Object Oriented Programming USTH, Master ICT, year 1

Aveneau Lilian

lilian.aveneau@univ-poitiers.fr XLIM/ASALI/IG, CNRS, Computer Science Department University of Poitiers

2017/2018

Lecture 4 – Friendship & Operator Overloading

- Friendship
- Friendship examples: vector & matrix
- Operator overloading
- Generalities about operator overloading
- Example of overloading with =
- Canonical form of class
- Overloading indexed access and parentheses
- Overloading new and delete
- Cast

Introduction

Pure OOP requires data encapsulation

- IV are "private"
- Protection unit is the class
- Forbids access to private members of another class

Painful constraint in some case

Example: matrix by vector product

- Make data public? Loose encapsulation benefits
- Create some getters? Good, but extra execution time

Solution

- Friend function: grant access to private data
- Advantage: allows access control at class level

Independent friend function

Let us restart from lecture 3 class "Point":

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std:
class Point {
  int x, y;
public:
  Point (const int abs=0, const int ord=0) { x=abs; y=ord; }
  friend int is_equal (const Point&, const Point&); // May be anywhere inside class
// do not recall the friend relationship
inline int is equal (const Point& p, const Point& q) { // Separate compilation is possible
                                                         // No more "this"
  return p.x == q.x \&\& p.y == q.y;
int main () {
  Point a(1,0), b(1), c;
  if ( is_equal (a,b) ) cout<< "a_is_equal_to_b" << endl;</pre>
  else
                       cout << "a_different_from_b" << endl:
  if ( is_equal (a,c) ) cout<< "a_is_equal_to_c" << endl;</pre>
  else
                       cout<< "a_different_from_c" << endl;</pre>
  return 0:
```

Remarks

- Friend function of given class A generally receives or returns a value of type A
- When it returns: always by value

Member function is friend of other class

Particular case of the previous one, implies range resolution

```
class A {
  /// private part
  /// public part
  // f is a function from class B
  friend int B::f (char, A);
```

```
class B {
  int f (char, A);
int B:: f( char c, A a ) {
// f has access to A's private members
```

Remarks

 To compile A, an anterior declaration of B is needed But to compile B, class A must be known

```
class A; // A is a class
class B {
  int f (char, A);
};
```

```
class A { // we know B
  friend int B::f (char, A);
<u>int</u> B::f( <u>char</u> c, A a ) {
  ... // Knows A and B
```

 Cross declaration between functions from different classes: declare the one friend of the other

Friend function of many classes, friendship between classes

Friend of some classes

```
      class B;
      class B {

      class A {
      friend void f (A, B);

      ... friend void f (A, B);
      yoid f (A a, B b ) {

      ... // knows A and B
```

One more time, take care to declarations

- Known classes into other classes
- Classes declared before friend functions

Friendship between classes

- Simplify writing: grant access to private members to all methods of granter class
- We add into granter class: friend class B;
 - Need to know that B is a class
 - Avoid to give header of concerned functions

Example: independent friend function

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Matrix; // to declare "product" into vector
class Vector {
  double m_v[3];
public:
  Vector (double x=0, double y=0, double z=0) { m_v[0]=x; m_v[1]=y; m_v[2]=z; }
  void print () const { cout<<"vector("<<m_v[0]<<","<<m_v[1]<<","<<m_v[2]<<")"<<endl; }</pre>
  friend Vector product (const Matrix&, const Vector&); // friend to two classes
class Matrix { // We may have start with matrix ...
  double m_m[3][3]: // line . row
public:
  Matrix (double t[][3])
    for (int i=0; i<3; i++)
      <u>for</u> (<u>int</u> j=0; j<3; j++) m_m[i][j] = t[i][j];
  friend Vector product (const Matrix&, const Vector&);
Vector product ( const Matrix& m, const Vector& v ) { // friend function of 2 classes
  Vector res; /// all to zero
  for (int i=0: i < 3: i++)
    for (int j=0; j<3; j++) res.m_v[i] += m.m_m[i][j]*v.m_v[j];
  return res:
int main () {
  Vector v(1,2,3);
  double t[3][3] = \{ \{1,2,3\}, \{4,5,6\}, \{7,8,9\} \};
  Matrix m(t);
  const Vector p=product (m, v); p.print(); // display "vector(14,32,50)"
  return 0:
```

Example: friend function member of class

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Vector; // To declare "product" into Matrix
class Matrix { // Must be declare before Vector ...
  double m_m[3][3]; // line, row
public:
  Matrix (double t[][3]) {
    for (int i=0; i<3; i++)
      for (int i=0; i<3; i++) m_{m}[i][i] = t[i][i];
  Vector product ( const Vector& ) const; // It is a method, now!
class Vector { // Must be declare before Matrix::product
  double m_v[3]:
public:
  Vector (double x=0, double y=0, double z=0) { m_v[0]=x; m_v[1]=y; m_v[2]=z; }
  void print () const { cout<<" Vector("<<m_v[0]<<","<<m_v[1]<<","<<m_v[2]<<")"<<endl; }</pre>
  friend Vector Matrix::product (const Vector&) const;
Vector Matrix::product ( const Vector& v ) const { // range resolution
  vecteur res; /// set to zero
  for (int i=0: i < 3: i++)
    for (int j=0; j<3; j++) res.m_v[i] += m_m[i][j]*v.m_v[j];
  return res;
int main () {
  Vector v(1,2,3);
  double t[3][3] = \{ \{1,2,3\}, \{4,5,6\}, \{7,8,9\} \};
  Matrix m(t);
  const Vector p=m.product (v); p.print(); // display "Vector(14,32,50)"
  return 0:
```

Introduction

Function overloading: same name for many \neq functions

- Good one chosen by compiler
- C++ extends this behavior to class operator
 - Already exists in C for fundamental types:
 - Example a+b: + works with integers, reals, doubles ...
 - Idem with * for the product, or pointer indirection

C++ mechanism

Example with class Point:

```
class Point {
  int m.x., m.y;
  public:
  Point(int=0, int=0);
  Point operator + (Point&) const;
};
```

- Operator "+" is a correct internal product
- Keyword operator, followed by overloaded operator
- Can be written with friend function!

Overloading with friend function

In such a case, symmetrical aspect appears for binary operators

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Point {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point(const int a=0, const int o=0) { m_x=a, m_v=o: }
  void print() { cout<<"Point("<<m_x<<","<<m_y<<")"<<endl; }</pre>
  friend Point operator+ (const Point&, const Point&);
Point operator+(const Point&a, const Point&b) {
  return Point( a.m_x+b.m_x, a.m_y+b.m_y );
int main () {
  Point a(1,2); a.print();
  Point b(2,5); b.print();
  Point c:
  c = a+b; c.print(); // equivalent to ''c = operator + (a, b);''
  c = a+b+c: c.print(): // equivalent to ''c = operator + (operator + (a, b), c):''
  return 0:
// Point (1,2)
// Point (2,5)
// Point (3,7)
// Point (6.14)
```

Operator overloading with member function

In such a case, asymmetric aspect appears for binary operators

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Point {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point(const int a=0, const int o=0) { m_x=a, m_y=o; }
  void print() { cout<<" Point("<<m_x<<" ,"<<m_y<<" )"<<endl; }</pre>
  Point operator+ (const Point&) const;
Point Point:: operator + (const Point&p) const { // binary operator, but asymmetric writing
  return Point(m_x+p.m_x, m_y+p.m_y);
int main () {
  Point a(1,2); a.print();
  Point b(2,5); b.print();
  Point c:
  c = a+b; c.print(); // equivalent to ''c = a.operator + (b);''
  c = a+b+c; c.print(); // equivalent to ''c = c.operator + (a.operator + (b));''
  return 0:
// Same result as with the previous example
```

- Asymmetry: want to use friend function? Warning, bad idea
- c=a+b+c: may creates temporary objects (depends on compiler)
- Reference: should be used for arguments (with const) generally forbidden for returning

Use only existing operators

- No new operator (except ->*, .*, new ...)
- Respect the operator priority

Arity	Operators	Associativity
Binary	() [] ->	->
Unary	$+-++!\sim *$ & new new[] delete delete[] (cast)	<-
Binary	* %	->
Binary	->* .*	->
Binary	+ -	->
Binary	<< >>	->
Binary	< <= >= >	->
Binary	== !=	->
Binary	&	->
Binary	٨	->
Binary		->
Binary	&&	->
Binary		->
Binary	= += -= *= /= %= &= \\- = <<= >>=	->
Binary	1	->

- All except ".", "::" and "?:"
- Operators -> * and .* have restricted usage: applicable to pointers to members
- Post and pre incrementation/decrementation: pre is the unary version, post is the binary one with int argument

Being in class context

At least one argument must be of class type

It must be either:

- Member function: argument of type implicit class. For binary operator, second argument may be of any type ...
- Independent function: generally friend function, with necessarily an argument of class type

Guarantee impossibility to overload fundamental type operators ... Exception: new and delete, globally definable

Specific limits

- \bullet [], (), ->, new and delete
- Only a member function

Avoid hypothesis on operators role

Programmer write operators: show some common sense!

No link between operators

Example of integers with +, = and +=

- For a class: need to write the 3 (no automatic generation)
- Moreover, programmer may furnish another meanings

Commutativity?

C++ do not know!

Example with
 friend Complex operator+(Complex, double);
 ⇒ do not imply

```
friend Complex operator+(double, Complex);
```

• Faster solution: overload cast operator!

Operators ++ and --

Since C++ version 3.0, there exists distinction between post and pre ...

Convention: post version has an unused argument of type int

Example for index of matrix of dimension 2

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Index {
  unsigned int m_i, m_j, m_nb_col, m_nb_lig;
  Index() {} // to forbid it ;-)
public:
  Index( unsigned int nb_col, unsigned int nb_lig ) {
    m_nb_col = nb_col; m_nb_lig = nb_lig; m_i = m_j = 0; 
  Index(Index& i) { m_nb_col=i.m_nb_col; m_nb_lig=i.m_nb_lig; m_i=i.m_i; m_j=i.m_j; }
  void print() const { cout<<m_i<<"_"<<m_j<<endl; }</pre>
  Index& operator++() { // pre
    if (++m_{-i}) = m_{-n}b_{-col} } { m_{-i} = 0; if (++m_{-i}) = m_{-n}b_{-lig} } m_{-i} = 0; }
    return *this; // current value
  Index operator++(int nothing __attribute__((unused))) { // post
    Index res(*this); // by copy constructor
    if (++m_{-i}) = m_{-n}b_{-col} \{ m_{-i} = 0; if (++m_{-i}) = m_{-n}b_{-lig} \} \{ m_{-i} = 0; \}
    return res; // returns the old value!
int main () {
  Index idx(2,2);
  for (int i=0; i<2; i++) for (int j=0; j<2; j++) (++idx).print();
  for (int i=0; i<2; i++) for (int i=0; i<2; i++) (idx++).print();
  return 0;
```

Operators = and &

In general: any undefined operator cannot be used ...

⇒ lead to compilation error

There exist 2 exceptions

- Operator &, that returns an object address by default
- Operator =, that exists by default
 - Recopies member by member like C-struct
 - Thus problem with dynamically allocated members
 - Analogy with by copy-constructor: if it does not exist, it also do a copy member-by-member
 - If members are objects, by default assignment operator may use the operator defined on the target class

Reminder

Dynamic vector case

Argument of function:

```
Vector a(5);
void f(Vector);
f(a);
```

destructor is called, m_v released ...

Assignment case

Have same problems: with Vector a(5), b(3);

- b=a; exhibits same double free risk ...
- Moreover, problem of memory leaks

Solution: copy operator

with good memory management, and checking equality a=a

Return value choice:

must return the object to manage multiple assignment

```
#include <iostream> /// Warning: it is an example, with missing important methods
using namespace std: /// for instance the copy constructor ...
class Vector {
  int m_n; // number of elements
  int *m_v; // pointer to first element
public:
  Vector (int n) {
    m_v = \frac{new}{int} [m_n = n];
    for (int i=0; i < m_n; i++) m_v[i] = 0;
    cout << "++uobjusizeu" << mun << "uatu" << this << "u-uv.dynuatu" << muv << endl;
  "Vector () {
    cout << "-uobi_size_" << m_n << "_at_" << this << "_-uv.dvn_at_" << m_v << endl:
    delete m_v:
  Vector & operator = (const Vector & v) { // you can try to remove by reference return value
    cout << "==_operator=_call_with_address_" << this << "_and_" << &v << endl:
    if ( this != &v ) {
      cout << "____clean_dynamic_vector_at_" << m_v << endl;
      delete m_v:
      m_v = new int[m_n = v.m_n];
      cout << "____new_dynamic_vector_at_" << m_v << endl;
      for (int i=0: i < m_n: i++) m_{-v}[i] = v.m_{-v}[i]:
    else cout << "____nothing_to_do_..._" << endl;
    return *this;
int main () {
  Vector a(5), b(3), c(4);
  cout << "**_assignment_a_=_b" << endl;
                                                           a = b:
  cout << "**_assignment_c_=_c" << endl;
                                                           c = c:
  cout << "**_assignment_a_=_b_=_c" << endl;
                                                           a = b = c;
  return 0;
```

Canonical form

If a class has pointer members to dynamic memory, it requires the following 4 member functions:

- constructor, with dynamic allocation
- destructor, with memory freeing
- copy constructor
- assignment operator

It leads to:

```
class T {
public:
 T(...);
                                constructor other than by copy
 T(const T&);
                              copy constructor (recommended form)
                                    may be private to forbid it
  ~T();
 T& operator = (const T&);
                              assignment (recommended form),
                                    may be private to forbid it
};
```

- Use const whenever it is possible
- Return value for assignment operator
- Take care about by value argument, that hides a copy!

How to access Vector's element?

- Specific methods set(), get()?
- No: overload the operator []
- Difficulty: make a lvalue, so return a reference ...
- C++ constraint: necessarily a member function
- Hence, we have the prototype type&operator[](int)

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Vector {
  int m_n;
  int *m_v:
public:
  Vector (\underline{int} \ n) \{ m_v = \underline{new} \ \underline{int} [ m_n = n ]; \}
  "Vector () { delete m_v; }
  int & operator [] ( int idx ) { return m_v[ idx ]; } ///misses overflow control
int main () {
  Vector a(3), b(3), c(4);
  for (int i=0; i<3; i++) { a[i] = i; b[i] = 2*(1+i); } // 2 Ivalues!
  for (int i=0; i<3; i++) c[i]=a[i]+b[i]; // Ivalue and 2 rvalues
  for (int i=0: i<3: i++) cout << c[i] << "\downarrow": // only ryalue
  cout << endl:
  return 0;
// display: 2 5 8
```

Some remarks

- Operand transmission generally by reference (not here, while small size)
- C++ forbids operator [] as a friend function
 - Not recommended for operator that may modify object
- Only constant methods are usable with constant instances
 - Make constant the operator will forbid its usage as lvalue
 - Solution: second operator, constant ... with by value return

```
int Vector::operator[] ( int idx ) const {
  return m_v[i]; // by value return
}
```

- Semantics of operator is chosen by programmer
 - We may have used (), or <, or comma ...
 - Common sense! Must stay usable by everyone

Parentheses overloading

Allows to define Functors: function objects ... usable as function

Utility

- 4 Allows prior initialization for some functions
 - e.g. a Gaussian: mean and standard deviation
- Callback functions, i.e. sent as argument to another function

Example

```
class GaussianFunction {
    double m_mu, m_sigma;
    public:
    GaussianFunction ( double mu, float sigma ) { ... }; // function parametrization
    double operator() (double x) { return exp(-0.5*pow((x-m_mu)/m_sigma, 2.0))...; } //apply
};
```

Such an object can be instanciated:

```
GaussianFunction fct ( 2.5, 0.55 ); // creates ''function'' object
```

Application:

```
<u>double</u> result = fct( 3.5 ); // returns the result of its application
```

Principles

Preliminary remarks

- In all: 4 operators (with the 2 array versions)
- May redefine new and delete specifically for a given class (in as many classes as needed)
- But also at global level, for fundamental types and by default objects
- Define new and delete do not redefine array version

Overloading for a given class

Operator new: void * operator new(size_t)

- In member function (but not friend) with argument size_t, giving the required size (in bytes)
- Returns type void*, pointing to allocated memory

Operator delete void operator delete(void*)

Receive as argument the previously allocated address by new

Example

```
#include <iostream>
#include <cstddef> // define size_t
using namespace std:
class Point {
 static int m_npt, m_npt_dyn; /// total number of instance, + those dynamically allocated
 int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point (int a=0, int o=0) { m_x=a; m_y=o; ++m_npt;
                             cout <<"++_total_number_of_points:_"<<m_npt<<endl; }
 ~Point () { --m_npt; cout << "--_total_number_of_points:_" << m_npt << endl; }</pre>
 void * operator new (size_t sz) {
     ++ m_npt_dyn; cout << "++>_there_are_" << m_npt_dyn << "_dynamic_points_" << endl;
     return :: new char[sz]; } // ACCESS TO GLOBAL OPERATOR
 void operator delete (void* dp) {
     — m_npt_dyn; cout << "<—_there_are_" << m_npt_dyn << "_dynamic_points_" << endl;</pre>
      :: delete (char*)dp; } // ACCESS TO GLOBAL OPERATOR
};
int Point::m_npt = 0; /// static member initialization
int Point::m_npt_dyn = 0; /// static member initialization
int main () { Point a(3, 5);
                                         // ++ total number of points: 1
               Point *ad1 = new Point(1, 3); // +> there are 1 dynamic points
                                             // ++ total number of points: 2
               Point b:
                                            // ++ total number of points: 3
               Point *ad2 = new Point(2, 0); // +> there are 2 dynamic points
                                             // ++ total number of points: 4
               delete ad1;
                                             // — total number of points: 3
                                             // <- there are 1 dynamic points
                                             // ++ total number of points: 4
               Point c(2);
                                             // — total number of points: 3
               delete ad2;
                                             // <-- there are 0 dynamic points
               return 0:
                                             // — total number of points: 2, then 1 then 0
```

Supplementary information

Remarks

- Overloading acts only on dynamically allocated object
- Constructor and destructor are logically called after/before
- In our example: Point*ad=new Point[10]; should not imply overloaded operator

Overloading new[] and delete[]

```
With good prototypes (warning: size is the array one)
```

Overloading global operators

- Using independent functions, with same prototypes
- take care to not start an ∞ iterative process
 (at least, use malloc() and free())

Principe

Reminder:

- Explicit or implicit cast
- Constructor allows cast to class, e.g. Point(int abscissa);
- Cast from one class to other: operator (e.g. Point and Complex)

Syntax of cast operator

Basic rules:

- Always must be defined as member function
- Do not write the type of the return value

Example:

```
class Point {
  int m.x, m.y;
public:
  operator int () { return m.x; } // no explicit return value!
  ...
};
```

Cast examples

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Point {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point (const int a=0, const int o=0) {
    m_x = a; m_y = o;
    cout <<"++-creates_Point("<<a<<","<<o<<")"<<endl;
  Point (const Point &p) { /// by-copy constructor
    m_x = p.m_x; m_y = p.m_y; // no more used since cast
    cout << ":: _bv-copv_constructor_call "<< endl:
  operator int() {
    cout <<"===call_int()_for_Point("<<m_x<<","<<m_y<<")"<<endl;
    return m_x;
void fct (int n) { /// any function
  cout << " ** call fct ("<<n<<" )"<<endl;
int main () {
  Point a(3,4);
  fct (6);
                   // normal call
  fct (6);  // normal call
fct (int(a));  // explicit cast call
  fct (a); // implicit call, copy is not used
  int n = a; // another implicit call
  float x = 2.*a; // another implicit call, plus cast to double
  a = 12:
                // implicit call, call to constructor Point(int a, 0)
  return 0:
```

Choosing between constructor or assignment operator

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Point {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point (const int a=0, const int o=0) {
    m_x = a; m_y = o;
    cout << "++_creates_Point_" << m_x << "_" << m_v << "_at_" << this << endl:
  Point & operator = (const Point & p) {
    m_{-}x = p.m_{-}x: m_{-}v=p.m_{-}v:
    cout << "==assignment_Point_>Point_from_" << &p << "_at_" << this << endl:
    return *this;
  Point & operator = (const int n) {
    m_{-}x = n; \qquad m_{-}y = 0;
    cout << "=_assignment_int->Point_from_" << n << "_at_" << this << endl;
    return *this:
int main () {
  Point a(3, 4); // ++ creates Point 3 4 at 0x7fff5fbff420
  a = 12; // == assignment int-Point from 12 at 0x7fff5fbff420
               // RULE: no cast here, it is assignment
  return 0:
```

The UDC are used only when necessary

Enhance an operator meaning

Factorize many operators into one #include <iostream>

```
using namespace std;
class Point {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point (const int a=0, const int o=0) { /// Constructor 0, 1 or 2 arguments
    m_x = a; m_y = o; cout << "**\_creates\_Point\_" << <math>m_x << "\_" << m_y << endl;
  friend Point operator+(const Point&, const Point&);
  void print() const { cout << "Point("<<m_x<<","<<m_y<<")"; }</pre>
Point operator+(const Point& a, const Point& b) {
  cout << "++_adds_"; a.print();
  cout << "_and____"; b. print();
  cout << endl:
  return Point(a.m_x+b.m_x, a.m_y+b.m_y);
int main () {
  Point a; // ** creates Point 0 0
Point b(9,4); // ** creates Point 9 4
               // ** creates Point 5 0
  a = b + 5;
                 // ++ adds Point(9.4) and Point(5.0)
                 // ** creates Point 14 4
  a = 2+b:
               // ** creates Point 2 0
                 // ++ adds Point(2.0) and Point(9.4)
                // ** creates Point 11 4
  a = 2+2:
               // ** creates Point 4 0
  return 0;
```

Warning: does not work with degrading cast ... (e.g. double to int)

Forbids implicit cast at constructor level

Planned in C++ norm, with keyword explicit

Example:

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Point {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  explicit Point (const int a=0, const int o=0) { // no authorized implicit cast
    m_x = a; m_y = o;
    cout \ll "**\_creates\_Point\_" \ll m_x \ll "\_" \ll m_y \ll endl;
  friend Point operator+(const Point&, const Point&):
  void print() const { cout << "Point("<<m_x<<","<<m_y<<")"; }</pre>
Point operator+(const Point& a, const Point& b) {
  return Point(a.m_x+b.m_x, a.m_y+b.m_y);
int main () {
  Point a = 12:
                  // illegal, but "Point a;" will work
  Point b(9, 4);
  a = 2+b:
                  // illegal , implicit cast forbidden by "explicit" keyword
  a = Point(3); // OK, explicit cast by constructor usage
  a = b + Point(5); // idem
  return 0:
```

Casting one class to another one

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Vector2d; /// geometrical meaning ; needed declaration
class Point2d { /// idem
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point2d (const int a=0, const int o=0) { m_x = a; m_y = o; }
  operator Vector2d():
class Vector2d {
  double m_x, m_y;
public:
  Vector2d (const double x=0, const double y=0) { m_x = x; m_y = y; }
  friend Point2d:: operator Vector2d ();
  void print() const { cout<<" Vector2d("<<m_x<<","<<m_y<<")"<<endl; }</pre>
Point2d::operator Vector2d() {
  Vector2d res( m_x, m_y );
  cout<<" cast _Point2d ("<<m_x<<" ,"<<m_y<<" ) _to _Vector2d ("<<res . m_x<<" ,"<<res . m_y<<" ) "<<endl ;
  return res;
int main () {
  Point2d a (2,5);
  Vector2d v = (Vector2d)a; v.print(); // explicit cast: cast Point2d(2,5) to Vector2d(2,5)
  Point2d b(9, 12);
  v = b;
                              v.print(); // implicit cast: cast Point2d(9,12) to Vector2d(9,1)
  return 0;
```

By constructor cast

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
class Point2d; /// to declare constructor "Vector2d(const Point2d&);"
class Vector2d {
  double m_x, m_y;
public:
  Vector2d (const double x=0, const double y=0) { m_x = x; m_y = y; }
  Vector2d (const Point2d &p);
  void print() const { cout<<" Vector2d("<<m_x<<" ,"<<m_y<<" )"<<endl; }</pre>
class Point2d { /// idem
 int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point2d (const int a=0, const int o=0) { m_x = a; m_y = o; }
  friend Vector2d:: Vector2d (const Point2d&); // grant access to private members
                                          /// needs class members knowledge
Vector2d:: Vector2d(const Point2d &p) {
  m_{-}x = p.m_{-}x: m_{-}v = p.m_{-}v:
int main () {
  Point2d a (3,5);
  Vector2d v(a); // cast done by constructor
  v.print(); // Vector2d(3,5)
  return 0:
```

Limitation:

cannot create both constructor $A \rightarrow B$ and cast operator $A \rightarrow B$

Give meaning to another class operator

Example: cast from Point2d to Vector2d and then by operator+

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std:
class Vector2d:
class Point2d {
  int m_x, m_y;
public:
  Point2d (const int a=0, const int o=0) { m_x = a; m_y = o; }
  inline operator Vector2d () const; // cast Vector2d to Point2d ...
  void print() const { cout<<"Point2d("<<m_x<<","<<m_y<<")"<<endl; }</pre>
class Vector2d {
  double m_x, m_y;
public:
  Vector2d (const double x=0, const double y=0) { m_x = x; m_y = y; }
  void print() const { cout<<" Vector("<<m_x<<" ,"<<m_y<<" )"<<endl; }</pre>
  friend Point2d:: operator Vector2d() const: // needed: it is a "Point2d" method
  friend Vector2d operator+(const Vector2d&, const Vector2d&);
Point2d:: operator Vector2d() const { return Vector2d(m_x, m_y); }
Vector2d operator+(const Vector2d&a, const Vector2d&b){
  return Vector2d(a.m_x+b.m_x, a.m_v+b.m_v):
int main () {
  Point2d a(3,4), b(7,9), c;
  Vector2d x(3.5,2.8), y;
  y = x + a; y.print(); // should work if "+" was member function
  y = a + x; y.print(); // should not work if "+" was member function
  y = a + b; y.print(); // should not work if "+" was member function
  return 0;
```