



# GitHub Copilot impact on the Software Development Lifecycle

Table of the Laws



MARCH 2025

# Reminder of GitHub Copilot main use cases

	Business Demand	Design	Coding	Build	Test	Release	Deploy	Operate	Monitor
New	Business analysis User Stories <b>Documentation</b>	UI Design Software architecture <b>Code suggestions</b> <b>Code review &amp; QA</b> <b>Debugging</b> <b>Unit tests generation</b> <b>Code documentation</b> <b>Low-Code powered by GenAI</b> <b>Application configuration (SAP, SalesForce...)</b>		<b>Packages build</b>	<b>Test case generation</b> <b>Test synthetic data</b> <b>Test result analysis</b> <b>Root cause analysis</b> <b>Security vulnerabilities</b>		<b>Execution platform provisioning</b> <b>Release notes</b> <b>Infrastructure as Code</b>		<b>Monitoring and anomaly detection</b> <b>Predictive maintenance &amp; optimization</b> <b>Log analysis</b> <b>User feedback analysis</b> <b>Assistance to incident analysis / resolution</b>
Legacy			<b>Software refactoring</b> <b>Code migration</b> <b>Code retro documentation</b>						
Agile					Roadmap and sprint planning, effort estimations	Retrospective	Team communication and collaboration		
LLM									



Azure Open AI Services



**GitHub**  
Copilot

*Use case covered by GitHub Copilot*

→ Copilot is able to perform different use cases, especially on the coding part of the software development lifecycle  
 In the following slides, we focus on the applicative build processes.

# Table of the Laws

*SDLC transversal rules linked to the introduction of GitHub Copilot*

 **Automation:** automate as much as possible **the CI/CD pipelines**, in priority code quality monitoring and test automation (unit test & functional tests)

 **Ways of working:** deploy agility incrementally in the view of implementing Agile@Scale (capitalize on existing Agile Center of Excellence)

 **Monitoring tools:**

- If not already done, set-up SonarQube & DevOps Sentinel on the perimeter
- If not done, configure the following indicators in SonarQube/Sentinel: LTTP, LTTP implementation, numbers of resolved JIRA tickets, deployment frequency, unit test coverage, technical debt, code smells, code duplication, maintainability rating, reliability rating, security rating → *If not already done, please liaise with the Software Factory team to implement required configuration on your applicative perimeter*
- If not already in place, set-up Checkmarx vulnerability detections

 **Quality gates:**

- Set-up **quality gates**, based on monitoring tools (*see next slide for configuration*)
  - Mandatory on critical application and/or application where the lifecycle is fully automated.
  - Not mandatory for all other applications if code reviews are effective

 **Quality engineering:**

- Empower the operational managers on the definition of quality requirements (ex: definition of the test, quality thresholds...)
- Perform a Product Risk Analysis, and define a clear test strategy

 **Linters:** Install **linters** in code editors (checking coding good practices/frameworks)

- Identify the appropriate linter to use based on the programming language (e.g., Checkstyle / PMD / FindBugs for Java, ESLint, JSHint, Prettier for Typescript, Cppcheck for C/C++) → *Please liaise with the Software Factory team for the tooling strategy*
- Use standard configuration to keep homogenous the configuration between the perimeters

 **Playbooks:** Formalize the project knowledge base, including example of application

 **GenAI strategy:**

- Centrally define the **GenAI strategy**, to address the whole SDLC (ex: requirements gathering, user stories, test case generation, test script automation, code migration (transcoding), and version upgrades)
- Declinate this strategy at BLI level



Recommendations directly linked to the introduction of GitHub Copilot

# Table of the Laws

Mandatory processes linked to the introduction of GitHub Copilot, per phase of the SDLC



**Documentation:** Perform functional and technical retro-documentation (eased with GitHub Copilot, especially for legacy applications)



**Software refactoring:**

- Prioritize the technical debt, starting by the most critical applications and resources skills shortage
- Keep part of the projects' effort to treat the technical debt



**Code review & QA:**

- Implement peer reviews before a commit, when a specific business or technical expertise is required
- Set-up mandatory code review by senior profiles before a merge for all perimeters, in a continuous mode throughout the process (when a code push is performed, not a periodic review)

**Unit tests generation**

- Create and perform unit tests, whatever the level of maturity of the perimeter, to facilitate long-term maintenance:
  - Differentiate coverage levels for backend and frontend (unit tests for backend, E2E tests for frontend)
  - Code coverage should reach ideally 60%-80% for back-end code and 40%-60% for front-end code
  - Improve coverage step by step to gradually reduce technical debt
  - Reinforce unit test automation as part of the CI/CD

**Set-up quality gates on unit tests**

- On back-end code: blocking if code coverage  $\leq$  60%, review required by the tech lead if code coverage is between 60% and 80%, passing if code coverage  $\geq$  80%
- On front-end code: blocking if the code coverage  $\leq$  40%, review required by the tech lead if code coverage is between 40% and 60%, passing if code coverage  $\geq$  60%

- Create a regression unit test to each bug identified in a run mode

**Code documentation:** Write clear comments and document the code (it is not always self-standing), especially classes/functions/methods with functional or business complexity:

- Classes/function headers should be mandatory and include input & output parameters
- Besides, GitHub Copilot will rely on these code comments to be more efficient.



**Release notes:** Automate and generate release notes



**Test synthetic data:** Generate test synthetic data, using GitHub Copilot

**Root cause analysis:** Perform in-depth root cause analysis for failures

**Set-up quality gates on end-to-end tests:** follow the test strategy defined in the Product Risk Analysis:

- In case of a critical anomaly, the quality gate should be blocking, and the code directly sent back to the developer
- In case of 3 major anomalies or 10 minor anomalies, the quality gate should be blocking and generate the opening of a corrective JIRA ticket (after analysis from the tech lead or quality manager)



**Infra as code:** Accelerate on infra as code, using GitHub Copilot to generate infra as code scripts  
 **Release notes:** cf. Build phase



- N/A



Recommendations directly linked to the introduction of GitHub Copilot

# Recommended prioritization based on the implementation effort & associated impact



