Contents

- SOLVE USING INVERSE KINEMATICS
- CHECK WITH FORWARD KINEMATICS
- CHECK WITH CORKE ROBOTICS TOOLBOX

SOLVE USING INVERSE KINEMATICS

```
1_1 = 4;
1_2 = 3;
1_3 = 2;
sols = {};
disp('Solve for joint angles using inverse kinematics:');
T_0_H1 = [1 0 0 9; 0 1 0 0; 0 0 1 0; 0 0 0 1];
sol1 = inverse\_kinematics(T_0_H1, l_1, l_2, l_3);
disp('Part i joint angles:');
disp(sol1);
T_0_{H2} = [0.5 -.866 \ 0 \ 7.5373; \ 0.866 \ 0.5 \ 0 \ 3.9266; \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0; \ 0 \ 0 \ 1];
sol2 = inverse_kinematics(T_0_H2, l_1, l_2, l_3);
disp('Part ii joint angles:');
disp(sol2);
T_0_H3 = [0 \ 1 \ 0 \ -3; \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2; \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0; \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1];
sol3 = inverse_kinematics(T_0_H3, l_1, l_2, l_3);
disp('Part iii joint angles:');
disp(sol3);
disp('The fourth transformation matrix throws an error. This makes sense, given that we are requesting a y position longer than the arm can reach (9m)')
T_0_H4 = [0.866\ 0.5\ 0\ -3.1245;\ -0.5\ 0.866\ 0\ 9.1674;\ 0\ 0\ 1\ 0;\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1];
% sol4 = inverse_kinematics(T_0_H, l_1, l_2, l_3);
% disp(sol4);
Solve for joint angles using inverse kinematics:
Part i joint angles:
    {[0 0 0]}
                 {[0 0 0]}
```

Part i joint angles: {[0 0 0]} {[0 0 0]} Part ii joint angles: {[0.1745 0.3491 0.5236]} {[0.4732 -0.3491 0.9230]} Part iii joint angles: {[1.5708 1.5708 -4.7124]} {[2.8578 -1.5708 -2.8578]}

The fourth transformation matrix throws an error. This makes sense, given that we are requesting a y position longer than the arm can reach (9m)

CHECK WITH FORWARD KINEMATICS

```
sols=[sol1, sol2, sol3];
T_3_H = [1 0 0 1_3; 0 1 0 0; 0 0 1 0; 0 0 0 1];
[r, c] = size(sols);

for i=1:c
    t_1_deg = sols{i}(1) * 180/pi;
    t_2_deg = sols{i}(2) * 180/pi;
    t_3_deg = sols{i}(3) * 180/pi;

    % Plug into forward kinematic equation
    dh_table = [0 0 0 t_1_deg; 1_1 0 0 t_2_deg; 1_2 0 0 t_3_deg];
    result = find_T_total(dh_table, false);

    T_0_H_fkine = result * T_3_H;

    disp('Forward kinematics check to match problem statement')
    fprintf('*Using t1 = %3.4f, t2 = %3.4f, t3 = %3.4f, T is:\n', sols{i}(1), sols{i}(2), sols{i}(3));
    disp(T_0_H_fkine)
end
```

```
Forward kinematics check to match problem statement
*Using t1 = 0.0000, t2 = 0.0000, t3 = 0.0000, T is:
    1
         0
               0
    0
        1
               0
                     0
    0
          0
               1
                     0
          0
               0
                     1
```

```
Forward kinematics check to match problem statement
*Using t1 = 0.0000, t2 = -0.0000, t3 = 0.0000, T is:
         0 0
   1
    0
         1
              0
                    0
    0
         0
             1
                    0
Forward kinematics check to match problem statement
*Using t1 = 0.1745, t2 = 0.3491, t3 = 0.5236, T is:
   0.5000 -0.8660
                         0
                             7.5373
   0.8660
          0.5000
                         0
                             3.9266
               0 1.0000
       0
                                 0
       0
                0
                         0
                             1.0000
Forward kinematics check to match problem statement
*Using t1 = 0.4732, t2 = -0.3491, t3 = 0.9230, T is:
   0.5000 -0.8660 0 7.5373
   0.8660
            0.5000
                         0
                             3.9266
            0
                  1.0000
      0
       a
                             1.0000
                A
                        0
Forward kinematics check to match problem statement
*Using t1 = 1.5708, t2 = 1.5708, t3 = -4.7124, T is:
   0 1 0 -3
            0 2
   -1
         0
    0
         0
             1
                   0
Forward kinematics check to match problem statement
*Using t1 = 2.8578, t2 = -1.5708, t3 = -2.8578, T is:
                    0 -3.0000
0 2.0000
      0 1.0000
  -1.0000
            0
       0
                0 1.0000
                              0
       0
                             1.0000
```

CHECK WITH CORKE ROBOTICS TOOLBOX

```
% 0 is revolute joint, 1 is prismatic
% I used the standard definition of DH parameters in my solution, but this
% is just semantics - the inverse kinematic solution still works
% THETA D A ALPHA SIGMA OFFSET
Link1 = Link([0, 0, 1_1, 0], 'standard');
Link2 = Link([0, 0, 1_2, 0], 'standard');
Link3 = Link([0, 0, 1_3, 0], 'standard');
robot = SerialLink([Link1 Link2 Link3], 'name', 'Matlab2 Robot');
disp('Check with Corke Toolbox:');
disp('Part i joint angles:');
disp(robot.ikine(T_0_H1, [0 0 0], 'mask', [1 1 0 0 0 1]));
disp('Part ii joint angles');
disp(robot.ikine(T_0_{H2}, [0 0 0], 'mask', [1 1 0 0 0 1]));
disp('Part iii joint angles');
disp(robot.ikine(T_0_H3, [0 0 0], 'mask', [1 1 0 0 0 1]));
disp('These match the solution above');
```

```
Check with Corke Toolbox:

Part i joint angles:

0 0 0

Part ii joint angles

0.1745 0.3491 0.5236

Part iii joint angles

2.8578 -1.5708 -2.8578

These match the solution above
```