {CSR} {reg} Swap atomic. I don't know about the details but I can understand It can make swapping more faster and safer.
- 2. What is trapframe? I think, trapframe is "address space" that can save current process's execution data before executing another jobs. And Then, We jump to usertrap in trap.c

kernel/trap.c

Now we are in the beginning of the handler. in <code>usertrap(void)</code>, we check if it is a trap from User, and then set up next PC to the next instruction from <code>ecall</code>. Finally, we call the <code>syscall()</code> function.

```
//
// handle an interrupt, exception, or system call from user space.
// called from trampoline.S
//
void
usertrap(void)
{
  int which_dev = 0;

  if((r_sstatus() & SSTATUS_SPP) != 0)
    panic("usertrap: not from user mode");

// send interrupts and exceptions to kerneltrap(),
// since we're now in the kernel.
```

```
w_stvec((uint64)kernelvec);
  struct proc *p = myproc();
 // save user program counter.
 p->trapframe->epc = r_sepc();
 if(r_scause() == 8){
   // system call
   if(killed(p))
     exit(-1);
   // sepc points to the ecall instruction,
   // but we want to return to the next instruction.
   p->trapframe->epc += 4;
   // an interrupt will change sepc, scause, and sstatus,
   // so enable only now that we're done with those registers.
   intr_on();
   syscall();
  } else if((which_dev = devintr()) != 0){
   // ok
  } else {
   printf("usertrap(): unexpected scause 0x%lx pid=%d\n", r_scause(), p->pid);
   printf("
                       sepc=0x%lx stval=0x%lx\n", r_sepc(), r_stval());
   setkilled(p);
  }
 if(killed(p))
   exit(-1);
  // give up the CPU if this is a timer interrupt.
 if(which_dev == 2)
   yield();
 usertrapret();
}
```

kernel/syscall.c

Finally, We arrived syscall.c. In the syscall(void) function, we can see lots of syscalls are mapped in Array, So when we set current process's a0, it returns a return value of indicated system call. p->trapframe->a0 = syscalls[num](); And then, After usertrapret and userret, next instruction is executed.

```
void
syscall(void)
{
  int num;
  struct proc *p = myproc();

num = p->trapframe->a7;
  // We stored the system call number in a7, in usys.S which is generated by usys.pl
  // and then, in trampoline.S, uservec saved current user program's registers to the trapframe.
  // and then, It jumps to usertrap in trap.c, and checks if the cause of the trap is a system call
(scause == 8).
  // If it is, it calls syscall() in syscall.c.
```

Design

Process, is a Data structure, And by <code>fork()</code>, child process is copied from its own parent process. So I think there will be some information about parent process in process's data structure. Here is an definition of xv6 process Data Structure.

proc.h

```
// Per-process state
struct proc {
  struct spinlock lock;
  // p->lock must be held when using these:
  enum procstate state;
  void *chan;
  int killed;
  int xstate;
  int pid;
                              // Process ID
  // wait_lock must be held when using this:
  struct proc *parent;  // Parent process (What we want)
  // these are private to the process, so p->lock need not be held.
  uint64 kstack;
 uint64 sz;
 pagetable_t pagetable;
  struct trapframe *trapframe;
  struct context context;
  struct file *ofile[NOFILE];
  struct inode *cwd;
  char name[16];
};
```

- Luckily, I can found a pointer which indicates its own parent process.
- So I think, if I access to current process's &parent -> pid , that's what I want!

Implementation

Add a System call, getppid()

I made a new file mysyscall.c.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "riscv.h"
```

```
#include "defs.h"
#include "param.h"
#include "memlayout.h"
#include "spinlock.h"
#include "proc.h"
int
getppid(void)
    return myproc()->parent->pid;
}
```

I think It will work, So I Added the source in make file, Declared in defs.h, Implemented a wrapper function, in mysyscall.c

```
int
sys_getppid(void)
{
    return getppid();
}
```

Make a user program, ppid.c

```
#include "kernel/types.h"
#include "kernel/stat.h"
#include "user/user.h"
main(int argc, char *argv[])
    printf("My student ID is 2019092824\n");
    fprintf(1, "My pid is %d\n", getpid());
   fprintf(1, "My ppid is %d\n", getppid());
    exit(0);
}
```

Its a simple program that simply returns pid and ppid.

Result

```
xv6 kernel is booting
init: starting sh
$ ppid
My student ID is 2019092824
My pid is 3
  ppid is 2
```

It seems it works!

Trouble Shooting

Here's two problems.

1. While I build, I missed some header files.

I implemeted my system call referencing sysproc.c. So first time I implemented, I did not included some header files.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "riscv.h"
#include "defs.h"
#include "proc.h"

// I use myproc, so mabye It will work only including proc.h
int
getppid(void)
{
    return myproc()->parent->pid;
}
```

But while making, It gave me some errors. So I changed my code like this.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "riscv.h"
#include "defs.h"
#include "proc.h"
#include "param.h"
#include "memlayout.h"
#include "spinlock.h"

// I Should implement all the things that sysproc.c did.
int
getppid(void)
{
    return myproc()->parent->pid;
}
```

And it solved a problem,

2. Is it secure to just access parent?

In proc.h, there is a comment of parent pointer.

```
// wait_lock must be held when using this:
struct proc *parent; // Parent process
```

Okay, So what is $wait_{lock}$? I have no idea what is $wait_{lock}$, So I found in proc.c.

in fork(), There was actual usage of wait_lock.

```
// Something before...

// helps ensure that wakeups of wait()ing
// parents are not lost. helps obey the
// memory model when using p->parent.
// must be acquired before any p->lock.
struct spinlock wait_lock;

int
fork(void){
  int i, pid;
  struct proc *np;
  struct proc *p = myproc();

// Something before..
```

```
acquire(&wait_lock);
np->parent = p;
release(&wait_lock);

// Something after...
}
//Something after...
```

I can see that before accessing parent, we acquire and release a wait_lock . And inside of proc.c , it says you MUST acquire that thing.

OK. So I have to fix my code. But first, How can I access to the wait_lock? its declared on proc.c, and I have to use THAT wait_lock.

So here is my new implementation.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "riscv.h"
#include "defs.h"
#include "param.h"
#include "memlayout.h"
#include "spinlock.h"
#include "proc.h"
extern struct spinlock wait lock; // wait lock is located in proc.c
int
getppid(void)
    struct proc *p = myproc(); // Get the current process
   int ppid;
    acquire(&wait_lock);  // Acquire the wait_lock to safely access p->parent
   ppid = p->parent->pid;  // Retrieve the parent process's PID
                             // Release the lock after accessing p->parent
   release(&wait_lock);
   return ppid;
                             // Return the parent process's PID
}
```

And What should this program do if there are no parent process? I think the possibility is scarce. because all of the process's are the child process of root(init) process. But if some other program terminated it's parent, problem will occur.

So I implemented some error detection.

```
#include "types.h"
#include "riscv.h"
#include "defs.h"
#include "param.h"
#include "memlayout.h"
#include "spinlock.h"
#include "proc.h"

extern struct spinlock wait_lock; // wait_lock is located in proc.c

int
getppid(void)
```

```
struct proc *p = myproc(); // Get the current process
int ppid;

acquire(&wait_lock); // Acquire the wait_lock to safely access p->parent
if (p->parent) {
    ppid = p->parent->pid; // Retrieve the parent process's PID
} else {
    ppid = -1; // No parent (shouldn't happen for normal processes)
}
release(&wait_lock); // Release the lock after accessing p->parent
return ppid; // Return the parent process's PID
}
```

naturally, I have to make some changes on my User program.

```
#include "kernel/types.h"
#include "kernel/stat.h"
#include "user/user.h"

int
main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    printf("My student ID is 2019092824\n");
    fprintf(1, "My pid is %d\n", getpid());
    if(getppid() == -1){
        fprintf(1, "No parent process\n");
    } else {
        fprintf(1, "My ppid is %d\n", getppid());
    }
    exit(0);
}
```

```
xv6 kernel is booting

init: starting sh
$ ppid
My student ID is 2019092824
My pid is 3
My ppid is 2

Here is the result...
$
```

No problem, But the speed of program slightly decreased.