

## CS561/571 - Executive Assignment

### ASSIGNMENT-3: A\* Search

**Date: September 02, 2023**

**Deadline: September 17, 2023**

**Total Credit: 30**

- Markings will be based on the correctness and soundness of the outputs.
- Marks will be deducted in case of plagiarism.
- Proper indentation and appropriate comments are mandatory.
- *All code needs to be submitted in '.py' format.* Even if you code it in '.IPYNB' format, download it in '.py' format and then submit
- You should zip all the required files and name the zip file as:
  - <roll\_no>\_assignment\_<#>.zip, eg. 1501cs11\_assignment\_01.zip.
- Upload your assignment (the zip file) in the following link:
  - <https://www.dropbox.com/request/IZkMw4egGAW7Xow57Mrh>
- **Note: Do not send your zip files to us in email**

#### Problem Statement:

- The assignment targets to implement A\* search for 8-puzzle problem

#### Question:

In a general search algorithm, each state (n) maintains a function  $f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$  where  $g(n)$  is the least cost from the source state to state n found so far and  $h(n)$  is the estimated cost of the optimal path from state n to the goal state.

Implement a search algorithm for solving the 8-puzzle problem with the following assumptions.

1.  $g(n)$  least cost from source state to current state so far.
2. Heuristics
  - a.  $h_1(n) = 0$ .
  - b.  $h_2(n)$  = number of tiles displaced from their destined position.
  - c.  $h_3(n)$  = sum of the Manhattan distance of each tile from the goal position.
  - d.  $h_4(n)$  = Devise a heuristics such that  $h(n) > h^*(n)$

1. Observe and verify that better heuristics expands lesser states.
2. Observe and verify that all the states expanded by better heuristics should also be expanded by inferior heuristics.
3. Observe un-reachability and provide proof.
4. Observe and verify whether the monotone restriction is followed for the following two Heuristics:
  - a. Monotone restriction:  $h(n) \leq \text{cost}(n,m) + h(m)$
  - b. Heuristic:
    - i.  $h_2(n)$  = number of tiles displaced from their destined position.
    - ii.  $h_3(n)$  = sum of the Manhattan distance of each tile from the goal position.
5. Observe and verify that if the cost of the empty tile is added (considering the empty tile as another tile) then monotonicity will be violated.

T6	T7	T3
T8	T4	T2
T1	B	T5

Start State

T1	T2	T3
T4	T5	T6
T7	T8	B

Goal State

### Instructions:

1. You should make use of two lists for the implementation. One (close list) for maintaining the already explored states and another (open list) for maintaining the states which are found but yet to be explored.
2. Input is given in a file or add the input in your code in the following format. Read the input and store the information in a matrix. Configuration of the start state and the goal state can be anything. For example, given below, T1, T2, ..., and T8 are tile numbers and B is blank space.
3. Output should have the following information:
  - a. **On success:**
    - i. Success Message
    - ii. Start State / Goal State
    - iii. The total number of states explored
    - iv. Total number of states to the optimal path
    - v. Optimal Path

- vi. Optimal Path Cost
- vii. Time taken for execution

**b. On failure:**

- i. Failure Message
- ii. Start State / Goal State

4. Total number of states explored before termination
5. Please make a table that should list the following for all the heuristics:
  - a. The total number of states explored.
  - b. Total number of states on the optimal path.
  - c. Optimal path.
  - d. Optimal Cost of the Path.
  - e. Total time taken for execution
6. Please try to make your code as generic as possible (Preferably in C/C++/Java/Python).
7. Compare and contrast the results of all four heuristics,  $h_1(n)$ ,  $h_2(n)$ ,  $h_3(n)$ , and  $h_4(n)$ , and state the reasons in a document file 'Why one heuristic is better than the other one?'. While explaining, please comment on the optimality, time, etc.

**For any queries regarding this assignment, contact:**

Ramakrishna Appicharla ([ramakrishnaappicharla@gmail.com](mailto:ramakrishnaappicharla@gmail.com)) and,  
Ratnesh Kr. Joshi ([ratneshkr.joshi@gmail.com](mailto:ratneshkr.joshi@gmail.com))