

# The Legacy Project

Michel, Nicolas  
`nicolas@legacy.network`

Almonacid, Vicente  
`vicente@legacy.network`

October 4, 2017  
v0.7

## Abstract

Legacy is a blockchain-based application allowing people to easily distribute assets upon their death or, in general, upon any set of verifiable events. The application integrates a variety of services that will be developed progressively as blockchain technology consolidates. This paper mainly describes Legacy's initial releases, which allow to transfer digital assets, such as images, videos and text documents. The application core functionalities will be initially developed on the Ethereum blockchain. These include a Proof of Life engine responsible of determining whether the user is alive or not, and a smart contract that manages user assets and schedules their distribution according to a given set of triggering events. Exploiting the unique characteristics of blockchain platforms, Legacy is designed in order to ensure security, reliability and long-term availability. Typical use cases include transfer of sensible data (*e.g.*, personal meaningful data), cryptocurrency holdings and confidential data such as online service account credentials. In the long-term, Legacy expects to integrate smart property and other blockchain-based digital assets, as well as to provide a platform for peer-to-peer legal and technical assistance, thus becoming a next-generation smart-will solution.

# Acknowledgements

The Legacy Project wouldn't have been possible without the desinterested support and valuable feedback from many individuals. Directly or indirectly, they have also helped us to improve this document significantly. We would like to express our deep gratitude to (without any particular order): Laurent Hardy from Madrid's Ethereum Community, Marina Markežič from Cofoundit, Arturs Zelenkovcs, Scott Stevenson, Benoît Belin, reddit users FjorXD and rpr11; Aragon team members Jorge, Luis and tatu; Virginie Gretz, Sebastien Bourguignon, Phillipe Rodriguez, Adrian Brink, Roman Beyon from La Maison du Bitcoin and CTO of iExec Oleg Lodygensky.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Problem Statement . . . . .	5
1.2	Goals . . . . .	5
1.3	Overview of Legacy and Use Cases . . . . .	6
1.4	Upcoming Trends: Cryptocurrencies and Smart Property . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Technical and Implementation Aspects</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Why Blockchain? . . . . .	8
2.2	Data Storage . . . . .	9
2.3	Proof of Life . . . . .	9
2.4	AI-aided Functionalities . . . . .	11
2.5	Security . . . . .	11
2.6	Privacy . . . . .	12
2.7	Long-term Service Availability . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Architecture</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	Legacy v1.0 (Memoirs) . . . . .	14
3.2	Legacy v2.0 (Heritage) . . . . .	15
3.3	Legacy v3.0 (Future): A Decentralized, Autonomous Platform . . . . .	16
<b>4</b>	<b>The Legacy Token</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Legal Considerations</b>	<b>20</b>
5.1	The Legacy Foundation . . . . .	20

“While agreements are no longer memorialized in clay, lawyers have failed to take advantage of advances in computing to streamline and simplify their work.”

Aaron Wright and David Roon

# 1

## Executive Summary

Wills have a history that goes back to Ancient Greece. While their utility and legal implications vary through cultures and ages, their underlying mechanisms are the same. As we move into a digital economy and society, analog forms of value—both sentimental and monetary—are replaced, stored and transmitted in digital formats. Printed documents, books, pictures and even money are examples of things that are now handled digitally. In this context, distributing valuable digital assets securely after death cannot be easily achieved through the traditional system of writing a legal document (*i.e.*, a will or testament) and naming an executor. In particular, this approach usually requires the intervention of several trusted third parties (eventually, an executor, a lawyer and a public notary) and does not guarantee security, reliability nor privacy—the latter especially important with regards to transferring meaningful personal data. Furthermore, with the introduction of blockchain platforms and smart contracts, on the one hand, and the imminent mainstream adoption of the Internet of Things (IoT), on the other, we expect to see the emergence of a novel concept of property known as *smart property*; that is, a type of property that can be traded and transferred without the need for intermediaries. Like cryptocurrencies, smart property will require a different technological solution—as well as a novel legal framework—in order to be securely transferred according to a decedent’s last will. This paper introduces Legacy<sup>1</sup>, a blockchain-based service that aims at becoming the first *smart will*. At a first stage, Legacy allows distribution of what we refer to as *memories*; *i.e.*, digital items such as images, video recordings, manuscripts or other forms of digital data that capture valuable life experiences. The problem of securely managing digital assets of higher monetary value (such as cryptocurrency holdings) in the event of the owner’s death is considered afterwards. Legacy will evolve gradually into a more decentralized, self-sustainable architecture based on a reward system. In the long term, as smart property becomes a reality and law embraces blockchain technology, Legacy aims at positioning as the *de facto* smart will solution, progressively eliminating the need for trusted third parties (including the Legacy organization) and ensuring key attributes such as security, privacy and long-term operation.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we only discuss technical aspects of the project. Additional relevant information, such as business plan, team information and development roadmap, can be found in <https://legacy.network>

## 1.1 Problem Statement

The traditional process of transferring property—whether in the form of real estate, money or ordinary valuable objects—through a will and testament involves several issues.

In general, the process depends entirely on an executor, who is in charge of administrating the legacy and is appointed by the testator (*i.e.*, the person who writes the will). An executor must be trustworthy. Depending on the legal framework, writing a conventional will might require the intervention of additional intermediaries, such as a lawyer and a notary. In many cases, however, these are not legally indispensable, which suggests that the process can be systematized in order to be easily self-completed by the testators.

Wills are written as ordinary documents, and can get lost or destroyed. Since they must be easily accessible by the executors when the moment arrives, wills are usually not stored securely. This compromises the content's integrity and confidentiality. As a consequence, conventional wills are inherently unreliable.

Wills are in general limited to the distribution of monetary valuable possessions and are not suitable for managing personal digital data. Nowadays, most of our important life experiences and memories are captured in emails, digital images, videos, and other digital items. These are also part of our legacy and require attention. But conventional wills are not meant for this. While some software solutions addressing this problem exist, they are based on centralized architectures that provide limited guarantees in terms of reliability and long-term operation.

A conventional will is defined and executed once. Making further modifications after it has been signed is in general not possible and requires rewriting the entire document. A will is also inherently static; it cannot be automatically adapted according to changes in future conditions or unpredictable events. In addition, the process of executing a will may take significant time. Much of the process can be accelerated and systematized by taking advantage of simple software solutions.

Finally, there is the problem of securely transferring cryptocurrencies. Currently, cryptocurrencies are stored in wallets that can be accessed through a private key or password-protected encrypted files. If an individual holding cryptocurrencies dies without having communicated his/her wallet credentials to third persons, then the entire wallet balances are irrevocably lost.

## 1.2 Goals

### **Simplifying the process of transferring digital assets in the event of the owner's death**

Legacy is specially designed to be an easy-to-use application. Being oriented to a wide public, with a focus on young parents but also including seniors and baby boomers, its usability and accessibility properties are one of its most important aspects. Users should be able to create and configure an account in a few steps, without the need for setting-up external services (*e.g.*, a third-party storage service).

### **A service that ensures security, reliability, privacy and long-term operation**

Legacy's core logic will reside in the Ethereum blockchain, which guarantees its integrity and availability in the future. A large blockchain network such as Ethereum guarantees long-term operation because it does not rely on a single organization. Shutting it down would require to disable a large number of its nodes. A blockchain also allows to securely transfer digital assets without the need for intermediaries. User's data will be stored using a distributed file system, ensuring privacy and relia-

bility. A design approach oriented towards decentralization and self-sustainability is also essential to further meet these properties.

### **Reducing the need for trusted third parties for creating and executing a will**

The need for trusted third parties for transferring property usually has more to do with legal issues rather than with technical aspects. From a technical standpoint, advanced algorithms combined with smart contracts allow to simplify the process. In some cases, however, creating a will may be a complex process (for instance, for people holding a large variety of assets), and some legal assistance is necessary. We propose a solution to this problem based on decentralized platform, in which lawyers and accountants may offer assistance.

### **An enhanced, smart will allowing to transfer cryptocurrency and smart property**

Our ultimate goal is to integrate a wide variety of transferable items, including cryptocurrencies and other virtual assets, as well as smart property. This the long-term vision of the Legacy project and represents the main problems that we aim to tackle. This goal, however, involves a number of technical challenges and legal issues that need to be overcome—as we discuss in more detail later on.

## **1.3 Overview of Legacy and Use Cases**

Initially, Legacy will allow to securely transfer any form of digital data such as pictures, videos, audio files and text documents. Files will be stored using a decentralized, encrypted system. Each individual file belonging to a given user represents a memory. Memories can be bundled into capsules that a user may schedule for transfer to one or more recipients upon death and/or upon a specific set of verifiable events<sup>2</sup>. This way, a capsule can be programmed in many different ways, forming a smart will. For instance, a user might want to send an email to his/her children once they turn eighteen years old or share with them special memories for important days of their lives such as graduation or marriage. A user can easily specify which are the events and conditions that trigger a capsule transfer.

An important function of the Legacy application is to determine precisely and timely whether the user is alive or not—ideally without involving interaction with intermediaries. This is verified periodically through a mechanism called Proof of Life (PoL). The PoL engine uses different criteria in order to make a reliable decision, and its operation can be completely customized by the user. For instance, PoL can be based on social network activity patterns—which has the advantage of not requiring explicit signaling from the user—or on periodic email notifications asking the user to simply click on a link. Different PoL mechanisms can be combined in order to achieve a desired level of reliability and user experience. Once the PoL engine determines that the user has died, the capsules are scheduled for further distribution.

---

<sup>2</sup>By *verifiable* event we refer to any event or condition that can be automatically verified and signaled to the blockchain (for instance, using an Oracle) with some minimum amount of reliability.

## 1.4 Upcoming Trends: Cryptocurrencies and Smart Property

With the introduction of blockchain technologies and smart contracts, as well as with the global deployment of the IoT, a new generation of smart property will rapidly become a reality. The importance of the IoT in this context is in that it will allow smart property to seamlessly interact with the blockchain. In the same way as the Internet extended to *things*, blockchains will integrate them as well, opening a variety of new applications. At this stage, the challenges involved in implementing smart wills allowing to dispose any type of property are no longer technological, but mostly legal. Trading and transferring smart property will be easily achieved leveraging the benefits provided by the blockchain. In fact, recent projects such as REAL [6] already provide a link between real estate and the crypto space, allowing to easily transfer property shares using blockchain technology.

In the long term, it is highly likely that people, as well as private and public institutions, will hold important fractions of their wealth in the form of cryptocurrencies, cryptosecurities or other types of blockchain-based assets. Indeed, a recent report by the World Economic Forum predicts that 10% of the global GDP will be stored on the blockchain within a decade [7]. Enabling disposition of blockchain-based assets after death will become a problem of significant importance in the near future.

# 2

## Technical and Implementation Aspects

This chapter focuses on software implementation aspects and related issues. We identify key attributes that Legacy must exhibit and describe the adopted approaches to tackle them. Overall, Legacy's underlying implementation aims at building a robust application that inspires confidence from both users and investors.

As mentioned, Legacy's core functionalities reside in the Ethereum blockchain platform [1]. This aspect is perhaps the main competitive advantage of Legacy with respect to similar solutions, and also enables additional functionalities that have not been addressed by other services.

### 2.1 Why Blockchain?

The essential role of a blockchain consists on removing the dependency on trusted third parties in networks where nodes are non-reliable. In this way, any pair of nodes may exchange and process data securely without the intervention of intermediaries. In this way, Bitcoin eliminated the requirement for banks as validators of money transactions. The introduction of smart contracts has paved the way for many novel blockchain applications. Basically, smart contracts not only allow to securely perform peer-to-peer money transactions, but virtually any type of operation. In addition, smart contracts can be executed programmatically and in response to real world events (i.e., events outside of the blockchain). In our context, a blockchain platform supporting smart contracts also allows us to guarantee the main following properties:

- **Authenticity:** a will stored in the form of a smart contract allows to fully guarantee that all its content was actually dictated by its original author.
- **Immutability:** once a smart contract has been signed and uploaded to the blockchain, it cannot be modified nor deleted by attackers.
- **Reliability:** most blockchains consist of a large number of nodes that jointly validate the current system state. Smart contract data and transaction records are safely stored, validated and replicated at each network node. Hence, it is very difficult for an attacker to disrupt the network or corrupt the data.



## 2.2 Data Storage

Using Ethereum smart contracts guarantees that the code is reliably stored and that user’s dispositions, as specified in the contract, remain immutable in the long term (unless, of course, they are modified by the user himself). However, storing data directly on the blockchain is currently prohibitively expensive. For instance, an SSTORE operation, which stores a 256-bit word on the Ethereum blockchain, costs 20000 gas [10, Appendix G]. Hence, storing 1 Gigabyte of data on the Ethereum blockchain would cost around 13000 ETH, or, equivalently, about 4 million USD<sup>1</sup>. In fact, due to the amount of overhead involved, blockchains are not designed for data storage, which is why it is disincentivized by imposing fees.

As a consequence, data storage requires a different approach. Currently, several alternatives are being discussed and investigated. Among some identified third-party providers we may mention:

- Swarm
- Usenet
- Storj
- Sia
- Filecoin

These are all based on decentralized architectures and have been considered as candidate solutions for Legacy. In particular, blockchain-based file storage provides advantages in terms of reliability, DDOS resistance, fault tolerance, among other desirable attributes.

To further improve storage reliability, more “traditional” services can be also considered, as for instance local storage (*i.e.*, using Legacy’s infrastructure), Glacier by Amazon, hubiC by OVH and Drive by Google.

Another approach for data storage consists on deploying a decentralized network of nodes running the IPFS protocol [3]. Such a network would be composed by any individual or organization interested in offering storage services. In this case, the LEG token (see Section 4) can be used to reward nodes offering storage services. While this approach is essentially the same approach used by some of the blockchain-based storage services cited above, it provides the advantage of not being dependent on third parties.

## 2.3 Proof of Life

The set of functions by which the system determines if a user is alive is referred to as Proof of Life (PoL). The PoL engine can be implemented at different levels of the application (see for instance Figure 3.1). It is configured by the user through the web or mobile interface and, internally, is commanded by the user smart contract instance. Several different sources of data can be used for PoL purposes, among which we may mention:

- Contract activity: first, it is desirable to provide a simple PoL mechanism that does not require off-chain entities. A user can provide PoL data by simply sending an empty transaction to his/her wallet. Note that this can be achieved by a user without going through Legacy’s interfaces.

---

<sup>1</sup>At the moment of writing these lines gas price is 21 Gwei and 1 ETH  $\equiv$  250 EUR.

- Online user activity: simple web plugins can be implemented in order to signal online user activity. To that end, each user is assigned a personal wallet (internally) that serves as interface with his or her smart contract. In this way, when a user logs-in in a given web application, a simple, empty transaction originated by the web plugin is sent from the user wallet to the user smart contract. Plugins can be integrated in social networks (e.g. Facebook and Twitter) and in Legacy’s web interface as well.
- Using a mobile application: Legacy may obtain direct and indirect PoL signalling through a mobile application. For direct signalling, users can simply receive periodic notifications that can be acknowledged involving minimal interaction. Alternatively, upon user’s consent, indirect PoL signalling can be obtained using a background process able to read whatever metadata is provided by the user. First-order PoL information can be readily obtained by simply verifying that the mobile phone is active.
- Email notifications: users can signal activity by clicking on a link sent periodically from Legacy’s servers. This option is less practical but can be adopted whenever the above methods are not available or provide few information.
- Official Death Registries: Some governments and other public institutions offer databases that can be freely fetched through an API.
- Human-assisted mechanisms: as an additional PoL layer, Legacy may directly contact one or more persons previously designated by the user. While this option may go against the spirit of Legacy in that it involves intermediaries, it is also a valid alternative that may be preferred by the users in some cases (for instance, some users may require to have third persons to validate and supervise the whole process, playing the role of executors in the traditional system).

The different PoL signalling channels considered in Legacy’s initial release are shown in Figure 3.1. Using this set of input data sources, a weighted algorithm determines the user state (alive/dead) with a given periodicity. The main input parameters, plugins and periodicity can be fully configurable by the user, and can also be adapted dynamically (*i.e.*, after the user smart contract has been created). The options available for PoL may also vary according to user’s subscription packages because using additional mechanisms also results in increased transactions between the blockchain and external services, which in turn involves additional costs.

Taking into account the mechanisms described above, a layered model of the PoL engine has been considered in Legacy’s design and is shown in Table 2.1.

PoL Layer 0	Smart Contract Activity
PoL Layer 1	Notifications, Web and Mobile Plugins
PoL Layer 2	AI engine
PoL Layer 3	Official Death Registries
PoL Layer 4	Human assisted

Table 2.1 – Simplified layered model of the PoL engine.

## Proof of Death vs Proof of Life

Strictly speaking, the mechanisms in PoL layers 0–2 can only prove that a user is alive. With this information, the user state can be derived as explained above. The main advantage of this approach is that a decision can be drawn without relying on a centralised entity and without the intervention of third parties. PoL layers 3 and 4 are semantically different—they provide direct *proof of death*, though they do rely on centralised entities and third parties. We note that, while PoL layers 0–2 might be enough to implement a robust algorithm, official proofs may be required by law in some cases.

## 2.4 AI-aided Functionalities

### AI-aided PoL

While providing a large number of options to configure the PoL engine brings flexibility and a higher degree of certainty, it has an impact on the user experience. A simple way to tackle this problem is to offer a default configuration set-up with a few options. Alternatively, the use of artificial intelligence (AI) technology could greatly simplify the PoL interface, thus improving the user experience and providing an additional degree of certainty. AI-based PoL can be implemented as a default, background process, transparent to the user, exploiting the same data sources mentioned above.

### AI-aided Search for Beneficiaries

Transferring digital assets from testators to beneficiaries involves also the problem of finding the right beneficiaries. Since contracts can be executed a long time after being configured and committed to the blockchain, beneficiaries may change their contact information or even die. AI technology can also help to mitigate this problem, for instance, by monitoring interaction between the user and his/her beneficiaries.

## 2.5 Security

Security is one of the key attributes that Legacy must exhibit in order to build confidence among the community. In particular, it is desirable to securely transfer digital assets without requiring the intervention of a trusted third party. While this can be easily achieved through the blockchain, this solution requires every beneficiary to hold an account in the network (*i.e.*, a blockchain address allowing to send and receive digital assets) and hence it is not currently feasible. However, it is highly likely that blockchains will be widely adopted in the future—specially if their usage is encouraged by governments—which would greatly simplify the problem. In the meanwhile, a solution involving Legacy as a trusted third party is unavoidable. Security also means that Legacy must be robust against attacks. As mentioned, keeping essential functionalities in the blockchain already provides advantages on this issue. Measures to enforce Legacy’s security include a more rigorous code development methodology and scheduling regular code audits. Code audits by independent third parties are also considered.

## 2.6 Privacy

Protecting user’s privacy involves some issues. By definition, public blockchains like Ethereum do not offer privacy, which compromises user-related information stored therein. This is a problem currently undergoing active research and several solutions have been already proposed [deep, 5].

In Legacy’s initial releases, most user data will not be stored directly on the blockchain (see Section 2.2 above). In this way, data can be encrypted using robust algorithms without involving high complexity costs. Data integrity can be ensured by storing in the blockchain a hash of the data contained in each capsule. Legacy is also monitoring current research on zero-party privacy, which offers significant advantages. With zero-party privacy, transferring sensible data can be achieved without requiring trusted third parties—including the Legacy organization—to have access to the actual data involved in the transactions.

## 2.7 Long-term Service Availability

Clearly, Legacy must provide guarantees of sustainability in time. In many cases, in fact, user’s capsules should be transferred within a time span of at least several decades. Ensuring service operation for such large time spans is one of the most important challenges for Legacy and requires taking multiple measures.

From the point of view of the application architecture, there must be some mechanism allowing the code to evolve in time and to adapt to major technological changes. This is another reason why dependence on specific third-party services must be minimized, in particular on those who are based on centralized architectures. Instead, core functions of Legacy should be flexible and provide support for alternative solutions. In the long term, Legacy expects to be agnostic regarding its main dependencies (i.e. blockchain platform, storage and Oracle interface). This would allow, for instance, to migrate user’s smart contracts from one blockchain to another, in the event that the former shows critical signs of scalability or stability issues.

Ensuring long-term service operation also requires to minimize dependence on the Legacy organization itself. Indeed, users expect that their assets will be effectively distributed even in the event that the Legacy organization is dissolved.

The approach envisioned to tackle these issues is one of the major features of Legacy and is introduced next.

## A Reward and Incentive Platform to Reinforce Long-term Service Availability

The methodology envisioned by Legacy to meet his long-term sustainability requirements can be summarized in three main ideas:

- decentralization;
- community building;
- an incentive and reward system.

*Decentralization*, in both terms of architecture and governance, is required in order to minimise and even remove any critical dependence on the Legacy Organization. Regarding the architecture, this means that the infrastructure provided by the Legacy Organization must be fully decentralized

in the long term. To that end, all the code included in Legacy’s back and front ends should be hosted in a decentralized service. In terms of governance, Legacy also considers to implement a decentralized model allowing users and members of the community to be part of all major decisions related to the application development, upgrades, etc.

*Community building* is essential. Without a strong, active community supporting Legacy there are few chances to build an autonomous system independent of any central entity. In particular, this community not only needs to attract regular customers but also more advanced users and developers.

To guarantee the success of the community building process, an *incentive and reward system* is proposed. Initially, The Legacy Organization will boost community building by means of bounty programs. In this way, users can be rewarded by, for instance, contributing with bug reports and providing feedback related to their overall experience using the application. Similarly, developers can be attracted by giving them access to a software development kit (SDK) allowing to fix bugs, propose novel functionalities and integrating additional services.

A decentralized platform supporting an incentive and reward system can be easily implemented by taking advantage of the functionalities provided by the Ethereum blockchain. In fact, several decentralized Applications (dApps) are currently being built following this philosophy.

Gradually, the main role in the community building process will be transferred to The Legacy Foundation (see Section 5.1). Eventually, major software improvements and upgrades will be decided by the community, and implemented by third parties using the reward and incentive platform.

# 3

## Architecture

Legacy's architecture is composed by several entities: the blockchain platform, Legacy's own infrastructure, an Oracle and other third-party services. The essential logic of the application is kept inside the blockchain: it is here where the smart contracts that manage user assets are stored and executed. Legacy's infrastructure includes the application front ends as well as a back end to interact with the blockchain, perform heavier computation (e.g., to run the AI engine) and gather additional PoL data. The Oracle is required to provide an interface between the smart contracts and the outside world. Finally, third-party services can be used for data storage and also to implement plugins for PoL data gathering.

### 3.1 Legacy v1.0 (Memoirs)

Legacy v1.0, named *Memoirs*, will be the first stable release of the Legacy Project. This initial version enables secure distribution of memories in the form of digital data, such as pictures, videos, text documents, etc. Since blockchain technologies supporting privacy requirements are still evolving, Legacy Memoirs is based on a hybrid architecture, taking advantage of smart contracts but keeping sensible user data outside of the blockchain. In particular, encryption keys will be stored using more traditional systems. A high-level representation of Legacy Memoirs' architecture is given in Figure 3.1. Legacy's own infrastructure and front ends are shown in blue, third-party services in green and the blockchain in red.

There are two main front ends: a web and a mobile application. These are the main interfaces between the user and the core infrastructure, and provide similar functionalities so that the service can be fully accessed and configured from either of both. The web and mobile applications are also employed by the system to obtain PoL data based on user interactions with the service (see also Section 2.3).

Legacy's back end plays different roles. First, it creates smart-contract instances after a user initiates the service and commits a capsule. Second, once a user smart contract is uploaded to the blockchain, the back end sets-up an Oracle instances of running periodic calls in order to execute the code. And third, it also gathers PoL data from external web services and plugins. The user smart contract implements the PoL algorithm that determines if a user is still alive or not, schedules

subsequent calls from the back end and triggers the distribution of capsules once the PoL engine determines that the user has died. Legacy’s Memories will implement PoL layers 0 and 1 described in Section 2.3. Memories and capsules will be initially stored using a decentralized, encrypted, blockchain-based, third-party service, backed up using traditional infrastructure. To allow our smart contracts to query the outside world (for instance, for PoL signalling), an Oracle interface is required. Legacy Memoirs will employ a Ethereum-compatible Oracle (such as Oraclize<sup>1</sup>).




Figure 3.1 – Legacy Memoirs architecture.

## 3.2 Legacy v2.0 (Heritage)

Legacy v2.0 *Heritage* will be Legacy’s second stable release. One of the key aspects in this version is related to privacy. Heritage will implement blockchain privacy features currently under research and development status (see for instance [4]). The main idea is to minimise trust on Legacy’s infrastructure and therefore to store secrets (e.g., user’s private keys) securely over the blockchain. Heritage also will offer the possibility to create legally-bound wills using smart contracts. In order to facilitate the process of creating valid, legal wills, Heritage also integrates a set of software tools allowing to automatize the process, in line with novel advances such as the OpenLaw protocol proposed by

<sup>1</sup><http://www.oraclize.it/>




Figure 3.2 – Legacy’s platform model

Consensys [2]. A major improvement in Legacy’s Heritage is the capability to transfer smart property, cryptocurrencies and other blockchain-based assets (though this feature will be subject to the dispositions given by each jurisdiction). Advancements in blockchain oracle design will also be taken into account in order to further improve and decentralize Legacy’s architecture. Depending on the level of funding available, we expect to implement a first release of Legacy’s AI engine, as well as PoL layers 3 and 4.

### 3.3 Legacy v3.0 (Future): A Decentralized, Autonomous Platform

Legacy v3.0 *Future* will pave the way for guaranteeing a long-lasting service capable to evolve in time. Legacy’s Future will notably implement the reward and incentive system described in Section ???. A simplified platform model is shown in Figure 3.2, where in addition to the basic elements included in previous releases, a cross-layer API/SDK module as well as a decentralized governance system is considered. By leveraging the capabilities provided by the platform, Legacy’s expect to become blockchain-agnostic in the long term. Finally, significant enhancements in both PoL and AI engine are considered to be included.

Table 3.1 summarizes the main features included in each release.



version	backend architecture	storage	supported assets	comments
$\alpha/\beta$	centralized	centralized	<i>memories</i>	PoL layers 0–1
v1.0	centralized	decentralized	<i>memories</i>	Oracle, PoL layers 0–1 ++
v2.0	decentralized	decentralized	<i>memories</i> , smart property, cryptocurrencies.	PoL layers 2 (AI), 3 and 4. Legally-bound SC
v3.0	decentralized, enhanced redundancy	decentralized, enhanced redundancy	<i>memories</i> , smart property, cryptocurrencies	PoL layers 2–4 ++, AI++, blockchain-agnostic.

Table 3.1 – Summary of Legacy’s main releases

# 4

## The Legacy Token

The Legacy token, called LEG, will be created during a token sale event organized to fund the project. The token sale process will follow standard modalities established in the blockchain community. Once the token sale period is finished, no additional tokens will be generated. A maximum of 100.000.000 LEG can be created. Table 4.1 provides some preliminary<sup>1</sup> details regarding the token and its supply distribution. Additional details regarding the token sale process and how to participate in it will be provided in Legacy's official website [9].

Total Supply	100 000 000 [LEG]
Auction Model	fixed-price auction <sup>2</sup>
Percentage of supply available for crowdsale	60%
Percentage of supply for Legacy founders	6%
Percentage of supply for Legacy Organization	15%
Percentage of supply for advisors, partners and consultants	12%
Percentage of supply for Legacy Foundation	7%

Table 4.1 – Token sale summary.

The Legacy token serves three main purposes:

1. It allows to create a shared economy on top of Legacy's platform. In this way, once Legacy is able to handle digital assets holding monetary value, experts and professionals such as lawyers, accountants or notaries may provide technical assistance to users managing complex holdings or in case specific legal requirements must be met according to local regulations related to property disposition through wills. In this context, the legacy token can be used to enable peer-to-peer transfer of value on the platform.

---

<sup>1</sup>The details regarding token supply distribution are subject to further changes as the exact crowdsale modality is not yet defined.

<sup>2</sup>To be confirmed.

2. In line with the previous idea, tokens can be used to implement a reward and incentive system allowing to encourage continuous platform development. Users may propose novel functionalities (for instant, a specific PoL plugin or a novel storage system) which can be implemented by developers in the community. Once a novel functionality is added to the platform, a fraction of the service fees are sent to its authors. This idea is further discussed in Section ??.
3. Finally, LEG tokens can be used by users to gain access to commercial advantages. Paying for the service directly in LEG may give access to reduced service costs and other types of commercial incentives. This is a standard strategy to encourage token demand and also allows to implement fiscal policies regarding the token economics [8].

A simplified diagram showing how the token is employed in the application is presented in Appendix ?? (note that this simplified model does not include the use of the token for peer-to-peer transfer of value).

# 5

## Legal Considerations

*[work in progress]*

It is clear that Legacy may involve several legal issues, among which we may mention:

- Legally binding smart contracts according to each local jurisdiction wherein Legacy expects to operate. The process of transferring assets through smart contracts must strictly comply with local regulations in order to be legally valid.
- Conflict resolution in cases where the smart contract alone executes unexpectedly.
- Legal disagreements in cases where testators and beneficiaries belong to different countries or jurisdictions.
- Ensuring that Legacy's smart contract is in fact the *last* will created by the testator, which otherwise would invalidate the contract in some jurisdictions.
- Taxation of estates: wills created and executed through Legacy may also be subject to taxes under certain jurisdictions. Those cases must be clearly identified in order to avoid tax evasion.

### 5.1 The Legacy Foundation

There are several scenarios in which software alone cannot provide fully satisfactory solutions and some arbitration mechanism is therefore required. On the other hand, finding people to deliver assets entrusted to Legacy may prove difficult. Beneficiaries may move, change their phone numbers, email addresses, etc. In order to handle these edge cases, a separate, non-profit entity will be created: The Legacy Foundation. This entity will be completely autonomous and independent from the Legacy Organization.

In order to ensure correct operation, the Legacy Foundation will receive a percentage of the service operation fees, which will be automatically transferred from user's smart contracts once the service is paid. In addition, a percentage of the funds obtained during the crowdsale will be also allocated to The Foundation.

In the long term, besides playing its mediator role, the Foundation will also intervene to encourage constant platform development (for instance, by proposing bounty programs) and will assist in major software upgrades. At this stage, the structure as well as the policies adopted by The Foundation will be decided by the community following a decentralized governance system.

Other functions envisaged for The Foundation include: taking care of correctly transmitting user assets if and only if purely automated means fail and monitoring changes in the legislative process of transmitting properties. Finally, the foundation may also run storage nodes to improve redundancy and service reliability.

# Bibliography

- [1] *A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform*. URL: <https://github.com/ethereum/wiki/wiki/White-Paper#a-next-generation-smart-contract-and-decentralized-application-platform> (visited on 06/15/2017).
- [2] *Introducing OpenLaw*. URL: <https://media.consensys.net/introducing-openlaw-7a2ea410138b> (visited on 07/25/2017).
- [3] *IPFS - Content Addressed, Versioned, P2P File System*. URL: <https://ipfs.io/ipfs/QmR7GSQM93Cx5eAg6a6yRzNde1FQv7uL6X1o4k7zrJa3LX/ipfs.draft3.pdf> (visited on 09/02/2017).
- [4] Matt Luongo and Corbin Pon. *The Keep Network: A Privacy Layer for Public Blockchains*. Tech. rep. URL: <https://keep.network/whitepaper>.
- [5] *Privacy on the Blockchain*. URL: <https://blog.ethereum.org/2016/01/15/privacy-on-the-blockchain/> (visited on 08/10/2017).
- [6] *Real Estate Crowdfunding with Cryptocurrency*. Tech. rep. URL: [https://www.real.markets/static/wp/en/REAL\\_Whitepaper.pdf](https://www.real.markets/static/wp/en/REAL_Whitepaper.pdf).
- [7] *Realizing the Potential of Blockchain: A multistakeholder Approach to Stewardship of Blockchain and Cryptocurrencies*. URL: [http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\\_Realizing\\_Potential\\_Blockchain.pdf](http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Realizing_Potential_Blockchain.pdf) (visited on 09/02/2017).
- [8] Avtar Sehra, Phillip Smith, and Phil Gomes. *Economics of Initial Coin Offerings*. Tech. rep. 2017. URL: <http://www.allenoverly.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/ICO-Article-Nivaura-20170822-0951%20%20-%20Final%20Draft.pdf> (visited on 09/02/2017).
- [9] *The Legacy Project, official website*. URL: <http://legacy.network> (visited on 09/02/2017).
- [10] Gavin Wood. *Ethereum: A secure decentralised generalised transaction ledger*. Tech. rep. EIP-150 REVISION. URL: <http://gavwood.com/paper.pdf>.