

# HW3

Lei Xia (MML)

October 21, 2020

## 1. Probability measures:

For  $\mathbb{P}_2$ ,  $p(x) = x$  when  $x = 2^{-i}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (a)  $\{0\}$ :  $0 \notin 2^{-i}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(\{0\}) = 0$ .
- (b)  $\{\frac{1}{2}\}$ :  $i = 1, x = 2^{-1}, p(\frac{1}{2}) = 1/2$
- (c)  $\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\}$ :  $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$  are in set  $\{2^{-i}\}, i \in \mathbb{N}, p = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = 3/4$ .
- (d) All  $2^{-2i}$  are in set  $\{2^{-i}\}$ .  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2i} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4^{-i} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}(1-\frac{1}{4}^{\infty})}{1-\frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{3}$
- (e)  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ : In fact, only  $\frac{1}{2}$  inside the range has a point mass so  $p([0, \frac{1}{2}]) = p(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- (f)  $p([\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]) = p(\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{4}$ .
- (g)  $p([\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]) = p([\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]) = p(\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{4}$ .

For  $\mathbb{P}_1$ , the cumulative distribution is  $P(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ ,  $x > 0$  and 0, otherwise given the density.

- (a)  $\{0\}, \{\frac{1}{2}\}, \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\}$ : For a continuous function with a density, each point corresponds to a probability 0. As a result, all probabilities are 0.
- (b)  $2^{-2i}$  for  $i$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ : This set includes infinite number of points, on an infinite range  $[0, \infty]$ .  $P([0, \infty]) = 1$ .  $P(\{2^{-i}\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4^{-i} = \frac{1}{3}$ . For infinite number of points, probabilities would be the same whether  $P$  has a density or a point mass function.
- (c) For ranges  $[0, \frac{1}{2}], [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ , use cdf  $P(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$ ,  $x > 0$ :
  - i.  $P(\frac{1}{2}) = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
  - ii.  $P(\frac{1}{2}) - P(\frac{1}{4}) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$
  - iii.  $(P(\frac{1}{2}) - P(\frac{1}{4})) + (P(1) - P(\frac{3}{4})) = e^{-\frac{1}{4}} + e^{-\frac{3}{4}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-1}$

	$\mathbb{P}_1$	$\mathbb{P}_2$
$\{0\}$	0	0
$\{\frac{1}{2}\}$	0	1/2
$\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}\}$	0	3/4
$2^{-2i}$ for $i$ in $\mathbb{N}$	1/3	1/3
$[0, \frac{1}{2}]$	$1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	1/2
$[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$	$e^{-\frac{1}{4}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	3/4
$[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$	$e^{-\frac{1}{4}} + e^{-\frac{3}{4}} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-1}$	3/4

## 2. Cumulative distribution functions:

- (a)  $F_{p,\theta}(x) = p + (1-p)(1 - \exp(-\theta x)) \Rightarrow P(S_t = x) = F' = \theta(1-p)e^{-\theta x}$  for  $x \geq 0 \Rightarrow P(S_1 = 0) = \theta(1-p)$ .  
To simplify  $F$ ,  $F_{p,\theta}(x) = p + (1-p)(1 - e^{-\theta x}) = p + (1-p) - (1-p)e^{-\theta x} = 1 + (p-1)e^{-\theta x}$ . Also, I assume  $p \neq 1$  because when  $p = 1$ ,  $F, P$  would both be 0 and this whole problem would be meaningless.  
For range distribution in (b),(c) and (d), it is asking for cumulative distributions. I can just use  $F_{p,\theta}(x)$  to calculate the probability.
- (b) For b),  $F = 0$  when  $-\frac{1}{2} \leq S_1 < 0$ ; when  $S_1 = 0, F(0) = 1 + p - 1 = p \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(-\frac{1}{2} \leq S_1 \leq 0) = p$
- (c)  $\mathbb{P}(-\frac{1}{2} \leq S_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}) = \mathbb{P}(0 \leq S_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}) = 1 + (p-1)e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}$
- (d)  $\mathbb{P}(0 \leq S_1 < \infty) = 1$
- (e) When  $x > 0$ ,  $x$  can take on any real value so it is not a finite set, so this probability distribution has no point mass function.
- (f) The premise for a function  $f$  to be a density function is that  $f$  needs to be continuous.  $P(0) = \theta(1-p)$  and  $p \neq 1$  from (a) so it is not 0, but  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} f(x) = 0$  so  $P$  is not continuous. As a result, this probability distribution have no density.

- (g) From above, it's known this probability distribution has neither point mass function nor density so it cannot be a mixture of both.
- (h) It is singular because it has no point mass or density, and it's not a mixture.
- (i)

$$\begin{aligned} E[S_1] &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - K)^+ \theta(1 - p)e^{-\theta x} dx = \int_K^{\infty} \theta x(1 - p)e^{-\theta x} dx - \int_K^{\infty} \theta(1 - p)Ke^{-\theta x} dx \\ &= \frac{1 - p}{\theta} \int_{\theta K}^{\infty} te^{-t} dt - \theta(1 - p)K \int_K^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} dx = (1 - p) \left( \frac{1}{\theta}(1 + \theta K)e^{-\theta K} - Ke^{-\theta K} \right) = \frac{1 - p}{\theta} e^{-\theta K} \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Law of Large Numbers:

(a)

$$S_t = \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma B_t\right) \Rightarrow \log(S_t) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma B_t \Rightarrow \log\left(\frac{S_{t_n}}{S_{t_{n-1}}}\right) = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(t_n - t_{n-1}) + \sigma(B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})$$

Since  $B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, B_{t_3} - B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}}$  are independent for any  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n$ ;  $t_2 - t_1, \dots, t_n - t_{n-1}$  are also independent. As a result, the two terms are both independent, which makes  $\log\left(\frac{S_{t_n}}{S_{t_{n-1}}}\right)$  independent and  $\frac{S_{t_n}}{S_{t_{n-1}}}$  as well.

(b)

$$E\left[\log\left(\frac{S_{t+1}}{S_t}\right)\right] = \left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \sigma E[B_{t+1} - B_t] = \mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{S_{i+1}}{S_i}\right) &= n\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) + \sigma \sum_{i=1}^n (B_{i+1} - B_i) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{S_{i+1}}{S_i}\right)}{n} &= \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (B_{i+1} - B_i)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

$(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)$  is a constant. If the above formula converges,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (B_{i+1} - B_i)}{n}$$

must converge. According to the Law of Large Numbers, for this to converge, each factor in  $\sum_{i=1}^n (B_{i+1} - B_i)$  must be IID. If they are IID, they can be added up as  $n(B_2 - B_1)$ , or written as  $(B_{n+1} - B_n) + (B_n - B_{n-1}) + \dots + (B_2 - B_1) = B_{n+1} - B_1$ .  $B_1 \sim N(0, 1)$ , then all I need is to make the term with larger variance,  $B_{n+1} \sim N(0, n+1)$  converge. The cumulative distribution function  $F_{B_{n+1}}(x) = \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{n+1}}\right)$ , for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $F(x) = \Phi(0) = 0.5$ , so the probability is 0.5, which indicates the original

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{S_{i+1}}{S_i}\right)$$

converges with a probability 0.5.

For each  $i$  from 1 to  $n$ ,  $E[B_{i+1} - B_i] = 0$ . According to the Law of Large Numbers,

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (B_{i+1} - B_i)}{n} = E[B_{i+1} - B_i] = 0\right) = 1$$

, so

$$\frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{S_{i+1}}{S_i}\right)}{n} = \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right).$$