Variation in satisfaction with bus transit service among

different bus users using a large-scale survey from London, UK

# David Verbich Ahmed M. El-Geneidy

School of Urban Planning, McGill University



# ABSTRACT

- To retain and grow ridership, transit agencies continuously survey riders to learn how to improve services and understand what leads to rider satisfaction. Nevertheless, transit riders are not a homogeneous entity and understanding important distinctions among transit riders can help transit agencies in their efforts to provide satisfactory service and attract different rider segments
- We examined a large-scale survey from London to address how the satisfaction of riders with encumberances and riders with disabilities may depend on different service characteristics
- Our findings indicate that improving waiting area conditions can increase the satisfaction of riders with disabilities and encumbrances
- By highlighting the needs of two special groups of bus riders that were previously overlooked in the public transport literature, we offer insights for transit planners about determinants of these riders' satisfaction with bus service.

## METHODOLOGY

- Large-scale survey on satisfaction from London, UK from 2010–2015
- Riders rated overall satisfaction from 0 (dissatisfied) to 10 (very satisfied); for logit modelling, 0-6 was considered 0 or dissatisfied and 7–10 was considered 1 or satisfied
- Riders were asked about satisfaction with different characteristics (bus cleanliness, reliability, etc.). Principle component analysis was used to group similar characteristics
- Riders were segemented into regular riders (no disabilities and no encumberances), riders with disabilities (mobility, visual, and/or hearing), and riders with encumberances (shopping bags, child, or large-item)
- Multinomial logit modelling of being satisfied overall based on different characteristics of service and some personal attributes

# DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

		Regular 16,830	Encumbered 4,136	Disabled 555
	Variable description			
Dependent variable				
Satisfaction with overall service	Dummy variable equal to 1 if satisfied (score >6)	0.87	0.87	0.90
Independent varial	ole			
Out-of-vehicle				
Shelter	Dummy variable equal to 1 if stop had a shelter	0.86	0.86	0.82
Waiting area	Factor loading for satisfaction with waiting area	-0.0051	0.011	0.063
condition Bus exterior	Factor loading for satisfaction with the bus exterior	-0.022	0.074	0.11
Time waited	Satisfaction with length of time waited	76.91	78.27	80.13
Bus stop/shelter information	Satisfaction with information available at bus stop/shelter	79.64	79.95	81.26
In-vehicle				
Journey time	Total journey time in minutes	19.74	19.31	18.08
Journey time satisfaction	Satisfaction with the length of time for the journey	81.03	82.14	83.46
Ride quality	Factor loading for satisfaction with the ride quality	0.011	-0.025	-0.16
Interior comfort	Factor loading for satisfaction with interior comfort	-0.018	0.043	0.21
Seat Security agent	Dummy variable equal to 1 if a police officer, ticket	0.96	0.94	0.98
Security agent	Dummy variable equal to 1 if a police officer, ticket inspector, and/or traffic warden was on the bus	0.038	0.040	0.031
Service attributes Reliability	Satisfaction with reliability of present and recent			
satisfaction	trips on current bus route	76.52	77.67	78.65
Trip purpose	D '11 1, 1'C ', '			
Commuter	Dummy variable equal to 1 if main trip purpose is commuting (including employer's business)	0.43	0.28	0.18
Education	Dummy variable equal to 1 if main trip purpose is for education	0.12	0.061	0.023
Shopping	Dummy variable equal to 1 if main trip purpose is shopping	0.15	0.38	0.34
Leisure	Dummy variable equal to 1 if main trip purpose is visiting friends/relatives, leisure, personal business or holiday/sightseeing	0.29	0.26	0.46
Child-related	Dummy variable equal to 1 if main trip purpose is taking or collecting a child	0.0092	0.025	_
Personal attributes				
White	Dummy variable equal to 1 if respondent is White (British, Irish, other)	0.54	0.62	0.78
Mixed race	Dummy variable equal to 1 if respondent is Mixed race (White and Black Caribbean, White and Black African, White and Asian, any other mixed background)	0.031	0.022	0.011
Asian	Dummy variable equal to 1 if respondent is Asian/Asian British (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, Chinese, other)	0.25	0.17	0.083
Black	Dummy variable equal to 1 if respondent is Black/Black British (Caribbean, African, other)	0.17	0.18	0.12
Male	Dummy variable equal to 1 if respondent is male	0.53	0.33	0.50
Familiar	Dummy variable equal to 1 if respondent is very or quite familiar with the journey	0.98	0.98	_
Encumbered	<b>.</b> J √			
Large item	Dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent is carrying a suitcase/heavy luggage and/or large or	n.a.	0.16	n.a.
Shopping	awkward item  Dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent is	n o	0.72	n o
Child	carrying shopping bags and/or shopping trolley Dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent is	n.a.	0.72	n.a.
	carrying a small child/baby in arms and/or baby buggy/pushchair/pram	n.a.	0.12	n.a.
Disability				
Mobility	Dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent has a mobility impairment (including age-related)	n.a.	n.a.	0.83
Visual	Dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent has a visual impairment	n.a.	n.a.	0.11
Hearing	Dummy variable equal to 1 if the respondent has a hearing impairment	n.a.	n.a.	0.09

	hearing impairment			
-	n.a. indicates variables not included in a specific subgroup; – indicates variables	with too	few entries	to be included
	in the model			

## ANALYSIS

	Reg	gular		Encumbered			Disabled			
	Odds	90%	Conf.	Odds	90%	Conf.	Odds	90% (	Conf.	
	ratio	inte	rvals	ratio	inter	vals	ratio	inter	vals	
Out-of-vehicle										
Shelter (dummy)	1.19**	1.05	1.34	1.47***	1.16	1.88	1.07	0.52	2.1	
Waiting area condition	1.52***	1.45	1.58	1.40***	1.28	1.53	1.27	0.97	1.6	
Bus exterior	1.10***	1.05	1.15	1.21***	1.11	1.32	0.80	0.59	1.0	
Time waited	1.02***	1.01	1.02	1.02***	1.01	1.02	1.00	0.99	1.0	
Bus stop/shelter information	1.00***	1.00	1.01	1.00	0.99	1.01	1.02**	1.00	1.0	
In-vehicle										
Journey time	0.99***	0.98	0.99	0.98***	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.97	1.0	
Journey time satisfaction	1.02***	1.02	1.03	1.02***	1.02	1.03	1.03***	1.01	1.0	
Ride quality	1.40***	1.27	1.38	1.46***	1.33	1.60	1.24	0.94	1.6	
Interior comfort	1.32***	1.27	1.38	1.46***	1.33	1.59	1.26	0.93	1.7	
Seat	1.44***	1.19	1.75	1.70***	1.22	2.36	0.28	0.02	4.6	
Security agent	0.66***	0.54	0.80	1.32	0.82	2.12	0.45	0.13	1.5	
Service attributes										
Reliability satisfaction	1.02***	1.01	1.02	1.02***	1.01	1.02	1.03***	1.02	1.0	
Trip purpose (compared to commuting)										
Education	0.95	0.83	1.08	1.10	0.76	1.59	0.84	0.19	3.8	
Shopping	1.06	0.93	1.22	1.04	0.83	1.31	1.88	0.84	4.2	
Leisure	1.25***	1.12	1.40	1.28	0.99	1.64	1.71	0.83	3.4	
Child-related	1.66	0.97	2.84	0.58*	0.34	0.98	n.a.	n.a.	n.a	
Personal attributes										
Mixed race <sup>#</sup>	0.96	0.75	1.23	0.82	0.47	1.43	0.18	0.022	1.4	
Asian#	0.95	0.85	1.05	1.15	0.91	1.47	0.60	0.24	1.4	
Black <sup>#</sup>	0.72***	0.64	0.81	0.86	0.69	1.08	0.34***	0.17	0.6	
Male	1.13**	1.03	1.24	1.01	0.83	1.24	0.85	0.48	1.5	
Familiar	1.47**	1.10	1.96	0.72	0.32	1.60	-	_	_	
Encumbered (compared to large item-carrying)										
Shopping	_	_	_	0.97	0.75	1.25	_	_	_	
Child	_	_	<u>—</u>	1.09	0.75	1.60	_	_		
Disability										
Mobility	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.75	0.17	3.4	
Visual	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.59	0.14	2.4	
Hearing	-	_	-	-	_	_	0.92	0.22	3.9	
		C 020			4.126			<i></i>		
N		16,830			4,136			555		
Pseudo $R^2$	0.25			0.25			0.30			
Log-likelihood	-4793.41			-1195.44			-126.43			
AIC	9630.82			2438.87			298.85			

- indicates variables not included in a specific subgroup; n.a. indicates variables with too few entries to be included in the model; "compared to White riders; **bold** indicates statistically significant variables at \*P < 0.10, \*\*P < 0.05,

## MAIN FINDINGS

O Waiting area condition is most important out-ofvehicle factor

2590.73

- O Seat avaliability is most important in-vehicle factor
- O Decreased odds of satisfaction for visible minorities

# MAIN FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

- O Having a shelter is most important out-of-vehicle
- O Seat avaliability is most important in-vehicle factor
- O Decreased odds of satisfaction for child-related trips
- O Bus information at the stop is most important outof-vehicle factor
- O Journey time satisfaction is most important invehicle factor
- O Decreased odds of satisfaction for Black riders

# CONCLUSIONS

- O By studying typically overlooked bus riders, we uncovered how encumbered riders and riders with disabilities value different aspects of a bus journey.
- As some riders use buses for daily errands and may lack car access, having a shelter and seat or place on the bus for their encumberances or child improves their satisfaction with the bus.
- O For riders with disabilities, providing information at the bus stop is crucial for their satisfaction. Riders with disabilities may lack access to schedules on computers or other devices and thus rely on schedules at the stop.
- O Transit agencies can improve the satisfaction of marginalized riders by targeting waiting area conditions and seat priority measures.



#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- O Ensure clean and graffiti-free waiting area
- O Provide a shelter where possible
- O Ensure schedule information is avaliable and current, while accounting for visually- or hearingimpaired riders
- O Sensitize riders with priority-seating awarness for encumbered riders
- Ensure clean bus interiors
- O Ensure bus drivers are courteous and drive safely



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Kathryn Jones, John Barry, and Alex Phillips from TfL for the survey data and support, and Charis Loong for critical feedback. This work was supported by a research grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.





