

# Singular Integral Operators

Michael Lee

November 12, 2025

## 1 Harmonic Analysis Facts

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $T$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $T$  commutes with translations iff there exists a measure in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $T(f) = f * \mu$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $T$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $T$  commutes with translations if  $T$  is a multiplier operator, i.e. there exists bounded measurable  $m(y)$  such that  $(\hat{T}f)(y) = \hat{f}(y)m(y)$ .*

## 2 Calderon-Zygmund Theory

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose a kernel  $K(x)$  satisfies*

$$|K(x)| \leq \frac{B}{|x|^n} \quad |x| > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\int_{R_1 < |x| < R_2} K(x) dx = 0 \quad 0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\int_{|x| \geq 2|y|} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \leq B. \quad (2.3)$$

Then for  $f \in L^1 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  we define

$$T_\epsilon(f)(x) = \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} f(x-y)K(y)dy.$$

Then have the following bound

$$\|T_\epsilon f\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Moreover,  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f) = T(f)$  exists in  $L^p$  norm.

The strategy is to prove that  $T_\epsilon$  is a weak (1,1) and strong (2,2) operator, with bounds independent of epsilon. Then apply Marcinkiewicz interpolation, and then check that bounds are preserved as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Remark 2.2.* The condition that  $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  and  $|\nabla K(x)| \leq B/|x|^{n+1}$  implies (2.3).

## 2.1 $L^2$ to $L^2$ boundedness

**Lemma 2.3.** *Take  $K$  as above and define  $K_\epsilon(x) = K(x)\mathbf{1}_{|x|\geq\epsilon}(x)$ , which lies in  $L^2$ . We have the estimates*

$$\sup_y |\hat{K}_\epsilon(y)| \leq CB$$

*independently of  $\epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to consider  $\epsilon = 1$ .  $K_1(x)$  satisfies the same conditions as  $K(x)$ . The Fourier transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_1(y) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} K_1(x) dx = I_1 + I_2 \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} K_1(x) dx + \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{|y|} \leq |x| \leq R} e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} K_1(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Observe via the cancellation condition that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} (e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} - 1) K_1(x) dx \\ |I_1| &\leq C|y| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} |x| |K_1(x)| dx \leq C|y| \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} \frac{B|x|}{|x|^n} dx, \end{aligned}$$

so we switch to polar coordinates to see that the above is bounded by  $CB$ .

For  $I_2$ , we define  $z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{|y|^2}$ . Then  $e^{2\pi i y \cdot z} = -1$ , with  $|z| = \frac{1}{2|y|}$ . By a change of variables, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_1(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [K_1(x) - K_1(x - z)] e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$$

Some rearrangement tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= I_3 + I_4 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\frac{1}{|y|} \leq |x| \leq R} [K_1(x) - K_1(x - z)] e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} [K_1(x) + K_1(x - z)] e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then for  $I_3$  observe that  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|$ , so apply (2.3). By a change of variables, we rewrite  $I_4$  as

$$\int_{|x| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} K_1(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx - \int_{|x+z| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}} K_1(x) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx.$$

The integral is bounded in some spherical shell  $\frac{1}{2|y|} \leq |x| \leq \frac{2}{|y|}$ , so we can apply (2.1) with changes to spherical coordinates.

If  $T$  corresponds to kernel  $K$  then  $\tau_{\epsilon^{-1}} T \tau_\epsilon$  corresponds to kernel  $\epsilon^{-n} K(\epsilon^{-1}x)$ . So let  $K' = \epsilon^n K(\epsilon x)$ . We can check that  $K'$  satisfies the conditions of the lemma with  $K'_1$  satisfies  $|\hat{K}'_1(y)| \leq CB$ . But the Fourier transform of  $K_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n} K'_1(\epsilon^{-1}x)$  is  $\hat{K}_1(\epsilon y)$ .  $\square$

If the kernel has bounded Fourier transform, Plancherel gives

$$\|Tf\|_2 \leq B \|f\|_2$$

## 2.2 Weak $L^1$ to $L^1$ boundedness

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be fixed. Our goal is to find a constant  $C$  such that

$$m\{Tf > \alpha\} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx.$$

First apply the Calderon-Zygmund decomposition, we can write  $\mathbb{R}^n = F \cup \Omega$ . Then define

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & x \in F, \\ \int_{Q_j} f(x) dx & x \in Q_j^0. \end{cases}$$

Then take  $b(x) = f(x) - g(x)$ . Observe that  $b(x)$  satisfies  $b(x) = 0$  for  $x \in F$  as well as

$$\int_{Q_j} b(x) dx = 0.$$

The triangle inequality tells us we can bound  $Tg$  and  $Tb$  separately since

$$m\{|Tf| > \alpha\} \leq m\{|Tg| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\} + m\{|Tb| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}.$$

### 2.2.1 Estimate for $Tg$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_2^2 &= \int_F |g|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |g|^2 \\ &\leq \int_F \alpha |f| + m(\Omega)(2^n \alpha)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha \|f\|_1 + \frac{A}{\alpha} \|f\|_1 \cdot C^2 \alpha^2 \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

But from the  $L^2 \rightarrow L^2$  bound, we can apply Tchebychev for

$$m\{|Tg| > \frac{\alpha}{2}\} \leq \frac{C^2}{\alpha^2} \|g\|_2^2.$$

### 2.2.2 Estimate for $Tb$

Here, we want the estimate for the integral of  $Tb$  over the good set  $F$ .

We can write  $b_j(x) = b(x)\mathbf{1}_{Q_j}(x)$ . We now expand the cubes each by  $2\sqrt{n}$  times, denoted  $Q_j^*$ . Take  $F^*$  as the complement of the union of the expanded cubes. For  $x \in F^*$ , we can check that  $|x - y^j| \geq 2|y - y^j|$  for all  $y \in Q_j$ , where  $y^j$  is the center of the cube. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
Tb_j(x) &= \int_{Q_j} K(x - y)b_j(y)dy \\
&= \int_{Q_j} [K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)]b_j(y)dy, \\
|Tb(x)| &\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)||b(y)|dy, \\
\int_{F^*} |Tb(x)|dx &\leq \int_{F^*} \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)||b(y)|dydx \\
&\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |b(y)| \int_{F^*} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)|dx dy \quad (\text{Fubini's}), \\
&\leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |b(y)| \int_{|x'| \geq 2|y'|} |K(x' - y') - K(x')|dx' dy \\
&\leq B \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |b(y)|dy \leq C \|f\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

We also recall that  $m(\Omega) \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1$ .

## 2.3 Duality

How do we do this approximation if  $K$  does not lie in  $L^2$ ? Answer: We can sidestep this because  $K_\epsilon$  lies in  $L^2$ .

Recall that if  $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$  and

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \int \psi \varphi dx \right| : \varphi \in C_c, \|\varphi\|_q \leq 1 \right\} = A < \infty,$$

then  $\|\psi\|_{L^p} = A$ . We can write the double integral as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x - y)f(y)\varphi(x)dx dy = \int f(y) \left( \int K(x - y)\varphi(y)dx \right) dy.$$

Replacing with the kernel  $K(-x)$ , we know that  $\int K(x - y)\varphi(y)dx$  belongs to  $L^q$  for  $1 < q < 2$ . Then apply Hölder's.

## 2.4 From $T_\epsilon$ to $T$

Consider  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then by the cancellation condition

$$T_\epsilon(f_1)(x) = \int_{|y| \geq 1} K(y) f_1(x-y) dy + \int_{1 \geq |y| \geq \epsilon} K(y) [f_1(x-y) - f_1(x)] dy.$$

The first integral lies in  $L^p$ , second integral converges uniformly to 0. We can write arbitrary  $f \in L^p$  as  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1 \in C_c^1$  and  $\|f_2\|_p$  is small.

## 3 SIOs which commute with dilations

If  $\tau_{\epsilon^{-1}} T \tau_\epsilon = T$ , then we are back to the requirement  $K(\epsilon x) = \epsilon^{-n} K(x)$ , where  $K$  is homogeneous of degree  $-n$ . Then

$$K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n},$$

where  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree 0.

*Remark 3.1.* It suffices to consider  $\Omega$  which satisfies the following smoothness and cancellation conditions

$$\sup\{|\Omega(x) - \Omega(x')| : |x - x'| \leq \delta, |x| = |x'| = 1\} = \omega(\delta) \implies \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(\sigma) d\sigma = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  homogeneous of degree 0 satisfying the above two properties. Let*

$$T_\epsilon(f)(x) = \int_{|y| > \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} f(x-y) dy.$$

*Then  $T_\epsilon$  is bounded from  $L^p$  to  $L^p$ . The limit in the  $L^p$  norm exists (call it  $T$ ), and  $T$  satisfies the same bounds.*

In addition to convergence in  $L^p$  norm, we can also get convergence almost everywhere, with the help of the maximal function.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Take  $\Omega$  as above. For  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the limit  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f)(x)$  exists for almost everywhere. Define the associated maximal function*

$$T^*(f)(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |T_\epsilon(f)(x)|.$$

*The result follows from the fact that  $T^*$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  and strong type  $(p, p)$ .*

*Proof.* Proof of  $(p, p)$  is deferred to latter chapter.

Again given  $\alpha > 0$ , we will consider a splitting of a function  $f = g + b$ . Take  $x \in (Q_j^*)^c$ . Suppose that there exists some  $y \in Q_j$  such that  $|x - y| = \epsilon$ . Then there exists  $\gamma_n$  and  $\gamma'_n$  such that for every  $y' \in Q_j$ ,

$$\gamma'_n \epsilon \leq |x - y| \leq \gamma_n \epsilon.$$

We claim that if  $x \in F^*$ ,

$$\sup_{\epsilon > 0} |T_\epsilon(b(x))| \leq \sum_j \int_{Q_j} |K(x - y) - K(x - y^j)| |b(y)| dy + C \sup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x, r)} |b(y)| dy.$$

By definition,

$$T_\epsilon b(x) = \sum_j \int_{Q_j} K_\epsilon(x - y) b(y) dy.$$

There are three different kinds of  $Q_j$ :

1. For all  $y \in Q_j$ , we have  $|x - y| < \epsilon$ . This term vanishes.
2. For all  $y \in Q_j$ , we have  $|x - y| > \epsilon$ . This appears as the first term.
3. There exists  $y \in Q_j$  such that  $|x - y| = \epsilon$ . Use the above bounds, and estimate over  $B(x, \gamma_n \epsilon)$ .

Now define

$$\Lambda(f)(x) = \left| \limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f)(x) - \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\epsilon(f)(x) \right| \leq 2(T^*f)(x).$$

□

**Hypothesis 3.4.** *The Calderón-Zygmund theorem gives us bounds on certain singular integral operators. Can we in fact describe them as Fourier multipliers?*

**Proposition 3.5.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is homogeneous of degree 0, and suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies the following cancellation and smoothness conditions*

$$\sup\{|\Omega(x) - \Omega(x')| : |x - x'| \leq \delta, |x| = |x'| = 1\} = \omega(\delta) \implies \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta} d\delta < \infty, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \Omega(\sigma) d\sigma = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $Tf$  be the convolution of  $\Omega(x)/|x|^n$  with  $f(x)$ , defined in the principal value sense.

If  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the Fourier transforms of  $f$  and  $Tf$  are related by  $\widehat{Tf} = m\hat{f}$ , where  $m$  is a homogeneous function of degree 0. Explicitly, we have

$$m(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \left[ \frac{\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x \cdot y) + \log \left( \frac{1}{|x \cdot y|} \right) \right] \Omega(y) d\sigma(y). \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is bounded and commutes with translations, we can write  $T$  as a multiplier operator. Moreover, such an operator commutes with dilations, so the multiplier is homogeneous of degree 0. How do we express the multiplier in terms of the kernel? Take

$$K_{\epsilon, \eta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n} & \epsilon \leq |x| \leq \eta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can check immediately that  $K_{\epsilon, \eta} \in L^1$  (though not necessarily uniformly). Moreover, if  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\widehat{K_{\epsilon, \eta} * f} = \hat{K}_{\epsilon, \eta} \hat{f}$ .

We claim the following

1.  $\sup_{\epsilon, \eta} |\widehat{K_{\epsilon, \eta}}(y)| \leq A$ .
2. If  $x \neq 0$ , then the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\hat{K}_{\epsilon, \eta}(x) = m(x)$ .

To this end, we write  $x = Rx', y = ry'$  in polar coordinates. Consider the following integral

$$I_{\epsilon, \eta}(x', y') := \int_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \frac{1}{r} [\exp(2\pi i Rr x' \cdot y') - \cos(2\pi Rr)] dr.$$

With some calculus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Im}(I_{\epsilon, \eta}(x', y')) &= \int_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \frac{\sin[2\pi Rr(x' \cdot y')]}{r} dr \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x' \cdot y'), \\ \operatorname{Re}(I_{\epsilon, \eta}(x', y')) &= \int_{\epsilon}^{\eta} \frac{\cos[2\pi Rr(x' \cdot y')] - \cos(2\pi Rr)}{r} dr \rightarrow \cos 0 \log \frac{1}{|x' \cdot y'|}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{h(\lambda r) - h(\mu r)}{r} dr = h(0) \log \frac{\mu}{\lambda}.$$

Combining the real and imaginary parts, we have

$$I(x', y') \rightarrow \log \frac{1}{|x' \cdot y'|} + i \frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x' \cdot y').$$

Rewriting in spherical coordinates, we can express the Fourier transform of the kernel as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_{\epsilon, \eta}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_\epsilon^\eta e^{2\pi i R r x' \cdot y'} \Omega(y') \frac{dr}{r} d\sigma(y') \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} I_{\epsilon, \eta}(x', y') \Omega(y') d\sigma(y') \end{aligned}$$

with the help of the cancellation property. But we can just take the norm of the real and imaginary parts of  $I_{\epsilon, \eta}$  for the uniform bound

$$|\hat{K}_{\epsilon, \eta}(x)| \leq A \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} [1 + \log \frac{1}{|x' \cdot y'|}] |\Omega(y')| d\sigma(y').$$

Then apply DCT.

To show convergence to  $T$ , first take  $\epsilon$  fixed and take  $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ . Then consider  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.6.* No boundedness on  $L^1, L^\infty$ , take Hilbert transform of characteristic function of interval  $(a, b)$ .

## 4 Hilbert and Riesz transforms

**Definition 4.1.** The Hilbert transform is given by

$$Hf(x) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy.$$

It turns out that the Hilbert transform satisfies the properties of the singular integral operators for which we proved the Calderón-Zygmund theorems. Here, we have

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{\pi x}, \Omega(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{|x|}.$$

Then  $\widehat{Hf} = m\hat{f}$ , with  $m(x) = i \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ . Then  $H^2 = -I$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose  $T$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$  which



1. commutes with translations,
2. commutes with positive dilations,
3. anticommutes with reflection.

Then  $T$  is a multiple of the Hilbert transform.

*Proof.* Since  $T$  commutes with translations, we can write  $\widehat{Tf} = m\hat{f}$ . To simplify notation, we write  $\mathcal{F}f = \hat{f}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}T = m\mathcal{F}$ .

We recall the effect of a Fourier transform on dilation, given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{F}\tau_\delta f)(y) &= \int e^{2\pi ixy} f(\delta x) dx \\ &= |\delta|^{-1} \int e^{2\pi ixy/\delta} f(x) dx = |\delta|^{-1} \tau_{\delta^{-1}} \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Now our remaining assumptions imply that  $T\tau_\delta = \text{sgn}(\delta)\tau_\delta T$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\delta m &= \tau_\delta (\mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^{-1}) = |\delta|^{-1} \mathcal{F}\tau_{\delta^{-1}} T \mathcal{F}^{-1} \\ &= \delta^{-1} \mathcal{F}T\tau_{\delta^{-1}} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \\ &= \text{sgn}(\delta) \mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^{-1} \tau_\delta = \text{sgn}(\delta) m \tau_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, we have

$$m(\delta y) \hat{f}(\delta y) = \text{sgn}(\delta) m(y) \hat{f}(\delta y).$$

Then  $m(y)$  is a constant multiple of  $\text{sgn}(y)$ . □

*Remark 4.3.* If  $T$  is a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$  that commutes with translations and all dilations, then its Fourier multiplier is a constant. Then  $T$  is a constant multiple of the identity.

Let  $\rho$  denote a rotation. We define  $\rho(f)(x) = f(\rho^{-1}x)$ . We can verify  $\mathcal{F}\rho = \rho\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $m(x) = (m_1(x), m_2(x), \dots, m_n(x))$  be an  $n$ -tuple of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that*

1.  $m$  is homogeneous of degree 0,
2.  $m$  transforms like a vector, i.e.

$$\rho(m)(x) = m(\rho^{-1}x) = \rho(m(x)), \quad m_j(\rho^{-1}x) = \sum_k \rho_{jk} m_k(x). \quad (4.1)$$

Here we take the induced action of  $\rho$  on a function.

Then the function  $m$  takes the form

$$m(x) = c \frac{x}{|x|}, \quad m_j(x) = c \frac{x_j}{|x|}.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to consider  $x$  on the unit sphere. Let  $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  denote the standard basis. Set  $c = m_1(e_1)$ .

Let  $\rho$  be a rotation that fixes  $e_1$ . For  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , we have  $\rho_{j1} = 0$  which means

$$m_j(e_1) = \sum_{k=2}^n \rho_{jk} m_k(e_1).$$

So the  $n-1$  dimensional vector  $(m_2(e_1), m_3(e_1), \dots, m_n(e_1))$  is left fixed by all the rotations on this  $n-1$  dimensional space orthogonal to  $e_1$ . So  $m_2(e_1) = m_3(e_1) = \dots = m_n(e_1) = 0$ . We obtain

$$m_j(\rho^{-1}e_1) = \rho_{j1}m_1(e_1) = c\rho_{j1}.$$

If  $\rho^{-1}e_1 = x$ , then  $\rho_{j1} = x_j$ . Then  $m_j(x) = cx_j$ . □

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . We define

$$R_j(f)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_n \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{y_j}{|y|^{n+1}} f(x-y) dy, \quad c_n = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$$

The associated kernel is

$$K_j(x) = c_n \frac{x_j}{|x|^{n+1}}, \quad \Omega_j(x) = c_n \frac{x_j}{|x|}.$$

Observe that the mapping from kernel  $\Omega$  to multipliers  $m$  commutes with rotations. Moreover, the kernels satisfy the transformation law (4.1), which means that the multipliers also satisfy the transformation law.

But the  $m_j$ 's are homogeneous of degree 0, so the lemma shows that  $m_j(x) = cx_j/|x|$ . Notice that

$$c = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( \frac{\pi i}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(y_1) + \log \left| \frac{1}{y_1} \right| \right) \cdot c_n \frac{y_j}{|y|} d\sigma(y) = i$$

In this case we just need to check that

$$\frac{2\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\cos \theta| d\sigma(y). \quad (4.2)$$

In other words, we have

$$\widehat{R_j f}(y) = i \frac{y_j}{|y|} \hat{f}(y).$$

The Riesz operators obey

$$\rho^{-1} R_j \rho f = \sum_k \rho_{jk} R_k f.$$

If we denote  $\hat{R}_j = m_j$ , then

$$\rho(m_j \rho^{-1}(f)) = \sum_k \rho_{jk} m_k f \iff m_j(\rho^{-1}x) = \sum_k \rho_{jk} m_k(x).$$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  be an  $n$ -tuple of bounded transformations on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Suppose*

1. *Each  $T_j$  commutes with translation*
2. *Each  $T_j$  commutes with dilations*
3. *For every rotation  $\rho$ ,  $\rho^{-1} T_j \rho f = \sum_k \rho_{jk} T_k f$ .*

*Then the  $T_j$ 's are a constant multiple of the Riesz transforms.*

## 4.1 Applications of Riesz transforms

**Proposition 4.7.** *Suppose  $f \in C_C^2$ . Then for  $1 < p < \infty$ .*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \right\|_p \leq A_p \|\Delta f\|_p. \quad (4.3)$$

*Proof.* We want to apply the identity

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} = -R_j R_k \Delta f.$$

Recall the action of the Fourier transform of derivatives

$$\hat{f}(y) = \int e^{2\pi i x \cdot y} f(x) dx \implies \frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_j}(y) = -2\pi i y_j \hat{f}(y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}}(y) &= -4\pi^2 y_j y_k \hat{f}(y) \\ &= -\frac{i y_j}{|y|} \frac{i y_k}{|y|} (-4\pi |y|^2) \hat{f}(y) = -\widehat{R_j R_k \Delta f}(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with compact support. Then for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right\|_p + \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right\|_p \leq A_p \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right\|_p.$$

*The identity used is*

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = -R_j(R_1 - iR_2) \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right).$$

## 5 Poisson integrals

Recall the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation: We restrict ourself to  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . Given a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we want to find a harmonic function  $u(x, y)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  whose boundary values on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are  $f(x)$ .

Here is a solution with  $L^2$  theory. Let  $f \in L^2$ . Consider

$$u(x, y) = \int \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt.$$

This integral converges absolutely, and can be differentiated. Then check

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} = 0,$$

because  $e^{2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y}$  satisfies this property. We have  $L^2$  convergence of  $u(x, y)$  to  $f(x)$  as  $y \rightarrow 0$ .

**Definition 5.1.** Define the Poisson kernel  $P_y(x)$  by

$$P_y(x) = \int e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt.$$

Then we can write  $u(x, y)$  as a convolution in the  $x$  variable called the Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = (P_y * f)(x) = \int P_y(t) f(x - t) dt$$

**Proposition 5.2.** *The explicit expression of the Poisson kernel is*

$$P_y(x) = \frac{c_n y}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}},$$

*with  $c_n$  as in the Riesz transform.*

We can describe the boundary behavior of Poisson integrals as follows.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Let  $u(x, y)$  be its associated Poisson integral. Then

1.  $\sup_{y>0} |u(x, y)| \leq Mf(x)$ , where  $Mf$  is the maximal function.
2.  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} u(x, y) = f(x)$  for almost every  $x$ .
3. If  $p < \infty$ , then  $u(x, y)$  converges to  $f(x)$  in the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  norm as  $y \rightarrow 0$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** [Approximations to the identity] Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and set  $\varphi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n} \varphi(\epsilon^{-1}x)$ . Suppose that the least decreasing radial majorant of  $\varphi$  is integral, i.e.

$$\psi(x) = \sup_{|y| \geq |x|} |\varphi(y)| \text{ satisfies } \int \psi(x) dx = A < \infty.$$

With the same  $A$ ,

1.  $\sup_{\epsilon>0} |(f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x)| \leq AMf(x)$ , for  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .
2. If  $\varphi$  has integral one, then  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x) = f(x)$  almost everywhere.
3. If  $p < \infty$ , then  $\|f * \varphi_\epsilon - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* To prove (3), it suffices to take  $\varphi$  integrable. Recall the  $L^p$  norm is continuous with respect to translation. If  $f_1$  is continuous with compact support, we in fact have uniform convergence of  $f_1(x - y)$  to  $f_1(x)$ . Otherwise write  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $\|f_2\|_p \leq \delta$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_p &\leq \|f_1(x - y) - f_1(x)\|_p + \|f_2(x - y) - f_2(x)\|_p, \\ \|f_2(x - y) - f_2(x)\|_p &\leq 2\delta, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_p \rightarrow 0$ . We can write with Fubini's

$$\begin{aligned} f * \varphi_\epsilon - f &= \int [f(x - y) - f(x)] \varphi_\epsilon(y) dy \\ \|f * \varphi_\epsilon - f\|_p &\leq \int \|f(x - y) - f(x)\|_{L_x^p} |\varphi_\epsilon(y)| dy \\ &= \int \|f(x - \epsilon y) - f(x)\|_{L_x^p} |\varphi(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

which converges to zero by DCT.

To prove (1), write  $\psi(r) = \psi(x)$ , since  $\psi$  is radial. We claim that  $r^n \psi(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0, \infty$ . Indeed, we can write

$$\int_{\frac{r}{2} \leq |x| \leq r} \psi(x) dx \geq \psi(r) \int_{\frac{r}{2} \leq |x| \leq r} dx = \psi(r) cr^n,$$

then we apply the fact that  $\psi \in L^1$ ,  $\psi(r)$  is decreasing (and absolute continuity of the integral).

We now want to show that

$$(f * \psi_\epsilon)(x) \leq A(Mf)(x).$$

By translation and dilation invariance, it suffices to show that

$$(f * \psi)(0) \leq A(Mf)(0).$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(r) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(rx) d\sigma(x), \\ \Lambda(r) &= \int_{|x| \leq r} f(x) dx = \int_0^r \lambda(t)^{n-1} dt,\end{aligned}$$

by polar coordinates. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(f * \psi)(0) &= \int f(x) \psi(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \lambda(r) \psi(r) r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_\epsilon^N \lambda(r) \psi(r) r^{n-1} dr = - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, N \rightarrow \infty} \int_\epsilon^N \Lambda(r) d\psi(r).\end{aligned}$$

We have an error of the form  $\Lambda(N)\psi(N) - \Lambda(\epsilon)\psi(\epsilon)$ , but we can check that

$$\Lambda(r) \leq |B(1)| r^n Mf(0).$$

Therefore

$$f * \psi(0) \leq VMf(0) \int_0^\infty r^n d(-\psi(r)).$$

To prove (2), if  $f \in L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , proof is analogous to Lebesgue Differentiation Thm. Now take  $p = \infty$ . Given any ball  $B$ , we want to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (f * \varphi_\epsilon)(x) = f(x)$$

for almost every  $x \in B$ . Let  $B_1$  be a different ball that strictly contains  $B$  and  $\delta$  be the distance from  $B$  to the complement of  $B_1$ .

Take  $f_1(x) = f(x)\mathbf{1}_B(x)$ ,  $f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$ . We have  $f_1 \in L^1$ . For  $x \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}|(f_2 * \varphi_\epsilon)(x)| &= \left| \int f_2(x-y) \varphi_\epsilon(y) dy \right| \leq \int_{|y| \geq \delta} |f_2(x-y)| \varphi_\epsilon(y) dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{|y| \geq \frac{\delta}{\epsilon}} |\varphi(y)| dy \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

## 5.1 Conjugate harmonic functions

There is an interesting relation between Riesz transform and the theory of harmonic functions.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $f, f_i \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with their respective Poisson integrals*

$$u_0(x, y) = P_y * f, u_i(x, y) = P_y * f_i.$$

*Then  $f_j = R_j(f)$  iff the following generalized Cauchy-Riemann equations hold*

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j}.$$

*Proof.* (  $\implies$  ) Since  $f_j = R_j(f)$ , we know that  $\hat{f}_j(t) = \frac{it_j}{|t|} \hat{f}(t)$ . Then the formula for the Poisson integral is

$$u_j(x, y) = \int \hat{f}(t) \frac{it_j}{|t|} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt.$$

Then just differentiate under the integral sign.

(  $\impliedby$  ) Consider the formula for the Poisson integral. We have

$$-2\pi i t_j \hat{f}_0(t) e^{-2\pi |t| y} = -2\pi |t| \hat{f}_j(t) e^{-2\pi |t| y},$$

so  $\hat{f}_j(t) = \frac{it_j}{|t|} \hat{f}_0(t)$  and  $f - J = R_j(f)$ . □

## 5.2 $L^p$ bounds on maximal singular operator

**Lemma 5.6.** *If  $T^*f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} |T_\epsilon(f)|(x)$ , then*

$$\|T^*f\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

*Proof.* We already proved existence of  $T(f)$  as limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in the  $L^p$  norm. We want to show that

$$T^*(f)(x) \leq M(Tf)(x) + CM(f)(x).$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a smooth non-negative function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , supported in unit ball, with integral equal to one, radial and decreasing in  $|x|$ . Consider

$$K_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n} & |x| \geq \epsilon, \\ 0 & |x| < \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then we define  $\Phi = \varphi * K - K_1$ .

We claim that the smallest decreasing radial majorant of  $\Phi$  is integrable.

- If  $|x| < 1$ , then  $\Phi = \varphi * K$  and we can write

$$\Phi = \int K(y)[\varphi(x-y) - \varphi(x)]dy$$

which is bounded due to smoothness of  $\varphi$ .

- If  $1 \leq |x| \leq 2$ , then  $\Phi(x) = K * \varphi - K(x)$  is also bounded.
- When  $|x| \geq 2$ ,

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x-y)\varphi(y)dy - K(x) = \int_{|y| \leq 1} [K(x-y) - K(x)]\varphi(y)dy$$

so

$$|\Phi(x)| \leq C' \frac{\omega(c/|x|)}{|x|^n}.$$

Observe too that

$$\varphi_\epsilon * K - K_\epsilon = \Phi_\epsilon.$$

We claim now that for any  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$(\varphi_\epsilon * K) * f(x) = T(f) * \varphi_\epsilon(x)$$

We conclude  $T_\epsilon(f) = (Tf) * \varphi_\epsilon - f * \Phi_\epsilon$ , so we can apply [Theorem 5.4](#). □



## 6 Higher Riesz transforms and spherical harmonics

**Definition 6.1.**  $\mathcal{H}_k$  is the linear space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$ , also known as the solid spherical harmonic of degree  $k$ . This space has inner product

$$(P, Q) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} P(x) \overline{Q(x)} d\sigma(x).$$

**Proposition 6.2.** *The space  $\{\mathcal{H}_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  are orthogonal.*

*Proof.* If  $P \in \mathcal{H}_k, Q \in \mathcal{H}_j$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (k-j) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} P \overline{Q} d\sigma(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left( \overline{Q} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \nu} - P \frac{\partial \overline{Q}}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{B_1} (\overline{Q} \Delta P - P \Delta \overline{Q}) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because  $P$  and  $Q$  are both harmonic. □

**Proposition 6.3.** *Suppose  $P$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ . Then*

$$P = P_1 + |x|^2 P_2,$$

where  $P_1$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ , harmonic and  $P_2$  is homogeneous of degree  $k-2$ .

*Proof.* Iterate the previous proposition for

$$P(x) = P_1(x) + |x|^2 P_2(x) + |x|^4 P_3(x) + \cdots =$$

□

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $H_k$  denote the linear space of restrictions of  $\mathcal{H}_k$  to the unit sphere, also known as the surface spherical harmonics of degree  $k$ . Then in the sense of Hilbert spaces,*

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k.$$

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $f$  be written as*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Y_k(x).$$

*Then  $f$  is smooth on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  if and only if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |Y_k(x)|^2 d\sigma(x) = O(k^{-N}). \tag{6.1}$$

**Theorem 6.6.** Suppose  $P_k(x)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}(P_k(x)e^{-\pi|x|^2}) = i^k P_k(x)e^{-\pi|x|^2}.$$

*Proof.* We want to show that

$$\int P_k(x) \exp(-\pi|x|^2 + 2\pi i x \cdot y) dx = i^k P_k(y) e^{-\pi|y|^2}. \quad (6.2)$$

The Fourier transform of a Gaussian is a Gaussian, so

$$\int \exp[-\pi|x|^2 + 2\pi i x \cdot y] dx = \exp(-\pi|y|^2).$$

Now apply the operator  $P_k(\partial_y)$  to both sides for

$$\int P_k(2\pi i x) \exp[-\pi|x|^2 + 2\pi i x \cdot y] dx = P_k(-2\pi y) \exp(-\pi|y|^2).$$

□

**Theorem 6.7.** Let  $P_k(x)$  be a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree  $k$ . Then the multiplier corresponding to the convolution operator with the kernel  $P_k(x)/|x|^{k+n}$  is

$$\gamma_k \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^k}, \text{ where } \gamma_k = i^k \pi^{n/2} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma((k+n)/2)}.$$

**Lemma 6.8.** For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < n$ , and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$\int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = \gamma_{k,\alpha} \int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+\alpha}} \varphi(x) dx.$$

*Proof of lemma.*

□

*Proof.* We claim that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \geq \epsilon} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx. \quad (6.3)$$

The left hand side is

$$\int \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = \int_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} [\hat{\varphi}(x) - \hat{\varphi}(0)] dx + \int_{|x| \geq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx.$$

Then pass to the limit as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n-\alpha}} [\hat{\varphi}(x) - \hat{\varphi}(0)] dx = \lim_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq 1} \frac{P_k(x)}{|x|^{k+n}} \hat{\varphi}(x) dx$$

In any case let  $f$  be sufficiently smooth with compact support. Set  $f(x - y) = \hat{\varphi}(y)$ , so  $\varphi(y) = \hat{f}(y)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}$ , so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{P_k(y)}{|y|^{k+n}} f(x - y) dy &= \gamma_k \int \frac{P_k(y)}{|y|^k} \hat{f}(y) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y} dy \\ &= \int m(y) \hat{f}(y) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y} dy \end{aligned}$$

with the help of the lemma. With the definition of the multiplier, we arrive at

$$m(y) = \gamma_k \frac{P_k(y)}{|y|^k}.$$

□

**Theorem 6.9.** *The classes of transformation defined by*

$$T(f) = c \cdot f + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| \geq \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} f(x - y) dy \quad \text{where } \Omega \text{ is smooth} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\widehat{Tf}(y) = m(y) \hat{f}(y) \quad \text{where } m \text{ is smooth} \quad (6.5)$$

are identical.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Suppose that  $T$  takes the first form. We already showed that

$$m(x) = c + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Gamma(x \cdot y) \Omega(y) d\sigma(y).$$

We can express  $m$  in terms of the spherical harmonics

$$\Omega(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k(y), \quad m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{Y}_k(X).$$

The previous theorem tells us that the ratios of spherical harmonics are explicit constants

$$\tilde{Y}_k(x) = \gamma_k Y_k(x).$$

For  $N \neq M$ , we get

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |m_M(x) - m_N(x)| \leq \sup_x \|\Gamma(x \cdot y)\|_{L_y^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \|\Omega_M - \Omega_N\|_{L_y^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N, M \rightarrow \infty$ . First term in the product is bounded because

$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{\pi i}{2} \text{sgn}(t) + \log \frac{1}{|t|}$$

implies that

$$\sup_x \|\Gamma(x \cdot y)\|_{L_y^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq c_1 + c_2 \int_0^\pi |\log |\cos \theta||^2 (\sin \theta)^{n-2} d\theta < \infty.$$

So the sequence is Cauchy.

The smoothness of  $\Omega$  allows us to meet condition of (6.1).

(  $\Leftarrow$  ) Suppose  $m(x)$  is smooth on the unit sphere and set its spherical harmonics as above. Take

$$c = \tilde{Y}_0 \text{ and } Y_k(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \tilde{Y}_k(x).$$

Then  $\Omega$  is infinitely differentiable. □

## 7 The Littlewood-Paley $g$ -function

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We write  $u(x, y)$  for its Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_y(t) f(x - t) dt.$$

Then we define  $g(f)$  by

$$g(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2}.$$

**Theorem 7.2.** Suppose  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $g(f)(x) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$A'_p \|f\|_p \leq \|g(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

*Proof of  $p = 2$  case.* We have

$$\|g(f)\|_2^2 = \iint y |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 dx dy.$$

The formula for the Poisson integral

$$u(x, y) = \int \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= \int -2\pi |t| \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \int -2\pi i t_j \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Together, we get

$$\int |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 dx = \int 8\pi^2 |t|^2 |\hat{f}(t)|^2 e^{-4\pi |t| y} dt.$$

Applying Fubini's, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(f)\|_2^2 &= \int \left( \int |\nabla u(x, y)|^2 dx \right) y dy \\ &= \int \left( \int 8\pi^2 |t|^2 |\hat{f}(t)|^2 e^{-4\pi |t| y} dt \right) y dy \\ &= \int \left( \int 8\pi^2 |t|^2 y e^{-4\pi |t| y} dy \right) |\hat{f}(t)|^2 dt, \quad (\text{the constant is } \Gamma(2)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|g(f)\|_2 = 2^{-1/2} \|f\|_2.$$

□

*Remark 7.3.* If we introduce

$$g_1(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2},$$

$$g_x(f)(x) = \left( \int_0^\infty |\nabla_x u(x, y)|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2},$$

then  $g^2 = g_1^2 + g_x^2$  and we actually showed that

$$\|g_1(f)\|_2 = \|g_x(f)\|_2 = \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2.$$

*Proof when  $p \neq 2$ .* (second inequality) When  $p \neq 2$ , we consider the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\mathcal{H}_2^0 = \left\{ f : |f|^2 = \int_0^\infty |f(y)|^2 y dy < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{H}_2^0.$$

Recall the definition and explicit expression of the Poisson kernel

$$P_y(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t| y} dt = \frac{c_n y}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$$

We define

$$K_\epsilon(x) = \left( \frac{\partial P_{y+\epsilon}(x)}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial P_{y+\epsilon}(x)}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial P_{y+\epsilon}(x)}{\partial x_k} \right).$$

For each  $x$ , we have  $K_\epsilon(x) \in \mathcal{H}^2$  from the explicit formula for the Poisson kernel. In particular, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial y} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial x} \right| \leq \frac{A}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

Then for fixed  $x$ ,

$$\|K_\epsilon(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,y}}^2 \leq A^2(n+1) \int_0^\infty \frac{y dy}{(|x|^2 + (y+\epsilon)^2)^{n+1}} \leq A|x|^{-2n},$$

which means  $\|K_\epsilon(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,y}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

A similar estimate yields

$$\left\| \frac{\partial K_\epsilon(x)}{\partial x_j} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}_{2,y}}^2 \leq A \int_0^\infty \frac{y dy}{(|x|^2 + (y+\epsilon)^2)^{n+2}} \leq \frac{A}{|x|^{2n+2}}$$

We consider the operator  $T_\epsilon$  defined by

$$T_\epsilon(f)(x) = \int K_\epsilon(t) f(x-t) dt.$$

Observe that

$$|T_\epsilon(f)(x)| = \left( \int_0^\infty |\nabla u(x, y+\epsilon)|^2 y dy \right)^{1/2} \leq g(f)(x).$$

Then  $\|T_\epsilon f(x)\|_2 \leq 2^{-1/2} \|f\|_2$ , which means  $|\hat{K}_\epsilon(x)| \leq 2^{-1/2}$ .

Then apply the Calderón-Zygmund theorem for Hilbert spaces.

(first inequality) Applying polarization to the identity

$$\|g_1(f)\|_2 = \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_2,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int f_1 \overline{f_2} dx &= 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty y \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} \overline{\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y}} dy dx \\ &\leq 4 \int g_1(f_1) g_1(f_2) dx \\ &\leq 4 \|g_1(f_1)\|_p \|g_1(f_2)\|_q \\ &\leq 4 A_q \|g_1(f_1)\|_p \end{aligned}$$

with  $\|f_2\|_q \leq 1$ .

□

## 7.1 Positive function

**Lemma 7.4.** *Suppose  $u$  is harmonic and strictly positive. Then*

$$\Delta(u)^p = p(p-1)u^{p-2}|\nabla u|^2.$$

**Lemma 7.5.** *Suppose  $F(x, y)$  is continuous in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ , of class  $C^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ , and suitably small at infinity. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y \Delta F(x, y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F(x, 0) dx.$$

*Proof.* Green's theorem asserts

$$\int_D (y \Delta F(x, y)) dx dy = \int_{\partial D} \left( y \frac{\partial F}{\partial \nu} - F \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma,$$

where  $D = B_r \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ . We observe that the spherical part of the boundary of  $D$  vanishes as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  under suitable decay conditions for  $F$ , namely

$$|F| \leq \frac{C}{(|x| + y)^{n+\epsilon}}, \quad |\nabla F| \leq \frac{C}{(|x| + y)^{n+1+\epsilon}}.$$

□

**Definition 7.6.** We define the positive function  $g_\lambda^*$  as

$$(g_\lambda^*(f)(x))^2 = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{y}{|t| + y} \right)^{\lambda n} |\nabla u(x - t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dt dy.$$

**Definition 7.7.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a fixed proper cone in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  which has a vertex at the origin and contains  $(0, 1)$ . We may take

$$\Gamma = \{(t, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |t| < y, y > 0\}$$

and let  $\Gamma(x)$  denote the translated cone. We define the positive function  $S(f)(x)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} [S(f)(x)]^2 &= \int_{\Gamma(x)} |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dy dt \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} |\nabla u(x - t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dy dt \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 7.8.** *We assert that*

$$g(f)(x) \leq CS(f)(x) \leq C_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f)(x).$$

*Proof.* For the second inequality, check that within the cone we have

$$|t| < y \implies |t| + y < 2y \implies \frac{y}{|t| + y} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

For the first inequality we just want to show that  $g(f)(0) \leq CS(f)(0)$ . Let  $B_y$  be the ball in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  centered at  $(0, y)$  and tangent to the boundary of the cone  $\Gamma$  (in some sense this is the maximal ball that is still contained in the upper half plane). The radius of  $B_y$  is proportional to  $y$ . The partial derivatives of  $u$  are also harmonic functions, and obey the mean value property

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(0, y) &= \frac{1}{|B_y|} \int_{B_y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, s) dx ds, \\ \implies \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(0, y) \right|^2 &= \frac{1}{|B_y|} \int_{B_y} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, s) \right|^2 dx ds, \end{aligned}$$



by Jensen's inequality. Now multiply by  $y$  and integrate with respect to  $y$  for

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty y \left| \frac{\partial u(0, y)}{\partial y} \right|^2 dy &\leq C \int_0^\infty y^{-n} \int_{B_y} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, s) \right|^2 dx ds dy \\ &\leq C \int_\Gamma y^{1-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) \right|^2 dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

because  $(x, s) \in B_y$  implies that  $y$  is comparable to  $s$ . Now repeat for the remaining partial derivatives.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.9.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a parameter which is greater than 1. Suppose  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then*

1. *For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $g(f)(x) \leq C_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f)(x)$ .*
2. *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $p > 2/\lambda$ , then*

$$\|g_\lambda^*(f)\|_p \leq A_{p,\lambda} \|f\|_p.$$

**Definition 7.10.** Let  $\mu \geq 1$ , and write

$$M_\mu(f)(x) = \left( \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{m(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)|^\mu dy \right)^{1/\mu}.$$

Check that for  $p > \mu$ , we have

$$\|M_\mu(f)\|_p \leq A_{p,\mu} \|f\|_p.$$

**Lemma 7.11.** *Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $p \geq \mu \geq 11$ . If  $u$  is the Poisson integral of  $f$ , then*

$$|u(x - t, y)| \leq A \left( 1 + \frac{|t|}{y} \right)^n M(f)(x), \quad (7.1)$$

$$|u(x - t, y)| \leq A_\mu \left( 1 + \frac{|t|}{y} \right)^{n/\mu} M_\mu(f)(x). \quad (7.2)$$

*Proof of lemma.* The inequality is unchanged under dilation  $(x, t, y) \mapsto (\delta x, \delta t, \delta y)$ , so we only need to consider  $y = 1$ .

We have

$$|u(x - t, 1)| = f(x) * P_1(x - t), \quad P_1(x) = \frac{c_n}{(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}.$$

[Theorem 5.4](#) tells us that

$$|u(x - t, 1)| \leq A_t(Mf)(x), \quad A_t = \int Q_t(x) dx,$$

where  $Q_t(x)$  is the smallest decreasing radial majorant of  $P_1(x - t)$ , given by

$$Q_t(x) = c_n \cdot \sup_{|x'| \geq |x|} \left( \frac{1}{(1 + |x' - t|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \right).$$

We have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t(x) &\leq c_n & |x| &\leq 2|t|, \\ Q_t(x) &\leq A'(1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} & |x| &\geq 2|t|, \end{aligned}$$

so  $A_t \leq A(1 + |t|^n)$  gives us (7.1).

To raise to the  $\mu$ th power, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x - t, y) &= \int P_y(s) f(x - t - s) ds, \\ |u(x - t, y)|^\mu &\leq \int P_y(s) |f(x - t - s)|^\mu ds = U(x - t, y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $U$  is the Poisson integral of  $|f|^\mu$ . So we can apply (7.1) to  $U$  for

$$|u(x - t, y)| \leq A^{1/\mu} (1 + |t|/y)^{n/\mu} (M(|f|^\mu))(x)^{1/\mu}.$$

□

*Proof.* ( $p \geq 2$  case) Let  $\psi$  be positive function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g_\lambda^*(f))(x)^2 \psi(x) dx \leq A_\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(f)(x))^2 (M\psi)(x) dx.$$

The left hand side equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{y}{|t| + y} \right)^{\lambda n} |\nabla u(x - t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} \psi(x) dt dy dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ y^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{y}{|t - x| + y} \right)^{\lambda n} \psi(x) dx \right] |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 y dt dy, \end{aligned}$$

so we can apply Theorem 5.4 with  $\epsilon := y$ .

In the case that  $p > 2$ , set  $1/q + 2/p = 1$ , and take the supremum on the left hand side over all  $\|\psi\|_q \leq 1$ . Then apply Hölder duality, inequalities for the  $g$ -function and the boundedness of the maximal function operator.

For  $p < 2$ , we need the restriction that  $p > 2/\lambda$ . We can find  $\mu$  close to  $p$  with  $1 \leq \mu < p$  such that

$$\lambda' = \lambda - \frac{2 - p}{\mu} > 1,$$

Then apply the lemma for

$$|u(x-t, y)| \left( \frac{y}{y+|t|} \right)^{n/\mu} \leq AM_\mu(f)(x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_\lambda^*(f)(x))^2 &= \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-n} \left( \frac{y}{y+|t|} \right)^{\lambda n} u^{2-p} |\Delta u^p| dt dy \\ &\leq A^{2-p} (M_\mu(f)(x))^{2-p} I^*(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I^*(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y^{1-n} \left( \frac{y}{y+|t|} \right)^{\lambda' n} \Delta u^p(x-t, y) dt dy.$$

We check that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} I^*(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-n} \left( \frac{y}{y+|t-x|} \right)^{\lambda' n} \Delta u^p(t, y) dx dt dy \\ &= C_{\lambda'} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} y \Delta u^p(t, y) dt dy = C_{\lambda'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^p(t, 0) dt \leq C_{\lambda'} \|f\|_p^p \end{aligned}$$

by a change of variables  $x \mapsto xy$ . □

## 8 Multipliers

Recall that if  $m$  is a bounded measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can define the operator  $T$  on  $L^2 \cap L^p$  given by

$$(T_m f)^\wedge(x) = m(x) \hat{f}(x).$$

**Definition 8.1.** We say that  $m$  is a multiplier for  $L^p$  if  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p \implies T_m f \in L^p$ , with

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L^p} \leq A \|f\|_p.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_p$  denote the set of multipliers for  $L^p$ .

Recall from earlier that  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is precisely the set of all bounded measurable functions, whose norm equals the  $L^\infty$  norm. Moreover,  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the set of Fourier transforms of Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , whose norm equals the norm on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The theory of singular integral operators also tells us that if  $m$  is homogeneous of degree zero and smooth on the unit sphere, then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Lemma 8.2.** We have  $\overline{\check{m}}(x) = \check{\overline{m}}(x)$ .

We have the following form of dual symmetry.

**Proposition 8.3.** If  $p, p'$  are Hölder conjugates, then  $\mathcal{M}_p = \mathcal{M}_{p'}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be the involution defined by  $\sigma(f)(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$ . Check that  $\sigma^{-1} T_m \sigma = T_{\overline{m}}$ , so  $T_m$  and  $T_{\overline{m}}$  have the same  $\mathcal{M}_p$  norms.

Suppose  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$ . By Plancherel and polarization ad the first and last types, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int T_m f \overline{g} &= \int m(x) \hat{f}(x) \overline{\hat{g}(x)} dx \\ &= \int \hat{f}(x) \overline{\overline{m(x)} \hat{g}(x)} dx \\ &= \int f(x) \overline{T_{\overline{m}} g(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left| \int T_m f \overline{g} \right| \leq \|f\|_{p'} \|T_{\overline{m}} g\|_p \leq C \|f\|_{p'}$$

We take the supremum over all  $g$  such that  $\|g\|_p \leq 1$ . Therefore  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{p'}$  □

**Theorem 8.4.** Suppose that  $m \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , where  $k > n/2$ . Assume that for all  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , we have

$$\left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha m(x) \right| \leq B|x|^{-|\alpha|}.$$

Then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Lemma 8.5.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $F(x) = (T_m f)(x)$ . Then

$$g_1(F, x) \leq B_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x), \text{ where } \lambda = \frac{2k}{n}.$$

*Proof.* (why lemma implies theorem) Our assumption implies that  $\lambda > 1$ . Then for  $p \geq 2$ , we have

$$\|g_\lambda^*(f, x)\|_p \leq A_{\lambda,p} \|f\|_p.$$

We also have

$$\|F\|_p \leq A_p \|g_1(F, x)\|_p.$$

Then the lemma tells us that  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$  for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . □

*Proof of lemma.* Let  $u(x, y)$  be the Poisson integral of  $f$  and  $U(x, y)$  be the Poisson integral of  $F$ . Let  $\wedge$  denote the Fourier transform with respect to  $x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= \int \hat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi i t \cdot x} e^{-2\pi |t|y} dy, \\ \hat{U}(x, y) &= e^{-2\pi |x|y} \hat{F}(x) \\ &= e^{-2\pi |x|y} m(x) \hat{f}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we may define

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, y) &= \int e^{-2\pi i x \cdot t} e^{-2\pi |t|y} m(t) dt, \\ \hat{M}(x, y) &= e^{-2\pi |x|y} m(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}(x, y_1 + y_2) &= e^{-2\pi |x|(y_1 + y_2)} m(x) \hat{f}(x) \\ &= e^{-2\pi |x|y_1} m(x) e^{-2\pi |x|y_2} \hat{f}(x) \\ &= \hat{M}(x, y_1) \hat{u}(x, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiate  $k$  times with respect to  $y_1$  and once with respect to  $y_2$ , set  $y_1 = y_2 = y/2$ . Then

$$U^{(k+1)}(x, y) = \int M^{(k)}(t, \frac{y}{2}) u^{(1)}(x - t, \frac{y}{2}) dt. \quad (8.1)$$

We claim that  $M$  satisfies

$$|M^{(k)}(t, y)| \leq \frac{B'}{y^{n+k}}, \quad (8.2)$$

$$\int |t|^{2k} |M^{(k)}(t, y)|^2 dt \leq \frac{B'}{y^n}. \quad (8.3)$$

Why? Since  $m$  is bounded, we have

$$|M^{(k)}(x, y)| \leq B \int |t|^k e^{-2\pi|t|} y dy = \int_0^\infty r^k e^{-2\pi r y} r^{n-1} dr = B'' y^{-n-k}.$$

We can in fact show that

$$\int |t^\alpha M^{(k)}(t, y)| dt \leq \frac{B'}{y^n}.$$

Since a derivative of a Fourier Transform acts in terms of multiplication, Plancherel asserts

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^\alpha M^{(k)}(t, y)\|_{L_t^2}^2 &= \left\| (2\pi)^{2k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha (|x|^k m(x) e^{-2\pi|x|y}) \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^k y^{2j} \int |x|^{2(k-j)} e^{-4\pi|x|y} dx \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\left| \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha (|x|^k m(x)) \right| \leq B' |x|^{k-|\alpha|}.$$

But

$$y^{2r} \int |x|^{2r} e^{-4\pi|x|y} dx \leq C y^{-n}$$

Then returning to (8.1),

$$\begin{aligned} |U^{(k+1)}(x, y)|^2 &= \int_{|t| < \frac{y}{2}} |M^{(k)}(t, \frac{y}{2}) u^{(1)}(x - t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 dt + \int_{|t| \geq \frac{y}{2}} |M^{(k)}(t, \frac{y}{2}) u^{(1)}(x - t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{A}{y^{n+2k}} \int_{|t| < \frac{y}{2}} |u^{(1)}(x - t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 dt + \frac{A}{y^n} \int_{|t| \geq \frac{y}{2}} \frac{|u^{(1)}(x - t, \frac{y}{2})|^2}{|t|^{2k}} dt \\ &= I_1(y) + I_2(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(g_{k+1}(F, x))^2 = \int_0^\infty |U^{(k+1)}(x, y)|^2 y^{2k+1} dy \leq \int_0^\infty (I_1(y) + I_2(y)) y^{2k+1} dy.$$

We can control both terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty I_1(y) y^{2k+1} dy &\leq B \int_{|t| \leq \frac{y}{2}} |u^{(1)}(x-t, \frac{y}{2})|^2 y^{1-n} dt dy \\
&\leq B' \int_\Gamma |\nabla u(x-t, y)|^2 y^{1-n} dt dy \\
&= B'(S(f, x))^2 \leq B_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x)^2, \\
\int_0^\infty I_1(y) y^{2k+1} dy &\leq \int_{|t| \geq \frac{y}{2}} y^{-n+2k+1} |t|^{2k} |\nabla u(x-t, y)|^2 dt dy \\
&\leq B_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$g_1(F, x) \lesssim_\lambda g_{k+1}(F, x) \lesssim_\lambda g_\lambda^*(f, x).$$

□

## 8.1 Partial sum operators

**Definition 8.6.** Let  $\rho$  be a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , by which we mean that the sides are parallel to the axes. We define the associated “partial sum operator” by

$$S_\rho(f)^\wedge = \mathbf{1}_\rho \cdot \hat{f}, \quad f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Theorem 8.7.** For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p$ , we have

$$\|S_\rho(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p,$$

where the constant  $A_p$  is independent of the rectangle  $\rho$ .

**Definition 8.8.** Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of rectangles. We define the operator  $S_{\mathcal{R}} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2)$ , given by

$$S_{\mathcal{R}}((f_1, f_2, \dots)) = (S_{\rho_1}(f_1), \dots, S_{\rho_j}(f_j), \dots).$$

We get the following generalized theorem

**Theorem 8.9.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, \ell^2)$ . Then for  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$\|S_{\mathcal{R}}(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p,$$

where  $A_p$  is independent of the family of rectangles  $\mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* Stage 1: Take  $n = 1$ , with the rectangles as semi-infinite rectangles. Recall that the Hilbert transform has multiplier  $i \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ . Then

$$S_{(-\infty, 0)} = \frac{I + iH}{2}.$$

**Lemma 8.10.** *Let  $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_j(x), \dots) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell^2), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \ell)$ . Then for  $1 < p < \infty$*

$$\left\| \tilde{H}f \right\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p$$

Stage 2: Here  $n = 1$ , and rectangles are intervals  $(-\infty, a_1), (-\infty, a_2), \dots, (-\infty, a_j), \dots$ . Now we want to shift the multiplier for the Hilbert operator

$$\begin{aligned} (f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot a})^\wedge &= \hat{f}(x + a), \\ H(e^{-2\pi i x \cdot a} f)^\wedge &= i \operatorname{sgn} \hat{f}(x + a), \\ [e^{2\pi i x \cdot a} H(e^{-2\pi i x \cdot a} f)]^\wedge &= i \operatorname{sgn}(x - a) \hat{f}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(S_{(-\infty, a_j)} f_j)(x) = \frac{f_j + ie^{2\pi i x \cdot a_j} H(e^{-2\pi i x \cdot a_j} f_j)}{2}(x),$$

So we may write

$$S_{\mathcal{R}} f = \frac{f + ie^{2\pi i x \cdot a} \tilde{H}(e^{-2\pi i x \cdot a} f)}{2}$$

Stage 3: We move to general  $n$ , and take the rectangles as half spaces  $x_1 < a_j$ . Let  $S_{(-\infty, a_j)}^{(1)}$  denote the operator defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which acts only on the  $x_1$  variable. We claim that

$$S_{\rho_j} = S_{(-\infty, a_j)}^{(1)},$$

because separable functions are dense in  $L^2$ . Then apply previous stage.

Final stage: Every general bounded rectangle is the intersection of  $2n$  half spaces, each half-space having its boundary hyperplane perpendicular to the axes. Then take some limit argument to unbounded rectangle. □

## 8.2 Dyadic decomposition

Let  $\Delta$  denote the dyadic decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In the sense of  $L^2$  convergence, we expect

$$\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} s_\rho = \operatorname{id}.$$

Because the blocks are mutually orthogonal, we actually have the stronger statement

$$\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} \|S_\rho f\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2. \tag{8.4}$$



**Theorem 8.11.** Suppose  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $(\sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |S_\rho f(x)|^2)^{1/2} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in fact

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |S_\rho f(x)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_p$$

are comparable.

**Example 8.12.** As a detour, we consider the Rademacher functions, defined on  $(0, 1)$  with

$$r_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ -1 & 1/2 < t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and we extend  $r_0$  outside the unit interval by periodicity, and define  $r_m(t) = r_0(2^m t)$ . The sequence of Rademacher functions are orthonormal.

If  $a_m \in \ell^2$  and  $F(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m r_m(t)$ , then  $F(t) \in L^p([0, 1])$  for all  $p < \infty$ , and we get

$$A_p \|F\|_p \leq \|F\|_2 = \|(a_m)\|_{\ell^2} \leq B_p \|F\|_p.$$

An  $n$ -dimensional analog holds as well.

*Proof.* The  $(\geq)$  direction of the inequality does not require any new machinery if we assume the other direction  $(\leq)$ . Applying polarization to (8.4), we have

$$\int f \bar{g} dx = \sum_{\rho \in \Delta} S_\rho(f) \overline{S_\rho(g)} dx.$$

We then apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the sum and Hölder's inequality to the integral for

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f \bar{g} dx \right| &\leq \int \left( \sum_{\rho} |S_\rho f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{\rho} |S_\rho g|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &\leq \left\| \left( \sum_{\rho} |S_\rho f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \left\| \left( \sum_{\rho} |S_\rho g|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{p'} \end{aligned}$$

Take the supremum over all  $g$  such that  $\|g\|_{p'} \leq 1$ . The right hand side is controlled by the other direction of the inequality.

Our goal is to show that for  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\rho} |S_\rho f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Take first the case  $n = 1$ . Let  $\Delta_1$  be a family of dyadic intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We define  $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  to be mollified bump function such that

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq x \leq 2, \\ 0 & x \leq 1/2 \text{ or } x \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

The associated multiplier operator to an interval  $I$  of the form  $[2^k, 2^{k+1}]$  is given by

$$(\tilde{S}_I f)^\wedge(x) = \varphi(2^{-k}x) \hat{f}(x) = \varphi_I(x) \hat{f}(x).$$

Observe too that  $S_I \tilde{S}_I = S_I$ .

We consider the multiplier transformation given by

$$\tilde{T}_t = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} r_m(t) \tilde{S}_{I_m},$$

i.e. the multiplier associated with  $\tilde{T}_t$  is

$$m_t(x) = \sum_m r_m(t) \varphi_{I_m}(x).$$

For fixed  $x$ , there can be at most three nonzero terms in the sum. Then after absorbing some constant, we have the uniform bounds

$$|m_t(x)| \leq B, \quad \left| \frac{dm_t}{dx}(x) \right| \leq \frac{B}{|x|}.$$

By the multiplier theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \tilde{T}_t f \right\|_p &\leq A_p \|f\|_p, \\ \implies \left( \int_0^1 \left\| \tilde{T}_t(f) \right\|_p^p dt \right)^{1/p} &\leq A_p \|f\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\| \tilde{T}_t(f) \right\|_p^p dt &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_m r_m(t) (\tilde{S}_{I_m} f)(x) \right|^p dt dx \\ &\geq A_p \int \left( \sum_m |\tilde{S}_{I_m} f(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

by the property of Rademacher functions. The apply theorem about partial sums.

Write  $T_t = \sum_m r_m(t) S_{I_m}$ . We claim that

$$\|T_t(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

because

$$B_p \|T_t^N f\|_p \leq \left\| \left( \sum^N |S_{I_m} f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_p \leq C - P \|f\|_p.$$

For the  $n$ -dimensional case, define  $T_{t_1}^{(1)}$  as the operator  $T_{t_1}$  acting only on the  $x_1$  variable, so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T_{t_1}^{(1)} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|^p dx_1 \leq A_p^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^1} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^p dx_1$$

for almost every fixed  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n$ . Then integrate with respect to  $x_2, \dots, x_n$  for

$$\left\| T_{t_1}^{(1)} f \right\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p.$$

Iterating yields

$$\|T_t(f)\|_p \leq A_p^n \|f\|_p, \text{ where } T_t = \sum_{\rho_m \in \Delta} r_m(t) S_{\rho_m}.$$

Now raise to the  $p$ th power and integrate with respect to  $t$ , making use of properties of Rademacher functions.  $\square$

### 8.3 Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem

**Theorem 8.13.** *Let  $m$  be a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is of bounded variation on every finite interval not containing the origin. Suppose also*

1.  $|m(x)| \leq B$ ,
2. for each  $0 < k \leq n$

$$\sup_{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n} \int_{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial^k m}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \dots \partial x_k} \right| dx_1 \dots dx_k \leq B$$

as  $\rho$  ranges over the dyadic rectangles of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

3. The condition analogous to (b) is valid for every one of the  $n!$  permutations of the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

Then  $m \in \mathcal{M}_p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* We only consider the case  $n = 2$ . Let  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and write  $F = T_m f$ .

Let  $\Delta$  denote the dyadic rectangles, for each  $\rho \in \Delta$ , write  $f_\rho = S_\rho f$ ,  $F_\rho = S_\rho F$ , and thus  $F_\rho = T_m f_\rho$ . By [Theorem 8.11](#) it suffices to show that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |F_\rho|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C_p \left\| \left( \sum_{\rho \in \Delta} |f_\rho|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p$$

Assume  $\rho$  takes the form  $[2^k, 2^{k+1}] \times [2^\ell, 2^{\ell+1}]$ . The fundamental theorem of calculus tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_1, x_2) &= \int_{2^k}^{x_1} \int_{2^\ell}^{x_2} \frac{\partial^2 m(t_1, t_2)}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} dt_1 dt_2 + \int_{2^k}^{x_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} m(t_1, 2^\ell) dt_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{2^\ell}^{x_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} m(2^k, t_2) dt_2 + m(2^k, 2^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S_t$  denote the multiplier corresponding to  $(2^k, t_1) \times (2^\ell, t_2)$ . Let  $S_{t_1}^1$  be the multiplier corresponding to  $(2^k, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $S_{t_2}^2$  be the multiplier corresponding to  $\mathbb{R} \times (2^\ell, t_2)$ . Then  $S_t = S_{t_1}^1 \cdot S_{t_2}^2$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\rho T_m &= \int_{2^\ell}^{2^{\ell+1}} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} S_t \frac{\partial^2 m}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} dt_1 dt_2 + \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} S_{t_1}^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} m(t_1, 2^\ell) dt_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{2^\ell}^{2^{\ell+1}} \dots + m(2^k, 2^\ell) S_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Now use the fact that  $S_\rho T_m f = F_\rho$  for

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\rho|^2 &\lesssim \iint_\rho |S_t(f_\rho)|^2 c + \int_{I_1} |S_{t_1}^1(f_\rho)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 m(t_1, 2^\ell)}{\partial t_1} \right| dt_1 \\ &\quad + \int_{I_2} |S_{t_2}^2(f_\rho)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 m(2^k, t_2)}{\partial t_2} \right| dt_2 + |f_\rho|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can apply [Theorem 8.9](#) with the measure

$$d\gamma = \int_{I_1} |S_{t_1}^1(f_\rho)|^2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 m(t_1, 2^\ell)}{\partial t_1} \right| dt_1 dt_2.$$

□