

Question1

- (1) No, According to R's FD set $F = \{AB \rightarrow CE, D \rightarrow GH, E \rightarrow BCD, C \rightarrow DI, H \rightarrow G, EH \rightarrow I\}$ $c^+ = \{C, G, H, I, D\}$, which doesn't include J.

In conclusion, " $C \rightarrow J$ " does not belong to F^+

- (2) Candidate key: $\{A, B, J\}, \{A, E, J\}$

- (3) $R = \{A, B, C, D, E, G, H, I, J\}$

Set $F = \{AB \rightarrow CE, D \rightarrow GH, E \rightarrow BCD, C \rightarrow DI, H \rightarrow G, EH \rightarrow I\}$

Step1: Reduce right side

$F' = \{AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow G, D \rightarrow H, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow C, E \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, H \rightarrow G, EH \rightarrow I\}$

Step2: Reduce left side

For $AB \rightarrow C$

$A^+ = \{A\}$, thus, $A \rightarrow C$ is not inferred by F' . Hence, $AB \rightarrow C$ can not be replaced by $A \rightarrow C$

$B^+ = \{B\}$, thus, $B \rightarrow C$ is not inferred by F' . Hence, $AB \rightarrow C$ can not be replaced by $B \rightarrow C$

For $AB \rightarrow E$

$A^+ = \{A\}$, thus, $A \rightarrow E$ is not inferred by F' . Hence, $AB \rightarrow E$ can not be replaced by $A \rightarrow E$

$B^+ = \{B\}$, thus, $B \rightarrow E$ is not inferred by F' . Hence, $AB \rightarrow E$ can not be replaced by $B \rightarrow E$

For $EH \rightarrow I$

$E^+ = \{B, C, D, E, G, H, I\}$, thus, $E \rightarrow I$ is inferred by F' . Hence, $EH \rightarrow I$ can not be replaced by $E \rightarrow I$

$H^+ = \{G, H\}$, thus, $H \rightarrow I$ is not inferred by F' . Hence, $EH \rightarrow I$ can not be replaced by $H \rightarrow I$

In conclusion,

$F'' = \{AB \rightarrow C, AB \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow G, D \rightarrow H, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow C, E \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, H \rightarrow G, E \rightarrow I\}$

Step3: Remove redundant FDs

$AB^+ |_{F'' - \{AB \rightarrow C\}} = \{A, B, C, D, E, G, H, I\}$, thus $AB \rightarrow C$ is redundant. Hence, we can remove $AB \rightarrow C$ from F'' to obtain F''' .

$AB^+ |_{F'' - \{AB \rightarrow E\}} = \{A, B, C, D, G, H, I\}$, thus $AB \rightarrow E$ is not inferred by $F'' - \{AB \rightarrow E\}$, which shows that $AB \rightarrow E$ is not redundant.

$D^+ |_{F'' - \{D \rightarrow G\}} = \{D, G, H\}$, thus $D \rightarrow G$ is redundant. Thus, we can remove $D \rightarrow G$ from F'' to obtain F''' .

$D^+ |_{F'' - \{D \rightarrow H\}} = \{D, G\}$, thus $D \rightarrow H$ is not inferred by $F'' - \{D \rightarrow H\}$, which shows that $D \rightarrow H$ is not redundant.

$E^+ |_{F'' - \{E \rightarrow B\}} = \{E, C, D, G, H, I\}$, thus $E \rightarrow B$ is not inferred by $F'' - \{E \rightarrow B\}$, which shows that $E \rightarrow B$ is not redundant.

$E^+ |_{F'' - \{E \rightarrow C\}} = \{E, B, D, G, H, I\}$, thus $E \rightarrow C$ is not inferred

by $F'' - \{E \rightarrow C\}$, which shows that $E \rightarrow C$ is not redundant.

$E^+ \mid_{F'' - \{E \rightarrow D\}} = \{E, B, C, D, G, H, I\}$, thus $E \rightarrow D$ is redundant.

Thus, we can remove $E \rightarrow D$ from F'' to obtain F''' .

$C^+ \mid_{F''' - \{C \rightarrow D\}} = \{C, I\}$, thus $C \rightarrow D$ is not inferred by $F''' - \{C \rightarrow D\}$, which shows that $C \rightarrow D$ is not redundant.

$C^+ \mid_{F''' - \{C \rightarrow I\}} = \{C, D, H, G\}$, thus $C \rightarrow I$ is not inferred by $F''' - \{C \rightarrow I\}$, which shows that $C \rightarrow I$ is not redundant.

$H^+ \mid_{F''' - \{H \rightarrow G\}} = \{H\}$, thus $H \rightarrow G$ is not inferred by $F''' - \{H \rightarrow G\}$, which shows that $H \rightarrow G$ is not redundant.

$E^+ \mid_{F''' - \{E \rightarrow I\}} = \{E, B, C, D, G, H, I\}$, thus $E \rightarrow I$ is redundant.

Thus, we can remove $E \rightarrow I$ from F'' to obtain F''' .

In conclusion

$$F''' = \{ AB \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow H, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, H \rightarrow G \}$$

(4)

From part(3) we have the minimal cover:

$$F''' = \{ AB \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow H, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, H \rightarrow G \}$$

So, we can decompose R into

Decompositions	Inferred from
$R_1 = \{A, B, E\}$	$AB \rightarrow E$
$R_2 = \{D, H\}$	$D \rightarrow H$

$R_3=\{B,C,E\}$	$E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow C,$
$R_4=\{C,D,I\}$	$C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I$
$R_5=\{H,G\}$	$H \rightarrow G$
$R_6=\{A,B,J\}$	Nothing to infer J, A,B,J is super key

It is obvious that the decompositions are dependency-preserving since all of which are inferred from the minimal cover F'''

To prove the lossless-join we need to draw this table below ,we fill up "a" in the light of R_1 to R_6

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a			a				
R_2				a			a		
R_3		a	a		a				
R_4			a	a				a	
R_5						a	a		
R_6	a	a							a

The column A and B have 'a' in R_1 and R_6 then,we seek a FD which contain A and B in the left side,obviously $AB \rightarrow E$ is we looking for.Therefore,we can change the table.

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

R_1	a	a			a				
R_2				a			a		
R_3		a	a		a				
R_4			a	a				a	
R_5						a	a		
R_6	a	a			a				a

We can redo the same thing .This time we look at the column E,we can use $E \rightarrow C$ to change this table

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a			a				
R_2				a			a		
R_3		a	a		a				
R_4			a	a				a	
R_5						a	a		
R_6	a	a	a		a				a

Iteratively, for R_4 and R_6 we use $C \rightarrow D$, $C \rightarrow I$ to fill up 'a'

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a			a				
R_2				a			a		
R_3		a	a		a				
R_4			a	a				a	
R_5						a	a		

R_6	a	a	a	a	a			a	a
-------	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	---	---

Iteratively, for R_2 and R_6 we use $D \rightarrow H$ fill up 'a'

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a			a				
R_2				a			a		
R_3		a	a		a				
R_4			a	a				a	
R_5						a	a		
R_6	a	a	a	a	a		a	a	a

Iteratively, for R_5 and R_6 we use $H \rightarrow G$ fill up 'a'

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a			a				
R_2				a			a		
R_3		a	a		a				
R_4			a	a				a	
R_5						a	a		
R_6	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

For row R_6 , this row can be filled up with 'a' in all columns

Hence, this decomposition is lossless-join

Question2

- (1) The number of super keys is 96.

List 5 of them: $\{A,B,J\}, \{A,B,C,J\}, \{A,B,D,J\}, \{A,E,J\}, \{A,E,C,J\}$

- (2) 2NF

Every attributes in R are atomic and all non-prime attributes are fully functionally dependent on the relation keys. But for FDs $E \rightarrow BCD$ and $C \rightarrow D$, where exist $E \rightarrow C \rightarrow D, C \not\rightarrow E$. Hence the attributes of D are transitively dependent on E. Thus, R is not satisfy 3NF. Hence, the highest normal form of R with respect to F is 2NF.

- (3) No, from Question1 Part(3), we can have minimal cover $F''' = \{ AB \rightarrow E, D \rightarrow H, E \rightarrow B, E \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow I, H \rightarrow G \}$, it is obvious that function dependency $D \rightarrow H$ and $C \rightarrow I$ cannot be preserved after this decomposition because R_1, R_2 and R_3 don't have C and I or D and H at same time.

- (4) To prove the lossless-join we need to draw this table below, we fill up "a" according to R_1, R_2, R_3

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a	a	a	a				
R_2					a	a	a		
R_3					a			a	a

Firstly, we seek for column E, and we use $E \rightarrow BCD$ to fill up 'a' in this table

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a	a	a	a				
R_2		a	a	a	a	a	a		
R_3		a	a	a	a			a	a

And then, we seek for column C, and we use $C \rightarrow I$ to fill up 'a' in this table

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a	a	a	a			a	
R_2		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
R_3		a	a	a	a			a	a

And then, we seek for column D, and we use $D \rightarrow GH$ to fill up 'a' in this table

	A	B	C	D	E	G	H	I	J
R_1	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
R_2		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
R_3		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Finally, we cannot find any rows which can be filled up with 'a' in all columns. Hence, this decomposition is not lossless-join.

(5) From Question 1 Part 4 we have such 3NF decomposition:

$$R_1 = \{A, B, E\}, R_2 = \{D, H\}, R_3 = \{B, C, E\}, R_4 = \{C, D, I\}, R_5 = \{H, G\}, R_6 = \{A, B, J\}$$

Which is dependency-preserving and lossless-join.

For $R_1 = \{A, B, E\}$, $AB \rightarrow E$ is non-trivial and AB is superkey

For $R_2 = \{D, H\}$, $D \rightarrow H$ is non-trivial and D is superkey

For $R_3 = \{E, C, B\}$, $E \rightarrow B$ and $E \rightarrow C$ are non-trivial and E is superkey

For $R_4 = \{C, D, I\}$, $C \rightarrow D$, $C \rightarrow I$ are non-trivial and C is superkey

For $R_5 = \{G, H\}$, $H \rightarrow G$ is non-trivial and H is superkey

Thus, each FD in this decomposition are non-trivial and left-side is superkey. Hence, this decomposition can satisfy BCNF

