# The Model which is already fitted:

		Predicted Exit	
		0	1
Actual Exit	0	™1,338	<sup>FP</sup> 2,270
	1	FN 175	<sup>TP</sup> 2,495

TN - True negatives: we predicted a costumer would not churn and they did not churn.

**FP - False positives:** We predicted a costumer would churn, but they did not.

**FN - False negatives:** we predicted a costumer would not churn and they churn.

**TP - True positives:** we predicted a costumer churn, and they actually churn.

# **Precision**

Another way to analyze a classification algorithm is by calculating precision, which is basically obtained by dividing true positives by the sum of true positive and false positive, as shown below:

$$Precision = \frac{tp}{tp + fp}$$

# **Recall**

Recall is calculated by dividing true positives by the sum of the true positive and false negative, as shown below:

$$ext{Recall} = rac{tp}{tp + fn}$$

### F1 Measure

F1 measure is simply the harmonic mean of precision and recall and is calculated as follows:

$$F_1 = \left(rac{2}{ ext{recall}^{-1} + ext{precision}^{-1}}
ight) = 2 \cdot rac{ ext{precision} \cdot ext{recall}}{ ext{precision} + ext{recall}}$$

# **Accuracy**

Accuracy refers to the number of correctly predicted labels divided by the total number of observations in a dataset.

$$ext{Accuracy} = rac{tp+tn}{tp+tn+fp+fn}$$

**Precision** = 2495/ (2495 + 2270) = 0.52

**Recall/Sensitivity** = 2495/(2495 + 175) = 0.93

**F1 Score** = 0.67

**Accuracy** = (2495 + 1338)/ (2495+1338+2270+175) = 0.61

**Specificity** = 1338/ (1338 + 2270) = 0.37

The existing model is pretty good because of the following reason

- 1. The model will catch 93% of the customers who will actually churn.
- 2. Overall all accuracy is 61%

But there is still scope to achieved better accuracy with other ML deep learning models.

#### I have tested:

Model Tested	Accuracy
Primal logistic regression	72%
Logistic Regression with pol 2 Kernel	78%
SVM with RBF Kernel	80%
Random Forest classifier with GINI index	82%
Extreme Gradient Boost	77%

With Random Forest I got better accuracy and F1 Score:

```
print(confusion_matrix(df_train.Exited, RF.predict(df_train.loc[:, df_train.columns != 'Exited'])))
 print(classification_report(df_train.Exited, RF.predict(df_train.loc[:, df_train.columns != 'Exited'])))
 [[5849 896]
  [1242 3730]]
                          recall f1-score support
              precision
                   0.82
                                     0.85
                          0.87
                                              6745
                  0.81
                            0.75
                                     0.78
                                              4972
                                     0.82
                                             11717
     accuracy
    macro avg
                   0.82 0.81
                                     0.81
                                             11717
 weighted avg
                   0.82
                          0.82
                                     0.82
                                             11717
```

The Confusion Matrix shows that for 82 percent of the records in the test set, Random Forest correctly predicted whether a customer will leave the bank.