## Introduction, Notation, and Problem Statement Description This document will outline the goals of the work to follow, including descriptions of the appraoches we will employ to demonstrate the superior accuracy of Probabilistic Scoring in the classification of PHQ-9 administrations. **Problem Statement** The main goals of this analysis are: • demonstrate that Probabilistic Scoring is superior in accuracy to conventional linear scoring • show that the accuracy of Probabilistic Scoring is a function of sample size, and that larger sample sizes (larger training data sets) correspond to better classification accuracy 11 • Show that Probabilistic Scoring converges to the best estimate of "Baseline Truth" in larger samples (bigger training sets). **Implementation** The analysis and investigation of Probabilistic Scoring will begin with a thorough investigation of mehtods: • Attempt to replicate stated results so that the defined method is completely understood. 16 • Use cross-validation to partition data into various sizes of testing and training sets and implement replicated method across CV data. 18

We can investigate the convergence point of the accuracy of each method as it pertains to "Baseline Truth" by

20

examining the behavior of the prediction accuracy as the sample size increases.

Notation

We will use the following generalized notation in order to clarify our communications.

- Indices
  - -h =Classification Group Index  $h = 1, \dots, H$ 
    - \* The classification group is the result of the PHQ-9. It quantifies the extent to which a test-taker is at risk of depression

22

23

27

31

35

37

38

40

- -i = Subject/Observation Number  $i = 1, \dots, I$ 
  - \* The subject/observation number will be used as a unique mapping to each row of the data
- $-j = \text{Question Number} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 9$ 
  - \* The question number will be used for mapping response data back to the question of origin
- Random Variables
  - $K_{ij}$  = Response of Subect i on question j  $K_{ij} \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$
  - $M_i$  = Sum of question responses for subject i  $M_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 27\}$ 
    - $* M_i = \sum_{i=1}^9 K_{ii}$
  - $C_h = \{i | \gamma_h \leq M_i < \gamma_{h+1}\}$  = Level-set Classification Outcome Spaces
    - \*  $\gamma_h \in \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_H, \gamma_{H+1}\}$  are real numbers defining a partition of  $\{0, 1, \dots, 27\}$
- We say that the probability of subject i being classified into Classification group  $C_h$  after answering  $K_{ij}$  to question j is given by:

$$P(C_h = c_h \mid K_{ij} = k_{ij}) = \frac{P(K_{ij} = k_{ij} \mid C_h = c_h) P(C_h = c_h)}{P(K_{ij} = k_{ij})}$$

Note that:

$$P(C_h = c_h) = \frac{\text{no. of people in Ch group}}{\text{Total no. of people}}$$

$$P(K_{ij} = k_{ij}) = \frac{\text{no. of people answer kij to quest. j}}{\text{Total no. question responses to quest. j}}$$

$$P(K_{ij} = k_{ij} | C_h = c_h) = \frac{\text{no. of people in Ch group w/kij}}{\text{no. of people in Ch group}}$$

	41
Code Appendix	42
Script Dependencies	43
Package Dependencies	44
Working Directory	45
Load Data	46
	47
$\underline{\mathbf{Begin}\ \mathbf{Script}}$	48
[1]	49
References	50
[1] Centers for Disease Control, Prevention, et al., New cdc report: More than 100 million americans have diabetes or prediabetes. july 18, 2017, 2017.	51 52
End Script	53