

Singular Integrals

1 Harmonic Analysis Facts

Theorem 1.1. *If T is a bounded linear transformation from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T commutes with translations iff there exists a measure in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $T(f) = f * \mu$.*

Theorem 1.2. *If T is a bounded linear transformation from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then T commutes with translations if T is a multiplier operator, i.e. there exists bounded measurable $m(y)$ such that $(\hat{T}f)(y) = \hat{f}(y)m(y)$.*

2 Calderon-Zygmund Theory

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose a kernel $K(x)$ satisfies*

$$|K(x)| \leq \frac{B}{|x|^n} \quad |x| > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\int_{R_1 < |x| < R_2} K(x) dx = 0 \quad 0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\int_{|x| \geq 2|y|} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \leq B. \quad (2.3)$$

Then for $f \in L^1 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\epsilon > 0$ we define

$$T_\epsilon(f)(x) = \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} f(x-y) K(y) dy.$$

Then have the following bound

$$\|T_\epsilon f\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Moreover, $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f) = T(f)$ exists in L^p norm.

The strategy is to prove that T_ϵ is a weak (1,1) and strong (2,2) operator, with bounds independent of epsilon. Then apply Marcinkiewicz interpolation, and then check that bounds are preserved as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Remark 2.2. The condition that $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $|\nabla K(x)| \leq B/|x|^{n+1}$ implies (2.3).

2.1 L^2 to L^2 boundedness

Lemma 2.3. Take K as above and define $K_\epsilon(x) = K(x)\mathbf{1}_{|x|\geq\epsilon}(x)$, which lies in L^2 . We have the estimates

$$\sup_y |\hat{K}_\epsilon(y)| \leq CB$$

independently of ϵ .

Proof. It suffices to consider $\epsilon = 1$. $K_1(x)$ satisfies the same conditions as $K(x)$. The Fourier transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{K}_1(y) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} K_1(x) dx = I_1 + I_2 \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} K_1(x) dx + \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{|y|} \leq |x| \leq R} e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} K_1(x) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Observe via the cancellation condition that

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} (e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} - 1) K_1(x) dx \\ |I_1| &\leq C|y| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} |x| |K_1(x)| dx \leq C|y| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} \frac{B|x|}{|x|^n} dx,\end{aligned}$$

so we switch to polar coordinates to see that the above is bounded by CB .

For I_2 , we define $z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{|y|^2}$. Then $e^{2\pi i y \cdot z} = -1$, with $|z| = \frac{1}{2|y|}$. By a change of variables, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_1(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [K_1(x) - K_1(x - z)] e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$$

Some rearrangement tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &= I_3 + I_4 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{|y|} \leq |x| \leq R} [K_1(x) - K_1(x - z)] e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} [K_1(x) + K_1(x - z)] e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx.\end{aligned}$$

Then for I_3 observe that $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|$, so apply (2.3). By a change of variables, we rewrite I_4 as

$$\int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} K_1(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx - \int_{|x+z| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} K_1(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx.$$

The integral is bounded in some spherical shell $\frac{1}{2|y|} \leq |x| \leq \frac{2}{|y|}$, so we can apply (2.1) with changes to spherical coordinates.

If T corresponds to kernel K then $\tau_{\epsilon^{-1}} T \tau_\epsilon$ corresponds to kernel $\epsilon^{-n} K(\epsilon^{-1}x)$. So let $K' = \epsilon^n K(\epsilon x)$. We can check that K' satisfies the conditions of the lemma with K'_1 satisfies $|\hat{K}'_1(y)| \leq CB$. But the Fourier transform of $K_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n} K'_1(\epsilon^{-1}x)$ is $\hat{K}'_1(\epsilon y)$. \square

If the kernel has bounded Fourier transform, Plancherel gives

$$\|Tf\|_2 \leq B \|f\|_2$$

2.2 Weak L^1 to L^1 boundedness

Let $\alpha > 0$ be fixed. Our goal is to find a constant C such that

$$m\{Tf > \alpha\} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx.$$

First apply the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition, we can write $\mathbb{R}^n = F \cup \Omega$. Then define

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in F, \\ \int_{Q_j} f(x) dx & x \in Q_j^0. \end{cases}$$

Then take $b(x) = f(x) - g(x)$. Observe that $b(x)$ satisfies $b(x) = 0$ for $x \in F$ as well as

$$\int_{Q_j} b(x) dx = 0.$$

The triangle inequality tells us we can bound Tg and Tb separately since

$$m\{|Tf| > \alpha\} \leq m\{|Tg| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\} + m\{|Tb| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}.$$

2.2.1 Estimate for Tg

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_2^2 &= \int_F |g|^2 + \int_\Omega |g|^2 \\ &\leq \int_F \alpha |f| + m(\Omega)(2^n \alpha)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha \|f\|_1 + \frac{A}{\alpha} \|f\|_1 \cdot C^2 \alpha^2 \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

But from the $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ bound, we can apply Tchebychev for

$$m\{|Tg| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\} \leq \frac{C^2}{\alpha^2} \|g\|_2^2.$$

2.2.2 Estimate for Tb

Here, we want the estimate for the integral of Tb over the good set F .

We can write $b_j(x) = b(x)\mathbf{1}_{Q_j}(x)$. We now expand the cubes each by $2\sqrt{n}$ times, denoted Q_j^* . Take F^* as the complement of the union of the expanded cubes. For $x \in F^*$, we can check that $|x - y^j| \geq 2|y - y^j|$ for all $y \in Q_j$, where y^j is the center of the cube. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tb_j(x) &= \int_{Q_j} K(x - y)b_j(y)dy \\ &= \int_{Q_j} [K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)]b_j(y)dy, \\ |Tb(x)| &\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)||b(y)|dy, \\ \int_{F^*} |Tb(x)|dx &\leq \int_{F^*} \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)||b(y)|dydx \\ &\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |b(y)| \int_{F^*} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)|dxdy \quad (\text{Fubini's}), \\ &\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |b(y)| \int_{|x'| \geq 2|y'|} |K(x' - y') - K(x')|dx'dy \\ &\leq B \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |b(y)|dy \leq C \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

We also recall that $m(\Omega) \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1$.

2.3 Duality

How do we do this approximation if K does not lie in L^2 ? Answer: We can sidestep this because K_ϵ lies in L^2 .

Recall that if $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ and

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \int \psi \varphi dx \right| : \varphi \in C_c, \|\varphi\|_q \leq 1 \right\} = A < \infty,$$

then $\|\psi\|_{L^p} = A$. We can write the double integral as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x - y)f(y)\varphi(x)dxdy = \int f(y) \left(\int K(x - y)\varphi(y)dx \right) dy.$$

Replacing with the kernel $K(-x)$, we know that $\int K(x - y)\varphi(y)dx$ belongs to L^q for $1 < q < 2$. Then apply Hölder's.

2.4 From T_ϵ to T

Consider $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then by the cancellation condition

$$T_\epsilon(f_1)(x) = \int_{|y| \geq 1} K(y) f_1(x-y) dy + \int_{1 \geq |y| \geq \epsilon} K(y) [f_1(x-y) - f_1(x)] dy.$$

The first integral lies in L^p , second integral converges uniformly to 0. We can write arbitrary $f \in L^p$ as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in C_c^1$ and $\|f_2\|_p$ is small.

3 SIOs which commute with dilations

If $\tau_{\epsilon^{-1}} T \tau_\epsilon = T$, then we are back to the requirement $K(\epsilon x) = \epsilon^{-n} K(x)$, where K is homogeneous of degree $-n$. Then

$$K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n},$$

where Ω is homogeneous of degree 0.

Remark 3.1. It suffices to consider Ω which satisfies the following smoothness and cancellation conditions

$$\sup\{|\Omega(x) - \Omega(x')| : |x - x'| \leq \delta, |x| = |x'| = 1\} = \omega(\delta) \implies \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(\sigma) d\sigma = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ω homogeneous of degree 0 satisfying the above two properties. Let*

$$T_\epsilon(f)(x) = \int_{|y| > \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} f(x-y) dy.$$

Then T_ϵ is bounded from L^p to L^p . The limit in the L^p norm exists (call it T), and T satisfies the same bounds.

In addition to convergence in L^p norm, we can also get convergence almost everywhere, with the help of the maximal function.

Theorem 3.3. *Take Ω as above. For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f)(x)$ exists for almost everywhere. Define the associated maximal function*

$$T^*(f)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |T_\epsilon(f)(x)|.$$

The result follows from the fact that T^ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and strong type (p, p) .*

Proof. Proof of (p,p) is deferred to latter chapter.

Again given $\alpha > 0$, we will consider a splitting of a function $f = g + b$. Take $x \in (Q_j^*)^c$. Suppose that there exists some $y \in Q_j$ such that $|x - y| = \epsilon$. Then there exists γ_n and γ'_n such that for every $y' \in Q_j$,

$$\gamma'_n \epsilon \leq |x - y| \leq \gamma_n \epsilon.$$

We claim that if $x \in F^*$,

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} |T_\epsilon(b(x))| \leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)| |b(y)| dy + C \sup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |b(y)| dy.$$

By definition,

$$T_\epsilon b(x) = \sum_j \int_{Q_j} K_\epsilon(x - y) b(y) dy.$$

There are three different kinds of Q_j :

1. For all $y \in Q_j$, we have $|x - y| < \epsilon$. This term vanishes.
2. For all $y \in Q_j$, we have $|x - y| > \epsilon$. This appears as the first term.
3. There exists $y \in Q_j$ such that $|x - y| = \epsilon$. Use the above bounds, and estimate over $B(x, \gamma_n \epsilon)$.

Now define

$$\Lambda(f)(x) = \left| \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f)(x) - \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f)(x) \right| \leq 2(T^* f)(x).$$

□

Hypothesis 3.4. *The Calderón-Zygmund theorem gives us bounds on certain singular integral operators. Can we in fact describe them as Fourier multipliers?*

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose Ω is homogeneous of degree 0, and suppose that Ω satisfies the following cancellation and smoothness conditions*

$$\sup\{|\Omega(x) - \Omega(x')| : |x - x'| \leq \delta, |x| = |x'| = 1\} = \omega(\delta) \implies \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta < \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(\sigma) d\sigma = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Let Tf be the convolution of $\Omega(x)/|x|^n$ with $f(x)$, defined in the principal value sense.

If $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the Fourier transforms of f and Tf are related by $\widehat{Tf} = m\widehat{f}$, where m is a homogeneous function of degree 0. Explicitly, we have

$$m(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[\frac{\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x \cdot y) + \log\left(\frac{1}{|x \cdot y|}\right) \right] \Omega(y) d\sigma(y). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Since T is bounded and commutes with translations, we can write T as a multiplier operator. Moreover, such an operator commutes with dilations, so the multiplier is homogeneous of degree 0. How do we express the multiplier in terms of the kernel? Take

$$K_{\epsilon,\eta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n} & \epsilon \leq |x| \leq \eta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can check immediately that $K_\epsilon, \eta \in L^1$ (though not necessarily uniformly). Moreover, if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\widehat{K_{\epsilon,\eta} * f} = \widehat{K_{\epsilon,\eta}} \widehat{f}$.

We claim the following

1. $\sup_{\epsilon,\eta} |\widehat{K_{\epsilon,\eta}}(y)| \leq A$.
2. If $x \neq 0$, then the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow \infty$ of $\widehat{K_{\epsilon,\eta}}(x) = m(x)$.

To this end, we write $x = Rx'$, $y = ry'$ in polar coordinates. Consider the following integral

$$I_{\epsilon,\eta}(x', y') := \int_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \frac{1}{r} [\exp(2\pi i Rrx' \cdot y') - \cos(2\pi Rr)] dr.$$

With some calculus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(I_{\epsilon,\eta}(x', y')) &= \int_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \frac{\sin[2\pi Rr(x' \cdot y')]}{r} dr \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x' \cdot y'), \\ \operatorname{Re}(I_{\epsilon,\eta}(x', y')) &= \int_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \frac{\cos[2\pi Rr(x' \cdot y')] - \cos(2\pi Rr)}{r} dr \rightarrow \cos 0 \log \frac{1}{|x' \cdot y'|}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{h(\lambda r) - h(\mu r)}{r} dr = h(0) \log \frac{\mu}{\lambda}.$$

Combining the real and imaginary parts, we have

$$I(x', y') \rightarrow \log \frac{1}{|x' \cdot y'|} + i \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x' \cdot y').$$

Rewriting in spherical coordinates, we can express the Fourier transform of the kernel as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_{\epsilon, \eta}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_\epsilon^\eta e^{2\pi i R r x' \cdot y'} \Omega(y') \frac{dr}{r} d\sigma(y') \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} I_{\epsilon, \eta}(x', y') \Omega(y') d\sigma(y') \end{aligned}$$

with the help of the cancellation property. But we can just take the norm of the real and imaginary parts of $I_{\epsilon, \eta}$ for the uniform bound

$$|\hat{K}_{\epsilon, \eta}(x)| \leq A \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} [1 + \log \frac{1}{|x' \cdot y'|}] |\Omega(y')| d\sigma(y').$$

Then apply DCT.

To show convergence to T , first take ϵ fixed and take $\eta \rightarrow \infty$. Then consider $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

Remark 3.6. No boundedness on L^1, L^∞ , take Hilbert transform of characteristic function of interval (a, b) .

4 Hilbert and Riesz transforms

Definition 4.1. The Hilbert transform is given by

$$Hf(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy.$$

It turns out that the Hilbert transform satisfies the properties of the singular integral operators for which we proved the Calderón-Zygmund theorems. Here, we have

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{\pi x}, \Omega(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Then $\widehat{Hf} = m\hat{f}$, with $m(x) = i\operatorname{sgn}(x)$. Then $H^2 = -I$.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose T is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ which

1. commutes with translations,
2. commutes with positive dilations,
3. anticommutes with reflection.

Then T is a multiple of the Hilbert transform.

Proof. Since T commutes with translations, we can write $\widehat{Tf} = m\widehat{f}$. To simplify notation, we write $\mathcal{F}f = \widehat{f}$. Then $\mathcal{FT} = m\mathcal{F}$.

We recall the effect of a Fourier transform on dilation, given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}\tau_\delta f)(y) &= \int e^{2\pi ixy} f(\delta x) dx \\ &= |\delta|^{-1} \int e^{2\pi ixy/\delta} f(x) dx = |\delta|^{-1} \tau_{\delta^{-1}} \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Now our remaining assumptions imply that $T\tau_\delta = \text{sgn}(\delta)\tau_\delta T$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\delta m &= \tau_\delta(\mathcal{FT}\mathcal{F}^{-1}) = |\delta|^{-1} \mathcal{F}\tau_{\delta^{-1}} T \mathcal{F}^{-1} \\ &= \delta^{-1} \mathcal{FT} \tau_{\delta^{-1}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \\ &= \text{sgn}(\delta) \mathcal{FT} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \tau_\delta = \text{sgn}(\delta) m \tau_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, we have

$$m(\delta y) \widehat{f}(\delta y) = \text{sgn}(\delta) m(y) \widehat{f}(y).$$

Then $m(y)$ is a constant multiple of $\text{sgn}(y)$. \square

Remark 4.3. If T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$ that commutes with translations and all dilations, then its Fourier multiplier is a constant. Then T is a constant multiple of the identity.

Let ρ denote a rotation. We define $\rho(f)(x) = f(\rho^{-1}x)$. We can verify $\mathcal{F}\rho = \rho\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 4.4. Let $m(x) = (m_1(x), m_2(x), \dots, m_n(x))$ be an n -tuple of functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that

1. m is homogeneous of degree 0,
2. m transforms like a vector, i.e.

$$\rho(m)(x) = m(\rho^{-1}x) = \rho(m(x)), \quad m_j(\rho^{-1}x) = \sum_k \rho_{jk} m_k(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Here we take the induced action of ρ on a function.

Then the function m takes the form

$$m(x) = c \frac{x}{|x|}, \quad m_j(x) = c \frac{x_j}{|x|}.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider x on the unit sphere. Let $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ denote the standard basis. Set $c = m_1(e_1)$.

Let ρ be a rotation that fixes e_1 . For $2 \leq j \leq n$, we have $\rho_{j1} = 0$ which means

$$m_j(e_1) = \sum_{k=2}^n \rho_{jk} m_k(e_1).$$

So the $n - 1$ dimensional vector $(m_2(e_1), m_3(e_1), \dots, m_n(e_1))$ is left fixed by all the rotations on this $n - 1$ dimensional space orthogonal to e_1 . So $m_2(e_1) = m_3(e_1) = \dots = m_n(e_1) = 0$. We obtain

$$m_j(\rho^{-1}e_1) = \rho_{j1}m_1(e_1) = c\rho_{j1}.$$

If $\rho^{-1}e_1 = x$, then $\rho_{j1} = x_j$. Then $m_j(x) = cx_j$. \square

Definition 4.5. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. We define

$$R_j(f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_n \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{n+1}} f(x-y) dy, \quad c_n = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$$

The associated kernel is

$$K_j(x) = c_n \frac{x_j}{|x|^{n+1}}, \quad \Omega_j(x) = c_n \frac{x_j}{|x|}.$$

Observe that the mapping from kernel Ω to multipliers m commutes with rotations. Moreover, the kernels satisfy the transformation law (4.1), which means that the multipliers also satisfy the transformation law.

But the m_j 's are homogeneous of degree 0, so the lemma shows that $m_j(x) = cx_j/|x|$. Notice that

$$c = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(y_1) + \log \left| \frac{1}{y_1} \right| \right) \cdot c_n \frac{y_j}{|y|} d\sigma(y) = i$$

In this case we just need to check that

$$\frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\cos \theta| d\sigma(y). \quad (4.2)$$

In other words, we have

$$\widehat{R_j f}(y) = i \frac{y_j}{|y|} \hat{f}(y).$$

The Riesz operators obey

$$\rho^{-1} R_j \rho f = \sum_k \rho_{jk} R_k f.$$

If we denote $\hat{R}_j = m_j$, then

$$\rho(m_j \rho^{-1}(f)) = \sum_k \rho_{jk} m_k f \iff m_j(\rho^{-1}x) = \sum_k \rho_{jk} m_k(x).$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of bounded transformations on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose*

1. *Each T_j commutes with translation*
2. *Each T_j commutes with dilations*
3. *For every rotation ρ , $\rho^{-1} T_j \rho f = \sum_k \rho_{jk} T_k f$.*

Then the T_j 's are a constant multiple of the Riesz transforms.

4.1 Applications of Riesz transforms

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose $f \in C_C^2$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$.*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right\|_p \leq A_p \|\Delta f\|_p. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. We want to apply the identity

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = -R_j R_k \Delta f.$$

Recall the action of the Fourier transform of derivatives

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} f(x) dx \implies \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(y) = -2\pi i y_j \hat{f}(y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}}(y) &= -4\pi^2 y_j y_k \hat{f}(y) \\ &= -\frac{iy_j}{|y|} \frac{iy_k}{|y|} (-4\pi |y|^2) \hat{f}(y) = -R_j R_k \widehat{\Delta f}(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.8. Suppose $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with compact support. Then for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right\|_p + \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right\|_p \leq A_p \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right\|_p.$$

The identity used is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = -R_j(R_1 - iR_2) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right).$$

5 Poisson integrals

Recall the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation: We restrict ourself to \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Given a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we want to find a harmonic function $u(x, y)$ on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} whose boundary values on \mathbb{R}^n are $f(x)$.

Here is a solution with L^2 theory. Let $f \in L^2$. Consider

$$u(x, y) = \int \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi it \cdot x} e^{-2\pi|t|y} dt.$$

This integral converges absolutely, and can be differentiated. Then check

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} = 0,$$

because $e^{2\pi it \cdot x} e^{-2\pi|t|y}$ satisfies this property. We have L^2 convergence of $u(x, y)$ to $f(x)$ as $y \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 5.1. Define the Poisson kernel $P_y(x)$ by

$$P_y(x) = \int e^{-2\pi it \cdot x} e^{-2\pi|t|y} dt.$$

Then we can write $u(x, y)$ as a convolution in the x variable called the Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = (P_y * f)(x) = \int P_y(t) f(x - t) dt$$

Proposition 5.2. The explicit expression of the Poisson kernel is

$$P_y(x) = \frac{c_n y}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}},$$

with c_n as in the Riesz transform.

We can describe the boundary behavior of Poisson integrals as follows.

Theorem 5.3. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $u(x, y)$ be its associated Poisson integral. Then

1. $\sup_{y>0} |u(x, y)| \leq Mf(x)$, where Mf is the maximal function.
2. $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} u(x, y) = f(x)$ for almost every x .
3. If $p < \infty$, then $u(x, y)$ converges to $f(x)$ in the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm as $y \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 5.4. [Approximations to the identity] Let $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and set $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n}\varphi(\epsilon^{-1}x)$. Suppose that the least decreasing radial majorant of φ is integral, i.e.

$$\psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\varphi(y)| \text{ satisfies } \int \psi(x) dx = A < \infty.$$

With the same A ,

1. $\sup_{\epsilon>0} |(f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x)| \leq A Mf(x)$, for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
2. If φ has integral one, then $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere.
3. If $p < \infty$, then $\|f * \varphi_\epsilon - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. To prove (3), it suffices to take φ integrable. Recall the L^p norm is continuous with respect to translation. If f_1 is continuous with compact support, we in fact have uniform convergence of $f_1(x - y)$ to $f_1(x)$. Otherwise write $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $\|f_2\|_p \leq \delta$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_p &\leq \|f_1(x - y) - f_1(x)\|_p + \|f_2(x - y) - f_2(x)\|_p, \\ \|f_2(x - y) - f_2(x)\|_p &\leq 2\delta, \end{aligned}$$

so $\|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0$. We can write with Fubini's

$$\begin{aligned} f * \varphi_\epsilon - f &= \int [f(x - y) - f(x)]\varphi_\epsilon(y) dy \\ \|f * \varphi_\epsilon - f\|_p &\leq \int \|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_{L_x^p} |\varphi_\epsilon(y)| dy \\ &= \int \|f(x - \epsilon y) - f(x)\|_{L_x^p} |\varphi(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero by DCT.

To prove (1), write $\psi(r) = \psi(x)$, since ψ is radial. We claim that $r^n \psi(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0, \infty$. Indeed, we can write

$$\int_{\frac{r}{2} \leq |x| \leq r} \psi(x) dx \geq \psi(r) \int_{\frac{r}{2} \leq |x| \leq r} dx = \psi(r) c r^n,$$

then we apply the fact that $\psi \in L^1$, $\psi(r)$ is decreasing (and absolute continuity of the integral).

We now want to show that

$$(f * \psi_\epsilon)(x) \leq A(Mf)(x).$$

By translation and dilation invariance, it suffices to show that

$$(f * \psi)(0) \leq A(Mf)(0).$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(rx) d\sigma(x), \\ \Lambda(r) &= \int_{|x| \leq r} f(x) dx = \int_0^r \lambda(t)^{n-1} dt,\end{aligned}$$

by polar coordinates. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(f * \psi)(0) &= \int f(x) \psi(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \lambda(r) \psi(r) r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_\epsilon^N \lambda(r) \psi(r) r^{n-1} dr = - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_\epsilon^N \Lambda(r) d\psi(r).\end{aligned}$$

We have an error of the form $\Lambda(N)\psi(N) - \Lambda(\epsilon)\psi(\epsilon)$, but we can check that

$$\Lambda(r) \leq |B(1)| r^n Mf(0).$$

Therefore

$$f * \psi(0) \leq VMf(0) \int_0^\infty r^n d(-\psi(r)).$$

To prove (2), if $f \in L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, proof is analogous to Lebesgue Differentiation Thm. Now take $p = \infty$. Given any ball B , we want to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x) = f(x)$$

for almost every $x \in B$. Let B_1 be a different ball that strictly contains B and δ be the distance from B to the complement of B .

Take $f_1(x) = f(x) \mathbf{1}_B(x)$, $f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$. We have $f_1 \in L^1$. For $x \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}|(f_2 * \varphi_\epsilon)(x)| &= \left| \int f_2(x-y) \varphi_\epsilon(y) dy \right| \leq \int_{|y| \geq \delta > 0} |f_2(x-y)| \varphi_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{|y| \geq \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}} |\varphi(y)| dy \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

5.1 Conjugate harmonic functions

There is an interesting relation between Riesz transform and the theory of harmonic functions.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $f, f_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with their respective Poisson integrals*

$$u_0(x, y) = P_y * f, u_i(x, y) = P_y * f_i.$$

Then $f_j = R_j(f)$ iff the following generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations hold

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j}.$$

Proof. (\implies) Since $f_j = R_j(f)$, we know that $\hat{f}_j(t) = \frac{it_j}{|t|} \hat{f}(t)$. Then the formula for the Poisson integral is

$$u_j(x, y) = \int \hat{f}(t) \frac{it_j}{|t|} e^{-2\pi it \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt.$$

Then just differentiate under the integral sign.

(\impliedby) Consider the formula for the Poisson integral. We have

$$-2\pi i t_j \hat{f}_0(t) e^{-2\pi |t| y} = -2\pi |t| \hat{f}_j(t) e^{-2\pi |t| y},$$

so $\hat{f}_j(t) = \frac{it_j}{|t|} \hat{f}_0(t)$ and $f - J = R_j(f)$. \square

5.2 L^p bounds on maximal singular operator

Lemma 5.6. *If $T^*f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon>0} |T_\epsilon(f)|(x)$, then*

$$\|T^*f\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Proof. We already proved existence of $T(f)$ as limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the L^p norm. We want to show that

$$T^*(f)(x) \leq M(Tf)(x) + CM(f)(x).$$

Let φ be a smooth non-negative function on \mathbb{R}^n , supported in unit ball, with integral equal to one, radial and decreasing in $|x|$. Consider

$$K_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n} & |x| \geq \epsilon, \\ 0 & |x| < \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then we define $\Phi = \varphi * K - K_1$.

We claim that the smallest decreasing radial majorant of Φ is integrable.

- If $|x| < 1$, then $\Phi = \varphi * K$ and we can write

$$\Phi = \int K(y)[\varphi(x-y) - \varphi(x)]dy$$

which is bounded due to smoothness of φ .

- If $1 \leq |x| \leq 2$, then $\Phi(x) = K * \varphi - K(x)$ is also bounded.
- When $|x| \geq 2$,

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y)\varphi(y)dy - K(x) = \int_{|y|\leq 1} [K(x-y) - K(x)]\varphi(y)dy$$

so

$$|\Phi(x)| \leq C' \frac{\omega(c/|x|)}{|x|^n}.$$

Observe too that

$$\varphi_\epsilon * K - K_\epsilon = \Phi_\epsilon.$$

We claim now that for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(\varphi_\epsilon * K) * f(x) = T(f) * \varphi_\epsilon(x)$$

We conclude $T_\epsilon(f) = (Tf) * \varphi_\epsilon - f * \Phi_\epsilon$, so we can apply [Theorem 5.4](#). \square

6 Higher Riesz transforms and spherical harmonics

Definition 6.1. \mathcal{H}_k is the linear space of homogeneous polynomials of degree k , also known as the solid spherical harmonic of degree k . This space has inner product

$$(P, Q) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} P(x) \overline{Q(x)} d\sigma(x).$$

Proposition 6.2. *The space $\{\mathcal{H}_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are orthogonal.*

Proof. If $P \in \mathcal{H}_k, Q \in \mathcal{H}_j$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (k-j) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} P \overline{Q} d\sigma(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\overline{Q} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu} - P \frac{\partial \overline{Q}}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{B_1} (\overline{Q} \Delta P - P \Delta \overline{Q}) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because P and Q are both harmonic. \square

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose P is homogeneous of degree k . Then*

$$P = P_1 + |x|^2 P_2,$$

where P_1 is homogeneous of degree k , harmonic and P_2 is homogeneous of degree $k-2$.

Proof. Iterate the previous proposition for

$$P(x) = P_1(x) + |x|^2 P_2(x) + |x|^4 P_3(x) + \dots =$$

\square

Proposition 6.4. *Let H_k denote the linear space of restrictions of \mathcal{H}_k to the unit sphere, also known as the surface spherical harmonics of degree k . Then in the sense of Hilbert spaces,*

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k.$$

Proposition 6.5. *Let f be written as*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(x).$$

Then f is smooth on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |Y_k(x)|^2 d\sigma(x) = O(k^{-N}). \quad (6.1)$$

Theorem 6.6. Suppose $P_k(x)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k . Then

$$\mathcal{F}(P_k(x)e^{-\pi|x|^2}) = i^k P_k(x)e^{-\pi|x|^2}.$$

Proof. We want to show that

$$\int P_k(x) \exp(-\pi|x|^2 + 2\pi ix \cdot y) dx = i^k P_k(y) e^{-\pi|y|^2}. \quad (6.2)$$

The Fourier transform of a Gaussian is a Gaussian, so

$$\int \exp[-\pi|x|^2 + 2\pi ix \cdot y] dx = \exp(-\pi|y|^2).$$

Now apply the operator $P_k(\partial_y)$ to both sides for

$$\int P_k(2\pi ix) \exp[-\pi|x|^2 + 2\pi ix \cdot y] dx = P_k(-2\pi y) \exp(-\pi|y|^2).$$

□

Theorem 6.7. Let $P_k(x)$ be a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree k . Then the multiplier corresponding to the convolution operator with the kernel $P_k(x)/|x|^{k+n}$ is

$$\gamma_k \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^k}, \text{ where } \gamma_k = i^k \pi^{n/2} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma((k+n)/2)}.$$

Lemma 6.8. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < \alpha < n$, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = \gamma_{k,\alpha} \int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+\alpha}} \varphi(x) dx.$$

Proof of lemma.

□

Proof. We claim that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \epsilon} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx. \quad (6.3)$$

The left hand side is

$$\int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = \int_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} [\hat{\varphi}(x) - \hat{\varphi}(0)] dx + \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx.$$

Then pass to the limit as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} [\hat{\varphi}(x) - \hat{\varphi}(0)] dx = \lim_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx$$

In any case let f be sufficiently smooth with compact support. Set $f(x - y) = \hat{\varphi}(y)$, so $\varphi(y) = \hat{f}(y)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{P_k(y)}{|y|^{k+n}} f(x - y) dy &= \gamma_k \int \frac{P_k(y)}{|y|^k} \hat{f}(y) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y} dy \\ &= \int m(y) \hat{f}(y) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y} dy \end{aligned}$$

with the help of the lemma. With the definition of the multiplier, we arrive at

$$m(y) = \gamma_k \frac{P_k(y)}{|y|^k}.$$

□

Theorem 6.9. *The classes of transformation defined by*

$$T(f) = c \cdot f + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} f(x - y) dy \quad \text{where } \Omega \text{ is smooth} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\widehat{Tf}(y) = m(y) \hat{f}(y) \quad \text{where } m \text{ is smooth} \quad (6.5)$$

are identical.

Proof. (\implies) Suppose that T takes the first form. We already showed that

$$m(x) = c + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Gamma(x \cdot y) \Omega(y) d\sigma(y).$$

We can express m in terms of the spherical harmonics

$$\Omega(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k(y), \quad m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}_k(x).$$

The previous theorem tells us that the ratios of spherical harmonics are explicit constants

$$\tilde{Y}_k(x) = \gamma_k Y_k(x).$$

For $N \neq M$, we get

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |m_M(x) - m_N(x)| \leq \sup_x \|\Gamma(x \cdot y)\|_{L_y^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \|\Omega_M - \Omega_N\|_{L_y^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \rightarrow 0$$

as $N, M \rightarrow \infty$. First term in the product is bounded because

$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(t) + \log \frac{1}{|t|}$$

implies that

$$\sup_x \|\Gamma(x \cdot y)\|_{L_y^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq c_1 + c_2 \int_0^\pi |\log |\cos \theta||^2 (\sin \theta)^{n-2} d\theta < \infty.$$

So the sequence is Cauchy.

The smoothness of Ω allows us to meet condition of (6.1).

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $m(x)$ is smooth on the unit sphere and set its spherical harmonics as above. Take

$$c = \tilde{Y}_0 \text{ and } Y_k(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \tilde{Y}_k(x).$$

Then Ω is infinitely differentiable. □

7 The Littlewood-Paley g -function

Definition 7.1. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We write $u(x, y)$ for its Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_y(t) f(x - t) dt.$$

Then we define $g(f)$ by

$$g(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 7.2. Suppose $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then $g(f)(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$A'_p \|f\|_p \leq \|g(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Proof of $p = 2$ case. We have

$$\|g(f)\|_2^2 = \iint y |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 dx dy.$$

The formula for the Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = \int \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t|y} dt.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= \int -2\pi |t| \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x - 2\pi |t|y} dt, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \int -2\pi i t_j \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x - 2\pi |t|y} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Together, we get

$$\int |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 dx = \int 8\pi^2 |t|^2 |\hat{f}(t)|^2 e^{-4\pi |t|y} dt.$$

Applying Fubini's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(f)\|_2^2 &= \int \left(\int |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 dx \right) y dy \\ &= \int \left(\int 8\pi^2 |t|^2 |\hat{f}(t)|^2 e^{-4\pi |t|y} dt \right) y dy \\ &= \int \left(\int 8\pi^2 |t|^2 y e^{-4\pi |t|y} dy \right) |\hat{f}(t)|^2 dt, \quad (\text{the constant is } \Gamma(2)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|g(f)\|_2 = 2^{-1/2} \|f\|_2.$$

□

Remark 7.3. If we introduce

$$g_1(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2},$$

$$g_x(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty |\nabla_x u(x, y)|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2},$$

then $g^2 = g_1^2 + g_x^2$ and we actually showed that

$$\|g_1(f)\|_2 = \|g_x(f)\|_2 = \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2.$$

Proof when $p \neq 2$. (second inequality) When $p \neq 2$, we consider the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_2^0 = \left\{ f : |f|^2 = \int_0^\infty |f(y)|^2 y dy < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{H}_2^0.$$

Recall the definition and explicit expression of the Poisson kernel

$$P_y(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t|y} dt = \frac{c_n y}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$$

We define

$$K_\epsilon(x) = \left(\frac{\partial P_{y+\epsilon}(x)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial P_{y+\epsilon}(x)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial P_{y+\epsilon}(x)}{\partial x_k} \right).$$

For each x , we have $K_\epsilon(x) \in \mathcal{H}^2$ from the explicit formula for the Poisson kernel. In particular, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial y} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial x} \right| \leq \frac{A}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

Then for fixed x ,

$$\|K_\epsilon(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,y}}^2 \leq A^2(n+1) \int_0^\infty \frac{y dy}{(|x|^2 + (y+\epsilon)^2)^{n+1}} \leq A|x|^{-2n},$$

which means $\|K_\epsilon(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,y}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A similar estimate yields

$$\left\| \frac{\partial K_\epsilon(x)}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,y}}^2 \leq A \int_0^\infty \frac{y dy}{(|x|^2 + (y+\epsilon)^2)^{n+2}} \leq \frac{A}{|x|^{2n+2}}$$

We consider the operator T_ϵ defined by

$$T_\epsilon(f)(x) = \int K_\epsilon(t)f(x-t)dt.$$

Observe that

$$|T_\epsilon(f)(x)| = \left(\int_0^\infty |\nabla u(x, y + \epsilon)|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2} \leq g(f)(x).$$

Then $\|T_\epsilon f(x)\|_2 \leq 2^{-1/2} \|f\|_2$, which means $|\hat{K}_\epsilon(x)| \leq 2^{-1/2}$.

Then apply the Calderón-Zygmund theorem for Hilbert spaces.

(first inequality) Applying polarization to the identity

$$\|g_1(f)\|_2 = \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_1 \overline{f_2} dx &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty y \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \overline{\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y}} dy dx \\ &\leq 4 \int g_1(f_1) g_1(f_2) dx \\ &\leq 4 \|g_1(f_1)\|_p \|g_1(f_2)\|_q \\ &\leq 4 A_q \|g_1(f_1)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

with $\|f_2\|_q \leq 1$. □

7.1 Positive function

Lemma 7.4. *Suppose u is harmonic and strictly positive. Then*

$$\Delta(u)^p = p(p-1)u^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2.$$

Lemma 7.5. *Suppose $F(x, y)$ is continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+^{n+1}$, of class C^2 in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , and suitably small at infinity. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y \Delta F(x, y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, 0) dx.$$

Proof. Green's theorem asserts

$$\int_D (y \Delta F(x, y)) dx dy = \int_{\partial D} \left(y \frac{\partial F}{\partial \nu} - F \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma,$$

where $D = B_r \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$. We observe that the spherical part of the boundary of D vanishes as $r \rightarrow \infty$ under suitable decay conditions for F , namely

$$|F| \leq \frac{C}{(|x| + y)^{n+\epsilon}}, \quad |\nabla F| \leq \frac{C}{(|x| + y)^{n+1+\epsilon}}.$$

□

Definition 7.6. We define the positive function g_λ^* as

$$(g_\lambda^*(f)(x))^2 = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{y}{|t| + y} \right)^{\lambda n} |\nabla u(x - t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dt dy.$$

Definition 7.7. Let Γ be a fixed proper cone in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} which has a vertex at the origin and contains $(0, 1)$. We may take

$$\Gamma = \{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |t| < y, y > 0\}$$

and let $\Gamma(x)$ denote the translated cone. We define the positive function $S(f)(x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [S(f)(x)]^2 &= \int_{\Gamma(x)} |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dy dt \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla u(x - t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dy dt \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7.8. *We assert that*

$$g(f)(x) \leq CS(f)(x) \leq C_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f)(x).$$

Proof. For the second inequality, check that within the cone we have

$$|t| < y \implies |t| + y < 2y \implies \frac{y}{|t| + y} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

For the first inequality we just want to show that $g(f)(0) \leq CS(f)(0)$. Let B_y be the ball in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} centered at $(0, y)$ and tangent to the boundary of the cone Γ (in some sense this is the maximal ball that is still contained in the upper half plane). The radius of B_y is proportional to y . The partial derivatives of u are also harmonic functions, and obey the mean value property

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(0, y) &= \frac{1}{|B_y|} \int_{B_y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, s) dx ds, \\ \implies \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(0, y) \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{|B_y|} \int_{B_y} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, s) \right|^2 dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

by Jensen's inequality. Now multiply by y and integrate with respect to y for

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty y \left| \frac{\partial u(0, y)}{\partial y} \right|^2 dy &\leq C \int_0^\infty y^{-n} \int_{B_y} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, s) \right|^2 dx ds dy \\ &\leq C \int_\Gamma y^{1-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) \right|^2 dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

because $(x, s) \in B_y$ implies that y is comparable to s . Now repeat for the remaining partial derivatives. \square

Theorem 7.9. *Let λ be a parameter which is greater than 1. Suppose $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then*

1. *For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $g(f)(x) \leq C_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f)(x)$.*

2. *If $1 < p < \infty$, and $p > 2/\lambda$, then*

$$\|g_\lambda^*(f)\|_p \leq A_{p,\lambda} \|f\|_p.$$

Definition 7.10. Let $\mu \geq 1$, and write

$$M_\mu(f)(x) = \left(\sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{m(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)|^\mu dy \right)^{1/\mu}.$$

Check that for $p > \mu$, we have

$$\|M_\mu(f)\|_p \leq A_{p,\mu} \|f\|_p.$$

Lemma 7.11. *Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \geq \mu \geq 11$. If u is the Poisson integral of f , then*

$$|u(x - t, y)| \leq A \left(1 + \frac{|t|}{y} \right)^n M(f)(x), \quad (7.1)$$

$$|u(x - t, y)| \leq A_\mu \left(1 + \frac{|t|}{y} \right)^{n/\mu} M_\mu(f)(x). \quad (7.2)$$

Proof of lemma. The inequality is unchanged under dilation $(x, t, y) \mapsto (\delta x, \delta t, \delta y)$, so we only need to consider $y = 1$.

We have

$$|u(x - t, 1)| = f(x) * P_1(x - t), \quad P_1(x) = \frac{c_n}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

Theorem 5.4 tells us that

$$|u(x - t, 1)| \leq A_t(Mf)(x), \quad A_t = \int Q_t(x) dx,$$

where $Q_t(x)$ is the smallest decreasing radial majorant of $P_1(x - t)$, given by

$$Q_t(x) = c_n \cdot \sup_{|x'| \geq |x|} \left(\frac{1}{(1 + |x' - t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \right).$$

We have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t(x) &\leq c_n & |x| &\leq 2|t|, \\ Q_t(x) &\leq A'(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} & |x| &\geq 2|t|, \end{aligned}$$

so $A_t \leq A(1 + |t|^n)$ gives us (7.1).

To raise to the μ th power, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x - t, y) &= \int P_y(s) f(x - t - s) ds, \\ |u(x - t, y)|^\mu &\leq \int P_y(s) |f(x - t - s)|^\mu ds = U(x - t, y), \end{aligned}$$

where U is the Poisson integral of $|f|^\mu$. So we can apply (7.1) to U for

$$|u(x - t, y)| \leq A^{1/\mu} (1 + |t|/y)^{n/\mu} (M(|f|^\mu))(x)^{1/\mu}.$$

□

Proof. ($p \geq 2$ case) Let ψ be positive function on \mathbb{R}^n . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g_\lambda^*(f))(x)^2 \psi(x) dx \leq A_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(x))^2 (M\psi)(x) dx.$$

The left hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{y}{|t| + y} \right)^{\lambda n} |\nabla u(x - t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} \psi(x) dt dy dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[y^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{y}{|t - x| + y} \right)^{\lambda n} \psi(x) dx \right] |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 y dt dy, \end{aligned}$$

so we can apply Theorem 5.4 with $\epsilon := y$.

In the case that $p > 2$, set $1/q + 2/p = 1$, and take the supremum on the left hand side over all $\|\psi\|_q \leq 1$. Then apply Hölder duality, inequalities for the g -function and the boundedness of the maximal function operator.

For $p < 2$, we need the restriction that $p > 2/\lambda$. We can find μ close to p with $1 \leq \mu < p$ such that

$$\lambda' = \lambda - \frac{2-p}{\mu} > 1,$$

Then apply the lemma for

$$|u(x - t, y)| \left(\frac{y}{y + |t|} \right)^{n/\mu} \leq A M_\mu(f)(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_\lambda^*(f)(x))^2 &= \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-n} \left(\frac{y}{y + |t|} \right)^{\lambda n} u^{2-p} |\Delta u^p| dt dy \\ &\leq A^{2-p} (M_\mu(f)(x))^{2-p} I^*(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I^*(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-n} \left(\frac{y}{y + |t|} \right)^{\lambda' n} \Delta u^p(x - t, y) dt dy.$$

We check that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I^*(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-n} \left(\frac{y}{y + |t - x|} \right)^{\lambda' n} \Delta u^p(t, y) dx dt dy \\ &= C_{\lambda'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y \Delta u^p(t, y) dt dy = C_{\lambda'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p(t, 0) dt \leq C_{\lambda'} \|f\|_p^p \end{aligned}$$

by a change of variables $x \mapsto xy$. □

8 Multipliers

Recall that if m is a bounded measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n , we can define the operator T on $L^2 \cap L^p$ given by

$$(T_m f)^\wedge(x) = m(x) \hat{f}(x).$$

Definition 8.1. We say that m is a multiplier for L^p if $f \in L^2 \cap L^p \implies T_m f \in L^p$, with

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L^p} \leq A \|f\|_p.$$

Let \mathcal{M}_p denote the set of multipliers for L^p .

Recall from earlier that \mathcal{M}_2 is precisely the set of all bounded measurable functions, whose norm equals the L^∞ norm. Moreover, \mathcal{M}_1 is the set of Fourier transforms of Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n , whose norm equals the norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The theory of singular integral operators also tells us that if m is homogeneous of degree zero and smooth on the unit sphere, then $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 8.2. *We have $\bar{m}(x) = \check{m}(x)$.*

We have the following form of dual symmetry.

Proposition 8.3. *If p, p' are Hölder conjugates, then $\mathcal{M}_p = \mathcal{M}_{p'}$.*

Proof. Let σ be the involution defined by $\sigma(f)(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$. Check that $\sigma^{-1}T_m\sigma = T_{\bar{m}}$, so T_m and $T_{\bar{m}}$ have the same \mathcal{M}_p norms.

Suppose $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$. By Plancherel and polarization ad the first and last types, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int T_m f \bar{g} &= \int m(x) \hat{f}(x) \bar{\hat{g}(x)} dx \\ &= \int \hat{f}(x) \overline{\bar{m}(x)} \bar{\hat{g}(x)} dx \\ &= \int f(x) \overline{T_{\bar{m}} g(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \int T_m f \bar{g} \right| \leq \|f\|_{p'} \|T_{\bar{m}} g\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{p'}$$

We take the supremum over all g such that $\|g\|_p \leq 1$. Therefore $m \in \mathcal{M}_{p'}$ \square

Theorem 8.4. Suppose that $m \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, where $k > n/2$. Assume that for all $|\alpha| \leq k$, we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha m(x) \right| \leq B|x|^{-|\alpha|}.$$

Then $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$ for all $1 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 8.5. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F(x) = (T_m f)(x)$. Then

$$g_1(F, x) \leq B_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x), \text{ where } \lambda = \frac{2k}{n}.$$

Proof. (why lemma implies theorem) Our assumption implies that $\lambda > 1$. Then for $p \geq 2$, we have

$$\|g_\lambda^*(f, x)\|_p \leq A_{\lambda, p} \|f\|_p.$$

We also have

$$\|F\|_p \leq A_p \|g_1(F, x)\|_p.$$

Then the lemma tells us that $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$ for $2 \leq p < \infty$. \square

Proof of lemma. Let $u(x, y)$ be the Poisson integral of f and $U(x, y)$ be the Poisson integral of F . Let \wedge denote the Fourier transform with respect to x . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \int \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t|y} dy, \\ \hat{U}(x, y) &= e^{-2\pi |x|y} \hat{F}(x) \\ &= e^{-2\pi |x|y} m(x) \hat{f}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we may define

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y) &= \int e^{-2\pi i x \cdot t} e^{-2\pi |t|y} m(t) dt, \\ \hat{M}(x, y) &= e^{-2\pi |x|y} m(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(x, y_1 + y_2) &= e^{-2\pi |x|(y_1 + y_2)} m(x) \hat{f}(x) \\ &= e^{-2\pi |x|y_1} m(x) e^{-2\pi |x|y_2} \hat{f}(x) \\ &= \hat{M}(x, y_1) \hat{u}(x, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiate k times with respect to y_1 and once with respect to y_2 , set $y_1 = y_2 = y/2$. Then

$$U^{(k+1)}(x, y) = \int M^{(k)}(t, \frac{y}{2}) u^{(1)}(x - t, \frac{y}{2}) dt. \quad (8.1)$$

We claim that M satisfies

$$|M^{(k)}(t, y)| \leq \frac{B'}{y^{n+k}}, \quad (8.2)$$

$$\int |t|^{2k} |M^{(k)}(t, y)|^2 dt \leq \frac{B'}{y^n}. \quad (8.3)$$

Why? Since m is bounded, we have

$$|M^{(k)}(x, y)| \leq B \int |t|^k e^{-2\pi|t|} y dy = \int_0^\infty r^k e^{-2\pi r y} r^{n-1} dr = B'' y^{-n-k}.$$

We can in fact show that

$$\int |t^\alpha M^{(k)}(t, y)| dt \leq \frac{B'}{y^n}.$$

Since a derivative of a Fourier Transform acts in terms of multiplication, Plancherel asserts

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^\alpha M^{(k)}(t, y)\|_{L_t^2}^2 &= \left\| (2\pi)^{2k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha (|x|^k m(x) e^{-2\pi|x|y}) \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k y^{2j} \int |x|^{2(k-j)} e^{-4\pi|x|y} dx \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^\alpha (|x|^k m(x)) \right| \leq B' |x|^{k-|\alpha|}.$$

But

$$y^{2r} \int |x|^{2r} e^{-4\pi|x|y} dx \leq C y^{-n}$$

Then returning to (8.1),

$$\begin{aligned} |U^{(k+1)}(x, y)|^2 &= \int_{|t|<\frac{y}{2}} |M^{(k)}(t, \frac{y}{2}) u^{(1)}(x-t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 dt + \int_{|t|\geq\frac{y}{2}} |M^{(k)}(t, \frac{y}{2}) u^{(1)}(x-t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{A}{y^{n+2k}} \int_{|t|<\frac{y}{2}} |u^{(1)}(x-t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 dt + \frac{A}{y^n} \int_{|t|\geq\frac{y}{2}} \frac{|u^{(1)}(x-t, \frac{y}{2})|^2}{|t|^{2k}} dt \\ &= I_1(y) + I_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(g_{k+1}(F, x))^2 = \int_0^\infty |U^{(k+1)}(x, y)|^2 y^{2k+1} dy \leq \int_0^\infty (I_1(y) + I_2(y)) y^{2k+1} dy.$$

We can control both terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty I_1(y) y^{2k+1} dy &\leq B \int_{|t| \leq \frac{y}{2}} |u^{(1)}(x-t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 y^{1-n} dt dy \\
&\leq B' \int_\Gamma |\nabla u(x-t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dt dy \\
&= B'(S(f, x))^2 \leq B_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x)^2, \\
\int_0^\infty I_1(y) y^{2k+1} dy &\leq \int_{|t| \geq \frac{y}{2}} y^{-n+2k+1} |t|^{2k} |\nabla u(x-t, y)|^2 dt dy \\
&\leq B_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$g_1(F, x) \lesssim_\lambda g_{k+1}(F, x) \lesssim_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x).$$

□

8.1 Partial sum operators

Definition 8.6. Let ρ be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^n , by which we mean that the sides are parallel to the axes. We define the associated “partial sum operator” by

$$S_\rho(f)^\wedge = \mathbf{1}_\rho \cdot \hat{f}, \quad f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Theorem 8.7. For $1 < p < \infty$, $f \in L^2 \cap L^p$, we have

$$\|S_\rho(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p,$$

where the constant A_p is independent of the rectangle ρ .

Definition 8.8. Let $\mathcal{R} = \{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rectangles. We define the operator $S_{\mathcal{R}} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2)$, given by

$$S_{\mathcal{R}}((f_1, f_2, \dots)) = (S_{\rho_1}(f_1), \dots, S_{\rho_j}(f_j), \dots).$$

We get the following generalized theorem

Theorem 8.9. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2)$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|S_{\mathcal{R}}(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p,$$

where A_p is independent of the family of rectangles \mathcal{R} .

Proof. Stage 1: Take $n = 1$, with the rectangles as semi-infinite rectangles. Recall that the Hilbert transform has multiplier $i\text{sgn}(x)$. Then

$$S_{(-\infty, 0)} = \frac{I + iH}{2}.$$

Lemma 8.10. Let $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_j(x), \dots) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell^2), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \ell)$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\left\| \tilde{H}f \right\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p$$

Stage 2: Here $n = 1$, and rectangles are intervals $(-\infty, a_1), (-\infty, a_2), \dots, (-\infty, a_j), \dots$. Now we want to shift the multiplier for the Hilbert operator

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x)e^{-2\pi ix \cdot a})^\wedge &= \hat{f}(x+a), \\ H(e^{-2\pi ix \cdot a} f)^\wedge &= i \operatorname{sgn} \hat{f}(x+a), \\ [e^{2\pi ix \cdot a} H(e^{-2\pi ix \cdot a} f)]^\wedge &= i \operatorname{sgn}(x-a) \hat{f}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(S_{(-\infty, a_j)} f_j)(x) = \frac{f_j + ie^{2\pi ix \cdot a_j} H(e^{-2\pi ix \cdot a_j} f_j)}{2}(x),$$

So we may write

$$S_{\mathcal{R}} f = \frac{f + ie^{2\pi ix \cdot a} \tilde{H}(e^{-2\pi ix \cdot a} f)}{2}$$

Stage 3: We move to general n , and take the rectangles as half spaces $x_1 < a_j$. Let $S_{(-\infty, a_j)}^{(1)}$ denote the operator defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which acts only on the x_1 variable. We claim that

$$S_{\rho_j} = S_{(-\infty, a_j)}^{(1)},$$

because separable functions are dense in L^2 . Then apply previous stage.

Final stage: Every general bounded rectangle is the intersection of $2n$ half spaces, each half-space having its boundary hyperplane perpendicular to the axes. Then take some limit argument to unbounded rectangle.

□

8.2 Dyadic decomposition

Let Δ denote the dyadic decomposition of \mathbb{R}^n . In the sense of L^2 convergence, we expect

$$\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} s_\rho = \text{id}.$$

Because the blocks are mutually orthogonal, we actually have the stronger statement

$$\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} \|S_\rho f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2. \quad (8.4)$$

Theorem 8.11. Suppose $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then $(\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |S_\rho f(x)|^2)^{1/2} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in fact

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |S_\rho f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_p$$

are comparable.

Example 8.12. As a detour, we consider the Rademacher functions, defined on $(0, 1)$ with

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ -1 & 1/2 < t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and we extend r_0 outside the unit interval by periodicity, and define $r_m(t) = r_0(2^m t)$. The sequence of Rademacher functions are orthonormal.

If $a_m \in \ell^2$ and $F(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m r_m(t)$, then $F(t) \in L^p([0, 1])$ for all $p < \infty$, and we get

$$A_p \|F\|_p \leq \|F\|_2 = \|(a_m)\|_{\ell^2} \leq B_p \|F\|_p.$$

An n -dimensional analog holds as well.

Proof. The (\geq) direction of the inequality does not require any new machinery if we assume the other direction (\leq). Applying polarization to (8.4), we have

$$\int f \bar{g} dx = \sum_{\rho \in \Delta} S_\rho(f) \overline{S_\rho(g)} dx.$$

We then apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the sum and Hölder's inequality to the integral for

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f \bar{g} dx \right| &\leq \int \left(\sum_{\rho} |S_\rho f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{\rho} |S_\rho g|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{\rho} |S_\rho f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \left\| \left(\sum_{\rho} |S_\rho g|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{p'} \end{aligned}$$

Take the supremum over all g such that $\|g\|_{p'} \leq 1$. The right hand side is controlled by the other direction of the inequality.

Our goal is to show that for $1 < p < \infty$, we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{\rho} |S_\rho f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Take first the case $n = 1$. Let Δ_1 be a family of dyadic intervals in \mathbb{R} . We define $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ to be mollified bump function such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq x \leq 2, \\ 0 & x \leq 1/2 \text{ or } x \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

The associated multiplier operator to an interval I of the form $[2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ is given by

$$(\tilde{S}_I f)^\wedge(x) = \varphi(2^{-k}x)\hat{f}(x) = \varphi_I(x)\hat{f}(x).$$

Observe too that $S_I \tilde{S}_I = S_I$.

We consider the multiplier transformation given by

$$\tilde{T}_t = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_m(t) \tilde{S}_{I_m},$$

i.e. the multiplier associated with \tilde{T}_t is

$$m_t(x) = \sum_m r_m(t) \varphi_{I_m}(x).$$

For fixed x , there can be at most three nonzero terms in the sum. Then after absorbing some constant, we have the uniform bounds

$$|m_t(x)| \leq B, \quad \left| \frac{dm_t}{dx}(x) \right| \leq \frac{B}{|x|}.$$

By the multiplier theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{T}_t f\|_p &\leq A_p \|f\|_p, \\ \implies \left(\int_0^1 \|\tilde{T}_t(f)\|_p^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq A_p \|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|\tilde{T}_t(f)\|_p^p dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_m r_m(t) (\tilde{S}_{I_m} f)(x) \right|^p dt dx \\ &\geq A_p \int \left(\sum_m |\tilde{S}_{I_m} f(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

by the property of Rademacher functions. The apply theorem about partial sums.

Write $T_t = \sum_m r_m(t)S_{I_m}$. We claim that

$$\|T_t(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

because

$$B_p \|T_t^N f\|_p \leq \left\| \left(\sum^N |S_{I_m} f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \leq C - P \|f\|_p.$$

For the n -dimensional case, define $T_{t_1}^{(1)}$ as the operator T_{t_1} acting only on the x_1 variable, so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_{t_1}^{(1)} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^p dx_1 \leq A_p^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^p dx_1$$

for almost every fixed x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n . Then integrate with respect to x_2, \dots, x_n for

$$\|T_{t_1}^{(1)} f\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Iterating yields

$$\|T_t(f)\|_p \leq A_p^n \|f\|_p, \text{ where } T_t = \sum_{\rho_m \in \Delta} r_m(t) S_{\rho_m}.$$

Now raise to the p th power and integrate with respect to t , making use of properties of Rademacher functions. \square

8.3 Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem

Theorem 8.13. *Let m be a bounded function on \mathbb{R}^n which is of bounded variation on every finite interval not containing the origin. Suppose also*

1. $|m(x)| \leq B$,
2. *for each $0 < k \leq n$*

$$\sup_{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n} \int_{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial^k m}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \dots \partial x_k} \right| dx_1 \dots dx_k \leq B$$

as ρ ranges over the dyadic rectangles of \mathbb{R}^k .

3. *The condition analogous to (b) is valid for every one of the $n!$ permutations of the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .*

Then $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. We only consider the case $n = 2$. Let $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and write $F = T_m f$.

Let Δ denote the dyadic rectangles, for each $\rho \in \Delta$, write $f_\rho = S_\rho, F_\rho = S_\rho F$, and thus $F_\rho = T_m f_\rho$. By [Theorem 8.11](#) it suffices to show that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |F_\rho|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C_p \left\| \left(\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |f_\rho|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$$

Assume ρ takes the form $[2^k, 2^{k+1}] \times [2^\ell, 2^{\ell+1}]$. The fundamental theorem of calculus tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_1, x_2) &= \int_{2^k}^{x_1} \int_{2^\ell}^{x_2} \frac{\partial^2 m(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} dt_1 dt_2 + \int_{2^k}^{x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} m(t_1, 2^\ell) dt_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{2^\ell}^{x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} m(2^k, t_2) dt_2 + m(2^k, 2^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Let S_t denote the multiplier corresponding to $(2^k, t_1) \times (2^\ell, t_2)$. Let $S_{t_1}^1$ be the multiplier corresponding to $(2^k, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{t_2}^2$ be the multiplier corresponding to $\mathbb{R} \times (2^\ell, t_2)$. Then $S_t = S_{t_1}^1 \cdot S_{t_2}^2$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\rho T_m &= \int_{2^\ell}^{2^{\ell+1}} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} S_t \frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} dt_1 dt_2 + \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} S_{t_1}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} m(t_1, 2^\ell) dt_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{2^\ell}^{2^{\ell+1}} \cdots + m(2^k, 2^\ell) S_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Now use the fact that $S_\rho T_m f = F_\rho$ for

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\rho|^2 &\lesssim \iint_{\rho} |S_t(f_\rho)|^2 c + \int_{I_1} |S_{t_1}^1(f_\rho)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 m(t_1, 2^\ell)}{\partial t_1} \right| dt_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{I_2} |S_{t_2}^2(f_\rho)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 m(2^k, t_2)}{\partial t_1} \right| dt_1 + |f_\rho|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply [Theorem 8.9](#) with the measure

$$d\gamma = \int_{I_1} |S_{t_1}^1(f_\rho)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 m(t_1, 2^\ell)}{\partial t_1} \right| dt_1 dt_2.$$

□