A Rubric for Evaluating Web Sites		
Title of Site:		
URL of Site: http://		
Criterion	Ranking	Comments
	3 = excellent	
	2 = acceptable 1 = unacceptable	
	0 = does not apply	
Authority of the Site		
Author's qualifications are listed.		
(Education, experience, awards)		
Author's name and e-mail address are		
The site is affiliated with a reputable		
organization, such as a university, instead		
of a "free pages" site such as Geocities or		
Angelfire.		
Content of the Site		
The author presents original material,		
things s/he has written himself/herself.		
The page discusses the topic in depth.		
The author makes an effort to be objective		
or to state his/her bias clearly.		
The site does not mislead the reader.		
The information presented can be checked		
for accuracy.		
The information has been updated recently.		
Links to other reliable sources of		
information are present, and they work.		
Purpose of the Site  If the site is trying to sell something, the	Ι	
information presented is accurate and not		
influenced by a sales pitch.		
The site presents both sides of a		
controversial issue.		
The site does not encourage prejudice,		
discrimination, abuse, or violence.		
The site maintains a positive, professional		
tone (attitude).		
Design of the Site  The site contains little or no advertising.	<u> </u>	
_		
The site is well written, with good spelling, punctuation, and grammar.		
Links to other sites are current.		
The site is easy to navigate.		
The site has a pleasing color scheme and		
layout (arrangement of graphics and text).		